

## BOUNDED SOLUTIONS FOR THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

SEOK WOO KIM AND YONG HAH LEE

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Schrödinger operator on  $M$ . We prove that if  $M$  has finitely many  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic ends, then the space of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on  $M$  has the same dimension as the sum of dimensions of the spaces of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on each  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic end, which vanish at the boundary of the end.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$  be a Schrödinger operator on  $M$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian on  $M$  and the potential  $V$  is a nonnegative function on  $M$ . A function  $u$  on an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $M$  is called an  $\mathcal{L}$ -solution (-supersolution, -subsolution, respectively,) on  $\Omega$  if  $\mathcal{L}u = 0$  ( $\leq 0$ ,  $\geq 0$ , respectively,) on  $\Omega$ . This equation is understood in the sense of distribution. We say that a function  $u$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic on  $\Omega$  if  $u$  is a continuous  $\mathcal{L}$ -solution on  $\Omega$ . In the case when the potential term  $V$  of the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is continuous, one can achieve the continuity of  $\mathcal{L}$ -solutions. More generally, such a result can be extended to potentials in the local Kato class. (See [4].)

This paper is motivated by the previous works of present authors [5] and [6]. By the result of [5], the dimension of the space of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on a complete Riemannian manifold is equal to the number of  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic ends in the case when each  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic end is regular. On the other hand, the present authors in [6] proved that the dimension of the space of bounded energy finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on a complete Riemannian manifold is equal to the maximal number of  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of the manifold. In this paper, we propose the space of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on ends of a complete Riemannian manifold and give the relation between the space of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on the whole manifold and those of its ends. In particular, we prove that the dimension of the space of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on

---

Received January 12, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J05, 35J10.

*Key words and phrases.* Schrödinger operator,  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic function,  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive set, end.

The first author was supported by grant No. R01-2006-000-10047-0(2007) from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation.

the whole manifold is equal to the sum of dimension of the spaces of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on its ends as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$ , where  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian on  $M$  and  $V$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $M$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_l$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , be  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic ends of  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  has the same dimension as the dimension of  $\prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i, \partial E_i)$ , where  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(X, \partial X)$  denote the space of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on  $X$  and the subspace of elements of  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$  vanishing at  $\partial X$ , respectively.*

*In particular, in the case when  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  is finite dimensional, there exists an isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i, \partial E_i).$$

In the case when the potential term of the Schrödinger operator is identically zero,  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions become harmonic functions. Therefore, this result partially generalizes those of Yau [10], of Grigor'yan [1], [2], [3], of Li-Tam [7], [8] and of Sung- Tam-Wang [9].

## 2. $\mathcal{L}$ -massivity and bounded $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on manifolds

Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $o$  be a fixed point in  $M$ . Throughout this paper,  $\Delta$  always denotes the Laplacian on  $M$  and  $V$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $M$ . Also  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$  denotes a Schrödinger operator on  $M$ .

An open proper subset  $\Omega \subset M$  is said to be  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive if there exists a continuous function  $w$  on  $M$  such that  $0 \leq w \leq 1$  on  $M$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L} w = 0 & \text{on } \Omega; \\ w = 0 & \text{on } M \setminus \Omega; \\ \sup_{\Omega} w = 1. \end{cases}$$

Such a function  $w$  is called an inner potential of  $\Omega$ .

Arguing similarly as in [3], we get the following useful properties of  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive sets:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$  are open proper subsets of a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$ . Then*

- (i) *if  $\Omega'$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive, then  $\Omega$  is also  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive;*
- (ii) *if  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive and  $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega'$  is compact, then  $\Omega'$  is also  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive.*

We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(M)$  the space of all bounded continuous functions on  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  denote the subspace of all  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions in  $\mathcal{B}(M)$ . Then we can prove that the dimension of  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  is equal to the supremum of the number of mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of  $M$  as follows:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$ . Then for each  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M) \geq m$  if and only if there exist mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$  of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$  be the mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of  $M$  and  $w_i$  be an inner potential of  $\Omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $r > 0$ , define a continuous function  $f_{i,r}$  on  $B_r(o)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L} f_{i,r} = 0 & \text{on } B_r(o); \\ f_{i,r} = w_i & \text{on } \partial B_r(o) \cap \Omega_i; \\ f_{i,r} = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r(o) \setminus \Omega_i, \end{cases}$$

where  $B_r(o)$  denotes the metric  $r$ -ball centered at  $o$ . By the comparison principle,  $w_i \leq f_{i,r} \leq 1$  on  $B_r(o)$ . Since  $f_{i,r'} \geq w_i = f_{i,r}$  on  $\partial B_r(o)$  for  $r' > r$ , we have  $f_{i,r'} \geq f_{i,r}$  on  $B_r(o)$ . Thus  $\{f_{i,r}\}$  is increasing in  $r$ , hence has a limit function  $f_i$ . In particular,  $f_i$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic function on  $M$  satisfying  $0 \leq w_i \leq f_i \leq 1$ . Since  $\sup_{\Omega_i} w_i = 1$ , we have  $\sup_{\Omega_i} f_i = 1$ .

On the other hand, since  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$  are mutually disjoint,  $\sum_{i=1}^m w_i = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} w_i$ , hence  $\sup_M \sum_{i=1}^m w_i = 1$  and  $\sup_M \sum_{i=1}^m f_i = 1$ . Since  $\sup_{\Omega_i} w_i = 1$ , there is a sequence  $\{x_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  in  $\Omega_i$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_i(x_{i,n}) = 1$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . From the fact that  $0 \leq w_i \leq f_i \leq 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i \leq 1$ , the sequence  $\{x_{i,n}\}$  satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x_{i,n}) = \delta_{ij}$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker's delta.

Suppose that

$$a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + \dots + a_m f_m = 0$$

for some  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then (2.1) implies that  $a_i = 0$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , hence  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  are linearly independent. Consequently,

$$\dim \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M) \geq m.$$

Conversely, suppose that  $\dim \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M) \geq m$ . Then there exist linearly independent  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  in  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$ . Let  $\hat{M}$  be the Stone-Cech compactification of  $M$  and  $\partial \hat{M} = \hat{M} \setminus M$ . Then every function  $u \in \mathcal{B}(M)$  can be extended to a continuous function  $\bar{u}$  on  $\hat{M}$ .

We can extend  $u_i$  to  $\bar{u}_i$  on  $\hat{M}$  in such a way that  $\bar{u}_i|_{\partial \hat{M}}$ , denoted by  $f_i$ , is continuous on  $\partial \hat{M}$ . By using the linear independence of  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  and the comparison principle,  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  are also linearly independent. Then there exist continuous functions  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m$ , each of which is a linear combination of  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  and is not identically zero, such that  $\{x \in \partial \hat{M} : F_i(x) = \max_{\partial \hat{M}} F_i\}$ 's are mutually disjoint. (See [3].) Since each  $F_i$  is a linear combination of  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$ , there exists a linear combination  $v_i$  of  $\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_m$  such that  $v_i = F_i$  on  $\partial \hat{M}$ . We may assume that  $\max_{\partial \hat{M}} F_i > 0$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . For given  $\epsilon > 0$ , put  $\Omega_i^\epsilon = \{x \in M : v_i(x) > \max_{\partial \hat{M}} F_i - \epsilon\}$ . Then  $\Omega_i^\epsilon$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subset of  $M$ .

We claim that  $\Omega_i^\epsilon$ 's are mutually disjoint for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ . If this is not the case, then for some  $i \neq j$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$  and  $\Omega_i^{\epsilon_n} \cap \Omega_j^{\epsilon_n} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $x_n \in \Omega_i^{\epsilon_n} \cap \Omega_j^{\epsilon_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $\hat{M}$  is compact, there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  with a limit point, say  $x_0 \in \hat{M}$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Clearly, we have  $v_i(x_0) = \max_{\partial \hat{M}} F_i = \sup v_i$  and  $v_j(x_0) = \max_{\partial \hat{M}} F_j = \sup v_j$ . If  $x_0 \in M$ , then by the maximum principle, we have a contradiction. If  $x_0 \in \partial \hat{M}$ , then  $v_i(x_0) = \max_{\partial \hat{M}} F_i$  and  $v_j(x_0) = \max_{\partial \hat{M}} F_j$ , i.e.,  $x_0$  is a common maximum point of  $F_i$  and  $F_j$ , which is a contradiction. This proves the claim.  $\square$

By constructing a basis from inner potentials of  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of a complete Riemannian manifold, we can explicitly describe the space of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on the manifold as follows:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold whose maximal number of mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets is  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$ . Suppose  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$  are mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of  $M$ . Let  $w_i$  be an inner potential of  $\Omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then we can construct a basis  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m\}$  for  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  such that*

- (i)  $0 \leq w_i \leq f_i \leq 1$  on  $\Omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;
- (ii)  $\sup_M \sum_{i=1}^m f_i = 1$ .

*In particular, for given real numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic function  $h \in \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,*

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_{i,n}) = a_i,$$

where  $\{x_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a sequence in  $\Omega_i$  satisfying (2.1).

*Conversely, each  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic function  $h \in \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  is uniquely determined by the values in (2.2).*

*Proof.* Since the maximal number of mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets contained in  $M$  is  $m$ , by Theorem 2.2,  $\dim \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M) = m$ . Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$  be the mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of  $M$  and  $w_i$  be an inner potential of  $\Omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then one can check that the bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.2 form a basis for  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  satisfying

- (i)  $0 \leq w_i \leq f_i \leq 1$  on  $\Omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;
- (ii)  $\sup_M \sum_{i=1}^m f_i = 1$ .

For given real numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$ , define  $h = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j f_j$ . Then since the sequence  $\{x_{i,n}\}$  satisfies (1), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_{i,n}) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x_{i,n}) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \delta_{ij} = a_i$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Conversely, let  $h$  be a function in  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  satisfying (2.2). Clearly, a bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic function  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j f_j$  also satisfies (2.2). Putting  $g = h - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j f_j$ , there exist  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $g = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j f_j$ . Then from the definition of  $\{x_{i,n}\}$ , we have

$$c_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_{i,n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_{i,n}) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x_{i,n}) = a_i - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \delta_{ij} = 0$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . This implies that  $g \equiv 0$  on  $M$ , i.e.,  $h \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m a_j f_j$  on  $M$ .  $\square$

### 3. $\mathcal{L}$ -massivity and bounded $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on ends

Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $o$  be a fixed point in  $M$ . We denote by  $\sharp(r)$  the number of unbounded components of  $M \setminus B_r(o)$ . It is easy to prove that  $\sharp(r)$  is nondecreasing in  $r > 0$ . Let  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sharp(r) = l$ , where  $l$  may be infinity, then we say that the number of ends of  $M$  is  $l$ . If  $l$  is finite, then we can choose  $r_0 > 0$  in such a way that  $\sharp(r) = l$  for all  $r \geq r_0$ . In this case, there exist mutually disjoint unbounded components  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_l$  of  $M \setminus \bar{B}_{r_0}(o)$  and we call each  $E_i$  an end of  $M$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . We say that an end  $E$  of  $M$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic if there exists a continuous function  $u_E$ , called an  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic measure, on  $E \setminus B_{r_1}(o)$  for some  $r_1 \geq r_0$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L} u_E = 0 & \text{on } E \setminus \bar{B}_{r_1}(o); \\ u_E = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{r_1}(o) \cap E; \\ \sup_{E \setminus \bar{B}_{r_1}(o)} u_E = 1. \end{cases}$$

Otherwise,  $E$  is called an  $\mathcal{L}$ -parabolic end.

For an end  $E$  of  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E, \partial E)$  denotes the space of all  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on  $E$  vanishing at  $\partial E$ . Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_s$  be the mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of  $E$  and  $w_i$  be an inner potential of  $\Omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and sufficiently large  $r > r_1$ , define a continuous function  $g_{i,r}$  on  $B_r(o) \cap E$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L} g_{i,r} = 0 & \text{on } B_r(o) \cap E; \\ g_{i,r} = w_i & \text{on } (\partial B_r(o) \cap E) \cap \Omega_i; \\ g_{i,r} = 0 & \text{on } \partial E; \\ g_{i,r} = 0 & \text{on } (\partial B_r(o) \cap E) \setminus \Omega_i. \end{cases}$$

By the comparison principle,  $\{g_{i,r}\}$  is increasing in  $r$ , hence has a limit function  $g_i$ . In particular,  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s$  are linearly independent bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on  $E$ , each of which satisfies

- (i)  $0 \leq w_i \leq g_i \leq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\sup_{\Omega_i} g_i = 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\sup_E \sum_{i=1}^s g_i = 1$ .

These together with the assumption that  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_s$  are the mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive sets imply that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  in  $\Omega_i$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_j(x_{i,n}) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Arguing similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be an end of a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$ . Then for each  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E, \partial E) \geq s$  if and only if there exist mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_s$  of  $E$ .*

Suppose that the maximal number of mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets contained in  $E$  is  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1,  $\dim \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E, \partial E) = s$ . Arguing similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be an end of a complete Riemannian manifold, whose maximal number of mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets in  $E$  is  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$ . Suppose  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_s$  are mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of  $E$ . Let  $w_i$  be an inner potential of  $\Omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Then we can construct a basis  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$  for  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E, \partial E)$  such that*

- (i)  $0 \leq w_i \leq g_i \leq 1$  on  $\Omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ;
- (ii)  $\sup_E \sum_{i=1}^s g_i = 1$ .

*In particular, for given real numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s \in \mathbf{R}$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic function  $h \in \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E, \partial E)$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_{i,n}) = a_i,$$

*where  $\{x_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a sequence in  $\Omega_i$  satisfying (3.1).*

*Conversely, each  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic function  $h \in \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E, \partial E)$  is uniquely determined by the values in (3.2).*

#### 4. Proof of main results

In this section, we give the relation between the dimension of various spaces of  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on the whole manifold and those on its ends. To begin with, we give a characterization of  $\mathcal{L}$ -parabolicity of ends in terms of  $\mathcal{L}$ -massivity as follows:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that the maximal number of mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets contained in  $M$  is  $m$ . Then we can choose mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$  in such a way that for each  $\Omega_i$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic end  $E$  such that  $\Omega_i \subset E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$  be mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of  $M$ . We claim that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , there exist an  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subset  $\Omega'_i \subset \Omega_i$  and an  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic end  $E$  such that  $\Omega'_i \subset E$ .

By Proposition 2.1,  $\Omega_i \setminus \overline{B_{r_0}}(o)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , is also  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive. Let  $w_1$  be an inner potential of  $\Omega_1 \setminus \overline{B_{r_0}}(o)$ . If an end  $E$  of  $M$  satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \Omega_1 \cap E \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega_1 \cap E} w_1(x) > 0,$$

then  $\Omega_1 \cap E$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subset of  $\Omega_1$ . In this case, other ends cannot satisfy the property (4.1). Otherwise, there is a contradiction to the maximality of the number of mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets of  $M$ . This implies that even if there is another end  $\tilde{E}$  of  $M$  with  $\Omega_1 \cap \tilde{E} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $w_1$  must be identically zero on  $\Omega_1 \cap \tilde{E}$ . Therefore,

$$\Omega'_1 = \{x \in \Omega_1 \setminus B_{r_0}(o) : w_1(x) > 0\}$$

is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subset and  $E$  becomes an  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic end, hence  $\Omega'_1$  and  $E$  are the desired ones.

Applying the above argument to other  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets  $\Omega_i$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$ , we have the claim.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove our main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* In the case that  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  is infinite dimensional, by Theorem 3.1,  $M$  can have infinitely many mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets. Then by Lemma 4.1, at least one end  $E$  of  $M$  must contain infinitely many mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets, since the number of ends of  $M$  is finite. Thus for any  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , there are mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$  of the end  $E$ . Then by Theorem 3.1, the dimension of the space of bounded  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on the end  $E$ , which vanish at its boundary  $\partial E$ , is greater than or equal to  $m$ . Since  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  is arbitrarily chosen, the function space  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E, \partial E)$  is infinite dimensional.

Conversely, in the case that the function space  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E, \partial E)$  on an end  $E$  is infinite dimensional, by Theorem 3.1, the end  $E$  has infinitely many mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets, hence so does  $M$ . By Theorem 2.2, this implies that  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  is infinite dimensional.

Suppose that the dimension of  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  is  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, we can choose mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets

$$\Omega_1^1, \Omega_2^1, \dots, \Omega_{s(1)}^1, \Omega_1^2, \Omega_2^2, \dots, \Omega_{s(2)}^2, \dots, \Omega_1^l, \Omega_2^l, \dots, \Omega_{s(l)}^l,$$

where  $\Omega_1^i, \Omega_2^i, \dots, \Omega_{s(i)}^i$  denote the mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets contained in  $E_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$  and  $s(1) + s(2) + \dots + s(l) = m$ . This implies that the maximal number of mutually disjoint  $\mathcal{L}$ -massive subsets contained in  $E_i$  is  $s(i)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Now let  $w_j^i$  be an inner potential of  $\Omega_j^i$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s(i)$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . By Theorem 2.3, we can find a basis

$$\{f_1^1, f_2^1, \dots, f_{s(1)}^1, f_1^2, f_2^2, \dots, f_{s(2)}^2, \dots, f_1^l, f_2^l, \dots, f_{s(l)}^l\}$$

for  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  such that for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s(i)$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ,

$$(i) \quad 0 \leq w_j^i \leq f_j^i \leq 1;$$

$$(ii) \sup_M \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{s(i)} f_j^i = 1.$$

Since  $\sup_{\Omega_j^i} w_j^i = 1$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_{j,n}^i\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  in  $\Omega_j^i$  such that for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s(i)$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_j^i(x_{j,n}^i) = 1$ , hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_r^k(x_{j,n}^i) = \delta_{ik} \delta_{rj}.$$

By Theorem 3.2, we can find a basis  $\{g_1^i, g_2^i, \dots, g_{s(i)}^i\}$  for  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i, \partial E_i)$  such that for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s(i)$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ,

- (i)  $0 \leq w_j^i \leq g_j^i \leq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\sup_{E_i} \sum_{j=1}^{s(i)} g_j^i = 1$ .

Since  $\sup_{\Omega_j^i} w_j^i = 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_r^i(x_{j,n}^i) = \delta_{rj}$$

for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s(i)$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .

Let  $h$  be a function in  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$ . Combining Theorem 2.3, Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.2, we can construct a unique function  $h_i$  in  $\mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i, \partial E_i)$  in such a way that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_i(x_{j,n}^i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_{j,n}^i)$$

for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s(i)$ . In fact, if  $h = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{s(i)} a_j^i f_j^i$ , then  $h_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s(i)} a_j^i g_j^i$ . Let us define  $\Phi : \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i, \partial E_i)$  by

$$\Phi(h) = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l).$$

Then by the uniqueness of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l$ , the map  $\Phi$  is well defined.

Clearly, the map  $\Phi$  is linear.

If  $h = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{s(i)} a_j^i f_j^i \in \ker \Phi$ , i.e.,  $\Phi(h) = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then

$$a_j^i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_{j,n}^i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_i(x_{j,n}^i) = 0$$

for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s(i)$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Hence  $h \equiv 0$  on  $M$ . Therefore, the map  $\Phi$  is injective.

Let  $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l) \in \prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i, \partial E_i)$ . Then we may write

$$(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s(1)} a_j^1 g_j^1, \sum_{j=1}^{s(2)} a_j^2 g_j^2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{s(l)} a_j^l g_j^l \right)$$

Let  $h = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{s(i)} a_j^i f_j^i$ . Then  $h \in \mathcal{HB}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  and  $\Phi(h) = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l)$ , i.e., the map  $\Phi$  is surjective. □

Arguing similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get the same result in the case of bounded energy finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions as follows:



**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - V$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_l$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , be  $\mathcal{L}$ -nonparabolic ends of  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{HBD}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  has the same dimension as the dimension of  $\prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HBD}_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i, \partial E_i)$ , where  $\mathcal{HBD}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{HBD}_{\mathcal{L}}(X, \partial X)$  denote the space of bounded energy finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions on  $X$  and the subspace of elements of  $\mathcal{HBD}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$  vanishing at  $\partial X$ , respectively.*

*In particular, in the case when  $\mathcal{HBD}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$  is finite dimensional, there exists an isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{HBD}_{\mathcal{L}}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HBD}_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i, \partial E_i).$$

Applying our argument to the case of harmonic functions, we have the following isomorphism between the space of bounded harmonic functions (with finite Dirichlet integral, respectively) on a complete Riemannian manifold and the Cartesian product of those on its ends:

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold with nonparabolic ends  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_l$ ,  $l \geq 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{HB}(M)$  has the same dimension as the dimension of  $\prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HB}(E_i, \partial E_i)$ , where  $\mathcal{HB}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{HB}(X, \partial X)$  denote the space of bounded harmonic functions on  $X$  and the subspace of elements of  $\mathcal{HB}(X)$  vanishing at  $\partial X$ , respectively.*

*In particular, in the case when  $\mathcal{HB}(M)$  is finite dimensional, there exists an isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{HB}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HB}(E_i, \partial E_i).$$

Also,  $\mathcal{HBD}(M)$  has the same dimension as that of  $\prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HBD}(E_i, \partial E_i)$ , where  $\mathcal{HBD}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{HBD}(X, \partial X)$  denote the space of bounded harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet integral on  $X$  and the subspace of elements of  $\mathcal{HBD}(X)$  vanishing at  $\partial X$ , respectively.

*In particular, in the case when  $\mathcal{HBD}(M)$  is finite dimensional, there exists an isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{HBD}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^l \mathcal{HBD}(E_i, \partial E_i).$$

## References

- [1] A. A. Grigor'yan, *On the set of positive solutions of the Laplace-Beltrami equation on Riemannian manifolds of a special form*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Matematika (1987), no. 2, 30–37; English transl. Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ) **31** (1987), no. 2, 48–60.
- [2] ———, *On Liouville theorems for harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet integral*, (In Russian) Matem. Sbornik **132** (1987), no. 4, 496–516; English transl. Math. USSR Sbornik **60** (1988), no. 2, 485–504.
- [3] ———, *Dimensions of spaces of harmonic functions*, Mat. Zametki **48** (1990), no. 5, 55–61; translation in Math. Notes **48** (1990), no. 5-6, 1114–1118.

- [4] A. A. Grigor'yan and W. Hansen, *Liouville property for Schrödinger operators*, Math. Ann. **312** (1998), no. 4, 659–716.
- [5] S. W. Kim and Y. H. Lee, *Generalized Liouville property for Schrödinger operator on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Z. **238** (2001), no. 2, 355–387.
- [6] ———, *Rough isometry and energy finite solutions for the Schrödinger operator on Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **133** (2003), no. 4, 855–873.
- [7] P. Li and L-F. Tam, *Positive harmonic functions on complete manifolds with nonnegative curvature outside a compact set*, Ann. of Math. (2) **125** (1987), no. 1, 171–207.
- [8] ———, *Harmonic functions and the structure of complete manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), no. 2, 359–383.
- [9] C. J. Sung, L. F. Tam and J. Wang, *Spaces of harmonic functions*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), no. 3, 789–806.
- [10] S. T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 201–228.

SEOK WOO KIM  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
KONKUK UNIVERSITY  
SEOUL 143-701, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `swkim@konkuk.ac.kr`

YONG HAH LEE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
EWHA WOMANS UNIVERSITY  
SEOUL 120-750, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `yonghah@ewha.ac.kr`