

COMMON FIXED POINT FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACES

SUSHIL SHARMA, SERVET KUTUKCU, AND R. S. RATHORE

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to obtain some common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. We extend some earlier results.

Introduction

As a generalization of fuzzy sets introduced by Zadeh [12], Atanassov [2] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Recently, using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Park [7] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t -norms and continuous t -conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric spaces due to George and Veeramani [3]. Jungck and Rhoades [4] gave more generalized concept weak compatibility then compatibility. Recently, many authors have studied fixed point theory in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces (see [1], [6], [7], [10], [11]).

In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. We extend results of Kubiacyk and Sharma [5] to intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

We begin with some definitions.

1. Preliminaries

Definition 1 ([8]). A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t -norm if $*$ is satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $*$ is commutative and associative;
- (b) $*$ is continuous;
- (c) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (d) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2 ([8]). A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t -conorm if \diamond is satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) \diamond is commutative and associative;

Received March 9, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A40, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. common fixed point, multivalued map, intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

- (b) \diamond is continuous;
- (c) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (d) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 3 ([1]). A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (shortly IFM-space) if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, \diamond is a continuous t -conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z \in X, s, t > 0$,

- (IFM-1) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$;
- (IFM-2) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$;
- (IFM-3) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (IFM-4) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$;
- (IFM-5) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t+s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;
- (IFM-6) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous;
- (IFM-7) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (IFM-8) $N(x, y, 0) = 1$;
- (IFM-9) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (IFM-10) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$;
- (IFM-11) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t+s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;
- (IFM-12) $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous;
- (IFM-13) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$;

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

Remark 1. Every fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X of the form $(X, M, 1 - M, *, \diamond)$ such that t -norm $*$ and t -conorm \diamond are associated, i.e. $x \diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y))$ for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$. But the converse is not true.

Example 1 ([7]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote $a * b = ab$ and $a \diamond b = \min\{1, a + b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let M_d and N_d be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as follows:

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \quad N_d(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x, y)}{t + d(x, y)}.$$

Then (M_d, N_d) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X . We call this intuitionistic fuzzy metric induced by a metric d the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

Remark 2. Note the above example holds even with the t -norm $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ and the t -conorm $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ and hence (M_d, N_d) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric with respect to any continuous t -norm and continuous t -conorm.

Example 2 ([7]). Let $X = \mathbb{N}$. Define $a * b = \max\{0, a + b - 1\}$ and $a \diamond b = a + b - ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let M and N be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ as

follows:

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{y} & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ \frac{y}{x} & \text{if } y \leq x, \end{cases}, \quad N(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{y-x}{y} & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ \frac{x-y}{x} & \text{if } y \leq x, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is an IFM-space.

Remark 3. Note that, in the above example, t -norm $*$ and t -conorm \diamond are not associated. And there exists no metric d on X satisfying

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \quad N(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x, y)}{t + d(x, y)},$$

where $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ are as defined in above example. Also note the above functions (M, N) is not an intuitionistic fuzzy metric with the t -norm and t -conorm defined as $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$.

Lemma 1 ([1]). *In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X , $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.*

Lemma 2. *Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$ for $x, y \in X$. Then $x = y$.*

Proof. Since $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$, then using results of Sharma [9] we have $M(x, y, t) \geq M(x, y, \frac{t}{k})$ and $N(x, y, t) \leq N(x, y, \frac{t}{k})$. By repeated application of above inequalities, we have

$$M(x, y, t) \geq M(x, y, \frac{t}{k}) \geq M(x, y, \frac{t}{k^2}) \geq \cdots \geq M(x, y, \frac{t}{k^n}) \geq \cdots$$

and

$$N(x, y, t) \leq N(x, y, \frac{t}{k}) \leq N(x, y, \frac{t}{k^2}) \leq \cdots \leq N(x, y, \frac{t}{k^n}) \leq \cdots$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which tend to 1 and 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. Thus $M(x, y, t) = 1$ and $N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$ and we get $x = y$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$(1.1) \quad M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, qt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t), \quad N(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, qt) \leq N(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof. For $t > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$M(y_2, y_3, kt) \geq M(y_1, y_2, t) \geq M(y_0, y_1, t/k) \text{ or} \\ M(y_2, y_3, t) \geq M(y_0, y_1, t/k^2)$$

and

$$N(y_2, y_3, kt) \leq N(y_1, y_2, t) \leq N(y_0, y_1, t/k) \text{ or} \\ N(y_2, y_3, t) \leq N(y_0, y_1, t/k^2).$$

By simple induction with the condition (1.1), we have for all $t > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$(1.2) \quad M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) \geq M(y_1, y_2, t/k^n), \quad N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) \leq N(y_1, y_2, t/k^n).$$

Thus by (1.2) and (IFM-5) and (IFM-11), for any positive integer p and real number $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) &\geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t/p) \overset{p\text{-times}}{* \cdots *} M(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, t/p) \\ &\geq M(y_1, y_2, t/pk^{n-1}) \overset{p\text{-times}}{* \cdots *} M(y_1, y_2, t/pk^{n+p-2}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) &\leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t/p) \overset{p\text{-times}}{* \cdots *} N(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, t/p) \\ &\leq N(y_1, y_2, t/pk^{n-1}) \overset{p\text{-times}}{* \cdots *} N(y_1, y_2, t/pk^{n+p-2}) \end{aligned}$$

which $\rightarrow 1$ and $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) = 0$. Which implies that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . This completes the proof. \square

Definition 4 ([1]). Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

(a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy sequence if for each $t > 0$ and $p > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0$.

Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converging to x in X if for each $t > 0$,

(b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$.

(Since $*$ and \diamond are continuous, the limit is uniquely determined from (IFM-5) and (IFM-11)).

(c) An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence is convergent. It is called compact if every sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

Definition 5. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Consider $I : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$. A point $z \in X$ is called a coincidence point of I and T if and only if $Iz \in Tz$.

We denote by $CB(X)$ the set of all non-empty bounded and closed subsets of X . We have

$$\begin{aligned} M^\nabla(B, y, t) &= \max\{M(b, y, t); b \in B\}, \\ N^\Delta(B, y, t) &= \min\{N(b, y, t); b \in B\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_\nabla(A, B, t) &\geq \min \left\{ \min_{a \in A} M^\nabla(a, B, t), \min_{b \in B} M^\nabla(A, b, t) \right\}, \\ N_\Delta(A, B, t) &\leq \max \left\{ \max_{a \in A} N^\Delta(a, B, t), \max_{b \in B} N^\Delta(A, b, t) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all A, B in X and $t > 0$.

2. Main results

Theorem 1. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with continuous t -norm $*$ and continuous t -conorm \diamond defined by $t * t \geq t$ and $(1-t)\diamond(1-t) \leq (1-t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $P : X \rightarrow C(X)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad M_{\nabla}(Px, Py, kt) \geq M(x, y, t), \quad N_{\Delta}(Px, Py, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 < k < 1$. Then P has a fixed point. These means that there exists a point u in X such that $u \in Pu$.

Proof. For any $x_0 \in X$, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , as follows : $x_1 \in Px_0$ such that $M(x_0, x_1, t) = M^{\nabla}(x_0, Px_0, t)$ and $N(x_0, x_1, t) = N^{\Delta}(x_0, Px_0, t)$, $x_2 \in Px_1$ such that

$$M(x_1, x_2, t) = M^{\nabla}(x_1, Px_1, t) \text{ and } N(x_1, x_2, t) = N^{\Delta}(x_1, Px_1, t).$$

Inductively, we write $x_{n+1} \in Px_n$ such that $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = M^{\nabla}(x_n, Px_n, t)$ and $N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = N^{\Delta}(x_n, Px_n, t)$.

We shall prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By (2.1), we write

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) &= M^{\nabla}(x_n, Px_n, kt) \geq M_{\nabla}(Px_{n-1}, Px_n, kt) \\ &\geq M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) &= N^{\Delta}(x_n, Px_n, kt) \leq N_{\Delta}(Px_{n-1}, Px_n, kt) \\ &\leq N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

So by Definition 4 and Lemma 3, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. As X is complete, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. We show that x is a fixed point of Px .

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\nabla}(x, Px, t) &\geq M(x, x_n, t/2) * M^{\nabla}(x_n, Px, t/2) \\ &\geq M(x, x_n, t/2) * M_{\nabla}(Px_{n-1}, Px, t/2) \\ &\geq M(x, x_n, t/2) * M(x_{n-1}, x, t/2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\Delta}(x, Px, t) &\leq N(x, x_n, t/2) \diamond N^{\Delta}(x_n, Px, t/2) \\ &\leq N(x, x_n, t/2) \diamond N_{\Delta}(Px_{n-1}, Px, t/2) \\ &\leq N(x, x_n, t/2) \diamond N(x_{n-1}, x, t/2). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we write

$$M^{\nabla}(x, Px, t) \geq 1 * 1 \geq 1 \text{ and } N^{\Delta}(x, Px, t) \leq 0 \diamond 0 \leq 0.$$

This implies that x is a fixed point of Px . □

Now we extend Theorem 1 for the sequences of mappings. We prove the following :

Theorem 2. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with continuous t -norm $*$ and continuous t -conorm \diamond defined by $t * t \geq t$ and $(1 - t)\diamond(1 - t) \leq (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $T_n : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ ($n \in N$) and continuous mapping $I : X \rightarrow X$ be such that $T_n(X) \subset I(X)$ where I commute with T_n for every $n \in N$ and there exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & M_{\nabla}(T_i x, T_j y, qt) \\ & \geq \min\{M(Ix, Iy, t), M^{\nabla}(Ix, T_i x, t), M^{\nabla}(Iy, T_j y, t), \\ & \quad M^{\nabla}(Ix, T_j y, (2 - \alpha)t), M^{\nabla}(Iy, T_i x, t)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & N_{\Delta}(T_i x, T_j y, qt) \\ & \leq \max\{N(Ix, Iy, t), N^{\Delta}(Ix, T_i x, t), N^{\Delta}(Iy, T_j y, t), \\ & \quad N^{\Delta}(Ix, T_j y, (2 - \alpha)t), N^{\Delta}(Iy, T_i x, t)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X, \alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $t > 0$ for every $i, j \in N$ ($i \neq j$). Then there exists a common coincidence point of T_n and I , i.e. there exists a point z in X such that $Iz \in \cap T_n z, n \in N$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ix_1 \in T_1 x_0, y_1 = Ix_1$ and the inequalities hold

$$M(x_0, y_1, qt) = M(x_0, Ix_1, qt) \geq M^{\nabla}(x_0, T_1 x_0, qt) - \varepsilon/2,$$

$$N(x_0, y_1, qt) = N(x_0, Ix_1, qt) \leq N^{\Delta}(x_0, T_1 x_0, qt) + \varepsilon/2$$

$x_2 \in X$ such that $Ix_2 \in T_2 x_1, y_2 = Ix_2$ and

$$M(y_1, y_2, qt) = M(Ix_1, Ix_2, qt) \geq M^{\nabla}(y_1, T_2 x_1, qt) - \varepsilon/2^2,$$

$$N(y_1, y_2, qt) = N(Ix_1, Ix_2, qt) \leq N^{\Delta}(y_1, T_2 x_1, qt) + \varepsilon/2^2.$$

Inductively, we construct a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) = M(Ix_n, Ix_{n+1}, qt) \geq M^{\nabla}(y_n, T_{n+1} x_n, qt) - \varepsilon/2^n,$$

$$N(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) = N(Ix_n, Ix_{n+1}, qt) \leq N^{\Delta}(y_n, T_{n+1} x_n, qt) + \varepsilon/2^n.$$

Now we show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By (2.2), for all $t > 0$ and $\alpha = 1 - k$ with $k \in (0, 1)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & M(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \\ & \geq M^{\nabla}(y_n, T_{n+1} x_n, qt) - \varepsilon/2^n \geq M_{\nabla}(T_n x_{n-1}, T_{n+1} x_n, qt) - \varepsilon/2^n \\ & \geq \min\{M(Ix_{n-1}, Ix_n, t), M^{\nabla}(Ix_{n-1}, T_n x_{n-1}, t), \\ & \quad M^{\nabla}(Ix_n, T_{n+1} x_n, t), M^{\nabla}(Ix_{n-1}, T_{n+1} x_n, (2 - \alpha)t), \\ & \quad M^{\nabla}(Ix_n, T_n x_{n-1}, t)\} - \varepsilon/2^n \\ & \geq \min\{M(Ix_{n-1}, Ix_n, t), M(Ix_{n-1}, Ix_n, t), M(Ix_n, Ix_{n+1}, t), \\ & \quad M(Ix_{n-1}, Ix_{n+1}, (1 + k)t), M(Ix_n, Ix_n, t)\} - \varepsilon/2^n \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& N(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \\
& \leq N^\Delta(y_n, T_{n+1}x_n, qt) + \varepsilon/2^n \leq N_\Delta(T_nx_{n-1}, T_{n+1}x_n, qt) + \varepsilon/2^n \\
& \leq \max\{N(Ix_{n-1}, Ix_n, t), N^\Delta(Ix_{n-1}, T_nx_{n-1}, t), \\
& \quad N^\Delta(Ix_n, T_{n+1}x_n, t), N^\Delta(Ix_{n-1}, T_{n+1}x_n, (2-\alpha)t), \\
& \quad N^\Delta(Ix_n, T_nx_{n-1}, t)\} + \varepsilon/2^n \\
& \leq \max\{N(Ix_{n-1}, Ix_n, t), N(Ix_{n-1}, Ix_n, t), N(Ix_n, Ix_{n+1}, t), \\
& \quad N(Ix_{n-1}, Ix_{n+1}, (1+k)t), N(Ix_n, Ix_n, t)\} + \varepsilon/2^n
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Now using (IFM-5) and (IFM-11), we write

(2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
M(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \geq \max\{M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t), \\
M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), M(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt), 1\} - \varepsilon/2^n,
\end{aligned}$$

(2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
N(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \leq \max\{N(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), N(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t), \\
N(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), N(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt), 1\} + \varepsilon/2^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since t -norm $*$, t -conorm \diamond , $M(x, y, \cdot)$ and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ are continuous, letting $k \rightarrow 1$ in (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \geq \min\{M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t)\} - \varepsilon/2^n,$$

$$N(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \leq \max\{N(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t)\} + \varepsilon/2^n$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and so, for positive integers n, p and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \geq \min\{M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t/q^p)\} - \varepsilon/2^n,$$

$$N(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \leq \max\{N(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t/q^p)\} + \varepsilon/2^n.$$

Since ε is arbitrary making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t/q^p) \rightarrow 1$ and $N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t/q^p) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t) \text{ and } N(y_n, y_{n+1}, qt) \leq N(y_{n-1}, y_n, t).$$

By Lemma 3, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, $\{y_n\}$ converges to a point z in X . Now, by (2.2) and (2.3) with $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& M^\nabla(IIx_n, T_mz, qt) \\
& \geq M_\nabla(T_nIx_{n-1}, T_mz, qt) \\
& \geq \min\{M(IIx_{n-1}, Iz, t), M^\nabla(IIx_{n-1}, T_nIx_{n-1}, t), \\
& \quad M^\nabla(Iz, T_mz, t), M^\nabla(IIx_{n-1}, T_mz, t), M^\nabla(Iz, T_nIx_{n-1}, t)\} \\
& \geq \min\{M(IIx_{n-1}, Iz, t), M(IIx_{n-1}, Ix_n, t), \\
& \quad M^\nabla(Iz, T_mz, t), M^\nabla(IIx_{n-1}, T_mz, t), M(Iz, Ix_n, t)\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& N^\Delta(IIx_n, T_m z, qt) \\
\leq & N_\Delta(T_n Ix_{n-1}, T_m z, qt) \\
\leq & \max\{N(IIx_{n-1}, Iz, t), N^\Delta(IIx_{n-1}, T_n Ix_{n-1}, t), \\
& N^\Delta(Iz, T_m z, t), N^\Delta(IIx_{n-1}, T_m z, t), N^\Delta(Iz, T_n Ix_{n-1}, t)\} \\
\leq & \max\{N(IIx_{n-1}, Iz, t), N(IIx_{n-1}, IIx_n, t), \\
& N^\Delta(Iz, T_m z, t), N^\Delta(IIx_{n-1}, T_m z, t), N(Iz, IIx_n, t)\}
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(IIx_{n-1}, Iz, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(IIx_{n-1}, IIx_n, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(IIx_{n-1}, Iz, t) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(IIx_{n-1}, IIx_n, t) = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^\nabla(IIx_{n-1}, T_m z, t) = M^\nabla(Iz, T_m z, t),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N^\Delta(IIx_{n-1}, T_m z, t) = N^\Delta(Iz, T_m z, t).$$

Hence for any $m \in N$, we write

$$M^\nabla(Iz, T_m z, qt) \geq M^\nabla(Iz, T_m z, t) \text{ and } N^\Delta(Iz, T_m z, qt) \leq N^\Delta(Iz, T_m z, t).$$

This implies by Lemma 2, that $Iz \in T_m z$ and therefore $Iz \in \bigcap T_n z$ for $n \in N$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] C. Alaca, D. Turkoglu, and C. Yildiz, *Fixed point in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **29** (2006), 1073–1078.
- [2] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **20** (1986), 87–96.
- [3] A. George and P. Veeramani, *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy sets and Systems **64** (1994), 395–399.
- [4] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, *Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity*, Ind. J. Pure & Appl. Math. **29** (1998), no. 3, 227–238.
- [5] I. Kubiaczyk and S. Sharma, *Common fixed point in fuzzy metric space*, J. Fuzzy Math. (to appear).
- [6] S. Kutukcu, *A common fixed point theorem for a sequence of self maps in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **21** (2006), no. 4, 679–687.
- [7] J. H. Park, *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **22** (2004), 1039–1046.
- [8] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 313–334.
- [9] S. Sharma, *On fuzzy metric space*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **6** (2002), no. 1, 145–157.
- [10] D. Turkoglu, C. Alaca, and C. Yildiz, *Compatible maps and compatible maps of type (α) and (β) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Demonstratio Math. **39** (2006), no. 3, 671–684.
- [11] D. Turkoglu, C. Alaca, Y. J. Cho, and C. Yildiz, *Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, J. Appl. Math. & Computing **22** (2006), no. 1-2, 411–424.
- [12] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control **8** (1965), 338–353.

SUSHIL SHARMA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MADHAV VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYALAYA VIKRAM UNIVERSITY
UJJAIN-456010, INDIA
E-mail address: sksharma2005@yahoo.com

SERVET KUTUKCU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS
ONDOKUZ MAYIS UNIVERSITY
55139 KURUPELIT, SAMSUN, TURKEY
E-mail address: skutukcu@yahoo.com

R. S. RATHORE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
GOVT. GIRLS P.G. COLLEGE
UJJAIN-456010, INDIA
E-mail address: rsrathore@lycos.com