

## CARATHÉODORY FINITELY COMPACTNESS OF THE BOUNDED ATTRACTING BASIN OF THE ORIGIN

SUNG-HEE PARK

**Abstract.** We prove that the bounded attracting basin of the origin for a complex homogeneous polynomial of degree larger than two is Carathéodory finitely compact.

### 1. Introduction

For a bounded pseudoconvex balanced domain  $D = D_h \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with Minkowski function  $h$ , it is a well known problem to characterize the Carathéodory, the Bergman or the Kobayashi completeness of  $D$  via properties of  $h$ : see e.g. [10], [5]. But this problem does not yet understand completely. In 1983 T. Barth proved that if  $D = D_h$  is a bounded pseudoconvex balanced domain which is Kobayashi complete, then its Minkowski function  $h$  is necessarily continuous (see [1]). In 1991 M. Jarnicki and P. Pflug proved that for  $n \geq 3$ , there is a bounded balanced domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with continuous Minkowski function that is not Kobayashi complete (see [4], [9]). In 2000 M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, and W. Zwonek showed that any bounded pseudoconvex balanced domain is Bergman complete (see [6]).

In this paper, we shall recall the definition and some results of attracting basin  $\mathcal{A}_F$  of the origin for a non-degenerate complex homogeneous polynomial  $F$  of degree  $\geq 2$ , an example of balanced domains, which

---

Received May. 10, 2007. Accepted Jun. 8, 2007.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 32F45, 32A07.

**Key words and phrases:** balanced domain, Carathéodory finitely compact, basin of attraction.

was already studied by T. Ueda [11]. We shall give a characterization of hyperbolicity of  $\mathcal{A}_F$  and prove that if  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is bounded, then it is also Carathéodory finitely compact.

## 2. Preliminaries

Before we state the result of the paper, let us recall the notation and definitions we shall need.

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  be domains. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(G, \Omega)$  the set of all holomorphic maps from  $G$  to  $\Omega$  with the compact open topology,  $\mathcal{O}(G) := \mathcal{O}(G, \mathbb{C})$ , and by  $\mathcal{H}^\infty(G)$  the family of all bounded holomorphic functions on  $G$ .

Let us recall that  $G$  is pseudoconvex iff it is  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -convex, that is, the set

$$\widehat{K}^{\mathcal{O}(G)} := \left\{ z \in G : |f(z)| \leq \sup_{w \in K} |f(w)|, \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(G) \right\}$$

is compact for every compact set  $K \subset G$ . We put  $\mathcal{H}_p^\infty(G) := \{f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(G) : f(p) = 0\}$  and say that  $G$  is  $\mathcal{H}_p^\infty(G)$ -convex whenever

$$\widehat{K}^{\mathcal{H}_p^\infty(G)} := \left\{ z \in G : |f(z)| \leq \sup_{w \in K} |f(w)|, \forall f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(G), f(p) = 0 \right\}$$

is compact for every compact set  $K \subset G$ .

By  $E$  we denote the open unit disc in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e.,  $E = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$ . A set  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is called *balanced* whenever  $\bar{E} \cdot D = D$ , i.e.,  $\lambda z \in D$  for any  $z \in D$  and  $\lambda \in \bar{E}$ . For a balanced domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  there exists a unique function  $h \equiv h_D$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that:

- $h$  is upper semicontinuous on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,
- $h$  is absolutely homogeneous on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e.  $h(\lambda z) = |\lambda|h(z)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,
- $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : h(z) < 1\} =: D_h$ .

Namely, such a function  $h = h_D : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called the *Minkowski function of  $D$* , which is designated by

$$h_D(z) := \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \frac{z}{\alpha} \in D \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Note that  $D = D_h$  does not contain a complex line through  $\mathfrak{o}$  iff  $h^{-1}(0) = \{\mathfrak{o}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{o} \equiv \mathfrak{o}_n := (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . It is known that  $D = D_h$  is pseudocovex iff  $\log h \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  iff  $h \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , where  $\mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is the set of all plurisubharmonic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (cf. [3]).

We say that a domain  $G$  is:

- *Carathéodory finitely compact* if any Carathéodory ball with finite radius is relatively compact (in the Euclidean topology) inside  $G$ ;
- *taut* if  $\mathcal{O}(E, G)$  is a *normal family*;
- *hyperconvex* if there exists a continuous *bounded plurisubharmonic exhaustion function* on  $G$ .

Recall that the following implications are well known:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Carathéodory finitely compact} &\implies \text{hyperconvex} \\ &\implies \text{taut} \implies \text{pseudoconvex.} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, a balanced domain  $D = D_h \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is hyperconvex iff it is taut iff it is bounded and  $h \in (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{PSH})(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . These properties can be found in e.g. [1], [7], [4], [9].

Now let us recall some known results that will be needed in the sequel.

**Theorem 2.1.** ([2]) *Any bounded domain  $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  which is  $\mathcal{H}_p^\infty(G)$ -convex for some  $p \in G$  is hyperconvex.*

**Theorem 2.2.** ([8]) *A bounded balanced domain  $D = D_h \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is Carathéodory finitely compact iff it is  $\mathcal{H}_\circ^\infty(D)$ -convex and  $h \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .*

### 3. Main results

In this section, unless otherwise stated, we shall use the following notations: Let  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$ , i.e.  $F(\lambda z_1, \dots, \lambda z_n) = \lambda^d F(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Put  $F^1 := F$  and for any  $m \geq 2$  we write  $F^m := F \circ F^{m-1}$ . Now we define a set

$$\mathcal{A}_F := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F^m(z) = \mathbf{o} \right\}.$$

We call it by the *basin of attraction at the origin* (or the *attracting basin at the origin*)

Now we will represent some basic properties of the attracting basin  $\mathcal{A}_F$  at  $\mathbf{o}$  with respect to a homogeneous complex polynomial  $F$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_F$ , it follows immediately that  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is a balanced domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . From now on, we will denote the *Minkowski function* of  $\mathcal{A}_F$  by  $h_F$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** ([11]) *We have  $h_F(F(z)) = [h_F(z)]^d$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $0 < r < (2M)^{-1/(d-1)}$ , where  $M := \sup\{\|F(z)\| : \|z\| = 1\}$ , then we have:*

- (a)  $\|F(z)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|$  whenever  $\|z\| < r$ .
- (b)  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is pseudoconvex, i.e.  $\log h_F \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

The following result gives a characterization of the Minkowski function  $h_F$  of  $\mathcal{A}_F$  via the homogeneous complex polynomial  $F$  of degree larger than two.

**Proposition 3.2.** ([11]) *The function  $\Phi$  defined by*

$$\Phi(z) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d^{-j} \log \|F^j(z)\| \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}^n)$$

*is plurisubharmonic on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Phi(z) = \log h_F(z)$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .*

Next, it turns out that there is the following full characterization of hyperbolic basin of attraction at the origin, namely:

**Lemma 3.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $F$  is non-degenerate, i.e.,  $F^{-1}(\mathfrak{o}) = \{\mathfrak{o}\}$ .
- (b)  $\liminf_{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \|F(z)\|/\|z\|^d > 0$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is bounded.
- (d)  $\mathcal{A}_F$  does not contain complex lines through the origin.
- (e)  $h_F^{-1}(0) = \{\mathfrak{o}\}$ .

Thus, all notions of hyperbolicity announced in Remark 7.1.1 of [5] coincide in the class of attracting basin at the origin.

**Proof.** Note that the implications (c)  $\implies$  (d)  $\implies$  (e) are obvious.

(a)  $\implies$  (b): If  $F$  is nondegenerate, then

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{\|F(z)\|}{\|z\|^d} : z \in \mathbb{C}^n, z \neq \mathfrak{o} \right\} = \inf \{ \|F(z)\| : z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|z\| = 1 \} > 0,$$

so we obtain (b).

(b)  $\implies$  (c): Put  $m := \liminf_{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \|F(z)\|/\|z\|^d > 0$ . For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, m/2)$ , there exists  $R > (4/m)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$  such that  $\|F(z)\|/\|z\|^d > m - \varepsilon > m/2$  for any  $\|z\| > R$ . That is, for any  $\|z\| > R$  one has

$$\|F(z)\| \geq \frac{m}{2} \|z\|^d = 2\|z\| \left( \frac{m}{4} \|z\|^{d-1} \right) > 2\|z\| \left( \frac{m}{4} R^{d-1} \right) \geq 2\|z\|,$$

which implies that  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| > R\} \cap \mathcal{A}_F = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

(e)  $\implies$  (a): Suppose the contrary. Let  $z_0 \in F^{-1}(\mathfrak{o}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{o}\}$ . Then one has  $0 = h_F(F(z_0)) = [h_F(z_0)]^d$ , so  $z_0 \in h_F^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\mathfrak{o}\}$ , i.e.  $h_F^{-1}(0) \neq \{\mathfrak{o}\}$ .  $\square$

Recall that

**Theorem 3.4.** ([11]) *If  $F$  is non-degenerate, then  $h_F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , so  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is hyperconvex (also taut).*

Using Theorem 2.1, we are also able to reprove the previous result. For this we need the following fact.

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $F$  is non-degenerate, then the balanced domain  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}_F$  is  $\mathcal{H}_\circ^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ -convex.*

**Proof.** Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  is bounded by Lemma 3.3. Now, assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not  $\mathcal{H}_o^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ -convex. Then there is a compact set  $K \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\widehat{K}^{\mathcal{H}_o^\infty(\mathcal{A})} \cap \partial\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ , that is, there are a sequence  $(z^\nu)_{\nu \geq 1}$  in  $\widehat{K}^{\mathcal{H}_o^\infty(\mathcal{A})}$  and a point  $z^* \in \partial\mathcal{A}$  such that  $z^\nu \rightarrow z^*$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $j \geq 1$ . Observe that  $F^j(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $F^j(o) = o$ . If  $j \geq 1$  and we write  $F^j =: (F_{j,1}, \dots, F_{j,n})$ , one has  $F_{j,\mu} \in \mathcal{H}_o^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  for any  $\mu = 1, \dots, n$ , and also

$$|F_{j,\mu}(z^\nu)| \leq \sup_{w \in K} |F_{j,\mu}(w)| \leq \sup_{w \in K} \|F^j(w)\|, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $0 < r < (2M)^{-1/(d-1)}$ , where  $M := \sup\{\|F(z)\| : \|z\| = 1\}$ . By (a) of Proposition 3.1, we can take a number  $j(K) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu \in \{1, \dots, 2\}$  one has

$$|F_{j,\mu}(z^\nu)| < \frac{r}{2\sqrt{n}}, \quad j \geq j(K).$$

Therefore, for every  $j \geq j(K)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^j(z^*)\| &= \left\| F^j \left( \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} z^\nu \right) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|F^j(z^\nu)\| = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^n |F_{j,\mu}(z^\nu)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < r. \end{aligned}$$

Using again (a) of Proposition 3.1, we have that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|F^j(z^*)\| = 0$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that  $z^* \in \partial\mathcal{A}$ . □

Combining Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 2.1 we get

**Corollary 3.6.** *If  $F$  is non-degenerate, then  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is a bounded hyperconvex domain, in particular,  $h_F$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

Moreover, in the view of Theorem 2.2, we obtain

**Corollary 3.7.** *The bounded basin of attraction of the origin for a complex homogeneous polynomial of degree  $\geq 2$  is Carathéodory finitely complete.*

## References

- [1] T. J. Barth, *The Kobayashi indicatrix at the center of a circular domain*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983) 527-530
- [2] G. Berg, *Hyperconvexity and the Carathéodory metric*, Arch. Math. **32** (1979) 189-191
- [3] P. Jakóbczak & M. Jarnicki, *Lectures on holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, PS File at '<http://www.im.uj.edu.pl/~jarnicki/mjp.htm>', 2001.
- [4] M. Jarnicki & P. Pflug, *A counterexample for Kobayashi completeness of balanced domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 973-978.
- [5] M. Jarnicki & P. Pflug, *Invariant Distances and Metrics in Complex Analysis*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 9, Walter de Gruyter 1993.
- [6] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, & W. Zwonek *On Bergman completeness of non-hyperconvex domains*, Univ. Iag. Acta Math. **38** (2000), 169-184.
- [7] N. Kerzman & J.-P. Rosay, *Fonctions plurisousharmoniques d'exhaustion bornées et domaines taut*, Math. Ann. **257** (1981), 171-184.
- [8] V. Z. Khristov, *A sufficient condition for Carathéodory finite compactness of bounded complete circular domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **42** (3) (1989), 9-11.
- [9] S.-H. Park, *Tautness and Kobayashi hyperbolciity*, doctor theses, University of Oldenburg (2003).
- [10] P. Pflug, *Invariant metrics and completeness*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2) (2000), 269-284.
- [11] T. Ueda, *Fatou sets in complex dynamics on projective spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **46** (1994), 545-555.

Department of Mathematical Education,  
 Jeonju University,  
 Jeonju, Jeonbuk 560-759, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: wshpark@jj.ac.kr