

ON THE STABILITY OF A GENERALIZED ADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION II

JUNG-RYE LEE*, TAE-KEUG LEE** AND DONG-YUN SHIN***

ABSTRACT. For an odd mapping, we study a generalized additive functional equation in Banach spaces and Banach modules over a C^* -algebra. And we obtain generalized solutions of a generalized additive functional equation and so generalize the Cauchy–Rassias stability.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940 S. M. Ulam [13] raised the stability problem: “Give conditions in order for a linear mapping near an approximately linear mapping to exist”. In 1941 D. H. Hyers [4] solved this stability problem in real Banach spaces and a number of mathematicians obtained substantial generalizations concerning the stability of functional equations [1, 11, 12]. The following theorem which is called the Cauchy–Rassias stability is a generalized solution to the stability problem:

Theorem A. For two real Banach spaces X, Y , let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$. Assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \geq 0$ with $p \neq 1$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{|2^p - 2|} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Received by the editors February 21, 2007 and, in revised form May 1, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B48, 39B52, 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Banach space, Cauchy–Rassias stability, generalized additive functional equation, generalized additive mapping, Banach module over a C^* -algebra.

***Corresponding author, supported by UOS 2006.

Actually, the above Cauchy–Rassias stability in real Banach spaces was obtained by D. H. Hyers [4] for the case $p = 0$, by Th. M. Rassias [11] for the case $p \in (0, 1)$, and by Z. Gajda [1] for the case $p > 1$. In particular, Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [12] gave an example to show that it does not occur for the case $p = 1$.

Furthermore, P. Găvruta [2] obtained a generalization of Theorem A :

For an abelian group G and a Banach space Y , let $f : G \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y) < \infty,$$

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : G \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$$

for all $x \in G$.

C. Park studied the Cauchy–Rassias stability in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra in [3, 7, 8, 9, 10]. J. Lee and D. Shin [6] also investigated the Cauchy–Rassias stability in Banach spaces and Banach modules over a C^* -algebra and so obtained generalizations of it.

Throughout this paper, we assume that r is a positive rational number and that d, l are integers with $1 < l < \frac{d}{2}$. We let k be a positive integer and m a positive integer with $m \leq d$ and we note that ${}_d C_l := \frac{d!}{l!(d-l)!}$.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ an odd mapping. The main purpose of this paper is to solve the following functional equation which is called a *generalized additive functional equation* in Banach spaces

$$\begin{aligned} & r f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j}{r} \right) + \sum_{\substack{\iota(j)=0,1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^d \iota(j)=l}} r f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d (-1)^{\iota(j)} x_j}{r} \right) \\ (1.1) \quad & = ({}_{d-1} C_l - {}_{d-1} C_{l-1} + 1) \sum_{j=1}^d f(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$. The solution of the functional equation (1.1) is called a *generalized additive mapping*.

In [6] we obtained generalizations of the Cauchy–Rassias stability of (1.1). Especially, for the cases $r > k$ and $p > 1$, or $r < k$ and $0 < p < 1$ we obtained the

Cauchy–Rassias stability of (1.1) in [6]. In this paper, we obtain another generalizations of the Cauchy–Rassias stability of (1.1) and the Cauchy–Rassias stability for another cases $r < k$ and $p > 1$, or $r > k$ and $0 < p < 1$. For our proof, in [6] we assumed the existence of a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^j}{k^j} \varphi \left(\frac{k^j}{r^j} x_1, \dots, \frac{k^j}{r^j} x_d \right) < \infty,$$

but in this paper we assume the existence of a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{r^i} \varphi \left(\frac{r^i}{k^i} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^i}{k^i} x_d \right) < \infty.$$

Furthermore, we consider a non-negative integer s with $s < \frac{k}{2}$ and generalize the Cauchy–Rassias stability of (1.1) :

For an odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, if there exist a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and a constant $\alpha \geq 0$ satisfying certain conditions and

$$\left\| r f \left(\frac{k-2s}{r} x \right) - (k-2s) f(x) \right\| \leq \alpha \varphi(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}})$$

for all $x \in X$, then there exists a unique generalized additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{k-2s} \tilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}})$$

for all $x \in X$.

On the other hand, we investigate the Cauchy–Rassias stability of a generalized additive functional equation in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with unitary group $\mathcal{U}(A)$ and X and Y left Banach modules over A . We consider an odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and the following generalized additive functional equation in Banach modules over A

$$\begin{aligned} & r f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d u x_j}{r} \right) + \sum_{\substack{\iota(j)=0,1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^d \iota(j)=l}} r f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d (-1)^{\iota(j)} u x_j}{r} \right) \\ (1.2) \quad & = ({}_{d-1}C_l - {}_{d-1}C_{l-1} + 1) \sum_{j=1}^d u f(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$.

In fact, Park [9, 10] obtained results for several special cases in (1.2) and we obtained generalized solutions of (1.2) in [6]. In this paper, we obtain another

generalizations of the Cauchy–Rassias stability of (1.2) which contain C. Park’s results.

2. GENERALIZED ADDITIVE MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES

In this section, let X and Y be Banach spaces. For a given mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we define Df by the following :

$$Df(x_1, \dots, x_d) := rf \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j}{r} \right) + \sum_{\substack{\iota(j)=0,1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^d \iota(j)=l}} rf \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d (-1)^{\iota(j)} x_j}{r} \right) - (d-1 C_l - d-1 C_{l-1} + 1) \sum_{j=1}^d f(x_j)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$.

First of all, we give sufficient conditions for an odd mapping to be additive.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying*

$$rf \left(\frac{k}{r} x \right) = kf(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{r^i} \varphi \left(\frac{r^i}{k^i} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^i}{k^i} x_d \right) < \infty,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$. Then f is additive.

Proof. For an odd mapping f satisfying $rf \left(\frac{k}{r} x \right) = kf(x)$, if we replace $\frac{k}{r} x$ by x then we get $kf \left(\frac{r}{k} x \right) = rf(x)$ and so $\frac{k^n}{r^n} f \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) = f(x)$ for all positive integer n and all $x \in X$. So by the definition of Df and (2.2), we have

$$\frac{k^n}{r^n} Df \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n} x_d \right) = Df(x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

and

$$\frac{k^n}{r^n} \left\| Df \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n} x_d \right) \right\| \leq \frac{k^n}{r^n} \varphi \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n} x_d \right)$$

for all positive integer n and all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$. From (2.1), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n}{r^n} \varphi \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n} x_d \right) = 0$$

and so it is straightforward to see that $Df(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$. Therefore, f is additive by [6, Lemma 2.1]. \square

Now we want to change the condition

$$rf\left(\frac{k}{r}x\right) = kf(x)$$

for all $x \in X$ in Proposition 2.1. In the following theorem, we remark that m and k can be different positive integers.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping. Assume that there exist a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) and a constant $\alpha \geq 0$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| rf\left(\frac{k}{r}x\right) - kf(x) \right\| \leq \alpha \varphi(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}})$$

for all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{k} \tilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}})$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. If we replace $\frac{k}{r}x$ by x and divide by r in (2.3), then we get

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{k}{r}f\left(\frac{r}{k}x\right) \right\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{r} \varphi(\underbrace{\frac{r}{k}x, \dots, \frac{r}{k}x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}})$$

for all $x \in X$. If we replace x by $\frac{r^n}{k^n}x$ in the above inequality, then we get

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{r^n}{k^n}x\right) - \frac{k}{r}f\left(\frac{r^{n+1}}{k^{n+1}}x\right) \right\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{r} \varphi\left(\underbrace{\frac{r^{n+1}}{k^{n+1}}x, \dots, \frac{r^{n+1}}{k^{n+1}}x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}}\right)$$

If we multiply by $\frac{k^n}{r^n}$ in the above inequality, then we get

$$\left\| \frac{k^n}{r^n}f\left(\frac{r^n}{k^n}x\right) - \frac{k^{n+1}}{r^{n+1}}f\left(\frac{r^{n+1}}{k^{n+1}}x\right) \right\| \leq \frac{\alpha k^n}{r r^n} \varphi\left(\underbrace{\frac{r^{n+1}}{k^{n+1}}x, \dots, \frac{r^{n+1}}{k^{n+1}}x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers n . From the above inequality, we have

$$\left\| \frac{k^q}{r^q} f \left(\frac{r^q}{k^q} x \right) - \frac{k^n}{r^n} f \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) \right\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{k} \sum_{i=q+1}^n \frac{k^i}{r^i} \varphi \left(\underbrace{\frac{r^i}{k^i} x, \dots, \frac{r^i}{k^i} x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}} \right)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all non negative integers q, n with $q < n$. This shows that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{k^n}{r^n} f \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{k^n}{r^n} f \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) \right\}$ converges for all $x \in X$. So we can define a mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$L(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n}{r^n} f \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we have $L(-x) = -L(x)$ for all $x \in X$, which means that L is an odd mapping. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|DL(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n}{r^n} \left\| Df \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n} x_d \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n}{r^n} \varphi \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n} x_d \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$ and so L is additive by [6, Lemma 2.1].

In order to prove that L satisfies (2.4), if we put $q = 0$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - L(x)\| &\leq \frac{\alpha}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{r^i} \varphi \left(\underbrace{\frac{r^i}{k^i} x, \dots, \frac{r^i}{k^i} x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{k} \tilde{\varphi} \left(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Now to prove the uniqueness of L , let $L' : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (2.4). Since L and L' are additive, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(x) - L'(x)\| &= \frac{k^n}{r^n} \left\| L \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) - L' \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{r^n} \left(\left\| L \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) - f \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) \right\| + \left\| L' \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) - f \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x \right) \right\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2\frac{\alpha}{k} \frac{k^n}{r^n} \tilde{\varphi} \left(\underbrace{\frac{r^n}{k^n}x, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n}x}_m, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m} \right) \\ &= 2\frac{\alpha}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{n+i}}{r^{n+i}} \varphi \left(\underbrace{\frac{r^{n+i}}{k^{n+i}}x, \dots, \frac{r^{n+i}}{k^{n+i}}x}_m, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$ by (2.1). Consequently, L is a unique additive mapping satisfying (2.4), as desired. \square

If $\alpha = 0$ in the above theorem, then Theorem 2.2 becomes Proposition 2.1. On the other hand, we obtained the Cauchy–Rassias stability for the cases $r > k$ and $p > 1$, or $r < k$ and $0 < p < 1$ in [6, Corollary 2.4]. Here we prove the following corollary which is the Cauchy–Rassias stability for different cases from [6, Corollary 2.4].

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping. When $r < k$ and $p > 1$, or $r > k$ and $0 < p < 1$, assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| &\leq \theta \sum_{j=1}^d \|x_j\|^p, \\ \left\| rf\left(\frac{k}{r}x\right) - kf(x) \right\| &\leq \alpha\theta m \|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$ and all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\alpha\theta m}{k} \frac{r^{p-1}}{k^{p-1} - r^{p-1}} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \theta \sum_{j=1}^d \|x_j\|^p$. When $r < k$ and $p > 1$, or $r > k$ and $0 < p < 1$, since $0 < \left(\frac{r}{k}\right)^{p-1} < 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_d) &:= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{r^i} \varphi \left(\frac{r^i}{k^i}x_1, \dots, \frac{r^i}{k^i}x_d \right) \\ &= \frac{\theta r^{p-1}}{k^{p-1} - r^{p-1}} \sum_{j=1}^d \|x_j\|^p \end{aligned}$$

By applying Theorem 2.2, there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - L(x)\| &\leq \frac{\alpha}{k} \tilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}}) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha \theta m}{k} \frac{r^{p-1}}{k^{p-1} - r^{p-1}} \|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. □

From now on, we denote the greatest integer less than or equal to a real number β by $[\beta]$. We now introduce the following equation in [6, Lemma 2.5]. For an odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, under the definition of Df we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad Df(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-k \text{ times}}) &= ({}_{d-k}C_l - {}_{d-k}C_{l-k} + 1) \left(rf\left(\frac{kx}{r}\right) - kf(x) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^{[\frac{k-1}{2}]} k C_t ({}_{d-k}C_{l-t} - {}_{d-k}C_{l+t-k}) \left(rf\left(\frac{k-2t}{r}x\right) - (k-2t)f(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$.

The following corollary is an application of Theorem 2.2. Since the proof of the following is clear by Theorem 2.2 and (2.5), we omit it.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying*

$$rf\left(\frac{k-2t}{r}x\right) = (k-2t)f(x)$$

for all $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$ and all $x \in X$. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{k({}_{d-k}C_l - {}_{d-k}C_{l-k} + 1)} \tilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-k \text{ times}})$$

for all $x \in X$.

Now we are ready to generalize Theorem 2.2. Here we consider a given integer $s \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping. Assume that there exist a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.2) and a constant $\alpha \geq 0$ such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k-2s)^i}{r^i} \varphi \left(\frac{r^i}{(k-2s)^i} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^i}{(k-2s)^i} x_d \right) < \infty,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| r f \left(\frac{k-2s}{r} x \right) - (k-2s)f(x) \right\| \leq \alpha \underbrace{\varphi(x, \dots, x)}_{m \text{ times}} \underbrace{\varphi(0, \dots, 0)}_{d-m \text{ times}}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$ and all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{k-2s} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \dots, x)}_{m \text{ times}} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(0, \dots, 0)}_{d-m \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Since our proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2 when we replace k by $k-2s$, we omit the details. □

We remark that when $s = 0$, Theorem 2.5 is the same as Theorem 2.2. Finally, we complete our generalizations of the Cauchy–Rassias stability of a generalized additive functional equation by giving the following result which is complementary cases of Corollary 2.4.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$ and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying*

$$r f \left(\frac{k-2t}{r} x \right) = (k-2t)f(x)$$

for all $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$ with $t \neq s$ and all $x \in X$. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.2), then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{(k-2s)_k C_s (d-k) C_{l-s} \dots C_{l+s-k}} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \dots, x)}_{k \text{ times}} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(0, \dots, 0)}_{d-k \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. For a given $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$, since an odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$r f \left(\frac{k-2t}{r} x \right) = (k-2t)f(x)$$

for all $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$ with $t \neq s$ and all $x \in X$, equation (2.5) becomes the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Df(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-k \text{ times}}) \\
 &= {}_k C_s ({}_{d-k} C_{l-s} - {}_{d-k} C_{l+s-k}) \left(r f \left(\frac{k-2s}{r} x \right) - (k-2s)f(x) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So if there exists a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.2), then we have $\alpha^{-1} = {}_k C_s ({}_{d-k} C_{l-s} - {}_{d-k} C_{l+s-k})$ and $m = k$ in (2.7). Thus, Theorem 2.5 gives the proof. □

3. GENERALIZED ADDITIVE MAPPINGS IN BANACH MODULES OVER A C^* -ALGEBRA

In this section, we solve a generalized additive functional equation (1.2) in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra. Throughout this section, let X and Y be left Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra A with unitary group $\mathcal{U}(A)$. For a given mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, we define $D_u f$ by the following :

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_u f(x_1, \dots, x_d) := & r f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d u x_j}{r} \right) + \sum_{\substack{\iota(j)=0,1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^d \iota(j)=1}} r f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d (-1)^{\iota(j)} u x_j}{r} \right) \\
 & - ({}_{d-1} C_l - {}_{d-1} C_{l-1} + 1) \sum_{j=1}^d u f(x_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$.

In the following proposition, we give sufficient conditions for an odd mapping to be A -linear additive.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying*

$$r f \left(\frac{k}{r} x \right) = k f(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{r^i} \varphi \left(\frac{r^i}{k^i} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^i}{k^i} x_d \right) < \infty,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \|D_u f(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$, then f is A -linear additive.

Proof. For an odd mapping f satisfying $rf\left(\frac{k}{r}x\right) = kf(x)$, if we replace $\frac{k}{r}x$ by x then we get $kf\left(\frac{r}{k}x\right) = rf(x)$ and so $\frac{k^n}{r^n}f\left(\frac{r^n}{k^n}x\right) = f(x)$ for all positive integer n and all $x \in X$. So by the definition of $D_u f$ and (3.2), we have

$$\frac{k^n}{r^n}D_u f\left(\frac{r^n}{k^n}x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n}x_d\right) = D_u f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

and

$$\frac{k^n}{r^n} \left\| D_u f\left(\frac{r^n}{k^n}x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n}x_d\right) \right\| \leq \frac{k^n}{r^n} \varphi\left(\frac{r^n}{k^n}x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n}x_d\right)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$. On the other hand, from (3.1) we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n}{r^n} \varphi\left(\frac{r^n}{k^n}x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n}x_d\right) = 0$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$. Thus, we conclude that $D_u f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$ and so f is A -linear additive by [6, Lemma 3.1]. \square

In order to obtain generalizations of the Cauchy–Rassias stability in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra, we change the condition

$$rf\left(\frac{k}{r}x\right) = kf(x)$$

for all $x \in X$ in Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping. Assume that there exist a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) and a constant $\alpha \geq 0$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \left\| rf\left(\frac{k}{r}x\right) - kf(x) \right\| \leq \alpha \varphi(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}})$$

all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique A -linear additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$(3.4) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{k} \tilde{\varphi}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-m \text{ times}})$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. By the same reasoning as the proof of Theorem 2.2, there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$L(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n}{r^n} f\left(\frac{r^n}{k^n}x\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

From (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_u L(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n}{r^n} \left\| D_u f \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n} x_d \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^n}{r^n} \varphi \left(\frac{r^n}{k^n} x_1, \dots, \frac{r^n}{k^n} x_d \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $D_u L(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$. Therefore, L is A -linear generalized additive by [6, Lemma 3.1]. \square

The following corollary is the Cauchy–Rassias stability for the cases $r < k$ and $p > 1$, or $r > k$ and $0 < p < 1$ which contains several results in [9,10]. By applying Theorem 3.2, since the proof of the following is similar to that of Corollary 2.3, we omit the details.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping. When $r < k$ and $p > 1$, or $r > k$ and $0 < p < 1$, assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_u f(x_1, \dots, x_d)\| &\leq \theta \sum_{j=1}^d \|x_j\|^p, \\ \left\| r f \left(\frac{k}{r} x \right) - k f(x) \right\| &\leq \alpha \theta m \|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$, and all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique A -linear additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\alpha \theta m}{k} \frac{r^{p-1}}{k^{p-1} - r^{p-1}} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

On the other hand, we are ready to generalize the Cauchy–Rassias stability of a generalized additive functional equation in Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra. At first, we introduce the following equation in [6, Lemma 3.5] to apply and generalize Theorem 3.2. For an odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, under the definition of $D_u f$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad D_u f(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-k \text{ times}}) &= ({}_{d-k}C_l - {}_{d-k}C_{l-k} + 1) \left(r f \left(\frac{kux}{r} \right) - kuf(x) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} k C_t ({}_{d-k}C_{l-t} - {}_{d-k}C_{l+t-k}) \left(r f \left(\frac{k-2t}{r} ux \right) - (k-2t)uf(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and all $x \in X$.

Here we give a generalization of the Cauchy–Rassias stability of (1.2).

Corollary 3.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying*

$$rf\left(\frac{k-2t}{r}x\right) = (k-2t)f(x)$$

for all $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$ and all $x \in X$. Assume that there is a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). Then there exists a unique A -linear additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{k(d-k)C_l - d-k C_{l-k} + 1} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \dots, x)}_{k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-k \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Since an odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$rf\left(\frac{k-2t}{r}x\right) = (k-2t)f(x)$$

for all $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$ and all $x \in X$, by (3.5) we get

$$D_1 f(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{d-k \text{ times}}) = (d-k)C_l - d-k C_{l-k} + 1 \left(rf\left(\frac{kx}{r}\right) - kf(x) \right)$$

for all $x \in X$. So, if there is a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), then Theorem 3.2 gives the proof. □

Remark 3.5. If we let $k = 2$, then the condition in Corollary 3.4 is satisfied automatically and so there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(d-2)C_l - d-2 C_{l-2} + 1} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{d-2 \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore, Corollary 3.4 is a generalization of [10, Theorem 3.3]. On the other hand, if $r = 1$ and $k = 3$ in Corollary 3.4 then we obtain [9, Theorem 3.3].

Now we consider an integer $s \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$. We obtain the following result which is a generalization of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping. Assume that there exist a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.2) and a constant $\alpha \geq 0$ such that*

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k-2s)^i}{r^i} \varphi\left(\frac{r^i}{(k-2s)^i}x_1, \dots, \frac{r^i}{(k-2s)^i}x_d\right) < \infty,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| rf \left(\frac{k-2s}{r}x \right) - (k-2s)f(x) \right\| \leq \alpha \underbrace{\varphi(x, \dots, x)}_{m \text{ times}} \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{d-m \text{ times}}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_d \in X$ and all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique A -linear additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$(3.8) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{k-2s} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \dots, x)}_{m \text{ times}} \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{d-m \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. If we replace k by $k - 2s$ in Theorem 3.2, then the proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.2 and so we omit the details. □

When $s \neq 0$ in Theorem 3.6, we obtain the following corollary. The proof of the following, being similar to that of Corollary 2.6, is omitted.

Corollary 3.7. Let $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$ and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying

$$rf \left(\frac{k-2t}{r}x \right) = (k-2t)f(x)$$

for all $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{k-1}{2}]\}$ with $t \neq s$ and all $x \in X$. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi : X^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.6) and (3.2). Then there exists a unique A -linear additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{(k-2s)_k C_s (d-k C_{l-s} - d-k C_{l+s-k})} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(x, \dots, x)}_{k \text{ times}} \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{d-k \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Remark 3.8. If $r = 3$ and $k = 3$ in Corollary 3.7, then $s = 1$ and $t = 0$ and so there exists a unique A -linear generalized additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{3(d-3 C_{l-1} - d-3 C_{l-2})} \underbrace{\tilde{\varphi}(x, x, x, 0, \dots, 0)}_{d-3 \text{ times}}$$

for all $x \in X$.

REFERENCES

1. Z. Gajda: On stability of additive mappings. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **14** (1991), 431-434.
2. P. Găvruta: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), 431-436.

3. J. Hou & C. Park: Homomorphisms between C^* -algebras associated with the Trif functional equation and linear derivations on C^* -algebras. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **41** (2004), 461–477.
4. D. H. Hyers: On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **27** (1941), 222–224.
5. J. Lee & D. Shin: On the Cauchy-Rassias stability of the Trif functional equation in C^* -algebras. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **296** (2004), 351–363.
6. ———: On the stability of a generalized additive functional equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* to appear.
7. C. Park: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **275** (2002), 711–720.
8. ———: Modified Trif's functional equations in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra and approximate algebra homomorphisms. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **278** (2003), 93–108.
9. ———: Automorphisms on a C^* -algebra and isomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebras associated with a generalized additive mapping. *Houston J. Math.* to appear.
10. C. Park, D. Boo & Th. M. Rassias: Generalized additive mapping in Banach modules and isomorphisms between C^* -algebras. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **314** (2006), 150–161.
11. Th. M. Rassias: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 297–300
12. Th. M. Rassias & P. Šemrl: On the behavior of mappings that do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992), 325–338.
13. S. M. Ulam: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. Wiley, New York, 1964, Chapter VI.

*DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DAEJIN UNIVERSITY, KYEONGGI, 487-711, KOREA
 Email address: jrlee@daejin.ac.kr

**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SEOUL, SEOUL, 130-743, KOREA
 Email address: tklee@uos.ac.kr

***DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SEOUL, SEOUL, 130-743, KOREA
 Email address: dyshin@uos.ac.kr