

MODULES OVER THE GENERALIZED CENTROID OF SEMI-PRIME GAMMA RINGS

MEHMET ALİ ÖZTÜRK AND HASRET YAZARLI

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to introduce modules over the generalized centroid of a semi-prime Γ -ring

1. Introduction

Nobusawa studied on Γ -ring for the first time in [3]. After his research, Barnes studied on this Γ -ring in [1]. But Barnes approached to Γ -ring in some different way from that of Nobusawa and he defined the concept of Γ -ring and related definitions. After these two papers were published, many mathematicians made good works on Γ -ring in the sense of Barnes and Nobusawa, which are parallel to the results in the ring theory. The concept of “centroid of a prime Γ -ring” was defined and investigated in [4] and [6] by Öztürk and Jun. Furthermore it was shown in [5] and [6] that the extended centroid is a Γ -field and the generalized centroid of a semi-prime Γ -ring is regular Γ -ring respectively by Öztürk and Jun. The aim of this paper is to introduce modules over the generalized centroid of semi-prime Γ -ring.

2. Preliminaries

The gamma ring is defined in [3] as follows: A Γ -ring is a pair (M, Γ) where M and Γ are (additive) abelian groups for which exists a $(., ., .) : M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (the image of (a, α, b) being denoted by $a\alpha b$ for $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$) satisfying for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

- (i) $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$
- (ii) $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$
- (iii) $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$
- (iv) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$.

Let M be a Γ -ring. A right (resp. left) ideal of M is an additive subgroup U such that $U\Gamma M \subseteq U$ (resp. $M\Gamma U \subseteq U$). If U is both a right and left ideal, then we say that U is an ideal. For each $a \in M$, the smallest right (resp. left)

Received December 13, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16N60, 16Y30, 16A76, 16Y99.

Key words and phrases. module, Γ -ring, semi-prime Γ -ring, generalized centroid, (regular, prime, quotient) Γ -ring.

ideal containing a is called the principal right (resp. left) ideal generated by a and is denoted by $|a\rangle$ (resp. $\langle a|$). Also, we $\langle a$ denotes the principal two-side (right and left) ideal generated by a . An ideal Q of M is semi-prime if, for any ideal U of M , $U\Gamma U \subseteq Q$ implies $U \subseteq Q$. A Γ -ring M is said to be semi-prime if the zero ideal is semi-prime.

Remark 1. A Γ -ring M is semi-prime if and only if all of its non-zero ideals have a non-zero multiplication, i.e., for an ideal U the equality $U\Gamma U = \langle 0$ implies $U = \langle 0$.

Theorem 1 ([2, Theorem 1]). *If Q is an ideal of a Γ -ring M , then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) Q is a semi-prime ideal.
- (ii) If $a \in M$ such that $a\Gamma M\Gamma a \subseteq Q$, then $a \in Q$.
- (iii) If $\langle a$ is a principal ideal in M such that $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle a \rangle \subseteq Q$, then $a \in Q$.
- (iv) If U is a right ideal in M such that $U\Gamma U \subseteq Q$, then $U \subseteq Q$.
- (v) If U is a left ideal in M such that $V\Gamma V \subseteq Q$, then $V \subseteq Q$.

Lemma 1 ([2, Theorem 4]). *A Γ -ring M is semi-prime if and only if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = \langle 0$ implies $a = 0$.*

Let M be a Γ -ring. For a subset U of M , $Ann_l U = \{a \in M \mid a\Gamma U = \langle 0\rangle\}$ is called the left annihilator of U . A right annihilator $Ann_r U$ can be defined similarly. An ideal of M is said to be essential if it has non-zero intersection with any non-zero ideal of M .

Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring. We denote F a set of all ideals of M which have zero annihilator in M .

Lemma 2 ([6, Lemma 3.2]). *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and U a non-zero ideal of M . Then $U \in F$ if and only if U is essential.*

Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring such that $M\Gamma M \neq M$. Denote

$$\mathcal{M} := \{ (U, f) \mid f : U \rightarrow M \text{ is a right } M\text{-module homomorphism for all } U \in F \}.$$

Denote a relation “ \sim ” on \mathcal{M} by $(U, f) \sim (V, g) \Leftrightarrow \exists W \subseteq U \cap V$ such that $f = g$ on $W \in F$. Since the set F is closed under multiplication, it is possible to find such an ideal $W \in F$ and so “ \sim ” is an equivalence relation. This gives a chance for us to get a partition of \mathcal{M} . We denote the equivalence class by $Cl(U, f) = \hat{f}$, where $\hat{f} := \{g : V \rightarrow M \mid (U, f) \sim (V, g)\}$ and denote by Q_r the set of all equivalence classes. We define an addition “+” on Q_r as follows:

$$f + g := Cl(U, f) + Cl(V, g) = Cl(U \cap V, f + g),$$

where $f + g : U \cap V \rightarrow M$ is a right M -module homomorphism. $(Q, +)$ is an abelian group. Since $M\Gamma M \neq M$ and M is a semi-prime Γ -ring, $M\Gamma M (\neq 0)$ is an ideal of M and so is $M\beta M$ for every $\beta (\neq 0) \in \Gamma$. $0 \neq M\beta M\Gamma U \subseteq M\beta M \cap U$ where U is a non-zero ideal of M . Therefore $M\beta M$ is essential and

so $M\beta M \in F$ for every $(\beta \neq 0) \in \Gamma$ by Lemma 2. We can take the homomorphism $1_{M\beta} : M\beta M \rightarrow M$ defined by $1_{M\beta}(m_1\beta m_2) = m_1\beta m_2$ as non-zero M -module homomorphism. Denote $\mathcal{N} := \{(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}) \mid 0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma\}$ and define a relation, “ \approx ” on \mathcal{N} by $(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}) \approx (M\gamma M, 1_{M\gamma}) \iff \exists W := M\alpha M (\in F) \subset M\beta M \cap M\gamma M$ such that $1_{M\beta} = 1_{M\gamma}$ on $W \in F$. “ \approx ” is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{N} . Denote by $Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}) = \widehat{\beta}$, the equivalence class containing $(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta})$ and by $\widehat{\Gamma}$ the set of all equivalence classes of \mathcal{N} with respect to “ \approx ”, that is, $\widehat{\Gamma} := \{\widehat{\beta} \mid 0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma\}$. Define an addition “+” on $\widehat{\Gamma}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\beta} + \widehat{\gamma} &:= Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}) + Cl(M\gamma M, 1_{M\gamma}) \\ &= Cl(M\beta M \cap M\gamma M, 1_{M\beta} + 1_{M\gamma}) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\beta (\neq 0), \gamma (\neq 0) \in \Gamma$. Then, $(\widehat{\Gamma}, +)$ is an abelian group. Now we define a mapping $(., ., .) : Q_r x \Gamma x Q_r \rightarrow Q_r, (\widehat{f}, \widehat{\beta}, \widehat{g}) \mapsto \widehat{f}\widehat{\beta}\widehat{g}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}\widehat{\beta}\widehat{g} &= Cl(U, f).Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}).Cl(V, g) \\ &= Cl(V\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma U, f1_{M\beta}g) \end{aligned}$$

where $V\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma U \in F$ and $f1_{M\beta}g : V\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma U \rightarrow M$, which is given by

$$(f1_{M\beta}g) \left(\sum v_i \gamma_i m_i \beta n_i \alpha_i u_i \right) = f \left(\sum g(v_i) \gamma_i m_i \beta n_i \alpha_i u_i \right)$$

is a right M -module homomorphism. Notice that the mapping $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}$ defined by $\varphi(\beta) = \widehat{\beta}$ for every $0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma$. The mapping φ is an isomorphism, we know that the $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -ring Q_r is a Γ -ring. For a fixed element a in M and every element γ in Γ , consider a mapping $\lambda_{a\gamma} : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $\lambda_{a\gamma}(x) = a\gamma x$ for all $x \in M$. The mapping $\lambda_{a\gamma}$ is a right M -module homomorphism and so $\lambda_{a\gamma}$ is an element of Q_r . Define a mapping $\Psi : M \rightarrow Q_r$ by $\Psi(a) = \widehat{a} = Cl(M, \lambda_{a\gamma})$ for all $a \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The mapping Ψ is a right M -module injective homomorphism and so M is a subring of Q_r and in this case, we call Q_r the right quotient Γ -ring of M . One can, of course characterize Q_l , the left quotient Γ -ring of M in a similar manner. The ring Q is called a two-sided quotient Γ -ring of M , if Q is both right and left quotient Γ -ring of M , then (see [6]). For purposes of convenience, we use q instead of $\widehat{q} \in Q$.

Definition 1 ([6, Definition 3.4]). Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and Q_r the quotient Γ -ring of M . Then the set

$$C_\Gamma := \{g \in Q_r \mid g\gamma f = f\gamma g \text{ for all } f \in Q_r \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

is called the generalized centroid of M .

Theorem 2 ([6, Theorem 3.5]). Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and Q_r the quotient Γ -ring of M . Then the Γ -ring Q_r satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For any element $q \in Q_r$, there exists an ideal $U_q \in F$ which is an essential ideal with a right M -module homomorphism $q : U \rightarrow M$, such that $q(U_q) \subseteq M$ (or $q\gamma U_q \subseteq M$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$).
- (ii) If $q \in Q_r$ and $q(U_q) = \langle 0 \rangle$ for a certain $U_q \in F$ (or $q\gamma U_q = \langle 0 \rangle$ for a certain $U_q \in F$ and for all $\gamma \in F$), then $q = 0$.
- (iii) If $U \in F$ and $\Psi : U \rightarrow M$ is a right M -module homomorphism, then there exists an element $q \in Q_r$ such that $\Psi(u) = q(u)$ for all $u \in U$ (or $\Psi(u) = q\gamma u$ for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$).
- (iv) Let W be a submodule (an (M, M) -sub-bimodule) in Q_r and $\Psi : W \rightarrow Q_r$ a right M -module homomorphism. If W contains the ideal U of the Γ -ring M such that $\Psi(U) \subseteq M$ and $\text{Ann}U = \text{Ann}_r W$, then there is an element $q \in Q_r$ such that $\Psi(b) = q(b)$ for any $b \in W$ (or $\Psi(b) = q\gamma b$ for any $b \in W$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$) and $q(a) = 0$ for any $a \in \text{Ann}_r W$ (or $q\gamma a = 0$ for any $a \in \text{Ann}_r W$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$).

Definition 2 ([6, Definition 3.7]). A Γ -ring M is called regular if for any element $x \in M$, there exists an element $x' \in M$ such that $x' \beta x \gamma x = x$, where $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 3 ([6, Theorem 3.8]). Let M be a semi prime Γ -ring and C_Γ the generalized centroid of M . Then C_Γ is a regular Γ -ring.

In the set E of all idempotents of C_Γ , the relation \leq defined by $e_1 \leq e_2 \Leftrightarrow e_2 \gamma e_1 = e_1, \gamma \in \Gamma$ is a partial order.

Definition 3 ([6, Definition 3.10]). Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring, Q_r the quotient Γ -ring of M and let $S \subseteq Q_r$. The least of idempotent elements $e(S) = e \in C_\Gamma$ such that $e\gamma s = s$ for all $s \in S, \gamma \in \Gamma$ is called the support of the set S .

Lemma 3 ([6, Lemma 3.11]). Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring, Q_r the quotient Γ -ring of M and $S \subseteq Q_r$. If S has a support $e(S) = e \in C_\Gamma$, then the equality $q\gamma M \Gamma S = 0$ for an element $q \in Q$ ($S \Gamma M \gamma q = 0$) is equivalent to $q\gamma e(S) = 0$.

Let A be module in Γ -ring M . Let $\Pi : A_2 \rightarrow A_1$ be an epimorphism where A_1 and A_2 are any modules in Γ -ring M . If there exists a module homomorphism $\Psi : A \rightarrow A_2$ for module homomorphism $\varphi : A_1 \rightarrow A$ such that $\Pi\Psi = \varphi$, then A is called projective module.

This property is equivalent to the fact that for any epimorphism $\theta : A' \rightarrow A$ there exists a decomposition $A' = \text{Ker}\theta \oplus A''$. The direct sum of modules is projective if and only if all summands are projective.

Let A be module in Γ -ring M . Let $\Pi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ be a monomorphism, where A_1 and A_2 are any modules in Γ -ring M . If there exists a module homomorphism $\Psi : A_2 \rightarrow A$ for module homomorphism $\varphi : A_1 \rightarrow A$ such that $\Psi\Pi = \varphi$, then A is called injective module.

This property is equivalent to fact that for any monomorphism $\theta : A \rightarrow A'$, there exists a decomposition $A' = \text{Im}\theta \oplus A''$. In this case A is extracted a

direct summand of any module containing it. The direct product of modules is injective if and only if all the cofactors are injective.

Let M be Γ -ring. If M is injective over itself as (left) module, then Γ -ring M is called (left) self-injective.

3. Main results

Definition 4. Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and C_Γ be its generalized centroid.

(i) Let I be a directed partially ordered set, A be C_Γ -module and $a \in A$. If there exists a direct set of idempotents $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ such that $e_i \leq e_j$ for $i \leq j$, $i, j \in I$, $\sup \{e_i\} = 1$ and $e_i \gamma a_i = e_i \gamma a$, $\forall i \in I, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, then a is called a limit of the set $\{a_i \in A \mid i \in I\}$. Denote by $\lim_I a_i = a$.

(ii) Let T be subset of A . If a is limit of the set $\{a_i \in T \mid i \in I\}$ and $a \in T$, then set T is called closed.

Remark 2. (i) The closure of a set is the least closed set containing the given one. Therefore, the operation of closure determines a certain topology on the C_Γ -module A .

(ii) Let A_1 and A_2 be modules on C_Γ and $\varphi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ be a function. If $\lim_I a_i = a$ implies $\lim_I \varphi(a_i) = \varphi(a)$, then φ is called a quite continuous function. Indeed, in this case preimage of closed set is closed and hence φ is a continuous function. If φ preserves the operator of multiplication by the idempotents, i.e., $\varphi(a \gamma e) = \varphi(a) \gamma e$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $e \in C_\Gamma$, then φ is a quite continuous function.

Proposition 1. Let M be semi-prime Γ -ring and Q_r be quotient Γ -ring M . If $\lim_I r_i = r$, $\lim_I s_i = s$ where $r, s, r_i, s_i \in Q_r$, then $\lim_I (r_i \pm s_i) = r \pm s$ and $\lim_I (r_i \alpha s_i) = r \alpha s$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\lim_I r_i = r$, $\lim_I s_i = s$. Let $\{e_i \gamma f_i \mid i \in I, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be directed set of idempotents for sets $\{r_i \pm s_i \mid r_i, s_i \in Q_r\}$ and $\{r_i \alpha s_i \mid r_i, s_i \in Q_r, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, where $\{e_i\}$ and $\{f_i\}$ are directed sets of idempotents. If $e \gamma e_i \beta f_i = 0$ for all $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$, then for an arbitrary $j \in I, k \geq i, j$, we get, for $\gamma, \beta, \alpha, \alpha', \beta' \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} (e \gamma e_i) \beta f_j &= e \gamma (e_i \alpha e_k) \beta (f_j \alpha' e_k) = e \gamma (e_i \alpha e_k) \beta (f_j \beta' f_k) \alpha' e \\ &= (e \alpha e_k \beta' f_k) \gamma e_i \beta f_j = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup \{f_i\} = 1$, $e \gamma e_i = 0$ and $\sup \{e_i\} = 1$, $e = 0$. Further on,

$$\begin{aligned} (e_i \gamma f_i) \beta (r \pm s) &= (e_i \gamma f_i) \beta r \pm (e_i \gamma f_i) \beta s = f_i \beta (e_i \gamma r_i) \pm e_i \gamma (f_i \beta s_i) \\ &= e_i \gamma f_i \beta (r_i \pm s_i). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently from Definition 4(i), we have $\lim_I (r_i \pm s_i) = r \pm s$. Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \gamma f_i \beta (r \alpha s) &= f_i \gamma (e_i \beta r) \alpha s = f_i \gamma (e_i \beta r_i) \alpha s = (e_i \beta r_i) \gamma (f_i \alpha s) \\ &= (e_i \beta r_i) \gamma (f_i \alpha s_i) = e_i \gamma f_i \beta (r_i \alpha s_i), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\lim_I (r_i \alpha s_i) = r \alpha s$. □

Definition 5. If submodule of A is a union of all the elements having essential annihilators in the C_Γ , then this module is called a singular submodule of A . And, a module is called non-singular if its singular submodule equals zero.

Theorem 4. *The following conditions on the C_Γ -module A are equivalent:*

- (a) *Any directed set of elements of the module A has not more than one limit.*
- (b) *Any one-element set is closed.*
- (c) *The zero submodule is closed.*
- (d) *A is a non-singular module.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let a be an element of A and $\{a\}$ be one-element set. The set $\{a\}$ is directed. Since $e_i \gamma a_i = e_i \gamma a$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ is directed set of idempotents and by the Definition (4), $\lim_{i \in I} a_i = a$. Since $a \in \{a\}$, the set $\{a\}$ is closed.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) The submodule $\{0_A\}$ is closed, since $\{0_A\}$ is one-element set.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Let us prove that closure of a zero submodule is same with the singular ideal.

If U is an essential ideal in ring C_Γ , then $e(U) = 1$. Let U be an essential and $e(U) \neq 1$, i.e., $f = 1 - e(U) \neq 0$. In this case, $U \cap C_\Gamma \gamma f = (0)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, since $C_\Gamma \gamma f \neq (0)$ is an ideal of C_Γ and U is an essential ideal. If $U \cap C_\Gamma \gamma f \neq (0)$, then there exists a $x \in U \cap C_\Gamma \gamma f$ such that $x \in U$ and $x \in C_\Gamma \gamma f$. Hence $x = c \gamma f$, $c \in C_\Gamma$. $x = c \gamma f = c \gamma (1 - e(U)) = c - c \gamma e(U)$. Left multiplying by e , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e \beta x &= e \beta c - e \beta (c \gamma e(U)) = e \beta c - (e \beta c) \gamma e \\ &= e \beta c - (e \gamma e) \beta c = e \beta c - e \beta c = 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $e = 0$. This is a contradiction, since $e \neq 0$. Thus $U \cap C_\Gamma \gamma f = (0)$ and consequently $f = 0$. In this case our acceptance is wrong, i.e., $e(U) = 1$. Inversely, if $e(U) = 1$ and $U \cap V = (0)$, then choosing an arbitrary element f in V , we get $f \gamma U \subseteq U \cap V = (0)$, i.e., $f = e(U) f = 0$ and hence $V = (0)$. Thus U is an essential ideal.

Let $a \in Z(A)$ which is center of A . In this case $Ann_{C_\Gamma} \langle a \rangle$ is an essential ideal. Let J be a set of all idempotents of this ideal. It is a directed set. In this case, $i \alpha a = i \alpha 0$, for all $i \in J$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, so that $\lim_{i \in J} a_i = a$, where

$a_i = 0$. Consequently, $Z(A) \subseteq \overline{(0)}$. Let us now show that $Z(A)$ is closed submodule. Let $\lim_{i \in J} a_i = a$, where $a_i \in Z(A)$ and let $a \notin Z(A)$. Let $f = 1 - (Ann_{C_\Gamma} \langle a \rangle) \neq 0$. $\sup_{i \in J} e_i = 1$ from definition of limit for set of idempotents

$\{e_i \mid i \in J\}$. There is an element $j \in J$, such that $f \gamma e_j \neq 0$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $a_j \in Z(A)$ then $\sup (Ann_{C_\Gamma} \langle a_j \rangle) = 1$ and there exists an idempotent $u_j \in Ann_{C_\Gamma} \langle a_j \rangle$ such that $u_j \beta f \gamma e_j \neq 0$. Therefore $u_j \beta f \gamma e_j \alpha a = u_j \beta f \gamma e_j \alpha a_j = f \gamma e_j \alpha (u_j \beta a_j) = 0$. It means that $u_j \beta f \gamma e_j \in Ann_{C_\Gamma} \langle a_j \rangle$. Since idempotent f

annihilates $Ann_{C_\Gamma} \langle a_j \rangle$, $f\alpha(u_j\beta f\gamma e_j) = u_j\beta(f\alpha f)\gamma e_j = u_j\beta f\gamma e_j = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus $a \in Z(A)$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Let us assume that a certain directed set of idempotents $\{a_i\}$ has two limits, $a^{(1)} \neq a^{(2)}$. Let sets of idempotents $\{e_i\}, \{f_i\}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_i\beta f_i)\gamma(a^{(1)} - a^{(2)}) \\ &= (e_i\beta f_i)\gamma a^{(1)} - (e_i\beta f_i)\gamma a^{(2)} = f_i\beta(e_i\gamma a^{(1)}) - e_i\beta(f_i\gamma a^{(2)}) \\ &= f_i\beta(e_i\gamma a_i) - e_i\beta(f_i\gamma a_i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_i \{e_i\gamma f_i\} = 1$ from Proposition 1, $a^{(1)} - a^{(2)} = 0$, i.e., $a^{(1)} = a^{(2)}$. Thus set $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ has one limit. □

Remark 3. Let Q be Γ -ring and $E(Q, \Gamma)$ be set of endomorphism of additive group of Q . It can be easily show that $E(Q, \Gamma)$ is a Γ -ring. The center of Q is right module over C_Γ .

Theorem 5. *The modules Q_r and $E(Q_r, \Gamma)$ are non-singular.*

Proof. Let us make use of condition (a) of Theorem 4. Let r, s be the limits of set $\{r_i \mid i \in I\}$ and let $\{e_i\}$ and $\{f_i\}$ be sets of idempotents for limits r, s , respectively. In this case, $e_i\beta(f_i\gamma r) = f_i\beta(e_i\gamma r_i) = e_i\beta(f_i\gamma r_i) = e_i\beta(f_i\gamma s)$. Thus $(e_i\beta f_i)\gamma(r - s) = 0$. If $r, s \in Q_r$, then $r - s = \sup \{e_i\beta f_i\}\gamma(r - s) = 0$, i.e., $r = s$. If $r, s \in E(Q_r, \Gamma)$, then for any $x \in Q_r$, the equalities $e_i\beta f_i\gamma(r - s)(x) = 0$ yield $r(x) = s(x)$. The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 6. *If T is a subring of Q_r , then its closure \widehat{T} is also a subring.*

Proof. Let us denote by $k(T)$ a set of all the limiting points of T . Let j is a limit point and $T_1 = T, T_{i+1} = k(T_i), T_j = \bigcup_{i \leq j} T_i$.

In this case the union of all T_i is a closed set and it equals \widehat{T} . Therefore, according to the transfinite induction, it suffices to prove that $k(T)$ is a subring. Let $\lim_I r_i = r, \lim_J s_j = s$ for $r_i, s_j \in T$ and $\{e_i\}, \{e_j\}$ be sets of idempotents for these limits respectively. Let us consider the set $\{e_i\gamma e_j \mid (i, j) \in I \times J, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Let us assume that $(i, j) \leq (i_1, j_1) \Leftrightarrow i \leq i_1, j \leq j_1$.

In this case, we get $\lim_{I \times J} (r_i \pm s_j) = r \pm s, \lim_{I \times J} (r_i\gamma s_j) = r\gamma s \in k(T)$. Thus $k(T)$ is a subring. □

Theorem 7. *Let U be an ideal of the subring $T \subseteq Q$. Then the closure \widehat{U} is an ideal of \widehat{T} .*

Proof. Similar in the Theorem 6, it suffices to prove that $k(U)$ is an ideal of $k(T)$. Let $\lim_I r_i = r, \lim_J s_j = s$ for $r_i \in T, s_j \in U$ and $\{e_i\}, \{e_j\}$ be sets of idempotents for these limits respectively. Let us consider the set

$$\{e_i\gamma e_j \mid (i, j) \in I \times J, \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Let us assume that $(i, j) \leq (i_1, j_1) \Leftrightarrow i \leq i_1, j \leq j_1$.

In this case, we get $\lim_{IxJ} (r_i \pm s_j) = r \pm s \in k(U)$, $\lim_{IxJ} (r_i \gamma s_j) = r \gamma s \in k(U)$ and $s \gamma r \in k(U)$, i.e., $k(U)$ is an ideal of $k(T)$. \square

Definition 6. Let A be a C_Γ -module and $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ be any set of elements of A . The module A is called complete if set $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$, for which there exists a directed set of idempotents $\{e_i\}$, such that $\sup \{e_i\} = 1$ and at $i \geq j$ the relations $e_j \gamma a_i = e_j \gamma a_j$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $e_i \geq e_j$ are valid, has a limit.

Theorem 8. *The modules Q_r and $E(Q_r, \Gamma)$ are complete.*

Proof. Let us assume that $r_i \in Q_r$ and $\{e_i\}$ be a set of idempotents such that $e_j \gamma r_i = e_j \gamma r_j$, $e_i \geq e_j$ at $i \geq j$ and $\sup \{e_i\} = 1$. Let

$$N_i = \{x \in M \mid e_i \gamma x \in M, e_i \alpha r_i \beta x \in M, \gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma\}.$$

Then N_i is a right ideal of the M . Indeed, $e_i \gamma x, e_i \alpha r_i \beta x \in M$ and $e_i \gamma y, e_i \alpha r_i \beta y \in M$ for all $x, y \in N_i$. In this case $e_i \gamma x - e_i \gamma y = e_i \gamma (x - y) \in M$ and $e_i \alpha r_i \beta x - e_i \alpha r_i \beta y = e_i \alpha r_i \beta (x - y) \in M$, i.e., $x - y \in N_i$. If $e_i \gamma x$ and $e_i \alpha r_i \beta x \in M$, for all $x \in N_i$, then $(e_i \gamma x) \alpha' m = e_i \gamma (x \alpha' m) \in M$ and $(e_i \alpha r_i \beta x) \alpha' m = e_i \alpha r_i \beta (x \alpha' m)$, for all $m \in M$, i.e., $x \alpha' m \in N_i$. Thus N_i is a right ideal of M .

Since $r_i : U \rightarrow M$, $x \mapsto r_i(x) = r_i \gamma x$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a right M -module homomorphism. Hence $e_i \gamma x$ and $e_i \alpha r_i \beta x \in M$. Thus there exists an $U_i \in F(M)$ such that $U_i \subseteq N_i$. The union $N = \bigcup_i e_i \gamma N_i$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is also a right ideal. Indeed, if $e_i \gamma a_i, e_j \gamma a_j \in N$ and let us find an element $k \geq i, j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & e_k \alpha r_k \beta (e_i \gamma a_i + e_j \gamma a_j) \\ &= e_k \alpha r_k \beta e_i \gamma a_i + e_k \alpha r_k \beta e_j \gamma a_j \\ &= e_k \beta e_i \alpha r_k \gamma a_i + e_k \beta e_j \alpha r_k \gamma a_j \\ &= e_i \alpha r_k \gamma a_i + e_j \alpha r_k \gamma a_j = e_i \alpha r_i \gamma a_i + e_j \alpha r_j \gamma a_j \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $e_k \alpha (e_i \gamma a_i + e_j \gamma a_j) = e_k \alpha e_i \gamma a_i + e_k \alpha e_j \gamma a_j = e_i \gamma a_i + e_j \gamma a_j \in M$. Thus $e_i \gamma a_i + e_j \gamma a_j \in e_k \gamma N_k$. Since $e_k \alpha r_k \beta (e_i \gamma a_i) \alpha' m = e_k \alpha r_k \beta e_i \gamma (a_i \alpha' m) = e_i \alpha r_k \gamma (a_i \alpha' m) = e_i \alpha r_i \gamma (a_i \alpha' m) \in M$ for any $m \in M$, $(e_i \gamma a_i) \alpha' m \in e_k \gamma N_k$. In this case N is an ideal. As $e_k \gamma N_k \supseteq e_i \gamma U_i$, then $N \supseteq \sum e_i \gamma U_i = U$ is an ideal of M . Besides, the annihilator of U equals zero. Indeed, if $x \beta U = 0$, $\beta \in \Gamma$, then $x \beta e_i \gamma U_i = 0$, i.e., $x \beta e_i = 0$, since U_i is an essential ideal and hence $x = 0$, since $\sup \{e_i\} = 1$. Let us consider mapping $\xi : N \rightarrow M$ defined by $\xi(e_i \gamma a_i) = r_i \beta e_i \gamma a_i$. The mapping ξ is a right M -module homomorphism. If $e_i \gamma a_i = e_j \gamma a_j$ and $k \geq i, j$ then $r_i \beta e_i \gamma a_i = r_k \beta e_i \gamma a_i = r_k \beta e_j \gamma a_j = r_j \beta e_j \gamma a_j$, i.e., ξ is well-defined. Since $\xi \in Q$, then from Theorem 2 there is an element $r \in Q$, such that $r \beta e_i \gamma a_i = r_i \beta e_i \gamma a_i$. In this case $(r \beta e_i - r_i \beta e_i) \gamma a_i = 0$, i.e., $(r \beta e_i - r_i \beta e_i) \gamma U_i = 0$. Therefore $r \beta e_i - r_i \beta e_i = 0$, since U_i is an essential ideal. Thus $r \beta e_i = r_i \beta e_i$. Hence $\lim_I r_i = r$.

If $r_i \in E(Q, \Gamma)$, then for any $x \in Q_r$ there exists a limit of $r_i(x)$ and we can set $r(x) = \lim_I r_i(x)$. In this case $r = \lim_I r_i$. The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 9. *The subrings Q and C_Γ are closed in Q . Hence they are complete modules over C_Γ .*

Proof. Let $r = \lim_I r_i, r_i \in Q$. From Theorem 2 there exists an $U_i \in F$ such that $U_i \beta r_i \alpha e_i \subseteq M, U_i \alpha e_i \subseteq M$. Then $V = \sum U_i \alpha e_i \in F$, in which case $U_i \alpha e_i \beta r = U_i \alpha e_i \beta r_i$ and hence $r \gamma V \subseteq M$, i.e., $r \in Q$. Therefore Q is closed. The submodule C_Γ is closed as an intersection of all the kernels of quite continuous mappings $ad a : x \mapsto a \gamma x - x \gamma a, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Indeed, let $r_i \in C_\Gamma$ and $\lim_I r_i = r$, $ad a(r) = \lim_I ad a(r_i)$, since $e_i \beta(ad a(r)) = e_i \beta(a \gamma r - r \gamma a) = e_i \beta(ad a(r_i))$. The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 10. *Any complete non-singular module over C_Γ is injective and, vice versa, any injective module over C_Γ is complete.*

Proof. Let T be a complete non-singular submodule of A . Let us show that T is extracted from A by a direct summand.

Let us consider a set of all submodules having zero intersection with T in A . This set is directed by inclusion relation. In this case, according Zorn Lemma, this set has at least one maximal element A' . Let us show that A' is closed submodule in A . Let $\lim_I a_i = a, a_i \in A'$ and let $\{e_i | i \in I\}$ be set of idempotents. If $a \notin A'$ then $(A' + C_\Gamma \gamma a) \cap T \neq (0)$. Let $t = a' + c \gamma a \neq 0, \gamma \in \Gamma$ for $a' \in A'$ and $c \in C_\Gamma$. For any $i \in I, e_i \alpha t = e_i \alpha a' + e_i \alpha c \gamma a \in A' \cap T$ and $A' \cap T = (0)$, i.e., due to non-singularity of the module T the element t is equal zero. This is a contradiction. $a \in A'$.

Let a be an arbitrary element of A . Let us show that $a \in A' + T$. Let us assume that $a \notin A' + T$. Let us consider a set I of idempotents $i \in C_\Gamma$, such that $i \gamma a \in A' + T, \gamma \in \Gamma$. This set is directed and if $i_1, i_2 \in I$, then $i = i_1 + i_2 - i_1 i_2 \in I$ such that $(i_1 \vee i_2) a = i_1 a + (1 - i_1) i_2 a$.

Let us show that $\sup I = 1$. Let, on the contrary, $f = 1 - \sup I \neq 0$. If $f \in I$, then $f^2 = f. f(1 - f) = f \cdot \sup I = 0$. If $f \sup I = 0$, then $f = 0$. This is a contradiction. It must be $f \notin I$. Thus $f \gamma a \notin A' + T$ and since $f \gamma a \notin A', (A' + C_\Gamma \alpha f \gamma a) \cap T \neq (0)$. Let $0 \neq a' + c \alpha f \gamma a \in T$, for $c \in C_\Gamma$ and $a' \in A'$. In this case $0 \neq c \alpha f \gamma a$ is element of $A' + T$. Since C_Γ is regular ring, there exists an element c' such that $e_1 = c' \beta c$ is an idempotent and $c \alpha' e_1 = c$. Therefore $e_1 \beta' f \neq 0$. On the other hand since $e_1 \beta' f \gamma a = (c' \beta c) \beta' f \gamma a$ in $A' + T, e_1 \beta' f \in I$. However $I \gamma' f = I \gamma' (1 - \sup I) = I - I \gamma' \sup I = I - I = 0$ and so that $e_1 \beta' f = (e_1 \beta' f)^2 = (e_1 \beta' f) \gamma' (e_1 \beta' f) = (e_1 \beta' f) \gamma' f = 0$. This is a contradiction. It must be $\sup I = 1$. Let $i \gamma a = a_i + t_i$ where $a_i \in A', t_i \in T$.

If $j \leq i$, then $j\beta a = j\beta(i\gamma a) = j\beta a_i + j\beta t_i$. As the sum $A' + T$, is direct, $a_j = j\beta a_i$, $t_j = j\beta t_i$. Since the module T is complete, there exists a limit $\lim_{i \in I} t_i = t$. Since $i\gamma a = a_i + t_i$, $i\gamma a - t_i = a_i \in A'$. Since $\sup I = 1$, $a - t = \lim_{i \in I} a_i$ and A' , i.e., $a \in A' + T$.

Inversely, let A be injective C_Γ -module. $C_\Gamma a b \cong C_\Gamma$ where $C_\Gamma a b$ is a free one-generated module. Indeed, the mapping $\varphi : C_\Gamma \rightarrow C_\Gamma a b$, $x \mapsto x a b$ is an isomorphism. If $x = y$, then $x a b = y a b$, i.e., $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$. $\varphi(x + y) = (x + y) a b = x a b + y a b = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$. $\varphi(x \beta c) = (x \beta c) a b = (x a b) \beta c = \varphi(x) \beta c$, i.e., φ is a module homomorphism. If $x a y = y a b$, then $(x - y) a b = 0$. Since C_Γ is a regular ring, $x - y = 0$, i.e., $x = y$. There exists an element x in C_Γ such that $\varphi(x) = x a b$ for all $x a b \in C_\Gamma a b$. Thus φ is a bijection. Let us consider direct sum $A_1 = A \oplus C_\Gamma a b$. Let $\{a_i\}$ be set of elements of A and $\{e_i\}$ be directed set of idempotents such that $\sup \{e_i\} = 1$ and $e_i \beta a_j = e_i \beta a_i$ for $j \geq i$, for all $\beta \in \Gamma$. In A , let us consider a submodule N by the elements $e_i \gamma a_i \oplus e_i \gamma b$. We get $N \cap A = (0)$. Indeed, if $a = \sum_i (c_i \beta e_i \gamma a_i \oplus c_i \beta e_i \gamma b) \in A$, then $\left(\sum_i c_i \beta e_i\right) \gamma b = 0$. Due to regularity of the C_Γ , $\sum_i c_i \beta e_i = 0$. Let j be the upper boundary of

elements i included in the latter sum. Since $0 = \left(\sum_i c_i \beta e_i\right) \gamma a_j = \sum_i c_i \beta e_i \gamma a_j = \sum_i c_i \beta e_i \gamma a_i$, $a = 0$. Thus the natural homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow A_1/N$ is an embedding. We can write the relations, $e_i \gamma \varphi(a_i) + e_i \gamma b = 0$, for all i . Indeed, $\varphi(e_i \gamma a_i) = e_i \gamma \varphi(a_i) \in A_1/N$. Therefore $e_i \gamma \varphi(a_i) = a_1 + N$, $a_1 \in A_1$. Since $e_i \gamma \varphi(a_i) = e_i \gamma a_i \oplus e_i \gamma b + N$, $e_i \gamma \varphi(a_i) + e_i \gamma b = 0$.

Let us apply the definition of injectivity: There exists a homomorphism $\Psi : A_1/N \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi \Psi = 1$. Let $a = -\Psi(b)$. Thus

$$0 = \Psi(e_i \gamma \varphi(a_i) + e_i \gamma b) = e_i \gamma \Psi(\varphi(a_i)) + e_i \gamma \Psi(b) = e_i \gamma a_i - e_i \gamma a,$$

i.e., $e_i \gamma a_i - e_i \gamma a = 0$. Therefore $e_i \gamma a_i = e_i \gamma a$, i.e., $\lim_{i \in I} a_i = a$. \square

Corollary 1. *A generalized centroid of a semi-prime Γ -ring is a regular self-injective Γ -ring.*

Remark 4. Any semi-prime, self-injective, commutative Γ -ring M is same with its generalized centroid. Let Q be a Martindale Γ -ring of quotients. Let us consider Q as a right M -module. Since $M \subseteq Q$, we can write direct decomposition $Q = M \oplus A$. If $a \in A$, then, by the definition of a ring of quotients, there is an ideal $U \in F$, such that $a \gamma U \subseteq M$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. On the other hand, $a \gamma U = (0)$. Since U is an essential, $a = 0$ and hence, $A = (0)$. Thus $M = Q$.

Theorem 11. *Let E be set of all idempotents in C_Γ and $Q(E)$ the quotient Γ -ring of E . Then $Q(E) = E$.*

Proof. Let U be essential ideal of E . Therefore $\sup U = 1$ and if $q \gamma U \subseteq E$, then $q \in Q(E)$. Let us assume that $e_u = q \gamma u$ and U be directed set of idempotents.

Since C_Γ is complete, there is limit $e = \lim_{\substack{U \\ U}} e_u$. Since e is an idempotent and $(e - q)\gamma u = e_u - q\gamma u = 0$, $(e - q)\gamma U = (0)$ and since U is an essential ideal, $e - q = 0$. Hence, $e = q \in E$. In this case $Q(E) = E$. \square

References

- [1] W. E. Barnes, *On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), no. 3, 411–422.
- [2] S. Kyuno, *On prime gamma rings*, Pacific J. Math. **75** (1978), no. 1, 185–190.
- [3] N. Nobusawa, *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math. **1** (1964), 81–89.
- [4] M. A. Öztürk and Y. B. Jun, *On the centroid of the prime gamma rings*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **15** (2000), no. 3, 469–479.
- [5] ———, *On the centroid of the prime gamma rings. II.*, Turkish J. Math. **25** (2001), no. 3, 367–377.
- [6] ———, *Regularity of the generalized centroid of semi-prime gamma rings*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **19** (2004), no. 2, 233–242.

MEHMET ALİ ÖZTÜRK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
CUMHURİYET UNIVERSITY
58140 SİVAS-TURKEY
E-mail address: maozturk@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

HASRET YAZARLI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
CUMHURİYET UNIVERSITY
58140 SİVAS-TURKEY
E-mail address: h.yazarli@mynet.com.tr