

**ON THE EXISTENCE OF THE THIRD SOLUTION OF  
THE NONLINEAR BIHARMONIC EQUATION WITH  
DIRICHLET BOUNDARY CONDITION**

TACKSUN JUNG\* AND Q-HEUNG CHOI\*\*

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with the multiplicity of solutions of the nonlinear biharmonic equation with Dirichlet boundary condition,  $\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u = g(u)$ , in  $\Omega$ , where  $c \in R$  and  $\Delta^2$  denotes the biharmonic operator. We show that there exists at least three solutions of the above problem under the suitable condition of  $g(u)$ .

**1. Introduction**

Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded region in  $R^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots$  be the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$  with Dirichlet boundary condition in  $\Omega$ . In this paper we are concerned with the multiplicity of solutions of the nonlinear biharmonic equation with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u + c\Delta u &= g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, \quad \Delta u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $g$  is a differentiable function from  $R$  to  $R$  such that  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $c \in R$  and  $\Delta^2$  denotes the biharmonic operator. Let

$$g'(\infty) = \lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u} \in R.$$

The problem (1.1) was studied by Choi and Jung in [5]. The authors proved that (1.1) has at least two solutions by a variation of linking theorem. The authors also proved in [7] that the problem

$$\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u = bu^+ + s \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

---

Received February 9, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 34C15, 34C25, 35Q72.

Key words and phrases: Dirichlet condition, biharmonic equation, linking theorem.

$$u = 0, \quad \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

has at least two solutions by a variational reduction method when  $\lambda_1 < c < \lambda_2$ ,  $b < \lambda_1(\lambda_1 - c)$  or  $c < \lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) < b < \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)$ . This type problem arises in the study of travelling waves in a suspension bridge ([8], [10]) or the study of the static deflection of an elastic plate in a fluid. The following is the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume that  $\lambda_i < c < \lambda_{i+1}$ ,  $\lambda_{i+1}(\lambda_{i+1} - c) < \lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) < g'(\infty) < \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)$ ,  $\lambda_{k+m}(\lambda_{k+m} - c) < g'(0) < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$  and  $g'(t) \leq \gamma < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$ , where  $m \geq 1$ ,  $k > i + 1$  and  $\gamma \in R$ . Then problem (1.1) has at least three solutions.*

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Assume that  $\lambda_i < c < \lambda_{i+1}$ ,  $\lambda_{i+1}(\lambda_{i+1} - c) < \lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) < g'(0) < \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)$ ,  $\lambda_{k+m}(\lambda_{k+m} - c) < g'(\infty) < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$  and  $g'(t) \leq \gamma < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$ , where  $m \geq 1$ ,  $k > i + 1$  and  $\gamma \in R$ . Then problem (1.1) has at least three solutions.*

In section 2 we recall a Linking Scale Theorem which will play a crucial role in our argument. In section 3 we define a Banach space  $H$  spanned by eigenfunctions of  $\Delta^2 + c\Delta$  with Dirichlet boundary condition which can be applied in the linking scale theorem. In section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Linking scale theorem

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space,  $Y \subset X$ ,  $\rho > 0$  and  $e \in X \setminus Y$ ,  $e \neq 0$ . Set:

$$B_\rho(Y) = \{x \in Y \mid \|x\|_X \leq \rho\},$$

$$S_\rho(Y) = \{x \in Y \mid \|x\|_X = \rho\},$$

$$\Delta_\rho(e, Y) = \{\sigma e + v \mid \sigma \geq 0, v \in Y, \|\sigma e + v\|_X \leq \rho\},$$

$$\Sigma_\rho(e, Y) = \{\sigma e + v \mid \sigma \geq 0, v \in Y, \|\sigma e + v\|_X = \rho\} \cup \{v \mid v \in Y, \|v\|_X \leq \rho\}.$$

Now we recall a theorem of existence of three solutions which is linking scale theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** (*Linking scale theorem*) *Let  $X$  be an Hilbert space, which is topological direct sum of the four subspaces  $X_0$ ,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ . Let  $F \in C^1(X, R)$ . Moreover assume:*

(a)  *$\dim X_i < +\infty$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ ;*

(b) *there exist  $\rho > 0$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $e \in X_2$ ,  $e \neq 0$  such that;*

$$\rho < R \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{S_\rho(X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2)} F < \inf_{\Sigma_R(e, X_3)} F;$$

(c) there exist  $\rho' > 0$ ,  $R' > 0$  and  $e' \in X_1$ ,  $e' \neq 0$  such that:

$$\rho' < R' \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{S_{\rho'}(X_0 \oplus X_1)} F \leq \inf_{\Sigma_{R'}(e', X_2 \oplus X_3)} F;$$

(d)  $R \leq R' (\Rightarrow \Delta_R(e, X_3) \subset \Sigma_{R'}(e', X_2 \oplus X_3))$ ;

(e)  $-\infty < a = \inf_{\Delta_{R'}(e, X_2 \oplus X_3)} F$ ;

(f)  $(P.S.)_c$  holds for any  $c \in [a, b]$  where  $b = \sup_{B_\rho(X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2)} F$ .

Then there exist three critical levels  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  for the functional  $F$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} a \leq c_3 \leq \sup_{S_{\rho'}(X_0 \oplus X_1)} F &< \inf_{\Sigma_{R'}(e', X_2 \oplus X_3)} F \leq \inf_{\Delta_R(e, X_3)} F \leq c_2 \\ &\leq \sup_{S_\rho(X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2)} F < \inf_{\Sigma_R(e, X_3)} F \leq c_1 \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Variational formulation

Let  $\lambda_k (k = 1, 2, \dots)$  denote the eigenvalues and  $\phi_k (k = 1, 2, \dots)$  the corresponding eigenfunctions, suitably normalized with respect to  $L^2(\Omega)$  inner product, of the eigenvalue problem  $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$  in  $\Omega$ , with the Dirichlet boundary condition, where each eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$  is repeated as often as its multiplicity. We recall that  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots, \lambda_i \rightarrow +\infty$  and that  $\phi_1(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \Omega$ . The eigenvalue problem  $\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u = \mu u$  in  $\Omega$  with the Dirichlet boundary condition  $u = 0$ ,  $\Delta u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , has infinitely many eigenvalues  $\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and corresponding eigenfunctions  $\phi_k(x)$ . The set of functions  $\{\phi_k\}$  is an orthogonal base for  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Let us denote an element  $u$  of  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  as  $u = \sum h_k \phi_k$ ,  $\sum h_k^2 < \infty$ . Let  $c$  be not an eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  and define a subspace  $E$  of  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  as follows

$$E = \{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \sum |\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c)| h_k^2 < \infty\}.$$

Then this is a complete normed space with a norm

$$\| \|u\| \| = \left[ \sum |\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c)| h_k^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We need the following some properties which are proved in [6, 7]. Since  $\lambda_k \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $c$  is fixed, we have:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $c$  be not an eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  with the Dirichlet boundary condition. Then we have*

(i)  $(\Delta^2 u + c\Delta)u \in E$  implies  $u \in E$ .

- (ii)  $\|u\| \geq C\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , for some  $C > 0$ .  
 (iii)  $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$  if and only if  $\|u\| = 0$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. Assume that  $g : E \rightarrow R$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Then all solutions in  $L^2(\Omega)$  of

$$\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u = g(u) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega)$$

belong to  $E$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g(u) = \sum h_k \phi_k \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then

$$(\Delta^2 + c\Delta)^{-1}(g(u)) = \sum \frac{1}{\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c)} h_k \phi_k.$$

Hence we have

$$\|(\Delta^2 + c\Delta)^{-1}g(u)\|^2 = \sum |\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c)| \frac{1}{\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c)^2} h_k^2 \leq C \sum h_k^2$$

for some  $C > 0$ , which means that

$$\|(\Delta^2 + c\Delta)^{-1}g(u)\| \leq C_1 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

□

With the aid of Proposition 3.2 it is enough that we investigate the existence of solutions of (1.1) in the subspace  $E$  of  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $I : E \rightarrow R$  be the functional defined by,

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\Delta u|^2 - \frac{c}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - G(u), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $G(s) = \int_0^s g(\sigma) d\sigma$ . Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,  $I(u)$  is well defined. By the following Proposition,  $I$  is of class  $C^1$  and the weak solutions of (1.1) coincide with the critical points of  $I(u)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. Assume that  $g(u)$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Then  $I(u)$  is continuous and Fréchet differentiable in  $E$  and

$$DI(u)(h) = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \cdot \Delta h - c \nabla u \cdot \nabla h - g(u)h \quad (3.2)$$

for  $h \in X$ . Moreover  $\int_{\Omega} G(u) dx$  is  $C^1$  with respect to  $u$ . Thus  $I \in C^1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in E$ . First we will prove that  $I(u)$  is continuous. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} I(u+v) - I(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |\Delta(u+v)|^2 - \frac{c}{2} |\nabla(u+v)|^2 - G(u+v) \right] \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |\Delta u|^2 - \frac{c}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - G(u) \right] \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ u \cdot (\Delta^2 v + c\Delta v) + \frac{1}{2} v \cdot (\Delta^2 v + c\Delta v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (G(u+v) - G(u)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u = \sum h_k \phi_k$ ,  $v = \sum \tilde{h}_k \phi_k$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} u \cdot (\Delta^2 v + c\Delta v) dx \right| &= \left| \sum \lambda_k (\lambda_k - c) h_k \tilde{h}_k \right| \leq \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \\ \left| \int_{\Omega} v \cdot (\Delta^2 v + c\Delta v) dx \right| &= \left| \sum \lambda_k (\lambda_k - c) \tilde{h}_k^2 \right| \leq \|v\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Mean Value Theorem and  $g'(t) \leq \gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(u+v) - G(u)| &= \left| \int_0^{u+v} g(s) ds - \int_0^u g(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \gamma |v| (|u| + |v|) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} [G(u+v) - G(u)] dx \right| \leq C\gamma \|v\| (\|u\| + \|v\|).$$

With the above results, we see that  $I(u)$  is continuous at  $u$ . To prove that  $I(u)$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $u \in E$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |I(u+v) - I(u) - DI(u)v| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} v (\Delta^2 v + c\Delta v) - G(u+v) + G(u) - g(u)v \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 + C\gamma \|v\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

since  $|G(u+v) - G(u) - g(u)v| = \left| \int_u^{u+v} g(s) ds - g(u)v \right| \leq \gamma v^2$ .  $\square$

Let  $Z_2$  act on  $E$  orthogonally. Then  $E$  has two invariant orthogonal subspaces  $Fix_{Z_2}$  and  $Fix_{Z_2}^\perp$ . Let us set

$$H = Fix_{Z_2}^\perp.$$

The  $Z_2$  action has the representation  $u \mapsto -u$ ,  $\forall u \in H$ . Thus  $Z_2$  acts freely on the invariant subspace  $H$ . We note that  $H$  is a closed invariant linear subspace of  $E$  compactly embedded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . It is easily checked that  $\Delta^2 + c\Delta$  and  $g$  are equivariant on  $H$ , so  $I$  is invariant on  $H$ . Moreover  $(\Delta^2 + c\Delta)(H) \subseteq H$ ,  $\Delta^2 + c\Delta : H \rightarrow H$  is an isomorphism and  $DI(H) \subseteq H$ . Therefore critical points on  $H$  are critical points on  $E$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Here we let  $\lambda_i < c < \lambda_{i+1}$ . First, we consider the case  $\lambda_{i+1}(\lambda_{i+1}-c) < \lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) < g'(\infty) < \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)$ ,  $\lambda_{k+m}(\lambda_{k+m} - c) < g'(0) < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$  and  $g'(t) \leq \gamma < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$ , where  $m \geq 1$  and  $k > i+1$ . Let  $H_k$  be the subspace of  $H$  spanned by  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$  whose eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - c), \dots, \lambda_k(\lambda_k - c)$ . Let  $H_k^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement of  $H_k$  in  $H$ . Let  $r = \frac{1}{2}\{\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) + \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)\}$  and let  $L : H \rightarrow H$  be the linear continuous operator such that

$$(Lu, v) = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u) \cdot v dx - r \int_{\Omega} uv dx.$$

Then  $L$  is symmetric, bijective and equivariant. The spaces  $H_k, H_k^\perp$  are the negative space of  $L$  and the positive space of  $L$ . Moreover, there exists  $\nu > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in H_k & : (Lu, u) \leq (\lambda_k(\lambda_k - r)) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \leq -\nu \|u\|^2, \\ \forall u \in H_k^\perp & : (Lu, u) \geq (\lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \geq \nu \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}(Lu, u) - \psi(u),$$

where

$$\psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} [G(u) - \frac{1}{2}ru^2] dx.$$

Since  $H$  is compactly embedded in  $L^2$ , the map  $D\psi : X \rightarrow X$  is compact.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Assume that  $g(u)$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Then  $I(u)$  satisfies the (P.S.) $_M$  condition for any  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in  $H$  with  $DI(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $I(u_n) \rightarrow M$ . Since  $L$  is an isomorphism and  $D\psi$  is compact, it is sufficient to show that

$(u_n)$  is bounded in  $H$ . By contradiction, we assume that  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let us take  $a, b \in R$  with

$$\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) < a < \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} < b < \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)$$

and define  $g_r : R \rightarrow R$  by

$$g_r(s) = g(s) - rs.$$

Set  $\alpha = a - r$  and  $\beta = b - r$ , so that

$$\alpha < \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_r(s)}{s} < \beta.$$

Let  $g_r(s) = \eta_r(s) + \gamma_r(s)s$  with

$$\gamma_r(s) = \begin{cases} \min\{\max\{\frac{g_r(s)}{s}, \alpha\}, \beta\} & \text{if } s \neq 0, \\ \min\{\max\{g'(0) - r, \alpha\}, \beta\} & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\gamma_r$  is a Borel function with  $\alpha \leq \gamma_r(s) \leq \beta$  for every  $s \in R$  and  $\eta_r \in C_c(R)$ . Let  $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$ . Up to a subsequence, we have  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $H$  and  $\gamma_r(u_n) \rightarrow \gamma'$  in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $\alpha \leq \gamma' \leq \beta$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Moreover

$$\frac{\eta_r(u_n)}{\|u_n\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Since  $DI(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\frac{DI(u_n)u_n}{\|u_n\|^2} = (Lv_n, v_n) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_r(u_n)}{\|u_n\|} v_n - \int_{\Omega} \gamma_r(u_n) v_n^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $P^+ : H \rightarrow H_k^\perp$  and  $P^- : H \rightarrow H_k$  denote the orthogonal projections. Since  $P^+v_n - P^-v_n$  is bounded in  $H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (LP^+v_n, P^+v_n) - (LP^-v_n, P^-v_n) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_r(u_n)}{\|u_n\|} (P^+v_n - P^-v_n) dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} \gamma_r(u_n) v_n (P^+v_n - P^-v_n) dx \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P^+v_n - P^-v_n \rightarrow P^+v - P^-v$  in  $H$ , we get

$$\nu \leq \int_{\Omega} \gamma' v (P^+v - P^-v) dx.$$

Hence  $v \neq 0$ . On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (Lv_n, P^+v - P^-v) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_r(u_n)}{\|u_n\|} (P^+v - P^-v) dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} \gamma_r(u_n) v_n (P^+v - P^-v) dx \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & (LP^+v, P^+v) - (LP^-v, P^-v) - \int_{\Omega} \gamma'(P^+v)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \gamma'(P^-v)^2 dx \\ &= (Lv, P^+v - P^-v) - \int_{\Omega} \gamma'(P^+v + P^-v)(P^+v - P^-v) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(\lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1}-c)-r-\beta) \int_{\Omega} (P^+v)^2 dx + (r+\alpha-\lambda_k(\lambda_k-c)) \int_{\Omega} (P^-v)^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Thus  $P^+v = P^-v = 0$ , which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.2. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, The function  $I(u)$  is bounded from above on  $H_k$ ;*

$$\sup_{u \in H_k} I(u) < 0, \quad (4.1)$$

and from below on  $H_k^\perp$ ; there exists  $R_k > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\|=R_k}} I(u) > 0 \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\inf_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| < R_k}} I(u) > -\infty. \quad (4.3)$$

*Proof.* For some constant  $d \geq 0$ , we have  $G_r(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha s^2 + d$ , where  $G_r(s) = \int_0^s g_r(\sigma) d\sigma$ . For  $u \in H_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Lu, u) &\leq (\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) - r) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = \frac{\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) - \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2, \\ \int_{\Omega} G_r(u) &\geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 + d|\Omega|, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$I(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) - \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 - d|\Omega| < 0,$$

since  $\frac{\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) - \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)}{2} < \alpha$ . Thus the functional  $I$  is bounded from above on  $H_k$ . Next we will prove that (4.2) and (4.3) hold. To get our claim (4.2), it is enough to prove that:

$$\lim_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty}} I(u) = +\infty.$$



We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty}} I(u) \\
 \geq & \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r}{\lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)}\right) \|u\|^2 - \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty}} \int_{\Omega} G_r(u) dx \\
 \geq & \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r}{\lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)}\right) \|u\|^2 - \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{1}{2} \beta \int_{\Omega} u^2 - \bar{b} |\Omega| \\
 \geq & \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r}{\lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)} - \frac{\beta}{\lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)}\right) \|u\|^2 - \bar{b} |\Omega| \\
 & \longrightarrow +\infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

since there exists  $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $G_r(u) < \frac{1}{2}\beta u^2 + \bar{b}$ , and

$$\beta < \frac{\lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c) - \lambda_k(\lambda_k - c)}{2}.$$

Now we will prove (4.3). Since  $\lambda_{k+m}(\lambda_{k+m} - c) < g'(0) < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$  and  $g'(t) \leq \gamma < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$ , there exists  $\lambda_{k+m}(\lambda_{k+m} - c) < \bar{\gamma} < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$  and  $\bar{d} \geq 0$  such that  $G(u) < \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2}u^2 + \bar{d}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \inf_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| < R}} I(u) &= \inf_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| < R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} G(u) \right\} \\
 &> \inf_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| < R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)}\right) \|u\|^2 - \bar{d} |\Omega| \right\} \\
 &> -\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 4.3. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists  $\rho_k > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_k \\ \|u\| = \rho_k}} I(u) < 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $L_\infty : H \rightarrow H$  be the linear operator defined by

$$(L_\infty u, v) = (\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u)v - g'(\infty) \int_{\Omega} uv dx,$$

where  $\lambda_{i+1}(\lambda_{i+1} - c) < \lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) < g'(\infty) < \lambda_{k+1}(\lambda_{k+1} - c)$ ,  $k > i + 1$ . Then  $L_\infty$  is an isomorphism. The spaces  $H_k$ , and  $H_k^\perp$  are the negative

space of  $L_\infty$  and the positive space of  $L_\infty$  respectively, and

$$H = H_k \oplus H_k^\perp.$$

Set  $G_\infty(s) = G(s) - \frac{1}{2}g'(\infty)s^2$ . Then

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}(L_\infty u, u) - \int_\Omega G_\infty(s)dx.$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.2,  $\lim_{\substack{u \in H \\ u \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\|u\|^2} \int_\Omega G_\infty(u)dx \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k \\ u \rightarrow 0}} \frac{I(u)}{\|u\|^2} &< \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k \\ u \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{2\|u\|^2} [\lambda_k(\lambda_k - c) - g'(\infty)] \int_\Omega u^2 \\ &- \lim_{\substack{u \in H_k \\ u \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\|u\|^2} \int_\Omega G_\infty(u)dx < 0. \end{aligned}$$

thus there exists  $\rho_k > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_k \\ \|u\| = \rho_k}} < 0.$$

□

LEMMA 4.4. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1,*

$$\inf_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp, \sigma \geq 0 \\ \|z - \sigma e_1\| = R_k}} I(z - \sigma e_1) \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2, there exists  $R_k > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| = R_k}} I(u) > 0.$$

To get our claim, it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp, \sigma \geq 0 \\ \|z - \sigma e_1\| \rightarrow +\infty}} I(z - \sigma e_1) = +\infty. \quad (4.4)$$

To prove (4.4), we need to show that

$$\max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp \\ \|z\| = 1}} \int z^2 = \max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp, \sigma \geq 0 \\ \|z - \sigma e_1\| = 1}} \int (z - \sigma e_1)^2. \quad (4.5)$$

In fact, we have immediately  $\max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp \\ \|z\| = 1}} \int z^2 \leq \max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp, \sigma \geq 0 \\ \|z - \sigma e_1\| = 1}} \int (z - \sigma e_1)^2$ . Now we prove that  $\max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp \\ \|z\| = 1}} \int z^2 \geq \max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp, \sigma \geq 0 \\ \|z - \sigma e_1\| = 1}} \int (z - \sigma e_1)^2$ .

If  $\sigma > 0$ , then

$$2 \int (z - \sigma e_1)v = \nu(z - \sigma e_1, v), \quad \forall v \in H_1 \oplus H_k^\perp.$$

Taking  $v = z - \sigma e_1$  we get  $\nu = 2 \int (z - \sigma e_1)^2$  and taking  $v = e_1$  we also get

$$0 \leq 2 \int (z - \sigma e_1)e_1 = 2 \int (z - \sigma e_1)^2(z - \sigma e_1, e_1) = -2\sigma \int (z - \sigma e_1)^2 < 0$$

which gives a contradiction. Then  $z - \sigma e_1 = z \in H_k^\perp$  and so

$$\max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp \\ \|z - \sigma e_1\| = 1}} \int (z - \sigma e_1)^2 = \max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp \\ \|z\| = 1}} \int z^2.$$

Thus we proved (4.5). Now we prove (4.4). For some constant  $\beta, b \geq 0$ , we have  $G_\infty(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}s^2 + b$ , where  $G_\infty(s) = \int_0^s g_\infty(\sigma)d\sigma$ ,  $g_\infty(s) = g(s) - g'(\infty)s$ . For  $z \in H_k^\perp$  and  $\sigma \geq 0$ , by (4.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & I(z - \sigma e_1) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \|z - \sigma e_1\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} g'(\infty) \int_\Omega (z - \sigma e_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta \int_\Omega (z - \sigma e_1)^2 - b|\Omega| \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \|z - \sigma e_1\|^2 (1 - g'(\infty)) \int \frac{(z - \sigma e_1)^2}{\|z - \sigma e_1\|^2} - \beta \int \frac{(z - \sigma e_1)^2}{\|z - \sigma e_1\|^2} - b|\Omega| \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \|z - \sigma e_1\|^2 (1 - (g'(\infty) + \beta)) \max_{z \in H_k^\perp, \sigma \geq 0} \int \frac{(z - \sigma e_1)^2}{\|z - \sigma e_1\|^2} - b|\Omega| \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \|z - \sigma e_1\|^2 (1 - (g'(\infty) + \beta)) \max_{\substack{z \in H_k^\perp \\ \|z\| = 1}} \int z^2 - b|\Omega| \longrightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as  $\|z - \sigma e_1\| \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus we proved the lemma.  $\square$

From Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 we have

LEMMA 4.5. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists  $\rho_k > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_k \\ \|u\| = \rho_k}} I(u) \leq \inf_{z \in \Sigma(-e_1, H_k^\perp)} I(z - \sigma e_1),$$

where  $\Sigma(-e_1, H_k^\perp) = \{z \in H_k^\perp \mid \|z\| \leq R_k\} \cup \{z - \sigma e_1 \mid z \in H_k^\perp, \sigma \geq 0, \|z - \sigma e_1\| = R_k\}$ , with  $R_k > \rho_k$ .

LEMMA 4.6. *Let  $G_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that*

$$\inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{G_0(s)}{1+s^2} > -\infty, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_0(s)}{s^2} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u \in H}} \frac{1}{\|u\|^2} \int_{\Omega} G_0(u) dx \geq 0.$$

*Proof.*

$$h(s) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{G_0(s)}{s^2}\right)^- & \text{if } s \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded, continuous, with  $h(0) = 0$  and  $G_0(s) \geq -h(s)s^2$ . If  $(u_n)$  is a sequence in  $H$  with  $u_n \rightarrow 0$ , then up to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  a.e., and  $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$  is strongly convergent in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{\|u_n\|^2} \int_{\Omega} G_0(u_n) dx \geq - \int_{\Omega} h(u_n) v_n^2 dx,$$

the claim follows.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.7. *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists  $\rho_{k+m} > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\ \|u\| = \rho_{k+m}}} I(u) < \inf_{z \in \Sigma(e_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^\perp)} I(z),$$

where  $\Sigma(e_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^\perp) = \{w \in H_{k+m}^\perp \mid \|w\| \leq R_{k+m}\} \cup \{w + \sigma e_{k+m} \mid w \in H_{k+m}^\perp, \sigma \geq 0, \|w + \sigma e_{k+m}\| = R_{k+m}\}$  with  $R_{k+m} > \rho_{k+m}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\ \|u\| = \rho_{k+m}, \rho \rightarrow 0}} I(u) < 0. \quad (4.4)$$

From the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,  $\lambda_{k+m}(\lambda_{k+m} - c) < g'(0) < \lambda_{k+m+1}(\lambda_{k+m+1} - c)$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $L_0 : H \rightarrow H$  be the linear operator defined by

$$(L_0 u, v) = (\Delta^2 u + c \Delta u) v - g'(0) \int_{\Omega} u v dx.$$

Then  $L_0$  is an isomorphism. The space  $H_{k+m}$ ,  $H_{k+m}^\perp$  are the negative space of  $L_0$  and the positive space of  $L_0$ , respectively, and

$$H = H_{k+m} \oplus H_{k+m}^\perp.$$

Set  $G_0(s) = G(s) - \frac{1}{2}g'(0)s^2$ . Then

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}(L_0u, u) - \int_{\Omega} G_0(u)dx.$$

Note that  $\inf \frac{G_0(s)}{1+s^2} > -\infty$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_0(s)}{s^2} \geq 0$ . Thus by Lemma 4.1,  $\lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u \in H}} \frac{1}{\|u\|^2} \int_{\Omega} G_0(u)dx \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u \in H_{k+m}}} \frac{I(u)}{\|u\|^2} &< \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u \in H_{k+m}}} \frac{1}{2\|u\|^2} [\lambda_{k+m}(\lambda_{k+m} - c) - g'(0)] \int_{\Omega} u^2 \\ &- \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u \in H_{k+m}}} \frac{1}{\|u\|^2} \int_{\Omega} G_0(u)dx < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists  $\rho_{k+m} > 0$  such that  $\sup_{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\ \|u\| = \rho_{k+m}, \rho \rightarrow 0}} I(u) < 0$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $\inf_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| = R_k}} I(u) > 0$ . Thus we have

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\ \|u\| = \rho_{k+m}, \rho_{k+m} \rightarrow 0}} I(u) < \inf_{\substack{u \in H_k^\perp \\ \|u\| = R_k}} I(u)$$

with  $R_k > \rho_{k+m}$ . In other words, there exists

$$e_{k+m} \in \text{Span}\{\phi_{k+1}, \dots, \phi_{k+m}\}$$

such that

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\ \|u\| = \rho_{k+m}, \rho_{k+m} \rightarrow 0}} I(u) < \inf_{\substack{u \in H_{k+m}^\perp \oplus e_{k+m} \\ e_{k+m} \in \text{Span}\{\phi_{k+1}, \dots, \phi_{k+n}\}, \|u\| = R_{k+m}}} I(u).$$

□

### PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. AND THEOREM 1.2.

By Lemma 4.5, there exists  $\rho_k > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_k \\ \|u\| = \rho_k}} I(u) \leq \inf_{z \in \Sigma(-e_1, H_k^\perp)} I(z - \sigma e_1),$$

where  $\Sigma(-e_1, H_k^\perp) = \{z \in H_k^\perp \mid \|z\| \leq R_k\} \cup \{z - \sigma e_1 \mid z \in H_k^\perp, \sigma \geq 0, \|z - \sigma e_1\| = R_k\}$ , with  $R_k > \rho_k$ . By Lemma 4.7, there exists  $\rho_{k+m} > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in H_{k+m} \\ \|u\| = \rho_{k+m}}} I(u) < \inf_{z \in \Sigma(e_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^\perp)} I(z),$$

where  $\Sigma(e_{k+m}, H_{k+m}^\perp) = \{w \in H_{k+m}^\perp \mid \|w\| \leq R_{k+m}\} \cup \{w + \sigma e_{k+m} \mid w \in H_{k+m}^\perp, \sigma \geq 0, \|w + \sigma e_{k+m}\| = R_{k+m}\}$  with  $R_{k+m} > \rho_{k+m}$  and  $R_k >$

$R_{k+m}$ . Thus by linking scale theorem 2.1., (1.1) has at least three solutions.

### References

- [1] H. Amann, *A note on the degree theory for gradient mappings*. Proc. AMS **85** (1982), 591-595.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory*, J. Funct. Analysis **14** (1973), 343-381.
- [3] K. C. Chang, *Infinite dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems*, Birkhäuser, (1993).
- [4] R. Chiappinelli and D. G. De Figueiredo, *Bifurcation from infinity and multiple solutions for an elliptic system*, Differential Integral Equations **6** (1993), 757-771.
- [5] Q. H. Choi and T. Jung, *An application of a variational linking theorem to a nonlinear biharmonic equation*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA. 2001, in press.
- [6] Q. H. Choi and T. Jung, *Multiplicity of solutions and source terms in a fourth order nonlinear elliptic equation*, Acta Mathematica Scientia **19**(4) (1999), 361-374.
- [7] Q. H. Choi and T. Jung, *Multiplicity results on a fourth order nonlinear elliptic equation*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **29**(1) (1999), 141-164.
- [8] A. C. Lazer and P. J. McKenna, *Large amplitude periodic oscillations in suspension bridge: some new connections with nonlinear analysis*, SIAM Review **32** (1990), 537-578.
- [9] A. Marino and C. Saccon, *Some variational theorems of mixed type and elliptic problem with jumping nonlinearities*, Annali S.N.S. XXV, (1997), 631-665.
- [10] P. J. McKenna and W. Walter, *Travelling waves in a suspension bridge*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **50** (1990), 703-715.
- [11] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS. Regional conf. Ser. Math. **65** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island (1986).
- [12] J. T. Schwartz, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [13] G. Tarantello, *A note on a semilinear elliptic problem*, Differential and Integral Equations, **5** (1992), 561-566 .

\*

Department of Mathematics  
Kunsan National University  
Kunsan 573-701, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* [tsjung@kunsan.ac.kr](mailto:tsjung@kunsan.ac.kr)

\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
Inha University  
Incheon 402-751, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* [qheung@inha.ac.kr](mailto:qheung@inha.ac.kr)