

## INTUITIONISTIC $(T, S)$ -NORMED FUZZY SUBALGEBRAS OF $BCK$ -ALGEBRAS

KYUNG HO KIM\*

ABSTRACT. Using  $t$ -norm  $T$  and  $s$ -norm  $S$ , we introduce the notion of intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra, and some related properties are investigated.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of  $BCK$ -algebras was proposed by Imai and Iséki in 1966. In the same year, Iséki [5] introduced the notion of a  $BCI$ -algebra which is a generalization of a  $BCK$ -algebra. After the introduction of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [10], several researches were conducted on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets. The idea of “intuitionistic fuzzy set” was first published by Atanassov [2, 3], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. In the present paper, Using  $t$ -norm  $T$  and  $s$ -norm  $S$ , we introduce the notion of intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra, and some related properties are investigated.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we include some elementary aspects that are necessary for this paper.

Recall that a  $BCI$ -algebra is an algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  satisfying the following axioms:

$$(I) \quad ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,$$

---

Received July 16, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 03E72.

Key words and phrases:  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra,  $t$ -norm( $s$ -norm),  $T$ -fuzzy subalgebra, ( $S$ -fuzzy subalgebra), intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra, intuitionistic imaginable  $(T, S)$ -fuzzy subalgebra.

The research on which this paper is based was supported by a grant from Chungju National University Research Foundation, 2006.

- (II)  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ ,  
 (III)  $x * x = 0$ , and  
 (IV)  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply  $x = y$

for every  $x, y, z \in X$ . A BCI-algebra  $X$  satisfying the condition

- (V)  $0 * x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$

is called a *BCK-algebra*. In any BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  one can define a partial order “ $\leq$ ” by putting  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ .

A BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  has the following properties:

- (2.1)  $x * 0 = x$ ,  
 (2.2)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ ,  
 (2.3)  $x \leq y$  implies that  $x * z \leq y * z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$ ,  
 (2.4)  $(x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

A non-empty subset  $S$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  is called a *subalgebra* of  $X$  if  $x * y \in S$  whenever  $x, y \in S$ . A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of BCK/BCI-algebras is called a *homomorphism* if  $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $X$  be a BCK/BCI-algebra. A fuzzy set  $f$  in

$X$ , i.e., a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , is called a *fuzzy subalgebra* of  $X$  if  $f(x * y) \geq f(x) \wedge f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Note that if  $f$  is a fuzzy subalgebra of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , then  $f(0) \geq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. [1] By a *t-norm*  $T$ , we mean a function  $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (T1)  $T(x, 1) = x$ ,  
 (T2)  $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$  if  $y \leq z$ ,  
 (T3)  $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$ ,  
 (T4)  $T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z)$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ .

A function  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *fuzzy subalgebra* of  $X$  with respect to a *t-norm*  $T$  (briefly, a *T-fuzzy subalgebra* of  $X$ ) if

$$\mu(x * y) \geq T(\mu(x), \mu(y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Every *t-norm*  $T$  has a useful property:

$$T(\alpha, \beta) \leq \min(\alpha, \beta) \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1].$$

DEFINITION 2.2. [9] By a  $s$ -norm  $S$ , we mean a function  $S : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (S1)  $S(x, 0) = x$ ,
- (S2)  $S(x, y) \leq S(x, z)$  if  $y \leq z$ ,
- (S3)  $S(x, y) = S(y, x)$ ,
- (S4)  $S(x, S(y, z)) = S(S(x, y), z)$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ .

Every  $s$ -norm  $S$  has a useful property:

$$\max(\alpha, \beta) \leq S(\alpha, \beta) \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1].$$

For a  $t$ -norm (or  $s$ -norm)  $P$  on  $[0, 1]$ , denote by  $\Delta_P$  the set of element  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  such that  $P(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$ , i.e.,  $\Delta_P := \{\alpha \in [0, 1] \mid P(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha\}$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $P$  be a  $t$ -norm (or  $s$ -norm). A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is said to satisfy *imaginable property with respect to  $P$*  if  $\text{Im}(\mu) \subseteq \Delta_P$ .

A function  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *fuzzy subalgebra* of  $X$  with respect to a  $s$ -norm  $S$  (briefly, a  *$S$ -fuzzy subalgebra* of  $X$ ) if

$$\mu(x * y) \leq S(\mu(x), \mu(y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Let  $X$  denote a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra. An *intuitionistic fuzzy set* (IFS for short)  $A$  is an object having the form

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$$

where the functions  $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  denote the degree of membership (namely  $\mu_A(x)$ ) and the degree of nonmembership (namely  $\gamma_A(x)$ ) of each element  $x \in X$  to the set  $A$ , respectively, and  $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  for the IFS  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ .

### 3. Intuitionistic $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebras

In what follows, let  $X$  denote a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra unless otherwise specified.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  be a  $s$ -norm. An IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  in  $X$  is called an *intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebras of  $X$*  if

$$\mu_A(x * y) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)) \text{ and } \gamma_A(x * y) \leq S(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:

$*$	$0$	$a$	$b$	$c$
$0$	$0$	$0$	$0$	$0$
$a$	$a$	$0$	$0$	$a$
$b$	$b$	$a$	$0$	$b$
$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$0$

Let  $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by

$$T(\alpha, \beta) = \max(\alpha + \beta - 1, 0)$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$  and  $S : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by

$$S(\alpha, \beta) = \min(\alpha + \beta, 1)$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $T$  is a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  is a  $s$ -norm. Define an intuitionistic fuzzy set IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  by  $\mu_A(0) = \mu_A(b) = \mu_A(c) = 1, \mu_A(a) = 0$  and  $\gamma_A(0) = \gamma_A(b) = \gamma_A(c) = 0, \gamma_A(a) = 1$ . Then IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

DEFINITION 3.3. Let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  be a  $s$ -norm on  $[0, 1]$ . An intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is called an *intuitionistic imaginable  $(T, S)$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$*  if  $\mu_A$  and  $\gamma_A$  satisfy the imaginable property with respect to  $T$  and  $S$  respectively.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:

$*$	$0$	$a$	$b$	$c$
$0$	$0$	$0$	$0$	$0$
$a$	$a$	$0$	$0$	$0$
$b$	$b$	$b$	$0$	$0$
$c$	$c$	$c$	$b$	$0$

Define an intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  by

$$\mu_A(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \{0, a\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_A(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \{0, a\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and let  $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by

$$T(\alpha, \beta) = \max(\alpha + \beta - 1, 0)$$

and and  $S : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by

$$S(\alpha, \beta) = \min(\alpha + \beta, 1)$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $T$  is a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  is a  $s$ -norm. By routine calculations, we know that  $\mu_A(x * y) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y))$  and  $\gamma_A(x * y) \leq S(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $\text{Im}(\mu_A) \subseteq \Delta_T$  and  $\text{Im}(\gamma_A) \subseteq \Delta_S$ . Hence  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic imaginable  $(T, S)$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *Let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  be a  $s$ -norm on  $[0, 1]$ . If IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic imaginable  $(T, S)$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , then we have*

$$\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \text{ and } \gamma_A(0) \leq \gamma_A(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* For every  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\mu_A(0) = \mu_A(x * x) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(x)) = \mu_A(x),$$

and

$$\gamma_A(0) = \gamma_A(x * x) \leq S(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) = \gamma_A(x).$$

This completes the proof. □

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  be a  $s$ -norm. If IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic imaginable  $(T, S)$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , then the set*

$$X_A = \{x \in X \mid \mu_A(x) = \mu_A(0), \gamma_A(x) = \gamma_A(0)\}$$

is a subalgebra of  $BCK$ -algebra  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  be a  $s$ -norm. Let  $x, y \in X_A$ . Then  $\mu_A(x) = \mu_A(y) = \mu_A(0)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) = \gamma_A(y) = \gamma_A(0)$ . Since  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic imaginable  $(T, S)$ -fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , it follows that

$$\mu_A(x * y) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)) = T(\mu_A(0), \mu_A(0)) = \mu_A(0),$$

$$\gamma_A(x * y) \leq S(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)) = S(\gamma_A(0), \gamma_A(0)) = \gamma_A(0)$$

so that  $\mu_A(x * y) = \mu_A(0)$  and  $\gamma_A(x * y) = \gamma_A(0)$ . Thus  $x * y \in X_A$ , and consequently  $X_A$  is a subalgebra of  $BCK$ -algebra  $X$ . □

Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an IFS in  $X$  and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then the sets

$$U(\mu_A; \alpha) := \{x \in X : \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha\}$$

and

$$L(\gamma_A; \alpha) := \{x \in X : \gamma_A(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

are called a  $\mu$ -level  $\alpha$ -cut and a  $\gamma$ -level  $\alpha$ -cut of  $A$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  be a  $s$ -norm and let IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . then we have*

(i) *if  $\alpha = 1$ , then the upper level set  $U(\mu_A; \alpha)$  is either empty or a subalgebra of  $X$ .*

(ii) *if  $\alpha = 0$ , then the lower level set  $L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$  is either empty or a subalgebra of  $X$ .*

(iii) *if  $T = \min$ , then the upper level set  $U(\mu_A; \alpha)$  is either empty or subalgebra of  $X$ .*

(iv) *if  $S = \max$ , then the lower level set  $L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$  is either empty or subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $\alpha = 1$  and let  $x, y \in U(\mu_A; \alpha)$ . Then  $\mu_A(x) \geq \alpha = 1$  and  $\mu_A(y) \geq \alpha = 1$ . It follows that  $\mu_A(x * y) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)) \geq T(1, 1) = 1$  so that  $x * y \in U(\mu_A; \alpha)$ . Hence  $U(\mu_A; \alpha)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  when  $\alpha = 1$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\alpha = 0$  and let  $x, y \in L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$ . Then  $\gamma_A(x) \leq \alpha = 0$  and  $\gamma_A(y) \leq \alpha = 0$ . It follows that  $\gamma_A(x * y) \leq S(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)) \leq S(0, 0) = 0$  so that  $x * y \in L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$ . Hence  $L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  when  $\alpha = 0$ .

(iii) Assume that  $T = \min$  and let  $x, y \in U(\mu_A; \alpha)$ . Then

$$\mu_A(x * y) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)) = \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)) \geq \min(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$$

for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Hence  $x * y \in U(\mu_A; \alpha)$  and so  $U(\mu_A; \alpha)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

(iv) Assume that  $S = \max$  and let  $x, y \in L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$ . Then

$$\gamma_A(x * y) \leq S(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)) = \max(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)) \leq \max(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$$

for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Hence  $x * y \in L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$  and so  $L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy set in  $X$  and  $\theta$  is a mapping from  $X$  into itself, we define a mapping  $\mu[\theta] : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\mu[\theta](x) = \mu(\theta(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  be a  $s$ -norm. Let  $\theta$  be an endomorphism of  $X$ . If  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , then  $B = (\mu_A[\theta], \gamma_A[\theta])$  is an intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A[\theta](x * y) &= \mu_A(\theta(x * y)) = \mu_A(\theta(x) * \theta(y)) \\ &\geq T(\mu_A(\theta(x)), \mu_A(\theta(y))) = T(\mu_A[\theta](x), \mu_A[\theta](y)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have for any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_A[\theta](x * y) &= \gamma_A(\theta(x * y)) = \gamma_A(\theta(x) * \theta(y)) \\ &\leq S(\gamma_A(\theta(x)), \gamma_A(\theta(y))) = S(\gamma_A[\theta](x), \gamma_A[\theta](y)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

**THEOREM 3.9.** *Let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm and  $S$  be a  $s$ -norm let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an IFS in  $X$  such that the non-empty sets  $U(\mu_A; \alpha)$  and  $L(\gamma_A; \alpha)$  are subalgebras of  $X$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic  $(T, S)$ -normed fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  such that

$$\mu_A(x_0 * y_0) < T(\mu_A(x_0), \mu_A(y_0)).$$

Taking  $\alpha_0 := \frac{1}{2}(\mu_A(x_0 * y_0) + T(\mu_A(x_0), \mu_A(y_0)))$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\mu_A(x_0), \mu_A(y_0)) &\geq T(\mu_A(x_0), \mu_A(y_0)) \\ &\geq \alpha_0 > \mu_A(x_0 * y_0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $x_0, y_0 \in U(\mu_A; \alpha_0)$  and  $x_0 * y_0 \notin U(\mu_A; \alpha_0)$ . Hence  $\mu_A$  satisfies the inequality  $\mu_A(x * y) \geq T(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Similarly, suppose that there exists  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  such that

$$\gamma_A(x_0 * y_0) > S(\gamma_A(x_0), \gamma_A(y_0)).$$

Taking  $\beta_0 := \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_A(x_0 * y_0) + S(\gamma_A(x_0), \gamma_A(y_0)))$ , then

$$\max(\gamma_A(x_0), \gamma_A(y_0)) \leq S(\gamma_A(x_0), \gamma_A(y_0)) \leq \beta_0 < \gamma_A(x_0 * y_0).$$

It follows that  $x_0, y_0 \in L(\gamma_A; \beta_0)$  and  $x_0 * y_0 \notin L(\gamma_A; \beta_0)$ . This is a contradiction and hence  $\gamma_A$  satisfies the inequality  $\gamma_A(x * y) \leq S(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . □

### References

- [1] M. T. Abu Osman, *On some product of fuzzy subgroups*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **24** (1987), 79-86.
- [2] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **20** (No.1)(1986), 87-96.
- [3] K. Atanassov, *New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **61** (1994), 137-142.
- [4] D. Çoker, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **88** (1997), 81-89.
- [5] K. Iséki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc. Japan. Acad. **42** (1966), 351-366.
- [6] K. Iséki, *On BCI-algebras*, Seminar Notes (presently Kobe J. Math) **8** (1980), 125-130.
- [7] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 313-334.
- [8] Y. H. Yon and K. H. Kim, *On intuitionistic fuzzy filters and ideals of lattices*, Far East J Math. Sci.(FJMS) **1** (3), (1999), 429-442.
- [9] Y. Yu, J. N. Mordeson and S. C. Cheng, *Elements of L-algebra*, Lecture Notes in Fuzzy Math. and Computer Sciences, Creighton Univ., Omaha, Nebraska 68178, USA (1994).
- [10] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control, **8** (1965), 338-353.

\*

Department of Mathematics,  
Chungju National University  
Chungju 380-702, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: ghkim@cjnu.ac.kr