

## LIFTING $T$ -STRUCTURES AND THEIR DUALS

YEON SOO YOON\*

ABSTRACT. We define and study a concept of  $T^f$ -space for a map, which is a generalized one of a  $T$ -space, in terms of the Gottlieb set for a map. We show that  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space if and only if  $G(\Sigma B; A, f, X) = [\Sigma B, X]$  for any space  $B$ . For a principal fibration  $E_k \rightarrow X$  induced by  $k : X \rightarrow X'$  from  $\epsilon : PX' \rightarrow X'$ , we obtain a sufficient condition to having a lifting  $T^f$ -structure on  $E_k$  of a  $T^f$ -structure on  $X$ . Also, we define and study a concept of co- $T^g$ -space for a map, which is a dual one of  $T^f$ -space for a map. We obtain a dual result for a principal cofibration  $i_r : X \rightarrow C_r$  induced by  $r : X' \rightarrow X$  from  $\iota : X' \rightarrow cX'$ .

### 1. Introduction

In [1], Aguade introduced a  $T$ -space as a space  $X$  having the property that the evaluation fibration  $\Omega X \rightarrow X^{S^1} \rightarrow X$  is fibre homotopically trivial. It is easy to show that any  $H$ -space is a  $T$ -space. However, there are many  $T$ -spaces which are not  $H$ -spaces in [16]. Let  $\Sigma X$  denotes the reduced suspension of  $X$ , and  $\Omega X$  denotes the based loop space of  $X$ . Let  $\tau$  be the adjoint functor from the group  $[\Sigma X, Y]$  to the group  $[X, \Omega Y]$ . The symbols  $e$  and  $e'$  denote  $\tau^{-1}(1_{\Omega X})$  and  $\tau(1_{\Sigma X})$  respectively. In [16], Woo and Yoon showed that the concept of  $T$ -space is closely related by the Gottlieb set  $G(A, X)$ , which is the set of homotopy classes of cyclic maps from  $A$  to  $X$  as follows;  $X$  is a  $T$ -space if and only if  $G(\Sigma B, X) = [\Sigma B, X]$  for any space  $B$ . Also, we introduced and showed [16] that a concept of co- $T$ -space as a dual one of  $T$ -space, which is closely related by the dual Gottlieb set  $DG(X, A)$  which is the set of homotopy classes of cocyclic maps from  $X$  to  $A$  as follows;  $X$  is a co- $T$ -space if and only if  $DG(X; \Omega B) = [X, \Omega B]$  for any space  $B$ . In [12], Oda introduced the concept of  $f$ -cyclic map as a generalization of that

---

Received June 30, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 55P45, 55P35.

Key words and phrases:  $T^f$ -spaces for maps, co- $T^g$ -spaces for maps .

This work was supported by Hannam University Research Fund, 2006.

of cyclic map. We called [21] the set of all homotopy classes of  $f$ -cyclic maps from  $B$  to  $X$  as the Gottlieb set  $G(B; A, f, X)$  for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ . In general,  $G(B, X) \subset G(B; A, f, X) \subset [B, X]$  for any map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  and any space  $B$ . However, it is known [19] that  $G(S^5, S^5 \times S^5) \cong 2Z \oplus 2Z \neq G(S^5; S^5, i_1, S^5 \times S^5) \cong 2Z \oplus Z \neq [S^5, S^5 \times S^5] \cong Z \oplus Z$ , where  $i_1 : S^5 \rightarrow S^5 \times S^5$  is the inclusion. In [18], we introduced the set of all homotopy classes of  $g$ -cocyclic maps from  $X$  to  $B$  as the dual Gottlieb set  $DG(X, g, A; B)$  for a map  $g : X \rightarrow A$ . In general,  $DG(X, B) \subset DG(X, g, A; B) \subset [X, B]$  for any map  $g : X \rightarrow A$  and any space  $B$ . We also showed [20] that  $DG(S^n \times S^n, K(Z, n)) \neq DG(S^n \times S^n, p_1, S^n; K(Z, n)) \neq [S^n \times S^n, K(Z, n)]$  for all  $n$ , where  $p_1 : S^n \times S^n \rightarrow S^n$  is the projection.

In this paper, we introduce a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  as a space  $X$  having the property that  $e : \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$  is  $f$ -cyclic, that is, there is a  $T^f$ -structure  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$  on  $X$ . We show that  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space if and only if  $G(\Sigma B; A, f, X) = [\Sigma B, X]$  for any space  $B$ . There is an example which is a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ , but not  $T$ -space. We can also obtain, from some properties of  $T^f$ -spaces, that for any  $x \in \pi_n(S^2)$ ,  $\alpha \in \pi_k(S^2)$ ,  $[x, \alpha] = 0$  for all  $n \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . It is known [16] that if  $X$  dominates  $A$  and  $X$  is a  $T$ -space, then  $A$  is a  $T$ -space. This fact can be generalized as follows. If  $X$  is a  $T^i$ -space for a map  $i : A \rightarrow X$  and  $i : A \rightarrow X$  has a left homotopy inverse  $r : X \rightarrow A$ , then  $A$  is a  $T$ -space. Moreover, let  $p_k : E_k \rightarrow X$  be a principal fibration induced by  $k : X \rightarrow X'$  from  $\epsilon : PX' \rightarrow X'$ . Let  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$  be a  $T^f$ -structure on  $X$ . When can we have a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -structure  $\bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  on  $E_k$  such that  $p_k \bar{F} \sim F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$ ? We can obtain an answer of the above question as follows. If  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space with  $T^f$ -structure  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$  and  $X'$  is a  $T^{f'}$ -space with  $T^{f'}$ -structure  $F' : \Sigma\Omega X' \times A' \rightarrow X'$  such that  $kF \sim F'(\Sigma\Omega k \times l) : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X'$ , then there exists a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -structure  $\bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  on  $E_k$  such that  $p_k \bar{F} \sim F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$ . As a corollary, we can obtain a sufficient condition to be  $E_k$  a  $T$ -space when  $X$  and  $X'$  are  $T$ -spaces.

On the other hand, we introduce a dual one of the above concept, co- $T^g$ -space for a map  $g : X \rightarrow A$  as a space  $X$  having the property that  $e' : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X$  is a  $g$ -cocyclic, that is, there is a co- $T^g$ -structure  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee A$ . We show that  $X$  is a co- $T^g$ -space if and only if  $DG(X, g, A; \Omega B) = [X, \Omega B]$  for any space  $B$ . It is known [16] that if  $X$  dominates  $A$  and  $X$  is a co- $T$ -space, then  $A$  is a co- $T$ -space. This fact can be generalized as follows. If  $X$  is a co- $T^r$ -space for a map  $r : X \rightarrow A$  and  $r : X \rightarrow A$  has a right homotopy inverse  $i : A \rightarrow X$ , then

$A$  is a co- $T$ -space. Moreover, let  $i_r : X \rightarrow C_r$  be a principal cofibration induced by  $r : X' \rightarrow X$  from  $\iota : X' \rightarrow cX'$ . Let  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee A$  be a co- $T^g$ -structure on  $X$ . When can we have a co- $T^{\bar{g}}$ -structure on  $C_r$  such that  $(\Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_s)\theta \sim \bar{\theta}i_r : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_s$ ? Then we show that if  $X$  is a co- $T^g$ -space with co- $T^g$ -structure  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee A$  and  $X'$  is a co- $T^{g'}$ -space with co- $T^{g'}$ -structure  $\theta' : X' \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X' \vee A'$  such that  $(\Omega\Sigma r \vee s)\theta' \sim \theta r : X' \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee A$ , then there exists a co- $T^{\bar{g}}$ -structure  $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_s$  on  $C_r$  such that  $(\Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_s)\theta \sim \bar{\theta}i_r : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_s$ . As a corollary, we can obtain a sufficient condition to be  $C_r$  a co- $T$ -space when  $X$  and  $X'$  are co- $T$ -spaces.

### 2. Lifting $T^f$ -structures

Let  $f : A \rightarrow X$  be a map. A based map  $g : B \rightarrow X$  is called *f-cyclic* [12] if there is a map  $\phi : B \times A \rightarrow X$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ j \uparrow & & \nabla \uparrow \\ A \vee B & \xrightarrow{(f \vee g)} & X \vee X \end{array}$$

is homotopy commute, where  $j : A \vee B \rightarrow A \times B$  is the inclusion and  $\nabla : X \vee X \rightarrow X$  is the folding map. We call such a map  $\phi$  an *associated map* of a *f-cyclic* map  $g$ . Clearly,  $g$  is *f-cyclic* iff  $f$  is *g-cyclic*. In the case  $f = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$ , a map  $g : B \rightarrow X$  is called *cyclic* [15]. We denote the set of all homotopy classes of *f-cyclic* maps from  $B$  to  $X$  by  $G(B; A, f, X)$  which is called the *Gottlieb set for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$* . In the case  $f = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$ , we called such a set  $G(B; X, 1, X)$  as the *Gottlieb set*, denoted by  $G(B; X)$ . In particular,  $G(S^n; A, f, X)$  will be denoted by  $G_n(A, f, X)$ . Gottlieb [3,4] introduced and studied the *evaluation subgroups*  $G_n(X) = G_n(X, 1, X)$  of  $\pi_n(X)$ .

In general,  $G(B; X) \subset G(B; A, f, X) \subset [B, X]$  for any map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  and any space  $B$ . However, there is an example [19] such that  $G(B, X) \neq G(B; A, f, X) \neq [B, X]$ . Thus we know that for any map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ , any cyclic map  $g : B \rightarrow X$  is *f-cyclic*, but the converse does not hold.

The next proposition is an immediate consequence from the definition.

PROPOSITION 2.1.

- (1) For any maps  $f : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $\theta : C \rightarrow A$  and any space  $B$ ,  $G(B; A, f, X) \subset G(B; C, f\theta, X)$ .
- (2)  $G(B, X) = G(B; X, 1_X, X) \subset G(B; A, f, X) \subset G(B; A, *, X) = [B, X]$  for any spaces  $X$ ,  $A$  and  $B$ .
- (3)  $G(B, X) = \cap\{G(B; A, f, X) | f : A \rightarrow X \text{ is a map and } A \text{ is a space}\}$ .
- (4) If  $h : C \rightarrow A$  is a homotopy equivalence, then  $G(B; A, f, X) = G(B; C, fh, X)$ .
- (5) For any map  $k : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $k_{\#}(G(B; A, f, X)) \subset G(B; A, kf, Y)$ .
- (6) For any map  $k : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $k_{\#}(G(B, X)) \subset G(B; X, k, Y)$ .
- (7) For any map  $s : C \rightarrow B$ ,  $s^{\#}(G(B; A, f, X)) \subset G(C; A, f, X)$ .

The following proposition says that  $T$ -spaces are completely characterized by the Gottlieb sets.

PROPOSITION 2.2. [16]  $X$  is a  $T$ -space if and only if  $G(\Sigma B, X) = [\Sigma B, X]$  for any space  $B$ .

Aguade showed [1] that  $X$  is a  $T$ -space if and only if  $e : \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$  is cyclic. Now, for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ , we would like to introduce new spaces which can be characterized by the Gottlieb sets for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A space  $X$  is called a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  if there is a map,  $T^f$ -structure on  $X$ ,  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$  such that  $Fj \sim \nabla(e \vee f)$ , where  $j : \Sigma\Omega X \vee A \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X \times A$  is the inclusion.

Clearly, any  $T$ -space means a  $T^1$ -space. A space  $X$  is called an  $H^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  [20] if there is a map,  $H^f$ -structure on  $X$ ,  $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$  such that  $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$ , where  $j : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$  is the inclusion. We can easily show that any  $H^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  is a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  for we can take a  $T^f$ -structure  $F' = F(e \times 1) : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ , where  $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$  is an  $H^f$ -structure on  $X$ .

The following theorem says that a  $T^f$ -space can be characterized by the Gottlieb sets for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ .

THEOREM 2.4.  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  if and only if  $G(\Sigma B; A, f, X) = [\Sigma B, X]$  for any space  $B$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ . Then there is a map  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$  such that  $Fj \sim \nabla(e \vee f)$ , where  $j : \Sigma\Omega X \vee A \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X \times A$  is the inclusion. Let  $g \in [\Sigma B, X]$ . Consider the map  $G = F(\Sigma\tau(g) \times 1) : \Sigma B \times A \rightarrow X$ . Then  $Gj \sim \nabla(g \vee f)$  and  $g \in G(\Sigma B; A, f, X)$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $G(\Sigma B; A, f, X) =$

$[\Sigma B, X]$  for any space  $B$ . Take  $B = \Omega X$  and consider the map  $e : \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$ . Since  $e \in G(\Sigma \Omega X; A, f, X)$ , we know that the map  $e$  is  $f$ -cyclic and  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ .  $\square$

It is known [16] that if  $X$  dominates  $A$  and  $X$  is a  $T$ -space, then  $A$  is a  $T$ -space. This fact can be generalized as the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $T^i$ -space for a map  $i : A \rightarrow X$ .*

- (1) *If  $i : A \rightarrow X$  has a left homotopy inverse  $r : X \rightarrow A$ , then  $A$  is a  $T$ -space.*
- (2) *If  $i : A \rightarrow X$  has a right homotopy inverse  $r : X \rightarrow A$ , then  $X$  is a  $T$ -space.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $B$  be any space. It is sufficient to show that  $[\Sigma B, A] \subset G(\Sigma B, A)$  for any space  $B$ . Since  $X$  is a  $T^i$ -space for  $i : A \rightarrow X$ , we know, from Theorem 2.4, that  $G(\Sigma B; A, i, X) = [\Sigma B, X]$ . Thus we have, from Proposition 2.1(5), that  $[\Sigma B, A] = r_*[\Sigma B, X] = r_*(G(\Sigma B; A, i, X)) \subset G(\Sigma B; A, ri, A) = G(\Sigma B, A, 1, A) = G(\Sigma B, A)$ . Thus  $A$  is a  $T$ -space. (2) We show that  $[\Sigma B, X] \subset G(\Sigma B, X)$  for any space  $B$ . By Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.1(1), we can obtain that  $[\Sigma B, X] = G(\Sigma B; A, i, X) \subset G(\Sigma B; X, ir, X) = G(\Sigma B; X, 1, X) = G(\Sigma B, X)$ . Thus we know, from Proposition 2.2, that  $X$  is a  $T$ -space.  $\square$

From Proposition 2.1(2),(3), Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.6.**  *$X$  is a  $T$ -space if and only if for any space  $A$  and any map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ .*

**DEFINITION 2.7.** *For a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $P(\Sigma B; A, f, X) = \{\alpha \in [\Sigma B, X] \mid [f_{\#}(\beta), \alpha] = 0 \text{ for any space } C \text{ and any map } \beta \in [\Sigma C, A]\}$ . A space  $X$  is called a  $GW^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$  if for any space  $B$ ,  $P(\Sigma B; A, f, X) = [\Sigma B, X]$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.8.**  *$G(\Sigma B; A, f, X) \subset P(\Sigma B; A, f, X)$  for any space  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $[h] \in G(\Sigma B; A, f, X)$ . Then there is a map  $H : A \times \Sigma B \rightarrow X$  such that  $Hj \sim \nabla(f \vee h)$ , where  $j : A \vee \Sigma B \rightarrow A \times \Sigma B$  is the inclusion. Let  $C$  be a space and  $\beta = [g] \in [\Sigma C, A]$ . Then consider the map  $F = H(g \times 1) : \Sigma C \times \Sigma B \xrightarrow{(g \times 1)} A \times \Sigma B \xrightarrow{H} X$ . Then  $Fj' \sim \nabla(fg \vee h)$ , where  $j' : \Sigma C \vee \Sigma B \rightarrow \Sigma C \times \Sigma B$  is the inclusion. Thus we have  $[f_{\#}(\beta), [h]] = 0$  and  $[h] \in P(\Sigma B; A, f, X)$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.9. *If  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space for a map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ , then  $X$  is a  $GW^f$ -space for  $f : A \rightarrow X$ .*

Consider the natural pairing  $\mu : S^3/S^1 = S^2 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3/S^1 = S^2$ . Thus we know that the Hopf map  $\eta : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$  is cyclic. Thus  $\eta$  is  $e$ -cyclic and  $e$  is  $\eta$ -cyclic, that is,  $S^2$  is a  $T^\eta$ -space. Thus we know that  $S^2$  is a  $GW^\eta$ -space for  $\eta : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ . On the other hand, it is known [16] that  $H$ -spaces and  $T$ -spaces are equivalent in the category of spheres. Thus we know that  $S^2$  is not a  $T$ -space. Moreover, it is known [14] that  $\eta_\# : \pi_n(S^3) \rightarrow \pi_n(S^2)$ ,  $\eta_\#(\beta) = \eta \circ \beta$ , is an isomorphism for  $n \geq 3$ . Thus we have the following example.

EXAMPLE 2.10.

- (1)  $S^2$  is a  $T^\eta$ -space, but not  $T$ -space.
- (2) For any  $x \in \pi_n(S^2)$ ,  $\alpha \in \pi_k(S^2)$  ( $n \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ),  $[x, \alpha] = 0$ .

Let  $f : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $f' : A' \rightarrow X'$ ,  $l : A \rightarrow A'$ ,  $k : X \rightarrow X'$  be maps. Then a pair of maps  $(k, l) : (X, A) \rightarrow (X', A')$  is called a map from  $f$  to  $f'$  if the following diagram is commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ l \downarrow & & k \downarrow \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X'. \end{array}$$

It will be denoted by  $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ .

Given maps  $f : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $f' : A' \rightarrow X'$ , let  $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$  be a map from  $f$  to  $f'$ . Let  $PX'$  and  $PA'$  be the spaces of paths in  $X'$  and  $A'$  which begin at  $*$  respectively. Let  $\epsilon_{X'} : PX' \rightarrow X'$  and  $\epsilon_{A'} : PA' \rightarrow A'$  be the fibrations given by evaluating a path at its end point. Let  $p_k : E_k \rightarrow X$  be the fibration induced by  $k : X \rightarrow X'$  from  $\epsilon_{X'}$ . Let  $p_l : E_l \rightarrow A$  induced by  $l : A \rightarrow A'$  from  $\epsilon_{A'}$ . Then there is a map  $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & E_k \\ p_l \downarrow & & p_k \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & X, \end{array}$$

where  $E_l = \{(a, \xi) \in A \times PA' | l(a) = \epsilon(\xi)\}$ ,  $E_k = \{(x, \eta) \in X \times PX' | k(x) = \epsilon(\eta)\}$ ,  $\bar{f}(a, \xi) = (f(a), f' \circ \xi)$ ,  $p_k(x, \eta) = x$ ,  $p_l(a, \xi) = a$ .

DEFINITION 2.11. *Let  $X$  be a  $T^f$ -space with  $T^f$ -structure  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ . A map  $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$  is called a  $T^f$ -primitive with respect to*

$F$  if there is an associate map  $F' : \Sigma\Omega X' \times A' \rightarrow X'$  of  $e_{X'}$ -cyclic map  $f'$  such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\Omega X \times A & \xrightarrow{F} & X \\ \Sigma\Omega k \times l \downarrow & & k \downarrow \\ \Sigma\Omega X' \times A' & \xrightarrow{F'} & X'. \end{array}$$

The following lemmas are standard.

LEMMA 2.12. *A map  $l : C \rightarrow X$  can be lifted to a map  $C \rightarrow E_k$  and only if  $kl \sim *$ .*

LEMMA 2.13. [5] *Given maps  $g_i : A_i \rightarrow E_k$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $g : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow E_k$  satisfying  $p_k g|_{A_i} \sim p_k g_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then there is a map  $h : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow E_k$  such that  $p_k h = p_k g$  and  $h|_{A_i} \sim g_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .*

THEOREM 2.14. *If  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space with  $T^f$ -structure  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$  and  $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$  is a  $T^f$ -primitive with respect to  $F$ , then there exists a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -structure  $\bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  on  $E_k$  such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} & E_k \\ \Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l \downarrow & & p_k \downarrow \\ \Sigma\Omega X \times A & \xrightarrow{F} & X. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Since  $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$  is a  $T^f$ -primitive with respect to  $F$ , there is a map  $F' : \Sigma\Omega X' \times A' \rightarrow X'$  such that  $kF \sim F'(\Sigma\Omega k \times l) : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X'$ . Then  $kF(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) \sim F'(\Sigma\Omega k \times l)(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) = F'(\Sigma\Omega(k \circ p_k) \times l \circ p_l) \sim F'(* \times *) \sim * : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X'$ . From Lemma 2.12, there is a lifting  $\tilde{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  of  $F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$ , that is,  $p_k \tilde{F} = F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l)$ . Then  $p_k \circ \tilde{F}|_{\Sigma\Omega E_k} \sim F|_{\Sigma\Omega X} \circ \Sigma\Omega p_k \sim p_k \circ e_{E_k}$  and  $p_k \circ \tilde{F}|_{E_l} \sim F|_{A \circ p_l} \sim f \circ p_l = p_k \circ \bar{f}$ . Thus we have, from Lemma 2.13, that there is a map  $\bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  such that  $p_k \bar{F} = p_k \tilde{F} = F(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l)$  and  $\bar{F}|_{\Sigma\Omega E_k} \sim e_{E_k}$ ,  $\bar{F}|_{E_l} \sim \bar{f}$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

Taking  $f = 1_X$ ,  $f' = 1_{X'}$  and  $l = k$ , we can obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.15. *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be  $T$ -spaces with  $T^1$ -structures  $E : \Sigma\Omega X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $E' : \Sigma\Omega X' \times X' \rightarrow X'$  respectively. If  $k : X \rightarrow X'$  is a map satisfying  $kE \sim E'(\Sigma\Omega k \times 1) : \Sigma\Omega X \times X \rightarrow X'$ , then there is an  $T^1$ -structure  $\bar{E} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_k \rightarrow E_k$  on  $E_k$  such that  $p_k \bar{E} \sim E(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_k) : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_k \rightarrow X$ .*

In 1951, Postnikov [13] introduced the notion of the Postnikov system as follows; A *Postnikov system for X (or homotopy decomposition of X)*  $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$  consists of a sequence of spaces and maps satisfying (1)  $i_n : X \rightarrow X_n$  induces an isomorphism  $(i_n)_\# : \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(X_n)$  for  $i \leq n$ . (2)  $p_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$  is a fibration with fiber  $K(\pi_n(X), n)$ . (3)  $p_n i_n \sim i_{n+1}$ . It is well known fact [11] that if  $X$  is a 1-connected space having a homotopy type of CW-complex, then there is a Postnikov system  $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$  for  $X$  such that  $p_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$  is the fibration induced from the path space fibration over  $K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$  by a map  $k^{n+2} : X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ .

**THEOREM 2.16.** *Let  $A$  and  $X$  be spaces having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes, and  $\{A_n, i'_n, p'_n\}$  and  $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$  be Postnikov systems for  $A$  and  $X$  respectively. If  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space with  $T^f$ -structure  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ , then there exists a  $T^{f_n}$ -structure  $F_n : \Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$  for each stage  $X_n$  such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & X_n \\ \Sigma\Omega p_n \times p'_n \downarrow & & p_n \downarrow \\ \Sigma\Omega X_{n-1} \times A_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} & X_{n-1}, \end{array}$$

where  $f_n$  is an induced map from  $f$ , and all the pair of  $k$ -invariants  $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$  are  $T^{f_n}$ -primitive with respect to  $F_n$ , where  $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$  is the induced map by  $f : A \rightarrow X$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\{\Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n, \Sigma\Omega i_n \times i'_n, \Sigma\Omega p_n \times p'_n\}$  is a Postnikov system for  $\Sigma\Omega X \times A$ . Then we have, by Kahn's result [7, Theorem 2.2], that there are families of maps  $f_n : A_n \rightarrow X_n$  and  $F_n : \Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$  such that  $p_n f_n = f_{n-1} p'_n$  and  $i_n f \sim f_n i'_n$ , and  $p_n F_n = F_{n-1}(\Sigma\Omega p_n \times p'_n)$  and  $i_n F \sim F_n(\Sigma\Omega i_n \times i'_n)$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$  respectively, and  $k_X^{n+2} f_n \sim \tilde{f}_\# k_A^{n+2} : A_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$  and  $k_X^{n+2} F_n \sim \tilde{F}_\#(k_{\Sigma\Omega X}^{n+2} \times k_A^{n+2}) : X_n \times A_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ , where  $k_A^{n+2} : A_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2)$ ,  $k_X^{n+2} : X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$  and  $k_{\Sigma\Omega X}^{n+2} : \Sigma\Omega X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(\Sigma\Omega X), n+2)$  are  $k$ -invariants of  $A$ ,  $X$  and  $\Sigma\Omega X$  respectively,  $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$  and  $\tilde{F}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(\Sigma\Omega X), n+2) \times K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \approx K(\pi_{n+1}(\Sigma\Omega X \times A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$  are the induced maps by  $f : A \rightarrow X$  and  $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$  respectively. Since  $F|_{\Sigma\Omega X} \sim e$  and  $F_n|_{A_n} \sim f_n$ , we know, from Kahn's another result [8, Theorem 1.2], that  $F_n|_{\Sigma\Omega X_n} =$



$(F|_{\Sigma\Omega X})_n \sim e$  and  $F_n|_{A_n} = (F|_A)_n \sim f_n$ . Thus there exists an  $T^{f_n}$ -structure  $F_n : \Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$  for each stage  $X_n$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\Omega X_n \times A_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & X_n \\ \Sigma\Omega p_n \times p'_n \downarrow & & p_n \downarrow \\ \Sigma\Omega X_{n-1} \times A_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} & X_{n-1}, \end{array}$$

where  $f_n$  is an induced map from  $f$ , and all the pair of  $k$ -invariants  $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$  are  $T^{f_n}$ -primitive with respect to  $F_n$ , where  $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$  is the induced map by  $f : A \rightarrow X$ .  $\square$

In fact, the above theorem follows from Theorem 2.14 if we can show that all the pair of  $k$ -invariants  $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$  are  $T^{f_n}$ -primitive with respect to  $F_n$ .

We can obtain an equivalent condition for  $E_k$  is a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -space for  $\bar{f}$ .

**THEOREM 2.17.** *Let  $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$  be a map. Then  $E_k$  is a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -space for  $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$  if and only if there is a map  $G : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$  such that  $Gj \sim \nabla(p_k \circ e \vee p_k \circ \bar{f})$  and  $kG \sim *$ , where  $j : \Sigma\Omega E_k \vee E_l \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l$  is the inclusion.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E_k$  is a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -space for  $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$ . Then there is a map  $\bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  such that  $\bar{F}j' \sim \nabla(e \vee \bar{f})$ . Let  $G = p_k \bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$ . Then  $Gj \sim \nabla(p_k \circ e \vee p_k \circ \bar{f})$ , where  $j : \Sigma\Omega E_k \vee E_l \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l$  is the inclusion. Since  $G$  has a lifting  $\bar{F}$ , by Lemma 2.12, we know that  $kG \sim *$ . On the other hand, suppose there is a map  $G : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$  such that  $Gj \sim \nabla(p_k \circ e \vee p_k \circ \bar{f})$  and  $kG \sim *$ , where  $j : \Sigma\Omega E_k \vee E_l \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l$  is the inclusion. Since  $kG \sim *$ , there is a map  $H : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  such that  $p_k H \sim G$ . For maps  $e : \Sigma\Omega E_k \rightarrow E_k$  and  $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$ , we can easily know that  $p_k H|_{\Sigma\Omega E_k} \sim p_k \circ e_{E_k}$  and  $p_k H|_{E_l} \sim p_k \circ \bar{f}$ . Thus we have, from Lemma 2.13, that there is a map  $\bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  such that  $p_k \bar{F} = p_k H$  and  $\bar{F}|_{\Sigma\Omega E_k} \sim e$  and  $\bar{F}|_{E_l} \sim \bar{f}$ . Thus we know that  $E_k$  is a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -space for  $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$ .  $\square$

Now we can obtain the converse of Theorem 2.14 under some conditions as follows;

**THEOREM 2.18.** *Suppose that there are maps  $s_k : X \rightarrow E_k$  and  $s_l : A \rightarrow E_l$  such that  $p_k s_k \sim 1_X$  and  $p_l s_l \sim 1_A$ . If there exists a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -structure  $\bar{F} : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$  on  $E_k$  such that the following diagram*

is homotopy commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} & E_k \\
 \Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l \downarrow & & p_k \downarrow \\
 \Sigma\Omega X \times A & \xrightarrow{F} & X,
 \end{array}$$

then  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space with  $T^f$ -structure  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $E_k$  is a  $T^{\bar{f}}$ -space for  $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$ , there is a map  $G : \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$  such that  $Gj \sim \nabla(p_k \circ e \vee p_k \circ \bar{f})$  and  $kG \sim *$ , where  $j : \Sigma\Omega E_k \vee E_l \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega E_k \times E_l$  is the inclusion. Consider the map  $F = G(\Sigma\Omega s_k \times s_l) : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ . Then  $Fj' \sim \nabla(e \vee f)$  and  $kF(\Sigma\Omega p_k \times p_l) \sim *$ , where  $j' : \Sigma\Omega X \vee A \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X \times A$  is the inclusion. Thus we know that  $X$  is a  $T^f$ -space with  $T^f$ -structure  $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times A \rightarrow X$ . □

### 3. Extending co- $T^g$ -structures

Let  $g : X \rightarrow A$  be a map. A based map  $f : X \rightarrow B$  is called *g-coclic* [12] if there is a map  $\theta : X \rightarrow A \vee B$  such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & A \vee B \\
 \Delta \downarrow & & j \downarrow \\
 X \times X & \xrightarrow{(g \times f)} & A \times B,
 \end{array}$$

where  $j : A \vee B \rightarrow A \times B$  is the inclusion and  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$  is the diagonal map. We call such a map  $\theta$  a *coassociated map* of a *g-cocyclic* map  $f$ .

In the case  $g = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow B$  is called *cocyclic* [15]. Clearly any cocyclic map is a *g-cocyclic* map and also  $f : X \rightarrow B$  is *g-cocyclic* iff  $g : X \rightarrow A$  is *f-cocyclic*. The *dual Gottlieb set*  $DG(X, g, A; B)$  for a map  $g : X \rightarrow A$  is the set of all homotopy classes of *g-cocyclic* maps from  $X$  to  $B$ . In the case  $g = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$ , we called such a set  $DG(X, 1, X; B)$  as the *dual Gottlieb set*, denoted by  $DG(X; B)$ , that is, the dual Gottlieb set is exactly same with the dual Gottlieb set for the identity map. In particular,  $DG(X, g, A; K(\pi, n))$  will be denoted by  $G^n(X, g, A; \pi)$ . Haslam [5] introduced and studied the *coevaluation subgroups*  $G^n(X; \pi)$  of  $H^n(X; \pi)$ .  $G^n(X; \pi)$  is defined to be the set of all homotopy classes of cocyclic maps from  $X$  to  $K(\pi, n)$ .

In general,  $DG(X; B) \subset DG(X, g, A; B) \subset [X, B]$  for any map  $g : X \rightarrow B$  and any space  $B$ . However, there is an example in [18] such that  $DG(X, B) \neq DG(X, g, A; B) \neq [X, B]$ .

The next proposition is an immediate consequence from the definition.

PROPOSITION 3.1.

- (1) For any maps  $g : X \rightarrow A, h : A \rightarrow B$  and any space  $C, DG(X, g, A; C) \subset DG(X, hg, B; C)$ .
- (2)  $DG(X, B) = DG(X, 1_X, X; B) \subset DG(X, g, A; B) \subset DG(X, *, A; B) = [X, B]$  for any spaces  $X, A$  and  $B$ .
- (3)  $DG(X, B) = \cap \{DG(X, g, A; B) | g : X \rightarrow A \text{ is a map and } A \text{ is a space}\}$ .
- (4) If  $h : A \rightarrow B$  is a homotopy equivalence, then  $DG(X, g, A; C) = DG(X, hg, B; c)$ .
- (5) For any map  $k : Y \rightarrow X, k^\#(DG(X, g, A; B)) \subset DG(Y, gk, A; B)$ .
- (6) For any map  $k : Y \rightarrow X, k^\#(DG(X; B)) \subset DG(Y, k, X; B)$ .
- (7) For any map  $s : B \rightarrow C, s_\#(DG(X, g, A; B)) \subset DG(X, g, A; C)$ .

It is well known [5] that  $G^n(X; \pi)$  is a subgroup of  $H^n(X; \pi)$ . Moreover, it is also shown [10] that if  $B$  is an  $H$ -group, then  $DG(X, B)$  is a subgroup of  $[X, B]$ .

But we do not know whether  $DG(X, g, A; B)$  is a group.

A space  $X$  is called a *co-T-space* [16] if  $e' : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X$  is cocyclic. The following proposition says that *co-T-spaces* are completely characterized by the dual Gottlieb sets.

PROPOSITION 3.2. [16]  $X$  is a *co-T-space* if and only if  $DG(X, \Omega B) = [X, \Omega B]$  for any space  $B$ .

Now, for a map  $g : X \rightarrow A$ , we would like to introduce new spaces which can be characterized by the dual Gottlieb sets for a map  $g : X \rightarrow A$ .

DEFINITION 3.3. A space  $X$  is called a *co-T<sup>g</sup>-space* for a map  $g : X \rightarrow A$  if there is a map, a *co-T<sup>g</sup>-structure*,  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee A$  such that  $j\theta \sim (e' \times g)\Delta$ , where  $j : \Omega\Sigma X \vee A \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \times A$  is the inclusion and  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$  is the diagonal map.

The following proposition says that *co-T<sup>g</sup>-spaces* are completely characterized by the dual Gottlieb sets for a map  $g : X \rightarrow A$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4. [18]  $X$  is a *co-T<sup>g</sup>-space* for a map  $g : X \rightarrow A$  if and only if  $DG(X, g, A; \Omega B) = [X, \Omega B]$  for any space  $B$ .

It is clear, from Proposition 3.1(2) and the above propositions, that any co- $T$ -space is a co- $T^g$ -space for any map  $g : X \rightarrow A$ . It is known [18] that if  $X$  dominates  $A$  and  $X$  is a co- $T$ -space, then  $A$  is a co- $T$ -space. This fact can be generalized as follows;

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let  $X$  be a co- $T^r$ -space for a map  $r : X \rightarrow A$ .*

- (1) *If  $r : X \rightarrow A$  has a right homotopy inverse  $i : A \rightarrow X$ , then  $A$  is a co- $T$ -space.*
- (2) *If  $r : X \rightarrow A$  has a left homotopy inverse  $i : A \rightarrow X$ , then  $X$  is a co- $T$ -space.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $B$  be any space. It is sufficient to show that  $[A, \Omega B] \subset DG(A, \Omega B)$ . Since  $X$  is a co- $T^r$ -space for a map  $r : X \rightarrow A$ , we have that  $DG(X, r, A; \Omega B) = [X; \Omega B]$ . Thus we know, from Proposition 3.1(5), that  $[A, \Omega B] = i^\# [X, \Omega B] = i^\# DG(X, r, A; \Omega B) \subset DG(A, ri, A; \Omega B) = DG(A, 1, A; \Omega B) = DG(A, \Omega B)$ . (2) For any space  $B$ , we can obtain, from Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.1(1), that  $[X, \Omega B] = DG(X, r, A; \Omega B) \subset DG(X, ir, X; \Omega B) = DG(X, 1, X; \Omega B) = DG(X, \Omega B)$ .  $\square$

Given maps  $g : X \rightarrow A$ ,  $g' : X' \rightarrow A'$ , let  $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$  be a map from  $g'$  to  $g$ , that is, the following diagram is commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & A' \\ r \downarrow & & s \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{g} & A. \end{array}$$

It is a well known fact that  $Y \xrightarrow{\iota} cY \rightarrow \Sigma Y$  is a cofibration, where  $\iota(y) = [y, 1]$ . Let  $i_r : X \rightarrow C_r$  be the cofibration induced by  $r : X' \rightarrow X$  from  $\iota_{X'} : X' \rightarrow cX'$ . Let  $i_s : A \rightarrow C_s$  be the cofibration induced by  $s : A' \rightarrow A$  from  $\iota_{A'} : A' \rightarrow cA'$ . Then there is a map  $\bar{g} : C_t \rightarrow C_s$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & A \\ i_r \downarrow & & i_s \downarrow \\ C_r & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & C_s, \end{array}$$

where  $C_t = cX' \amalg X/[x', 1] \sim t(x')$ , and  $C_s = cA' \amalg A/[a', 1] \sim s(a')$ ,  $\bar{g} : C_t \rightarrow C_s$  is given by  $\bar{g}([x', t]) = [g'(x'), t]$  if  $[x', t] \in cX'$  and  $\bar{g}(x) = g(x)$  if  $x \in X$ ,  $i_r(x) = x$ ,  $i_s(a) = a$ .

DEFINITION 3.6. *Let  $X$  be a co- $T^g$ -space with co- $T^g$ -structure  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X \vee A$ . Then a map  $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$  is called a co- $T^g$ -primitive*

with respect to  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee A$  if there is a coassociate map  $\theta' : X' \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X' \vee A'$  of  $e'$ -cocyclic map  $g'$  such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\theta'} & \Omega\Sigma X' \vee A' \\ r \downarrow & & \Omega\Sigma r \vee s \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Omega\Sigma X \vee A. \end{array}$$

The following lemmas are standard.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let  $f : X \rightarrow B$  be a map. Then there is a map  $h : C_r \rightarrow B$  such that  $hi_r = f$  if and only if  $fr \sim *$ .*

LEMMA 3.8. [17] *Let  $g_t : C_r \rightarrow B_t (t = 1, 2)$  and  $g : C_r \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2$  a map such that  $p_t j g i_k \sim g_t i_r (t = 1, 2)$ , where  $j : B_1 \vee B_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  is the inclusion and  $p_t : B_1 \times B_2 \rightarrow B_t, t = 1, 2$  are projections. Then there is a map  $h : C_r \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2$  such that  $g i_r = h i_r$  and  $p_t j' h \sim g_t (t = 1, 2)$ , where  $j' : B_1 \vee B_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  is the inclusion.*

THEOREM 3.9. *If  $X$  is a  $co-T^g$ -space with  $co-T^g$ -structure  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee A$  and  $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$  is a  $co-T^g$ -primitive with respect to  $\theta$ , then there exists a  $co-T^g$ -structure  $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_s$  on  $C_r$  satisfying commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_r & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} & \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_s \\ i_r \uparrow & & \Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_s \uparrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Omega\Sigma X \vee A. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Since  $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$  is a  $co-T^g$ -primitive with respect to  $\theta$ , then there is a map  $\theta' : X' \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X' \vee A'$  satisfying commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\theta'} & \Omega\Sigma X' \vee A' \\ r \downarrow & & \Omega\Sigma r \vee s \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Omega\Sigma X \vee A. \end{array}$$

Then we have that  $(\Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_s)\theta r \sim (\Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_s)(\Omega\Sigma r \vee s)\theta' \sim (\Omega\Sigma(i_r \circ r) \vee i_s \circ s)\theta \sim *$ . Thus we know, from Lemma 3.7, that there is a map  $\tilde{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_s$  such that  $\tilde{\theta} i_r = (\Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_s)\theta$ . Then  $p_1 j \tilde{\theta} i_r = p_1 j (\Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_s)\theta \sim p_1 (\Omega\Sigma i_r \times i_s)(e' \times g)\Delta \sim e'_{C_r} \circ i_r$  and  $p_2 j \tilde{\theta} i_r \sim p_2 (\Omega\Sigma i_r \times i_s)(e' \times g)\Delta \sim i_s \circ g = \bar{g} \circ i_r$ . Thus we have, from Lemma 3.8, that there is a map  $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_s$  such that  $\bar{\theta} i_r = \tilde{\theta} i_r = (\Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_s)\theta$

and  $p_1j\bar{\theta} \sim e'$ ,  $p_2j\bar{\theta} \sim \bar{g}$ , where  $j : \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_s \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \times C_s$  is the inclusion. □

Taking  $g = 1_X$ ,  $g' = 1_{X'}$  and  $s = r$ , we can get the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.10.** *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be co- $T$ -spaces with co- $T^1$ -structures  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee X$  and  $\theta' : X' \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X' \vee X'$  respectively. If  $r : X' \rightarrow X$  is a map satisfying  $(\Omega\Sigma r \vee r)\theta' \sim \theta r : X' \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee X$ , then there is a co- $T^1$ -structure  $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_r$  on  $C_r$  such that  $(\Omega\Sigma i_r \vee i_r)\bar{\theta} \sim \bar{\theta}i_r : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma C_r \vee C_r$ .*

In 1959, Eckmann and Hilton [2] introduced a dual concept of Postnikov system as follows; A homology decomposition of  $X$  consists of a sequence of spaces and maps  $\{X_n, q_n, i_n\}$  satisfying (1)  $q_n : X_n \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism  $(q_n)_* : H_i(X_n) \rightarrow H_i(X)$  for  $i \leq n$ . (2)  $i_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  is a cofibration with cofiber  $M(H_{n+1}(X), n)$  ( a Moore space of type  $(H_{n+1}(X), n)$ ). (3)  $q_n \sim q_{n+1} \circ i_n$ . It is known by [6] that if  $X$  be a 1-connected space having the homotopy type of CW complex, then there is a homology decomposition  $\{X_n, q_n, i_n\}$  of  $X$  such that  $i_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  is the principal cofibration induced from  $\iota : M(H_{n+1}(X), n) \rightarrow cM(H_{n+1}(X), n)$  by a map  $r : M(H_{n+1}(X), n) \rightarrow X_n$  which is called the dual Postnikov invariants.

From Theorem 3.9, we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.11.** *Let  $X$  and  $A$  be spaces having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes, and  $\{X_n, q_n, i_n\}$  and  $\{A_n, q'_n, i'_n\}$  be homology decompositions for  $X$  and  $A$  respectively. If  $X$  is a co- $T^g$ -space with co- $T^g$ -structure  $\theta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X \vee A$  and for each  $n \geq 2$ , the pair of dual invariants  $(r_A^n, r_X^n) : \tilde{g}_* \rightarrow g_n$  are co- $T^{g_n}$ -primitive with respect to  $\theta_n : X_n \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X_n \vee A_n$ , where  $\tilde{g}_* : M(H_{n+1}(X), n) \rightarrow M(H_{n+1}(A), n)$  and  $g_n$  are induced maps from  $g : X \rightarrow A$ , then there exists a co- $T^{g_{n+1}}$ -structure on  $X_{n+1}$  such that  $(i'_{n+1}, i_{n+1}) : g_n \rightarrow g_{n+1}$  is a co- $T^{g_{n+1}}$ -primitive with respect to  $\theta_n : X_n \rightarrow X_n \vee A_n$ .*

### References

- [1] J. Aguade, *Decomposable free loop spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), 938-955.
- [2] B. Eckmann and P. Hilton, *Decomposition homologique d'un polyedre simplement connexe*, *ibid*, **248** (1959), 2054-2558.
- [3] D. H. Gottlieb, *A certain subgroup of the fundamental group*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 840-856.
- [4] D. H. Gottlieb, *Evaluation subgroups of homotopy groups*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 729-756.

- [5] H. B. Haslam, *G-spaces and H-spaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of California, Irvine, 1969.
- [6] P. Hilton, *Homotopy Theory and Duality*, Gordon and Breach Science Pub., 1965.
- [7] D. W. Kahn, *Induced maps for Postnikov systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1963), 432-450.
- [8] D. W. Kahn, *A note on H-spaces and Postnikov systems of spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 300-307.
- [9] K. L. Lim, *On cyclic maps*, J. Austral. Math. Soc.,(Series A) **32** (1982), 349-357.
- [10] K. L. Lim, *Cocyclic maps and coevaluation subgroups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **30** (1987), 63-71.
- [11] R. E. Mosher and M. C. Tangora, *Cohomology operations and applications in homotopy theory*, Harper & Row, New York, 1968.
- [12] N. Oda, *The homotopy of the axes of pairings*, Canad. J. Math. **17** (1990), 856-868.
- [13] M. Postnikov, *On the homotopy type of polyhedra*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **76** (6)(1951), 789-791.
- [14] H. Toda, *Composition Methods in Homotopy groupsa of Spheres*, Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton Univ. Press, 1962.
- [15] K. Varadarajan, *Generalized Gottlieb groups*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **33** (1969), 141-164.
- [16] M. H. Woo and Y. S. Yoon, *T-spaces by the Gottlieb groups and duality*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., (Series A) **59** (1995), 193-203.
- [17] Y. S. Yoon, *Lifting Gottlieb sets and duality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (4)(1993), 1315-1321.
- [18] Y. S. Yoon, *The generalized dual Gottlieb sets*, Topology Appl. **109** (2001),173-181.
- [19] Y. S. Yoon, *Generalized Gottlieb groups and generalized Wang homomorphisms*, Sci.Math. Japon. **55**(1)(2002),139-148.
- [20] Y. S. Yoon,  *$H^f$ -spaces for maps and their duals*, appear in J. Korea Soc. Math. Edu. Series B **14** (4).
- [21] Y. S. Yoon and J. O. Yu, *v-semicyclic maps and fuction spaces*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc., **9** (1996), 77-87.

\*

Department of Mathematics Education  
 Hannam University  
 Daejeon 306-791, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* yoon@hannam.ac.kr