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Abstract. In this paper, we improve the results of [9] and give an application to boundedness of the solutions of nonlinear integrodifferential equations.

## 1. Introduction

Inequalities of Gronwall type allow one to estimate a function that is known to satisfy a certain differential or integral inequality. Also, they play a very important role in the study of differential and integral equations.

Growall [6] in 1919 proved the following :
Let $u(t)$ be a continuous real valued function on $J=[\alpha, \alpha+h]$ and let

$$
0 \leq u(t) \leq \int_{\alpha}^{t}[a+b u(s)] d s \text { on } J,
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative constants. Then

$$
u(t) \leq a h e^{b h} \text { on } J .
$$

Another inequality of this type was proved in 1943 by Bellman [2] : see Lemmma 2.1 in Section 2.

It is clear that Bellman's result contains that of Gronwall. Inequalities of this type were called "Gronwall inequalites" or "Inequalites of Gronwall type ", " Bellman's lemma or inequality" or sometimes "Bellman-Gronwall" or "Gronwall-Bellman" inequalites (see [8]).

[^0]Due to various motivations, several generalizations and applications of this inequality have been obtained $[1,2,7,8]$. Pachpatte $[10,11]$ obtained some general versions of this inequality. Oguntuase [9] established some generalizations of the inequalities obtained in [10]. However, there are some defects in the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.7 in [9]. In this paper, we improve the results of [9] and give an application to boundedness of the solutions of nonlinear integro-differential equations.

## 2. Main results

Bellman [2] established the following basic integral inequality known as Gronwall-Bellman inequality.

Lemma 2.1. Let $u(t)$ and $g(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$ for which the inequality

$$
u(t) \leq c+\int_{a}^{t} g(s) u(s) d s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

holds, where $c$ is a nonnegative constant. Then

$$
u(t) \leq x \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

We need to modify Theorem 2.1 in [9] into the following :
THEOREM 2.2. Let $u(t), f(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions in an interval $I=[a, b]$. Suppose that $k(t, s)$ and $k_{t}(t, s)$ exist and are nonnegative continuous functions for almost $t, s \in I$. If the inequality
(2.1) $u(t) \leq c+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) u(s) d s+\int_{a}^{t} f(s)\left(\int_{a}^{s} k(s, \tau) u(\tau) d \tau\right) d s, t \in I$
holds, where $c$ is a nonnegative constant, then
$u(t) \leq c\left[1+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) \exp \left(\int_{a}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+k(\tau, \tau)+\int_{a}^{\tau} k_{\tau}(\tau, \sigma) d \sigma\right) d \tau\right) d s\right]$.
Proof. Define a function $v(t)$ by the right hand side of (2.1). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{\prime}(t) & =f(t) u(t)+f(t) \int_{a}^{t} k(t, \tau) u(\tau) d \tau, v(a)=c \\
& \leq f(t)\left(v(t)+\int_{a}^{t} k(t, \tau) v(\tau) d \tau\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting

$$
m(t)=v(t)+\int_{a}^{t} k(t, \tau) v(\tau) d \tau, m(a)=v(a)=c,
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
m^{\prime}(t) & =v^{\prime}(t)+k(t, t) v(t)+\int_{a}^{t} k_{t}(t, \tau) v(\tau) d \tau \\
& \leq f(t) m(t)+k(t, t) m(t)+\int_{a}^{t} k_{t}(t, \tau) m(\tau) d \tau \\
& \leq\left(f(t)+k(t, t)+\int_{a}^{t} k_{t}(t, \tau) d \tau\right) m(t) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrate (2.3) from $a$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t) \leq c \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} f(s)+k(s, s)+\int_{a}^{s} k_{s}(s, \sigma) d \sigma d s\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (2.4) into (2.2) and then integrate it from $a$ to $t$, we have
$v(t) \leq c\left[1+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) \exp \left(\int_{a}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+k(\tau, \tau)+\int_{a}^{\tau} k_{\tau}(\tau, \sigma) d \sigma\right) d \tau\right) d s\right]$.
Hence the proof is complete.
Remark 2.3. Theorem 2.2 is useful to correct Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in [9].

Letting $K(t, s)=h(t) g(s)$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. Let $u(t), f(t), h(t)$ and $g(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions in $I=[a, b]$. Suppose that $h^{\prime}(t)$ exists and is nonnegative continuous function. If the following inequality

$$
u(t) \leq c+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) u(s) d s+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) h(s)\left(\int_{a}^{s} g(\tau) u(\tau) d \tau\right) d s, t \in I
$$

holds, where $c$ is a nonnegative constant, then
$u(t) \leq c\left[1+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) \exp \left(\int_{a}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+h(\tau) g(\tau)+h^{\prime}(\tau) \int_{a}^{\tau} g(\sigma) d \sigma\right) d \tau\right) d s\right]$.
We will prove the following theorem by comparison with a differential equation of Bernoulli type. To this end we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. [1, Lemma 4.1.] Let $v(t)$ be a positive differentiable function satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}(t) \leq b(t) v(t)+k(t) v^{p}(t), t \in[a, b] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $b$ and $k$ are continuous in $[a, b]$, and $0 \leq p \neq 1$ is a constant. Then

$$
v(t) \leq \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} b(s) d s\right)\left[v^{q}(a)+q \int_{a}^{t} k(s) \exp \left(-q \int_{a}^{s} b(\tau) d \tau\right) d s\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

for $t \in\left[a, b_{1}\right)$, where $q=1-p$ and $b_{1}$ is chosen so that the following expression

$$
v^{q}(a)+q \int_{a}^{t} k(s) \exp \left(-q \int_{a}^{s} b(\tau) d \tau\right) d s
$$

is positive in the subinterval $\left[a, b_{1}\right)$.
Remark 2.6. Note that (2.5) with $p=1$ in Lemma 2.5 implies $v(t) \leq$ $v_{0} \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t}(b(s)+k(s)) d s\right)$ for $t \geq a$. We obtain a comparison result for linear differential inequalities.

Theorem 2.7. Let $u(t), f(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions in an interval $I=[a, b]$. Suppose that $k(t, s)$ and $k_{t}(t, s)$ exist and are nonnegative continuous functions for almost $t, s \in I$. If the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq c+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) u(s) d s+\int_{a}^{t} f(s)\left(\int_{a}^{s} k(s, \tau) u^{p}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s, t \in I \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $0 \leq p \neq 1$ and $c$ is a nonnegative constant, then

$$
u(t) \leq c+\int_{a}^{t}\left\{f ( s ) \operatorname { e x p } \left(\int_{a}^{s}(f(\tau) d \tau) \times\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left[c^{q}+q \int_{a}^{s} k(\tau, \tau) \exp \left(-q \int_{a}^{\tau} k_{\tau}(\tau, \sigma) d \sigma\right) d \tau\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\} d s \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=1-p$.
Proof. Define $v(t)$ by the right member of (2.6). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{\prime}(t) & =f(t) u(t)+f(t) \int_{a}^{t} k(t, \tau) u^{p}(\tau) d \tau, v(a)=c \\
& \leq f(t)\left(v(t)+\int_{a}^{t} k(t, \tau) v^{p}(\tau) d \tau\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

by $u(t) \leq v(t)$ and $u^{p}(t) \leq v^{p}(t)$ for $0 \leq p \neq 1$. Letting

$$
m(t)=v(t)+\int_{a}^{t} k(t, \tau) v^{p}(\tau) d \tau, m(a)=v(a)=c
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
m^{\prime}(t) & =v^{\prime}(t)+k(t, t) v^{p}(t)+\int_{a}^{t} k_{t}(t, \tau) v^{p}(\tau) d \tau \\
& \leq f(t) m(t)+k(t, t) m^{p}(t)+\int_{a}^{t} k_{t}(t, \tau) m^{p}(\tau) d \tau \\
& \leq f(t) m(t)+\left[k(t, t)+\int_{a}^{t} k_{t}(t, \tau) d \tau\right] m^{p}(t) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.5 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& m(t) \leq \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s\right)\left[m^{q}(a)+q \int_{a}^{t}((k(s, s)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\int_{a}^{s} k_{s}(s, \sigma) d \sigma\right) \exp \left(-q \int_{a}^{s} f(\tau) d \tau\right)\right) d s\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q=1-p$. Substitute (2.10) into (2.8) and then integrate it from $a$ to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(t) & \leq c+\int_{a}^{t}\left\{f ( s ) \operatorname { e x p } ( \int _ { a } ^ { s } f ( \tau ) d \tau ) \left[c^{q}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+q \int_{a}^{s}\left(\left(k(\tau, \tau)+\int_{a}^{\tau} k_{\tau}(\tau, \sigma) d \sigma\right) \exp \left[-q \int_{a}^{\tau} f(\sigma) d \sigma\right]\right) d \tau\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q=1-p$. Hence the proof is complete.
Corollary 2.8. Let $u(t), f(t), h(t)$ and $g(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions in $I=[a, b]$. Suppose that $h^{\prime}(t)$ exists and is nonnegative continuous function. If the following inequality

$$
u(t) \leq c+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) u(s) d s+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) h(s)\left(\int_{a}^{s} g(\tau) u^{p}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s, t \in I
$$

holds, where $0 \leq p \neq 1$ and $c$ is a positive constant, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t) \leq c+\int_{a}^{t} f(s) \exp \left(\int _ { a } ^ { s } ( f ( \tau ) d \tau ) \left[c^{q}+q \int_{a}^{s}[h(\tau) g(\tau)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+h^{\prime}(\tau) \int_{a}^{\tau} g(\sigma) d \sigma\right] \exp \left(-q \int_{a}^{\tau} k_{\tau}(\tau, \sigma) d \sigma\right) d \tau\right) d s\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, t \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q=1-p$.

## 3. Application

We give an application of our results. We consider the linear integrodifferential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=A(t) x(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} B(t, s) x(s) d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t)$ and $B(t, s)$ are continuous $n \times n$ matrices on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$, respectively, and its perturbed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A(t) u(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} B(t, s) u(s) d s+h\left(t, u(t), \int_{t_{0}}^{t} k(t, s, u(s)) d s\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $h \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Let $x(t)=x\left(t, t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ and $u(t)=u\left(t, t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ be denoted by the unique solutions of (3.1) and (3.2), respectively with $x\left(t_{0}\right)=u\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$. Then the unique solution $u(t)$ of $(3.2)$ is given by

$$
u(t)=R\left(t, t_{0}\right) x_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s) h\left(s, u(s), \int_{t_{0}}^{s} k(s, \sigma, u(\sigma)) d \sigma\right) d s, t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $R(t, s)$ is the solution of the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial R}{\partial s}(t, s)+R(t, s) A(s)+\int_{s}^{t} R(t, \sigma) B(\sigma, s) d \sigma \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R(t, t)=I$ for $0 \leq s \leq t<\infty, I$ being the identity matrix (see [7]).
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the following inequalities hold:
(i) $|R(t, s)| \leq M e^{-\alpha(t-s)}$,
(ii) $|R(t, s) h(s, u, z)| \leq p(s)(|u|+|z|)$,
(iii) $|k(t, s, u)| \leq q(t, s)|u|, t \geq s \geq 0$,
where $M \geq 1$ and $\alpha>0$ are constants. If $p(t), q(t, t)$ and $q_{t}(t, \tau)$ are continuous and nonnegative, and satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} p(s) d s<\infty, \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(q(\tau, \tau)+\int_{t_{0}}^{\tau} q_{\tau}(\tau, \sigma) d \sigma\right) d \tau<\infty
$$

then all solutions of (3.2) are bounded in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Proof. By the assumptions, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(t)| & \leq\left|R\left(t, t_{0}\right)\right|\left|x_{0}\right|+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|R(t, s) h\left(s, u(s), \int_{t_{0}}^{s} k(s, \sigma, u(\sigma)) d \sigma\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq M\left|x_{0}\right|+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s)\left(|u(s)|+\int_{t_{0}}^{s} q(s, \sigma)|u(\sigma)| d \sigma\right) d s \\
& \leq M\left|x_{0}\right|+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s)|u(s)| d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) \int_{t_{0}}^{s} q(s, \sigma)|u(\sigma)| d \sigma d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(t)| & \leq M\left|x_{0}\right|\left[1+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) \exp \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{s}(p(\tau)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+q(\tau, \tau)+\int_{t_{0}}^{\tau} q_{\tau}(\tau, \sigma) d \sigma\right) d \tau\right) d s\right] \\
& \leq d\left|x_{0}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d=M\left[1+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(s) \exp \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(p(\tau)+q(\tau, \tau)+\int_{t_{0}}^{\tau} q_{\tau}(\tau, \sigma) d \sigma\right) d \tau\right) d s\right]$. Hence the solution $u(t)$ of (3.2) is bounded in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and the proof is complete.
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