

## HYERS–ULAM STABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITIES ASSOCIATED WITH CAUCHY MAPPINGS

HARK-MAHN KIM\* AND JEONG-HA OH\*\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional inequality

$$\|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\| + \phi(x, y, z)$$

associated with Cauchy additive mappings. As a result, we obtain that if a mapping satisfies the functional inequality with perturbing term which satisfies certain conditions then there exists a Cauchy additive mapping near the mapping.

### 1. Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [18] gave a talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of unsolved problems. Among these was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

*We are given a group  $G$  and a metric group  $G'$  with metric  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  satisfies  $\rho(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G$ , then a homomorphism  $h : G \rightarrow G'$  exists with  $\rho(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G$ ?*

In 1941, D. H. Hyers [7] considered the case of approximately additive mappings  $f : E \rightarrow E'$ , where  $E$  and  $E'$  are Banach spaces and  $f$  satisfies *Hyers inequality*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

---

Received October 29, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B82; Secondary 39B52.

Key words and phrases: Jordan–von Neumann functional equation, generalized Hyers–Ulam stability, functional inequality.

This work was supported by the second Brain Korea 21 project in 2006.

for all  $x, y \in E$ . It was shown that the limit  $L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in E$  and that  $L : E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [15] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*.

Let  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  be a mapping from a normed vector space  $E$  into a Banach space  $E'$  subject to the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $\epsilon$  and  $p$  are constants with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $p < 1$ .

Then the limit  $L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $L : E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in E$ . If  $p < 0$  then inequality (1.1) holds for  $x, y \neq 0$  and (1.2) for  $x \neq 0$ .

In 1991, Z. Gajda [3] following the same approach as in Th. M. Rassias [15], gave an affirmative solution to this question for  $p > 1$ . It was shown by Z. Gajda [3], as well as by Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl [16] that one cannot prove a Th. M. Rassias' type theorem when  $p = 1$ . The inequality (1.1) that was introduced for the first time by Th. M. Rassias [15] provided a lot of influence in the development of a generalization of the Hyers–Ulam stability concept. This new concept of stability is known as *generalized Hyers–Ulam stability* or *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability* of functional equations (cf. the books of P. Czerwik [1], D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [8]).

P. Găvruta [6] provided a further generalization of Th. M. Rassias' Theorem. During the last two decades a number of papers and research monographs have been published on various generalizations and applications of the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability to a number of functional equations and mappings (see [9]–[14]).

Gilányi [4] and Rätz [17] showed that if  $f$  satisfies the functional inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(xy^{-1})\| \leq \|f(xy)\|$$

then  $f$  satisfies the Jordan–von Neumann functional equation

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}).$$

Gilányi [5] and Fechner [2] proved the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional inequality (1.3).

Now, we consider the following functional inequality

$$(1.4) \quad \|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\| + \phi(x, y, z)$$

which is associated with Jordan–von Neumann type Cauchy additive functional equation, where the function  $\phi$  is a perturbing term of the functional inequality

$$\|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\|.$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove that if  $f$  satisfies one of the inequality (1.4) which satisfies certain conditions, then we can find a Cauchy additive mapping near  $f$  and thus we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional inequality (1.4).

## 2. Main results

Throughout this paper, let  $G$  be a normed vector space and  $Y$  a Banach space. First, we consider solutions of the functional inequality (1.4) with perturbing term zero.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\|$$

*for all  $x, y, z \in G$ , where  $abc \neq 0$ . Then  $f$  is Cauchy additive.*

*Proof.* By setting  $z := \frac{-ax}{c}$  and  $y := 0$  in (2.1), we get

$$(2.2) \quad \|af(x) + cf(\frac{-ax}{c})\| \leq \|f(0)\| = 0$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Also by letting  $x := 0$ ,  $z := \frac{-by}{c}$  in (2.1), we get

$$(2.3) \quad \|bf(y) + cf(\frac{-by}{c})\| \leq \|f(0)\| = 0$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Letting  $z = \frac{-ax-by}{c}$  in (2.1), we get

$$\|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(\frac{-ax-by}{c})\| \leq \|f(0)\| = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . It follows from the equalities (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$-cf(\frac{-ax}{c}) - cf(\frac{-by}{c}) + cf(\frac{-ax-by}{c}) = 0,$$

that is,  $-f(u) - f(v) + f(u+v) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in G$ , as desired.  $\square$

We recall that a subadditive function is a function  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ , having a domain  $A$  and a codomain  $(B, \leq)$  that are both closed under addition, with the following property:

$$\phi(x + y) \leq \phi(x) + \phi(y), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

Now we say that a function  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is *contractively subadditive* if there exists a constant  $L$  with  $0 < L < 1$  such that

$$\phi(x + y) \leq L[\phi(x) + \phi(y)], \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

Then  $\phi$  satisfies the following properties  $\phi(2x) \leq 2L\phi(x)$  and so  $\phi(2^n x) \leq (2L)^n \phi(x)$ . Similarly, we say that a function  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is *expansively superadditive* if there exists a constant  $L$  with  $0 < L < 1$  such that

$$\phi(x + y) \geq \frac{1}{L}[\phi(x) + \phi(y)], \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

Then  $\phi$  satisfies the following properties  $\phi(x) \leq \frac{L}{2}\phi(2x)$  and so  $\phi(\frac{x}{2^n}) \leq (\frac{L}{2})^n \phi(x)$ .

Now we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of a functional inequality (1.4) associated with a Jordan–von Neumann type 3-variable Cauchy additive functional equation.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Assume that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality*

$$(2.4) \quad \|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\| + \phi(x, y, z)$$

*and that the map  $\phi : G \times G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is expansively superadditive with a constant  $L$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})$ , such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| \leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|,$$

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{2|c|(1-L)}.$$

$$\left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cx}{b}, 2x\right) + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{b}, x\right) \right]$$

*for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .*

*Proof.* We observe by the expansively superadditive condition that for any  $x, y, z \in G$   $\phi(\frac{(x,y,z)}{2^n}) \leq (\frac{L}{2})^n \phi(x, y, z)$ .

Letting  $y := 0$  and  $z := \frac{-ax}{c}$  in (2.4), we get

$$(2.6) \quad \left\| af(x) + cf\left(\frac{-ax}{c}\right) \right\| \leq \phi\left(x, 0, \frac{-ax}{c}\right)$$

for all  $x \in G$ . By letting  $x := 0$  and  $z := \frac{-by}{c}$  in (2.4), one obtains

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| bf(y) + cf\left(\frac{-by}{c}\right) \right\| \leq \phi(0, y, \frac{-by}{c})$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Replacing  $z$  by  $\frac{-ax-by}{c}$  in (2.4), we get

$$(2.8) \quad \left\| af(x) + bf(y) + cf\left(\frac{-ax-by}{c}\right) \right\| \leq \phi(x, y, \frac{-ax-by}{c}).$$

It follows from (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} |c| \left\| f\left(\frac{-ax-by}{c}\right) - f\left(\frac{-ax}{c}\right) - f\left(\frac{-by}{c}\right) \right\| \\ \leq \phi(x, y, \frac{-ax-by}{c}) + \phi(x, 0, \frac{-ax}{c}) + \phi(0, y, \frac{-by}{c}), \end{aligned}$$

which yields the Cauchy difference

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad & \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|c|} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cy}{b}, x+y\right) + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cy}{b}, y\right) \right], \\ & \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|c|} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cx}{b}, 2x\right) + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{b}, x\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Thus it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\| & \leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \|2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2|c|} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} 2^{j+1} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{2^{j+1}a}, \frac{-cx}{2^{j+1}b}, \frac{2x}{2^{j+1}}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{2^{j+1}a}, 0, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{2^{j+1}b}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2|c|} \sum_{j=m}^m L^{j+1} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cx}{b}, 2x\right) + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{b}, x\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$  and for all nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$  with  $n > m$ . It means that a sequence  $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in G$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$  converges. So one can define a mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$  by  $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})$  for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, letting  $m = 0$  and passing the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality, we get the approximation (2.5) of  $f$  by  $A$ .

Next, we claim that the mapping  $A : G \longrightarrow Y$  is Cauchy additive satisfying the functional inequality (2.1). In fact, it follows easily from (2.4) and the condition of  $\phi$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| af\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + bf\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) + cf\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right\| \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[ \left\| f\left(\frac{ax + by + cz}{2^n}\right) \right\| + \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right] \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{ax + by + cz}{2^n}\right) \right\| + L^n \phi(x, y, z) \right] \\
&= \|A(ax + by + cz)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the mapping  $A : G \longrightarrow Y$  is Cauchy additive by Lemma 2.1.

Now, let  $T : G \longrightarrow Y$  be another Cauchy additive mapping satisfying (2.5). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - T(x)\| = 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{L2^n}{2|c|(1-L)} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{2^na}, \frac{-cx}{2^nb}, \frac{2x}{2^n}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{2^na}, 0, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{2^nb}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right] \\
&\leq \frac{L^{n+1}}{2|c|(1-L)} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cx}{b}, 2x\right) + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{b}, x\right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So we can conclude that  $A(x) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $A$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Assume that there exist a nonnegative numbers  $\theta$  and a real  $p > 1$  such that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\| + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

*for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| \leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\
& \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|x\|^p}{|c|(2^p - 2)} \left[ \frac{2|c|^p}{|a|^p} + \frac{2|c|^p}{|b|^p} + 2^p + 2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

*for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .*

**THEOREM 2.4.** Assume that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality (2.4) and that the map  $\phi : G \times G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is contractively subadditive with a constant  $L$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$ , such that

$$(2.10) \quad \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| \leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\ \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2|c|(1-L)} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cx}{b}, 2x\right) \right. \\ \left. + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{b}, x\right) \right]$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .

*Proof.* We get by (2.9)

$$(2.11) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{|c|} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-2^j cx}{a}, \frac{-2^j cx}{b}, 2^{j+1} x\right) \right. \\ \left. + \phi\left(\frac{-2^j cx}{a}, 0, 2^j x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-2^j cx}{b}, 2^j x\right) \right] \\ \leq \frac{1}{2|c|} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} L^j \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cx}{b}, 2x\right) + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{b}, x\right) \right]$$

for all nonnegative integers  $n, m$  with  $n > m$  and all  $x \in G$ . It means that a sequence  $\{\frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in G$ . So one can define a mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$  by  $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, letting  $m = 0$  and passing the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.11), we get (2.10).

The remaining proof goes through by the similar argument to Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** Assume that there exist a nonnegative numbers  $\theta, \delta$  and a real  $p < 1$  such that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\| + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| &\leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\ \|f(x) - A(x)\| &\leq \frac{\theta\|x\|^p}{|c|(2-2^p)} \left[ \frac{2|c|^p}{|a|^p} + \frac{2|c|^p}{|b|^p} + 2^p + 2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** Assume that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the functional inequality (2.4) and that the map  $\phi : G \times G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the condition

$$\Phi(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}, \frac{z}{2^j}\right) < \infty$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| &\leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\ \|A(x) - f(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2|c|} \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cx}{b}, 2x\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \Phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{b}, x\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .

*Proof.* Now it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l+1}^m \left\| 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j-1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j-1}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2|c|} \sum_{j=l+1}^m 2^j \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{2^j a}, \frac{-cx}{2^j b}, \frac{2x}{2^j}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{-cx}{2^j a}, 0, \frac{x}{2^j}\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{2^j b}, \frac{x}{2^j}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$  and for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$ . It means that for any  $x \in G$  a sequence  $\{2^m f(\frac{x}{2^m})\}$  is Cauchy in  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{2^m f(\frac{x}{2^m})\}$  converges. So one can define a mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$  by  $A(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^m f(\frac{x}{2^m})$  for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.13), we get the approximation (2.12) of  $f$  by  $A$ .

The remaining proof goes through by the similar argument to Theorem 2.2.  $\square$



**THEOREM 2.7.** *Assume that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality (2.4) and that the map  $\phi : G \times G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the condition*

$$\Phi(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \phi(2^j x, 2^j y, 2^j z) < \infty$$

*for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$ , such that*

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| &\leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\ \|A(x) - f(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2|c|} \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, \frac{-cx}{b}, 2x\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi\left(\frac{-cx}{a}, 0, x\right) + \Phi\left(0, \frac{-cx}{b}, x\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

*for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .*

*Proof.* We get by (2.9)

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2|c|} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^j} \left[ \phi\left(\frac{-2^j cx}{a}, \frac{-2^j cx}{b}, 2^{j+1} x\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \phi\left(\frac{-2^j cx}{a}, 0, 2^j x\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{-2^j cx}{b}, 2^j x\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$  and all  $x \in G$ . It means that a sequence  $\{\frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x)\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  for all  $x \in G$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x)\}$  converges. So one can define a mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$  by  $A(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, letting  $l = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.15), we get the functional inequality (2.14).

The remaining proof goes through by the similar argument to Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Assume that there exists a nonnegative numbers  $\delta$  such that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\| + \delta$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| \leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\ & \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{3\delta}{|c|} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .

The following approximation of  $f$  by  $A$  has much simpler upper bound than that of (2.12).

**THEOREM 2.9.** Assume that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the functional inequality (2.4) and that the map  $\phi : G \times G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the condition

$$\Phi(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda|^j \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^j}, \frac{y}{\lambda^j}, \frac{z}{\lambda^j}\right) < \infty$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ , where  $\lambda := \frac{-a-b}{c} \neq 0$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(\frac{x}{\lambda^n})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| \leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\ & \|A(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|a+b|} \Phi(x, x, \lambda x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $(x, y, z)$  by  $(x, x, \frac{-a-b}{c}x)$  in (2.4), we get

$$(2.17) \quad \left\| f(x) - \frac{f(\lambda x)}{\lambda} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|a+b|} \phi(x, x, \lambda x).$$

Now it follows from (2.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \lambda^l f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^l}\right) - \lambda^m f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^m}\right) \right\| & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \lambda^j f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^j}\right) - \lambda^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{j+1}}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|a+b|} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} |\lambda|^{j+1} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{\lambda^{j+1}}, \frac{\lambda x}{\lambda^{j+1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$  and for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $l$  with  $m > l$ .

The rest of proof is similar to the corresponding part of Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

THEOREM 2.10. Assume that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality (2.4) and that the map  $\phi : G \times G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the condition

$$\Phi(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^j} \phi(\lambda^j x, \lambda^j y, \lambda^j z) < \infty$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ , where  $\lambda := \frac{-a-b}{c} \neq 0$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda^n x)}{\lambda^n}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| &\leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\ \|A(x) - f(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{|a+b|} \Phi(x, x, \lambda x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .

COROLLARY 2.11. Assume that there exists a nonnegative numbers  $\delta$  such that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|af(x) + bf(y) + cf(z)\| \leq \|f(ax + by + cz)\| + \delta$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ , where  $0 < \left| \lambda := \frac{-a-b}{c} \right| \neq 1$ . Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|aA(x) + bA(y) + cA(z)\| &\leq \|A(ax + by + cz)\|, \\ (2.18) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| &\leq \frac{\delta}{|a+b| - |c|} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .

We observe that the best approximation between (2.16) and (2.18) of  $f$  by  $A$  is determined by constants  $a, b, c$ .

## References

- [1] P. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London, 2002.
- [2] W. Fechner, *Stability of a functional inequalities associated with the Jordan–von Neumann functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **71** (2006), 149–161.
- [3] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [4] A. Gilányi, *Eine zur Parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente Ungleichung*, Aequationes Math. **62** (2001), 303–309.

- [5] A. Gilányi, *On a problem by K. Nikodem*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2002), 707–710.
- [6] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [9] K. Jun and Y. Lee, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the Pexiderized quadratic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **297** (2004), 70–86.
- [10] S. Jung, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical analysis*, Hadronic Press Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.
- [11] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Poisson  $JC^*$ -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), 79–97.
- [12] C. Park, Y. Cho and M. Han, *Functional inequality associated with Jordan-von Neumann type additive functional equation*, J. Inequal. Appl. **12** (2007), 1–12.
- [13] C. Park and J. Cui, *Generalized Stability of  $C^*$ -Ternary Quadratic Mappings*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Vol. 2007(2007), Article ID 23282, 6 pages.
- [14] C. Park and A. Najati, *Homomorphisms and derivations in  $C^*$ -algebras*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2007 (2007), Article ID 80630, 12 pages.
- [15] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [16] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers–Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [17] J. Rätz, *On inequalities associated with the Jordan–von Neumann functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **66** (2003), 191–200.
- [18] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
 Chungnam National University  
 Daejeon 305–764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* hmkim@cnu.ac.kr

\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
 Chungnam National University  
 Daejeon 305–764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* seth92@hanmail.net