

Common fixed point theorem for a sequence of mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space

Jong Seo Park and Hong Jae Kang*

Department of Mathematics Education, Chinju National University of Education, Jinju, 660-756, Korea

Abstract

Park and Kim [4], Grabiec [1] studied a fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space, and Vasuki [8] proved a common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space. Park, Park and Kwun [6] defined the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space in which it is a little revised in Park's definition. Using this definition, Park, Kwun and Park [5] and Park, Park and Kwun [7] proved a fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. In this paper, we will prove a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of mappings in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Our result offers a generalization of Vasuki's results [8].

Key words : Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, Common fixed point, A sequence of mapping.

1. Introduction

Park and Kim [4] proved a fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space, Grabiec [1] studied Banach contraction principle in fuzzy metric in the sense of Kramosil and Michalek [2]. Also, Vasuki [8] proved a common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space.

Recently, Park, Park and Kwun [6] defined the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space in which it is a little revised in Park [3]. Using this definition, Park, Kwun and Park [5] proved a fixed point theorem of Banach for the contractive mapping of a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Also, Park, Park and Kwun [7] studied a fixed point theorems in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

In this paper, we will prove a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of mappings in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Our result offers a generalization of Vasuki [8].

We shall deal with intuitionistic fuzzy metric space introduced by Park, Park and Kwun [6].

2. Preliminaries

Now, we will give some definitions, properties and notation of the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Definition 2.1([9]). A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -norm if $*$ is satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $*$ is commutative and associative,
- (b) $*$ is continuous,
- (c) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (d) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ ($a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$).

Definition 2.2([9]). A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -conorm if \diamond is satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) \diamond is commutative and associative,
- (b) \diamond is continuous,
- (c) $a \diamond 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (d) $a \diamond b \geq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ ($a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$).

Definition 2.3([6]). The 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, \diamond is a continuous t -conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions; for all $x, y, z \in X$, such that

- (a) $M(x, y, t) > 0$,
- (b) $M(x, y, t) = 1 \iff x = y$,
- (c) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
- (d) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$,

* Corresponding Author

Manuscript received Mar. 8, 2007; revised Mar. 15, 2007.

- (e) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous,
- (f) $N(x, y, t) > 0$,
- (g) $N(x, y, t) = 0 \iff x = y$,
- (h) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$,
- (i) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$,
- (j) $N(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous.

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

In all that follows \mathbf{N} stands for the set of natural numbers and X stands for an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ with the following properties:

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.4([6]). Let X be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

(a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X is called Cauchy if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0$ for every $t > 0$ and each $p > 0$.

(b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$ for each $t > 0$.

(c) X is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges in X .

Remark 2.1([6]). The following conditions are satisfied :

(a) For any $r_1, r_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $r_1 > r_2$, there exist $r_3, r_4 \in (0, 1)$ such that $r_1 * r_3 \geq r_2$ and $r_4 \diamond r_2 \leq r_1$.

(b) For any $r_5 \in (0, 1)$, there exist $r_6, r_7 \in (0, 1)$ such that $r_6 * r_6 \geq r_5$ and $r_7 \diamond r_7 \leq r_5$.

Lemma 2.1([7]). In an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X , $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is nonincreasing for all $x, y \in X$.

3. Common fixed point

In this section, we will prove a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of mappings in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space being an extension of Vasuki [8].

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{T_n\}_n$ be a sequence of mappings of a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X into itself satisfying (2.1). If for any two mappings T_i, T_j , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} M(T_i^m x, T_i^m y, \alpha_{i,j} t) &\geq M(x, y, t), \\ N(T_i^m x, T_i^m y, \alpha_{i,j} t) &\leq N(x, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

for some m and $0 < \alpha_{i,j} < k < 1$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$, $x, y \in X$. Then the sequence $\{T_n\}_n$ has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 = T_1^m x_0, x_2 = T_2^m x_1, \dots$. Then for all $p > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1, x_2, t) &= M(T_1^m x_0, T_2^m x_1, t) \\ &\geq M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{\alpha_{1,2}}) \\ M(x_2, x_3, t) &= M(T_2^m x_1, T_3^m x_2, t) \\ &\geq M(x_1, x_2, \frac{t}{\alpha_{2,3}}) \\ &\geq M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{\alpha_{1,2}\alpha_{2,3}}), \\ N(x_1, x_2, t) &= N(T_1^m x_0, T_2^m x_1, t) \\ &\leq N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{\alpha_{1,2}}) \\ N(x_2, x_3, t) &= N(T_2^m x_1, T_3^m x_2, t) \\ &\leq N(x_1, x_2, \frac{t}{\alpha_{2,3}}) \\ &\leq N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{\alpha_{1,2}\alpha_{2,3}}). \end{aligned}$$

By simple induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) &= M(T_n^m x_{n-1}, T_{n+1}^m x_n, t) \\ &\geq M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{\alpha_n, n+1}) \\ &\dots \dots \\ &\geq M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}), \\ N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) &= N(T_n^m x_{n-1}, T_{n+1}^m x_n, t) \\ &\leq N(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{\alpha_n, n+1}) \\ &\dots \dots \\ &\leq N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any positive integer p , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) &\geq M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}) * \dots \\ &\dots * M(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}) \\ &\geq M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}) * \dots \\ &\dots * M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}) * \dots \\
 &\quad \dots * M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}) \\
 &\geq M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{pk^n}) * \dots * M(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{pk^n}) \\
 &\quad \rightarrow 1 * \dots * 1 = 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
 N(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) \\
 &\leq N(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}) \diamond \dots \\
 &\quad \dots \diamond N(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}) \\
 &\leq N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}) \diamond \dots \\
 &\quad \dots \diamond N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}), \\
 &\leq N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}) \diamond \dots \\
 &\quad \dots \diamond N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,i+1}}) \\
 &\leq N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{pk^n}) \diamond \dots \diamond N(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{pk^n}) \\
 &\quad \rightarrow 0 \diamond \dots \diamond 0 = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1) and Remark 2.1. Therefore $\{x_n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, $\{x_n\}_n$ converges to some x in X .

Now we will prove that x is a periodic point of T_i . For some $m > 0$, by definition and Remark 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &M(x, T_i^m x, t) \\
 &\geq M(x, x_n, t - kt) * M(x_n, T_i^m x, kt) \\
 &= M(x, x_n, t - kt) * M(T_n^m x_{n-1}, T_i^m x, kt) \\
 &\geq M(x, x_n, t - kt) * M(T_n^m x_{n-1}, T_i^m x, \alpha_{n,i} t) \\
 &\geq M(x, x_n, t(1 - k)) * M(x_{n-1}, x, t) \\
 &\quad \rightarrow 1 * 1 = 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
 N(x, T_i^m x, t) \\
 &\leq N(x, x_n, t - kt) \diamond N(x_n, T_i^m x, kt) \\
 &= N(x, x_n, t - kt) \diamond N(T_n^m x_{n-1}, T_i^m x, kt) \\
 &\leq N(x, x_n, t - kt) \diamond N(T_n^m x_{n-1}, T_i^m x, \alpha_{n,i} t) \\
 &\leq N(x, x_n, t(1 - k)) \diamond N(x_{n-1}, x, t) \\
 &\quad \rightarrow 0 \diamond 0 = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x = T_i^m x$.

Now, suppose that $y(x \neq y)$ be another periodic point of T_i , then there is $t > 0$ such that $M(x, y, t) < 1$ and $N(x, y, t) > 0$.

Further

$$\begin{aligned}
 &M(x, y, t) \\
 &= M(T_i^m x, T_i^m y, t) \geq M(x, y, \frac{t}{\alpha_{i,j}}) \\
 &\geq M(x, y, \frac{t}{k}), \\
 N(x, y, t) \\
 &= N(T_i^m x, T_i^m y, t) \leq N(x, y, \frac{t}{\alpha_{i,j}}) \\
 &\leq N(x, y, \frac{t}{k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &M(x, y, t) \\
 &= M(T_i^m x, T_i^m y, t) \geq M(T_i^m x, T_i^m y, \frac{t}{k}) \\
 &\geq M(x, y, \frac{t}{k^2}), \\
 N(x, y, t) \\
 &= N(T_i^m x, T_i^m y, t) \leq N(T_i^m x, T_i^m y, \frac{t}{k}) \\
 &\leq N(x, y, \frac{t}{k^2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &M(x, y, t) \geq M(x, y, \frac{t}{k^n}), \\
 &N(x, y, t) \leq N(x, y, \frac{t}{k^n}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 &1 > M(x, y, t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, \frac{t}{k^n}) = 1, \\
 &0 < N(x, y, t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, \frac{t}{k^n}) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $x = y$. That is, x is a unique periodic point of T_i .

Also,

$$T_i x = T_i(T_i^m x) = T_i^m(T_i x).$$

Hence $T_i x$ is also a periodic point of T_i . Therefore $x = T_i x$. That is, x is a unique common fixed point of the sequence $\{T_n\}_n$.

Example 3.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$, $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let M_d, N_d be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defines as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \\
 &N_d(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x, y)}{t + d(x, y)} \text{ if } x, y \in X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then (M_d, N_d) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X and $(X, M_d, N_d, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

In this case, let $X = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ with the metric d defined by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, and define the sequence $\{T_n\}_n$ of mappings from X to X by $T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$ for $m = 2$, $\alpha_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4}$ and all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_d(T_i^2x, T_i^2y, t) &= M\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{y}{4}, t\right) = \frac{t}{t + \left|\frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{4}\right|} \\ &= \frac{4t}{4t + |x - y|} = M\left(x, y, \frac{t}{\alpha_{i,j}}\right), \\ N_d(T_i^2x, T_i^2y, t) &= N\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{y}{4}, t\right) = \frac{\left|\frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{4}\right|}{t + \left|\frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{4}\right|} \\ &= \frac{|x - y|}{4t + |x - y|} = N\left(x, y, \frac{t}{\alpha_{i,j}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4} < 1$. Clearly, all conditions of the above theorem are satisfied, and 0 is a unique common fixed point of the sequence $\{T_n\}_n$.

Corollary 3.2([7]). (Intuitionistic fuzzy Banach contraction theorem) Let X be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space satisfying (2.1). Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} M(Tx, Ty, \alpha t) &\geq M(x, y, t), \\ N(Tx, Ty, \alpha t) &\leq N(x, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. By the above theorem, putting $T_n = T$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, m = 1$ and $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha$, then the proof follows.

References

[1] Grabiec, M., *Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces.* Fuzzy Sets and Systems **27** (1986), 385–389.
 [2] Kramosil, O., Michalek, J., *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces.* Kybernetika **11** (1975), 336–344.

[3] Park, J.H., *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.* Chaos Solitons & Fractals **22**(5) (2004), 1039–1046.
 [4] Park, J.S., Kim, S.Y., *A fixed point Theorem in a fuzzy metric space.* Far J.Math.Sci. **1**(6) (1999), 927–934.
 [5] Park, J.S., Kwun, Y.C., Park, J.H. *A fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.* Far J.Math.Sci. **16**(2) (2005), 137–149.
 [6] Park, J.H., Park, J.S., Kwun, Y.C., *A common fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.* Advances in Natural Comput. Data Mining(Proc. 2nd ICNC and 3rd FSKD) (2006), 293–300.
 [7] Park, J.H., Park J. S., Kwun, Y.C., *Fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space(I).* To appear.
 [8] R. Vasuki, *A common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space.* Fuzzy Sets and Systems **97** (1998), 395–397.
 [9] Schweizer, B., Sklar, A., *Statistical metric spaces.* Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 313–334.
 [10] Zadeh, L.A., *Fuzzy sets.* Inform. and Control **8** (1965), 338–353.

Jong Seo Park

Professor of Chinju National University of Education
 Research Area: Fuzzy mathematics, System theory and control, Fuzzy differential equation
 E-mail : parkjs@cue.ac.kr

Hong Jae Kang

Professor of Chinju National University of Education
 Research Area: Fuzzy mathematics, Fuzzy differential geometry
 E-mail : kanghj@cue.ac.kr