

# Some properties of the convergence of sequences of fuzzy points in a fuzzy normed linear space

Gil Seob Rhie, Young Uk Do

Department of Mathematics, Hannam University,  
Daejeon 306-791, Korea

## Abstract

With a new ordinary norm as an analogy of Krishna and Sarma[5] and Bag and Samanta[1], we will characterize the notions of the convergence of the sequences of fuzzy points, the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence and fuzzy completeness.

**key words:** fuzzy normed linear space, convergence of sequence of fuzzy points,  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence of fuzzy points,  $\alpha$ -fuzzy completeness and fuzzy completeness

## 1. 서론

Katsaras and Liu [2] introduced the notions of fuzzy vector spaces and fuzzy topological vector spaces. These ideas were modified by Katsaras [3] and, in [4] Katsaras defined the fuzzy norm on a vector space. In [5] Krishna and Sarma discussed the generation of a fuzzy vector topology from an ordinary vector topology on a vector space. Krishna and Sarma[6] introduced the notion of the convergence of sequence of fuzzy points in a fuzzy normed linear space and Rhie et al. [7] introduced the concepts of the  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence of fuzzy points and fuzzy completeness in a fuzzy normed linear space.

In this paper, as an analogy of Krishna and Sarma[5] and Bag and Samanta[1], we observe that if  $\rho$  is a fuzzy norm on a linear space  $X$ , then for each  $\epsilon$  ( $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ),

$$N_\epsilon(x) = \bigwedge \{t > 0 \mid t\rho(x) \geq \epsilon\}$$

gives an ordinary norm on  $X$ . With this norm we will characterize the notions of the convergence of sequences of fuzzy points, the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence and fuzzy completeness in a fuzzy normed linear space. Also we prove a useful sufficient condition that a fuzzy norm on a linear space is fuzzy complete.

## 2. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper,  $X$  is a vector space over the field  $K(\mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$ . Fuzzy subsets of  $X$  are denoted by Greek letters in general.  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic

function of a set  $A$ . By a fuzzy point  $\mu$  we mean a fuzzy subset

$\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } z = x \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

here  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and  $I^X$  denotes the set  $\{\mu \mid \mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ . We usually denote the fuzzy point with support  $x$  and value  $\alpha$  by  $(x, \alpha)$

**Definition 2.1.** For two fuzzy subset  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  of  $X$ , the fuzzy subset  $\mu_1 + \mu_2$  is defined by  $(\mu_1 + \mu_2)(x) = \sup_{x_1 + x_2 = x} \min\{\mu_1(x_1), \mu_2(x_2)\}$ .

And for a scalar  $t$  of  $K$  and a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$ , the fuzzy subset  $t\mu$  is defined by

$$(t\mu)(x) = \begin{cases} \mu(\frac{x}{t}) & \text{if } t \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } x \neq 0 \\ \sup_{y \in X} \mu(y) & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.2** [2].  $\mu \in I^X$  is said to be

- i) convex if  $t\mu + (1-t)\mu \leq \mu$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$
- ii) balanced if  $t\mu \leq \mu$  for each  $t \in K$  with  $|t| \leq 1$
- iii) absorbing if  $\sup_{t > 0} t\mu(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.3** [3]. Let  $(X, \gamma)$  be a topological space and  $\omega(\gamma) = \{f: X \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \text{lower semicontinuous}\}$ , Then  $\omega(\gamma)$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . This topology is called the fuzzy topology generated by  $\gamma$  on  $X$ . The fuzzy usual topology on  $K$  means the fuzzy topology generated by the usual topology of  $K$ .

**Definition 2.4** [2]. A fuzzy linear topology on a vector space  $X$  over  $K$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$  such that the two mappings

$$\begin{aligned} + : X \times X &\rightarrow X, (x, y) \rightarrow x + y \\ \cdot : K \times X &\rightarrow X, (t, x) \rightarrow tx \end{aligned}$$

are continuous when  $K$  has the fuzzy usual topology. A linear space with a fuzzy linear topology is called a fuzzy linear space or a fuzzy topological vector space.

**Definition 2.5**[6]. Let  $(X, \gamma)$  be a fuzzy topological space. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called a neighbourhood of  $(x, a)$  if there exists  $\psi \in \gamma$  with  $\psi(x) \geq a$  and  $\psi \leq \mu$ .

**Definition 2.6**[4]. A fuzzy seminorm on  $X$  is a fuzzy set  $\rho$  in  $X$  which is convex, balanced and absorbing. If in addition  $\inf_{t>0} t\rho(x) = 0$  for every nonzero  $x$ , then  $\rho$  is called a fuzzy norm.

**Definition 2.7**[4]. If  $\rho$  is a fuzzy seminorm on  $X$ , then the family

$B_\rho = \{\theta \wedge (t\rho) \mid 0 < \theta \leq 1, t > 0\}$  is a base for a fuzzy linear topology  $\tau_\rho$ , where  $\theta \wedge (t\rho)$  is the function  $X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $(\theta \wedge (t\rho))(x) = \min\{\theta, (t\rho)(x)\}$ .

**Definition 2.8**[4]. Let  $\rho$  be a seminorm on a linear space. The fuzzy topology  $\tau_\rho$  in definition 2.7 is called the fuzzy topology induced by the fuzzy seminorm  $\rho$ . And a linear space equipped with a fuzzy seminorm (resp. fuzzy norm) is called a fuzzy seminormed (resp. fuzzy normed) linear space.

**Theorem 2.9.** If  $\rho$  is a fuzzy seminorm on  $X$ , then the function  $N_\epsilon: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by

$N_\epsilon(x) = \inf\{t > 0 \mid t\rho(x) \geq \epsilon\}$  for each  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  is a seminorm on  $X$ . Further  $N_\epsilon$  is a norm on  $X$  for each  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  if and only if  $\rho$  is a fuzzy norm on  $X$ .

**Proof.** First,

$$\begin{aligned} N_\epsilon(0) &= \wedge\{t > 0 : (t\rho)(0) \geq \epsilon\} \\ &= \wedge\{t > 0 : \rho(0) \geq \epsilon\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let  $a \neq 0$  and  $x \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} N_\epsilon(ax) &= \wedge\{t > 0 : (t\rho)(ax) \geq \epsilon\} \\ &= \wedge\left\{t > 0 : \left(\frac{t}{a}\rho\right)(x) \geq \epsilon\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\rho$  is balanced,  $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . And we get  $\frac{t}{a}\rho = \frac{t}{|a|}\rho$  ( $a \neq 0$ ).

$$\text{Now } \wedge\left\{t > 0 : \frac{t}{a}\rho(x) \geq \epsilon\right\} = \left\{t > 0 : \frac{t}{|a|}\rho(x) \geq \epsilon\right\}$$

Putting  $u = t/|a|$ , then

$$N_\epsilon(ax) = \wedge\{|a|u > 0 : u\rho(x) \geq \epsilon\} = |a|N_\epsilon(x)$$

For any  $x, y \in X$  we define

$A(x, \epsilon) = \{t > 0 : t\rho(x) \geq \epsilon\}$ . We claim that  $A(x, \epsilon) + A(y, \epsilon) \subseteq A(x+y, \epsilon)$ .

If  $t \in A(x, \epsilon)$  and  $s \in A(y, \epsilon)$ , then  $t\rho(x) \geq \epsilon$  and  $s\rho(y) \geq \epsilon$ .

$$\text{Also } (t+s)\rho(x+y) = \rho\left(\frac{x+y}{t+s}\right)$$

$$= \rho\left(\frac{t}{t+s}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) + \frac{s}{t+s}\left(\frac{y}{s}\right)\right) \geq \rho\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \wedge \rho\left(\frac{y}{s}\right)$$

using the convexity of  $\rho$ . This shows that  $t+s \in A(x+y, \epsilon)$ . The triangle inequality for  $N_\epsilon$  now follows by taking infima.

If  $\rho$  is a fuzzy norm,  $x \in X$  and  $N_\epsilon(x) = 0$ , then  $\inf_{t>0} (t\rho)(x) \geq \epsilon = 0$  and hence  $(t\rho)(x) \geq \epsilon$  for any  $t > 0$ . This implies that  $\wedge_{t>0} (t\rho)(x) \neq 0$  and by the definition of fuzzy norm that  $x = 0$ . And  $N_\epsilon$  is a norm on  $X$ . Conversely, if  $N_\epsilon$  is a norm for each  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , let  $x \in X$  and  $x \neq 0$ . Then  $N_\epsilon(x) = \delta > 0$ . From the definition of  $N_\epsilon$  it then follows that for any  $s \in (0, \delta)$ ,  $s\rho(x) < \epsilon$ . Hence for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $s \in (0, \delta)$ ,  $s\rho(x) < \epsilon$ , implying  $\wedge_{t>0} (t\rho)(x) = 0$ . This shows that  $\rho$  is a fuzzy norm on  $X$ .

### 3. Fuzzy convergence of sequences of fuzzy points and fuzzy completeness.

In this section, we characterize the convergence of sequences of fuzzy points of Krishna and Srma[6] and the fuzzy Cauchy sequence and fuzzy completeness of Rhie et al.[7], and investigate some related properties of fuzzy normed linear spaces.

**Definition 3.1**[6]. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a fuzzy topological space,

$\{\mu_n = (x_n, a_n)\}$  be a sequence of fuzzy points in  $X$  and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy point in  $X$ . We say that  $\{\mu_n\}$  converges to  $\mu$ , written as  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  if and only if for every neighbourhood  $N$  of  $\mu$  there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that  $n \geq M$  implies  $\mu_n \leq N$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a fuzzy normed vector space and

$\{\mu_n = (x_n, a_n)\}$  be a sequence of fuzzy points in  $X$  and  $\mu = (x, a)$  a fuzzy point in  $X$ . Then  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  if and only if for every  $t > 0$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $a_n \leq a$  and  $N_{a_n}(x_n - x) < t$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ . Let  $t > 0$ . Write  $N = x + a \wedge \frac{1}{2} t\rho$ . Then  $N$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mu$ . So by Definition 3.1, there exist  $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that, for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $\mu_n \leq x + a \wedge \frac{1}{2} t\rho$ ; that is for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $a_n \leq a \wedge \frac{1}{2} t\rho(x_n - x)$ , which means for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $a_n \leq a$  and

$$a_n \leq \frac{1}{2} t\rho(x_n - x), \text{ that is for all } n \geq M, a_n \leq a \text{ and}$$

$N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) \leq \frac{1}{2} t < t$ . Hence for every  $t > 0$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha$  and  $N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) < t$ .

Conversely, suppose that for every  $t > 0$ , there exists  $M = M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha$  and  $N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) < t$ . Let  $N$  be a neighbourhood of  $\mu$ .

Then  $N$  contains a neighbourhood of the form  $x + a \wedge t\rho$  for some  $t > 0$ .

For this  $t$  by hypothesis there exists  $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all

$$n \geq M, \alpha_n \leq \alpha, N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) < t.$$

Now, for all  $n \geq M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) < t &\Rightarrow t\rho(x_n - x) \geq \alpha_n \\ &\Rightarrow x + a \wedge t\rho(x_n) \geq \alpha_n \\ &\Rightarrow \mu_n \leq x + a \wedge t\rho \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq M$ . This implies that  $\mu_n \leq N$  for all  $n \geq M$ . Hence the sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  converges to  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a fuzzy normed vector space over the field  $K$ .

- (a) If  $(x_n, \alpha_n) = \mu_n \rightarrow \mu = (x, \alpha)$  and  $(y_n, \beta_n) = \nu_n \rightarrow \nu = (y, \beta)$ , then  $\mu_n + \nu_n = (x_n + y_n, \alpha_n \wedge \beta_n)$  converges to  $((x + y), \alpha \wedge \beta) = \mu + \nu$
- (b) If  $\{t_n\} \subseteq K$ ,  $t \in K$  and  $t_n \rightarrow t$ ,  $\mu_n = (x_n, \alpha_n) \rightarrow \mu = (x, \alpha)$ , then  $t_n \mu_n = (t_n x_n, \alpha_n) \rightarrow t \mu = (tx, \alpha)$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let  $t > 0$  be given. So there exists  $M$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $n \geq M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &\leq \alpha, N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) < \frac{1}{2} t, \\ \beta_n &\leq \beta, N_{\beta_n}(y_n - y) < \frac{1}{2} t. \end{aligned} \text{ Now,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \beta_n)}(x_n + y_n - \overline{x + y}) \\ \leq N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) + N_{\beta_n}(y_n - y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all  $n \geq M$ . We have

$$\alpha_n \wedge \beta_n \leq \alpha \wedge \beta \text{ and}$$

$$N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \beta_n)}(x_n + y_n - \overline{x + y}) < t,$$

which proves (a).

(b) Let  $s > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $M_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $n \geq M_1$ ,

$\alpha_n \leq \alpha$ , and  $N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) < \frac{s}{2k}$  where  $k$  is a positive number such that

$|t_n| \leq k$  for all  $n$ . Also, since  $t_n \rightarrow t$  there exists

$M_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all

$$n \geq M_2, |t_n - t| \leq \frac{s}{[2N_{\alpha}(x) + 1]}.$$

Let  $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\alpha_n}(t_n x_n - tx) &= N_{\alpha_n}(t_n(x_n - x) + (t_n - t)x) \\ &< |t_n| N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) + |(t_n - t)| N_{\alpha_n}(x) \\ &< k \cdot \frac{s}{2k} + \left[ \frac{s}{(2N_{\alpha}(x) + 1)} \right] N_{\alpha_n}(x) \quad n \geq M \\ &< \frac{1}{2} s + \frac{1}{2} s \leq s, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (b).

**Definition 3.4**[7]. Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . A sequence of fuzzy points

$\{\mu_n = (x_n, \alpha_n)\}$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence in a fuzzy normed linear space  $(X, \rho)$  if for each zero neighbourhood  $N$  with  $N(0) > \alpha$ , there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that  $n, m \geq M$  implies  $\mu_n - \mu_m = (x_n - x_m, \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m) \leq N$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a fuzzy normed linear space and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Then  $\{(x_n, \alpha_n)\}$  is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence if and only if for each  $t > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that  $m, n \geq M$  implies  $\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m \leq \alpha$  and  $N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m)}(x_n - x_m) < t$

**Proof.** Assume that  $\{(x_n, \alpha_n)\}$  is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence and  $t > 0$ . Let  $\beta > \alpha$  and  $N = \beta \wedge \frac{1}{2} t\rho$ , where  $(\beta \wedge \frac{1}{2} t\rho)(x) = \min\{\beta \wedge \rho(\frac{2x}{t})\}$ . Since  $N(0) > \alpha$ , there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that for  $n, m \geq M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \wedge \frac{1}{2} t\rho(x_n - x_m) &\geq \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m &\leq \beta \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} t\rho(x_n - x_m) \geq \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m &\leq \beta \text{ and } N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m)}(x_n - x_m) \leq \frac{1}{2} t < t \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m \leq \alpha \text{ and } N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m)}(x_n - x_m) < t.$$

For the converse let  $N$  be a neighbourhood of zero with  $N(0) > \alpha$ . Then there exist  $t > 0$  and  $\alpha' > \alpha$  such that  $\alpha' \wedge t\rho \leq N$ . For this  $t$ , there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that for  $n, m \geq M$ ,  $\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m \leq \alpha$  and

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m)}(x_n - x_m) &< t \\ \Rightarrow t\rho(x_n - x_m) &\geq \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m \\ \Rightarrow \alpha \wedge t\rho(x_n - x_m) &\geq \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m \\ \Rightarrow \alpha \wedge t\rho &\geq (x_n - x_m, \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$N \geq \alpha' \wedge t\rho \geq \alpha \wedge t\rho \geq (x_n - x_m, \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m).$$

The proof is completed.

**Corollary 3.6.** Any subsequence of a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence is also a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence.

Note. Let  $\alpha < \alpha'$ . Every fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence is a  $\alpha'$ -Cauchy sequence and

$N_{\alpha}$ -Cauchy sequence is a  $N_{\alpha'}$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $\{(x_n, \alpha_n)\}$  converges to  $(X, \alpha)$  then it is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Proof.** Since  $\{(x_n, \alpha_n)\}$  converges to  $(X, \alpha)$ , for every  $t > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that  $n \geq M$  implies  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha$  and  $N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) < \frac{1}{2}t$ . If  $m, n \geq M$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m &\leq \alpha \text{ and} \\ N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m)}(x_n - x_m) &\leq N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m)}(x_n - x) + N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m)}(x_m - x) \\ &\leq N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) + N_{\alpha_m}(x_m - x) < \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{2}t = t \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\{(x_n, \alpha_n)\}$  is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence.

This completes the proof.

Now, we consider some relations between the fuzzy completeness and ordinary completeness on a linear space  $X$ .

**Definition 3.8**[7]. A fuzzy normed linear space  $(X, \rho)$  is said to be fuzzy  $\alpha$ -complete if every fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  converges to a fuzzy point  $\mu = (x, \alpha)$ .

$(X, \rho)$  is said to be fuzzy complete if it is fuzzy  $\alpha$ -complete for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space. Then the fuzzy normed linear space  $(X, \chi_B)$  is fuzzy complete, where  $B = \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $\{(x_n, \alpha_n)\}$  be a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence of fuzzy points in  $X$ . For every  $t > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that  $m, n \geq M$

implies  $\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m \leq \alpha$  and

$N_{(\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_m)}(x_n - x_m) = \|x_n - x_m\| < t$ . That is,  $\{x_n\}$  is a crisp Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is complete, there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $\|x_n - x\|$  converges to 0.

Therefore, for every  $t > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that for  $n \geq M$ ,  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha$  and

$$N_{\alpha_n}(x_n - x) = \|x_n - x\| < t.$$

Hence  $\{(x_n, \alpha_n)\}$  converges to  $(x, \alpha)$  with respect to the topology  $\tau_{\chi_B}$ .

Therefore,  $(X, \chi_B)$  is fuzzy  $\alpha$ -complete for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**corollary 3.10.** The field  $K(\mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$  with the fuzzy topology generated by the usual topology on  $K$  is a com-

plete fuzzy normed linear space.

**Definition 3.11**[4]. Two fuzzy seminorms  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  on  $X$  are said to be equivalent if  $\tau_{\rho_1} = \tau_{\rho_2}$ .

**Theorem 3.12**[4]. The fuzzy seminorms  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  on a linear space  $X$  are equivalent if and only if for each  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\theta \wedge \rho_1(tx) \leq \rho_2(x)$  and  $\theta \wedge \rho_2(tx) \leq \rho_1(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.13**[7]. Let  $\rho$  be a lower semicontinuous fuzzy norm on a normed linear space  $X$ , and have the bounded support :  $\{x \in X \mid \rho(x) > 0\}$  is bounded. Then  $\rho$  is equivalent to the fuzzy norm  $\chi_B$ , where  $B$  is the closed unit ball of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $\rho$  is a lower semicontinuous fuzzy norm having the bounded support, then the fuzzy normed linear space  $(X, \rho)$  is fuzzy complete.

**Proof.** From Theorem 3.13,  $\rho$  is equivalent to  $\chi_B$  and so  $(X, \rho)$  is fuzzy complete by Theorem 3.9.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, J. fuzzy math. Vol. 11 No. 3(2003) 687-705.
- [2] A.K. Katsaras and D.B. Liu, fuzzy vector spaces and fuzzy topological vector spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 58(1977), 135-146.
- [3] A.K. Katsaras, Fuzzy topological vector space I, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 6(1981), 85-95.
- [4] A.K. Katsaras, Fuzzy topological vector spaces II, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12(1984), 143-154.
- [5] S.V. Kirshna and K.K.M. Sarma, Fuzzy topological vector spaces- topological generation and normability, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 41 (1991), 89-99.
- [6] S.V. Kirshna and K.K.M. Sarma, Fuzzy continuity of linear maps on vector spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 45 (1992), 341-354.
- [7] G.S. Rhie, B.M. Choi and D.S. Kim, On the completeness of fuzzy normed linear spaces, Math. Japonica 45, No.1(1997) 33-37.

저 자 소 개



**Gil Seob Rhie**

He received the Ph.D.degree in mathematics from Korea University in 1988. From 1982 to present, he is a professor in the Department of Mathematics of Hannam University, Daejeon,

Korea. His main research nterests include fuzzy analysis and analysis.

Phone : +82-42-629-7453  
Fax : +82-42-629-7894  
E-mail : gsrhie@hanmail.net



**Young Uk Do**

He received the B.S degree in mathematics form Hannam University in 2005. Currently he is a graduate student at Hannam University.

Phone : +82-41-565-1376  
Fax : +82-42-629-7894  
E-mail : dyu777@nate.com