Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from different aged pigs in Korea

Eun Mi Kim¹, Hye Kwon Kim¹, Seong Jun Park¹, Chul Seung Lee², Yuzi Luo¹, Hyoung Joon Moon¹, Jeong Sun Yang¹, Bong Kyun Park^{1,*}

¹College of Veterinary Medicine and BK21 Program for Veterinary Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

²Research Unit, Green Cross Veterinary Products, YongIn 449-903, Korea

(Accepted: October 25, 2007)

Abstract : A total of 114 *Salmonella* spp. (17.90%) were isolated from 637 fecal swab samples collected in October 2005 and they were from 25 (78.13%) of 32 farms investigated nation-widely in Korea. *Salmonella* spp. from age group of 30-, 60-, 90-, 120-day olds were 17.61%, 16.98%, 15.72%, and 21.25%, respectively. Nine serovars of *Salmonella* spp. were identified. The predominant serovars of isolates were *S.* Typhimurium (including var Copenhagen), *S.* Agona, *S.* Derby, and S. Heidelberg by turns. Almost isolates belonged to serogroup B (69.30%). All isolates were resistant to penicillin G and oxytetracycline, and this was considered due to be used as feed additive through the most of pig farms for decades. And also, frequent resistance observed for ampicillin, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, and lincomycin-spectinomycin, which are commonly used in veterinary medicine for decades, indicates an urgent need to utilize these antimicrobials more prudently if their benefits are to be preserved.

Key words: antibiotic resistant, prevalence, Salmonella spp., serovars

Salmonella enterica is one of many enteric diseasecausing agents in humans and other animals. Salmonella spp. are zoonotic and often foodborne bacteria; major reservoirs for human infection are believed to be livestock and poultry [1, 10, 11, 16]. Salmonella spp. is frequently isolated from the environment and livestock of pig farms. Salmonella infections of swine are of concern for two major reasons. The first is the clinical disease (Salmonellosis) in swine that may result, and the second is that swine can be infected with a broad range of Salmonella serotypes that can be a source of infection of pork products [6]. Although many of the more than 2,400 Salmonella serotypes have a broad host range and are widely distributed, several serotypes are quite adapted to a single host species, most notably S. typhi (humans), S. dublin (bovine), and S. choleraesuis (swine). Many serotypes are not associated with overt disease and appear to have limited host and geographical range. The use of antimicrobial agents in human and animals can lead to the emergence of antimicrobial resistant bacteria [2]. The frequency

of multi-drug resistance within *Salmonella* strains isolated from zoonotic foodborne infections are increasing.

Due to strong link between human and pigs, many reports containing estimates of the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. have in fact compiled epidemiological data from slaughterhouse or carcasses [4, 9, 15]. But, the overall stream of *Samonella* spp. in the swine farm unit is rarely published. Therefore, the objective of the study was to evaluate serovar and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *S. enterica* isolated from pigs of different age groups in Korean swine herds.

Thirty-two swine farms joined the Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) program for Korean swine farms were selected in October 2005 for this study. The farms are distributed in all over Korea and the informations about herd size and clinical sign were blind. Approximately 5 fecal swab samples per age group were collected randomly from each farm. The age groups are as follows: 30-, 60-, 90-, and 120-day-old pigs. And the samples were committed to the animal

College of Veterinary Medicine and BK21 Program for Veterinary Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea [Tel: +82-2-880-1255, Fax: +82-2-885-0263, E-mail: parkx026@snu.ac.kr]

^{*}Corresponding author: BongKyun Park

virology lab of Seoul National Univ. for isolation of *Salmonella* spp. Isolation of *Salmonella* spp. was performed by conventional methods [5]. Breifly, samples incubated in 10 ml of Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RV, Difco, USA) broth at 43°C for 24 h. Ten microliter of the RV mixture was streaked onto xylose-lysine-deoxycholate (Komed, Korea) agar plates and incubated at 37°C. After 48 h, the plates were examined for the presence of presumptive *Salmonella* colonies, and the suspected colonies were streaked onto bismuth sulphate agar (Difco, USA) plates, and then biochemical tests were performed including triple sugar iron (Difco, USA) test.

According to ranking of frequency of isolation from swine sources, plans for the identification of ten serotypes were organized [6]. To identify serotypes, serum agglutination test were performed using O antigen and H antigen antisera (Difco, USA) of *Salmonella* spp.

After being confirmed as Salmonella spp., the isolates

were screened for antimicrobial susceptibility by diskdiffusion method. The antimicrobial susceptibility test was performed against lincomycin/streptomycin, ceftiofur, gentamicin, oxytetracycline, penicillin G, ampicillin, and sulfametoxazol/trimethoprim (Oxoid, UK), which are usually used in Korean conventional swine farms.

A total of 114 Salmonella spp. (17.90%) were isolated from 637 fecal swab samples and they were from 25 farms (78.13%) of 32 investigated farms. Prevalence of Salmonella spp. from age group of 30-, 60-, 90-, 120-day olds were 17.61%, 16.98%, 15.72%, and 21.25%, respectively (Table 1). Nine serovars of Salmonella spp. were identified as shown in Table 2. The predominant serovars of isolates were S. Typhimurium (including var Copenhagen), S. Agona, S. Derby, and S. Heidelberg by turns (Table 3). Almost isolates belonged to serogroup B (69.30%).

Isolates were grouped into antimicrobial resistance

Table 1. Prevalence of Salmonella spp. in different age groups

Age	No. of fecal samples	No. of positive samples (%)	No. of tested herds	No. of positive herds (%)
30 days	159	28 (17.61)	32	16 (50.00)
60 days	159	27 (16.98)	32	13 (40.63)
90 days	159	25 (15.72)	32	14 (43.75)
120 days	160	34 (21.25)	32	14 (43.75)
Total	637	114 (17.90)	128	57 (44.53)

Table 2. Antimicrobial resistance clusters (ARCs) on the basis of patterns of 7 antimicrobials

				I	No. of	Frequency of					
ARCs	No. of antimicrobials to which the isolates were resistant	ОТ	Þ	Amp	SXT	LS	CN	Cef	Salmonella isolates belonging to susceptibility clusters	Salmonella isolates according to No of antimicrobial resistance	
A	3	-	_	-	+	+	+	+	2	2 (1.75%)	
В	4	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	4		
C	4	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	4		
D	4	-	-	_	+	+	-	+	1	20 (17.54%)	
E	4	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	3		
F	4	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	8		
G	5	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	5		
Н	5	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	1	27 (22 (29))	
I	5	_	-	=	-	-	+	+	12	27 (23.68%)	
J	5	_	_	_		+	-	+	9		
$^{\circ}$ K	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	65	65 (57.02%)	
isolate	ency of Salmonella es resistant to each antimicrobials	114 (100%)	114 (100%)	106 (92.98%)	102 (89.47%)	93 (81.58%)	82)(71.93%)	0 (0%)		114	

OT = Oxytetracycline, P = Penicillin G, Amp = Ampicillin, SXT = Sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, LS = Lincomycin-Spectinomycin, CN = Gentamicin, Cef = Ceftiofur.

Serogroup	Salmonella serovars	No. of isolates -	ARCs										
			A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K
	Typhimurium	5	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	2
	Typhimurium var Copenhagen	17	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	12
В	Agona	25	1	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	4	5	12
	Derby	12	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	7
	Heidelberg	12	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	8
	Schwarzengrund	8	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	5
C ₁	Choleraesuis	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	_	-	2
	Choleraesuis var Kunzendorf	9	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	•	4
	Mbandaka	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1.	7
E ₁	Anatum	0	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_
	Untypable	13	-	-	2	-	-	1	2	-	2	-	6
Total number of isolates		114	2	4	4	1	3	8	5	1	12	9	65

Table 3. Frequency of Salmonella enterica serovars and their antimicrobial resistance clusters (ARCs) distribution

clusters (ARCs) on the basis of patterns of 7 antimicrobiotics (Table 2). All isolates were resistant to oxytetracycline and penicillin G, and resistant to ampicillin (92.98%), sulfametoxazol/trimethoprim (89.47%) and lincomycin/ streptomycin (81.58%) were followed. Sixty-five isolates (57.02%) were resistant to all tested antimicrobials except ceftiofur.

There are some papers about Salmonella spp. infection of swine farms in Korea and their antimicrobial susceptibilities [3, 7, 13]. The prevalence of Salmonella spp. was slightly different from our data due to the targeted organs, investigated season and years, and sampled age groups. Also, the data must be influenced by high health status of swine farms involved in the program of HACCP. Therefore, the prevalence of this study was lower than data in previous reports that mostly investigated [8, 12, 14]. The distribution of Salmonella spp. was widespread in the different age groups. It was considered to be endemic infection cycles in most Korean farms. All isolates were resistant to penicillin G and oxytetracycline, and this was considered due to using those as feed additive through the most of swine farms for decades. And also, frequent resistance observed for antimicrobials, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, lincomycinspectinomycin, which are commonly used in veterinary medicine for decades, indicates an urgent need to utilize these antimicrobials more prudently if their benefits are to be preserved. And the significant differences of ARCs among different ages were not shown. It was guessed that the source of multi-resistant

Salmonella spp. from young pigs was most likely to be sows or environment such as feed, water, and other animals. Furthermore, the risk factors associated with the presence of multiple antimicrobial-resistant Salmonella spp. will be investigated, and it will be thought the need of regulation of antimicrobials not only in fattening pigs but also in overall pig unit.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a grant (Code #200704 01034009) from BioGreen 21 Program, Rural Development Administration and Korean Swine Association, Korea.

References

- Angkititrakul S, Chomvarin C, Chaita T, Kanistanon K, Waethewutajarn S. Epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* isolated from pork, chicken meat and humans in Thailand. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2005, 36, 1510-1515.
- Berends BR, van den Bogaard AE, Van Knapen F, Snijders JM. Human health hazards associated with the administration of antimicrobials to slaughter animals. Part II. An assessment of the risks of resistant bacteria in pigs and pork. Vet Q 2001, 23, 10-21.
- Chung YH, Kwon YI, Kim SY, Kim SH, Lee BK, Chang YH. Antimicrobial susceptibilities and epidemiological analysis of Salmonella enteritidis isolates

- in Korea by phage typing and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. J Food Prot 2004, **67**, 264-270.
- Farrington LA, Harvey RB, Buckley SA, Droleskey RE, Nisbet DJ, Inskip PD. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonellae* isolated from market-age swine. J Food Prot 2001, 64, 1496-1502.
- Fedorka-Cray PJ, Dargatz DA, Thomas LA. Gray JT. Survey of Salmonella serotypes in feedlot cattle. J Food Prot 1998, 61, 525-530.
- Griffith RW, Schwartz KJ, Meyerholz DK. Salmonella. In: Straw BE, Zimmerman JJ, D'Allaire S, Taylor DJ (eds.). Diseases of Swine. 9th ed. pp. 739-754, Blackwell Publishing, Ames, 2006.
- Kim SY, Lee HM, Kim S, Hong HP, Kwon HI. Epidemiological aspects of *Salmonella* spp infections of domestic animals in Gyeongbuk province. Korean J Vet Serv 2001, 24, 51-68.
- Molla B, Berhanu A, Muckle A, Cole L, Wilkie E, Kleer J, Hildebrandt G Multidrug resistance and distribution of *Salmonella* serovars in slaughtered pigs. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health 2006, 53, 28-33.
- Oliveira CJ, Carvalho LF, Fernandes SA, Tavechio AT, Menezes CC, Domingues FJ. Antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from slaughter-age pigs and environmental samples. Microb Drug Resist 2002, 8, 407-411.
- Padungtod P, Kaneene JB. Salmonella in food animals and humans in northern Thailand. Int J Food

- Microbiol 2006, 108, 346-354.
- 11. Skov MN, Andersen JS, Aabo S, Ethelberg S, Aarestrup FM, Sørensen AH, Sørensen G, Pedersen K, Nordentoft S, Olsen KEP, Gerner-Smidt P, Baggesen DL. Antimicrobial drug resistance of Salmonella isolates from meat and humans, Denmark. Emerg Infect Dis 2007, 13, 638-641.
- Suh DK, Jung SC. Epidemiological characteristics of Salmonella spp. isolated from different stages of commercial swine farms. Korean J Vet Res 2005, 45, 179-183.
- Suh DK, Song JC. Prevalence of Lawsonia intracellularis, Brachyspira hyodysenteriae and Salmonella in swine herds. J Vet Sci 2005, 6, 289-293.
- 14. van der Wolf PJ, Bongers JH, Elbers ARW, Franssen FMMC, Hunneman WA, van Exsel ACA, Tielen MJM. Salmonella infections in finishing pigs in The Netherlands: bacteriological herd prevalence, serogroup and antibiotic resistance of isolates and risk factors for infection. Vet Microbiol 1999, 67, 263-275.
- 15. van der Wolf PJ, Peperkamp NH. Salmonella (sero) types and their resistance patterns in pig faecal and post-mortem samples. Vet Q 2001, 23, 175-181.
- 16. van Duijkeren E, Wannet WJB, Houwers DJ, van Pelt W. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Salmonella strains isolated from humans, cattle, pigs, and chickens in the Netherlands from 1984 to 2001. J Clin Microbiol 2003, 41, 3574-3578.