

## SUBTRACTION ALGEBRAS WITH ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

YOUNG BAE JUN, YOUNG HEE KIM, AND KYONG AH OH

**ABSTRACT.** Subtraction algebras with additional conditions, so called complicated subtraction algebras, are introduced, and several properties are investigated. In a complicated subtraction algebra, characterizations of ideals are provided, and showed that the set of all ideals in a complicated subtraction algebra is a complete lattice.

### 1. Introduction

B. M. Schein [7] considered systems of the form  $(\Phi; \cdot, \setminus)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a set of functions closed under the composition “.” of functions (and hence  $(\Phi; \cdot)$  is a function semigroup) and the set theoretic subtraction “ $\setminus$ ” (and hence  $(\Phi; \setminus)$  is a subtraction algebra in the sense of [1]). He proved that every subtraction semigroup is isomorphic to a difference semigroup of invertible functions. B. Zelinka [8] discussed a problem proposed by B. M. Schein concerning the structure of multiplication in a subtraction semigroup. He solved the problem for subtraction algebras of a special type, called the atomic subtraction algebras. Y. B. Jun et al. [5] introduced the notion of ideals in subtraction algebras and discussed characterization of ideals. In [4], Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim established the ideal generated by a set, and discussed related results. Y. B. Jun and K. H. Kim [6] introduced the notion of prime and irreducible ideals of a subtraction algebra, and gave a characterization of a prime ideal. They also provided a condition for an ideal to be a prime/irreducible ideal. In this paper, we discuss subtraction algebras with additional conditions, so called complicated subtraction algebra (c-subtraction algebra, for short), and investigate several properties. We provide characterizations of ideals in c-subtraction algebras, and showed that the set of all ideals in a complicated subtraction algebra is a complete lattice.

---

Received August 2, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03G25, 06B10, 06D99.

*Key words and phrases.* complicated subtraction algebra, ideal.

## 2. Preliminaries

By a *subtraction algebra* we mean an algebra  $(X; -)$  with a single binary operation “ $-$ ” that satisfies the following identities: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (S1)  $x - (y - x) = x$ ;
- (S2)  $x - (x - y) = y - (y - x)$ ;
- (S3)  $(x - y) - z = (x - z) - y$ .

The last identity permits us to omit parentheses in expressions of the form  $(x - y) - z$ . The subtraction determines an order relation on  $X$ :  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a - b = 0$ , where  $0 = a - a$  is an element that does not depend on the choice of  $a \in X$ . The ordered set  $(X; \leq)$  is a semi-Boolean algebra in the sense of [1], that is, it is a meet semilattice with zero  $0$  in which every interval  $[0, a]$  is a Boolean algebra with respect to the induced order. Here  $a \wedge b = a - (a - b)$ ; the complement of an element  $b \in [0, a]$  is  $a - b$ ; and if  $b, c \in [0, a]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} b \vee c &= (b' \wedge c')' = a - ((a - b) \wedge (a - c)) \\ &= a - ((a - b) - ((a - b) - (a - c))). \end{aligned}$$

In a subtraction algebra, the following are true (see [5, 6]):

- (a1)  $(x - y) - y = x - y$ .
- (a2)  $x - 0 = x$  and  $0 - x = 0$ .
- (a3)  $(x - y) - x = 0$ .
- (a4)  $x - (x - y) \leq y$ .
- (a5)  $(x - y) - (y - x) = x - y$ .
- (a6)  $x - (x - (x - y)) = x - y$ .
- (a7)  $(x - y) - (z - y) \leq x - z$ .
- (a8)  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x = y - w$  for some  $w \in X$ .
- (a9)  $x \leq y$  implies  $x - z \leq y - z$  and  $z - y \leq z - x$  for all  $z \in X$ .
- (a10)  $x, y \leq z$  implies  $x - y = x \wedge (z - y)$ .
- (a11)  $(x \wedge y) - (x \wedge z) \leq x \wedge (y - z)$ .
- (a12)  $(x - y) - z = (x - z) - (y - z)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [5] A nonempty subset  $A$  of a subtraction algebra  $X$  is called an *ideal* of  $X$  if it satisfies

- (1)  $0 \in A$
- (2)  $(\forall x \in X)(\forall y \in A)(x - y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [6] An ideal  $A$  of a subtraction algebra  $X$  has the following property:

$$(\forall x \in X)(\forall y \in A)(x \leq y \Rightarrow x \in A).$$

## 3. Complicated subtraction algebras

Let  $X$  be a subtraction algebra. For any  $a, b \in X$ , we define

$$\mathcal{G}(a, b) = \{x \in X \mid x - a \leq b\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}(a, b)$  is nonempty since  $0, a, b \in \mathcal{G}(a, b)$ .

**Example 3.1.** (1) Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  be a subtraction algebra with the Cayley table as follows.

-	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	a	a
b	b	b	0	b	b
c	c	c	c	0	c
d	d	d	d	d	0

Then  $\mathcal{G}(a, b) = \{0, a, b\}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(a, c) = \{0, a, c\}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(a, d) = \{0, a, d\}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(a, a) = \{0, a\}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(b, b) = \{0, b\}$ , etc.

(2) Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a subtraction algebra with the following Cayley table:

-	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	0
b	b	b	0	0
c	c	b	a	0

Then  $\mathcal{G}(0, 0) = \{0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(0, a) = \{0, a\} = \mathcal{G}(a, a)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(0, b) = \{0, b\} = \mathcal{G}(b, b)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(0, c) = X = \mathcal{G}(a, b) = \mathcal{G}(a, c) = \mathcal{G}(b, c) = \mathcal{G}(c, c)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A subtraction algebra  $X$  is said to be *complicated* if for any  $a, b \in X$  the set  $\mathcal{G}(a, b)$  has the greatest element.

The greatest element of  $\mathcal{G}(a, b)$  is denoted by  $a + b$ .

**Example 3.3.** The subtraction algebra  $X$  in Example 3.1(2) is a complicated subtraction algebra. In fact,  $0 + 0 = 0$ ,  $0 + a = a$ ,  $0 + b = b$ ,  $0 + c = c$ ,  $a + a = a$ ,  $a + b = c$ ,  $a + c = c$ ,  $b + b = b$ ,  $b + c = c$ , and  $c + c = c$ . But the subtraction algebra  $X$  in Example 3.1(1) is not a complicated subtraction algebra since  $\mathcal{G}(a, b)$  has no the greatest element.

**Proposition 3.4.** If  $X$  is a complicated subtraction algebra (*c-subtraction algebra, for short*), then

- (i)  $(\forall a, b \in X) (a \leq a + b, b \leq a + b)$ ,
- (ii)  $(\forall a \in X) (a + 0 = a = 0 + a)$ ,
- (iii)  $(\forall a, b \in X) (a + b = b + a)$ .

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are straightforward.

(iii) Note from (S3) that

$$(\forall x, a, b \in X) (x - a \leq b \Leftrightarrow x - b \leq a).$$

Thus  $\mathcal{G}(a, b) = \mathcal{G}(b, a)$ , and so  $a + b = b + a$ . □

**Proposition 3.5.** *In a  $c$ -subtraction algebra  $X$ , the operator “ $+$ ” is order preserving with respect to the ordering  $\leq$ , that is,*

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) (x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in X$  satisfy  $x \leq y$ . Using (a9), we have

$$(x + z) - y \leq (x + z) - x \leq z,$$

and so  $x + z \leq y + z$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $X$  is a  $c$ -subtraction algebra, then  $(X, +, 0)$  is a commutative monoid.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $X$  satisfies the associative law under the operation “ $+$ ”, that is,

$$(\forall a, b, c \in X) ((a + b) + c = a + (b + c)).$$

Note that  $((a + b) + c) - c \leq a + b$  for all  $a, b, c \in X$ . It follows from (S3) and (a9) that

$$(((a + b) + c) - b) - c = (((a + b) + c) - c) - b \leq (a + b) - b \leq a$$

so that  $((a + b) + c) - b \leq c + a = a + c$ . Hence  $(a + b) + c \leq b + (a + c) = (a + c) + b$ , and so  $(a + b) + c = (a + c) + b$ . Therefore

$$(a + b) + c = (b + a) + c = (b + c) + a = a + (b + c).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 3.7.** Consider the subtraction algebra  $X$  in Example 3.1(2). Then  $(X, +, 0)$  is a commutative monoid, where  $+$  is given by the following Cayley table:

$+$	$0$	$a$	$b$	$c$
$0$	$0$	$a$	$b$	$c$
$a$	$a$	$a$	$c$	$c$
$b$	$b$	$c$	$b$	$c$
$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$

*Remark 3.8.* By means of Example 3.7, we know that a  $c$ -subtraction algebra has no group structure under the operation  $+$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $X$  be a  $c$ -subtraction algebra and  $a, b \in X$ . Then the set*

$$\mathcal{H}(a, b) := \{x \in X \mid a \leq b + x\}$$

*has the least element, and it is  $a - b$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality  $a - b \leq a - b$  implies that  $a \leq b + (a - b)$ , and so  $a - b \in \mathcal{H}(a, b)$ . Let  $z \in \mathcal{H}(a, b)$ . Then  $a \leq b + z$ , which implies from (a9) that  $a - b \leq (b + z) - b \leq z$ . Hence  $a - b$  is the least element of  $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Any  $c$ -subtraction algebra  $X$  satisfies the following axioms:*

$$(i) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x - y) - z = x - (y + z)),$$

- (ii)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (x - y \leq (x - z) + (z - y))$ ,
- (iii)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x + z) - (y + z) \leq x - y \leq x + y)$ ,
- (iv)  $(\forall x, y \in X) (x \leq y \Rightarrow x + y = y)$ ,
- (v)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x + y) - z = (x - z) + (y - z))$ ,
- (vi)  $(\forall x, y \in X) (x + y \text{ is the least upper bound of } x \text{ and } y)$ ,
- (vii)  $(\forall x, y \in X) (x + y = x + (y - x))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in X$ . Using (S3) and (a7), we have

$$(x - y) - (x - (y + z)) \leq (y + z) - y \leq z,$$

and so  $(x - y) - z \leq x - (y + z)$ . Now using (S3), (a4) and (a7), we have

$$(x - ((x - y) - z)) - z = (x - z) - ((x - y) - z) \leq x - (x - y) \leq y,$$

which implies that  $x - ((x - y) - z) \leq z + y = y + z$ . It follows from (S3) that

$$(x - (y + z)) - ((x - y) - z) = (x - ((x - y) - z)) - (y + z) = 0$$

so that  $x - (y + z) \leq (x - y) - z$ . Consequently, (i) is valid. Using (S3) and (a7), we get  $(x - y) - (x - z) \leq z - y$ , and so  $x - y \leq (x - z) + (z - y)$ . This proves (ii). Now, (a4) implies  $x \leq (x - y) + y$ . Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 induce

$$x + z \leq ((x - y) + y) + z = (x - y) + (y + z).$$

Hence

$$(x + z) - (y + z) \leq ((x - y) + (y + z)) - (y + z) \leq x - y \leq x = x + 0 \leq x + y.$$

Therefore (iii) is valid. Now let  $x, y \in X$  satisfy  $x \leq y$ . Since  $(x + y) - x \leq y$ , it follows from (a2) and (a12) that

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y) - y &= ((x + y) - y) - 0 \\ &= ((x + y) - y) - (x - y) \\ &= ((x + y) - x) - y \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $x + y \leq y$ . Since  $y \leq x + y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $x + y = y$ . Hence (iv) is valid. Form (iv), we get  $x + x = x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Using (iii), we get

$$(x + y) - (y + z) = (x + y) - (z + y) \leq x - z,$$

and thus  $(x + y) - (x - z) \leq y + z$ . It follows from (S3), (a9) and (iii) that

$$\begin{aligned} ((x + y) - z) - (x - z) &= ((x + y) - (x - z)) - z \\ &\leq (y + z) - z \\ &= (y + z) - (z + z) \\ &\leq y - z \end{aligned}$$

so that  $(x + y) - z \leq (x - z) + (y - z)$ . Using Proposition 3.4(i) and (a9), we obtain  $x - z \leq (x + y) - z$  and  $y - z \leq (x + y) - z$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Applying

Proposition 3.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x - z) + (y - z) &\leq ((x + y) - z) + (y - z) \\ &\leq ((x + y) - z) + ((x + y) - z) \\ &= (x + y) - z. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (v) is valid. By means of Proposition 3.4(i),  $x + y$  is an upper bound of  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $z$  be an upper bound of  $x$  and  $y$ . Then

$$(x + y) - z = (x - z) + (y - z) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

that is,  $x + y \leq z$ . Hence  $x + y$  is the least upper bound of  $x$  and  $y$ . Theorem 3.9 implies that  $y \leq x + (y - x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $x + x = x$  for all  $x \in X$ , it follows from Proposition 3.5 that

$$x + y \leq x + (x + (y - x)) = (x + x) + (y - x) = x + (y - x).$$

Combining (a3) and Proposition 3.5 induce  $x + (y - x) \leq x + y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence  $x + y = x + (y - x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , that is, (vii) is valid. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.11.* Note that a subtraction algebra  $X$  is a semilattice under the operation  $\wedge$ . By Proposition 3.10(vi), we know that a c-subtraction algebra  $X$  is a semilattice under the operation  $+$ . Hence any c-subtraction algebra  $X$  is a lattice with respect to operations  $\wedge$  and  $+$ .

We provide characterizations of ideals in a c-subtraction algebra.

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of a c-subtraction algebra  $X$ . Then  $A$  is an ideal of  $X$  if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $(\forall x \in A) (\forall y \in X) (y \leq x \Rightarrow y \in A)$ ,
- (ii)  $(\forall x, y \in A) (\exists z \in A) (x \leq z, y \leq z)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  is an ideal of  $X$ . The condition (i) follows from Lemma 2.2. Let  $x, y \in A$ . Since  $(x + y) - x \leq y$  and  $y \in A$ , it follows from (i) that  $(x + y) - x \in A$  so that  $x + y \in A$  because  $A$  is an ideal of  $X$ . If we take  $z = x + y$ , then  $x \leq z$  and  $y \leq z$  by Proposition 3.4(i) which proves (ii). Conversely, let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). Since  $A$  is nonempty, we have  $0 \in A$  by (i) and (a2). Let  $x, y \in X$  satisfy  $y \in A$  and  $x - y \in A$ . Then, by (ii), there exists  $z \in A$  such that  $y \leq z$  and  $x - y \leq z$ . It follows from (a2) and (a12) that

$$x - z = (x - z) - 0 = (x - z) - (y - z) = (x - y) - z = 0$$

so that  $x \leq z$ . Since  $z \in A$ , it follows from (i) that  $x \in A$ . Hence  $A$  is an ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of a c-subtraction algebra  $X$ . Then  $A$  is an ideal of  $X$  if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $(\forall x \in A) (\forall y \in X) (y \leq x \Rightarrow y \in A)$ ,
- (ii)  $(\forall x, y \in X) (x, y \in A \Rightarrow x + y \in A)$ .

*Proof.* The necessity is induced in the proof of Theorem 3.12. Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). Obviously  $0 \in A$  by (i) and (a2). Let  $x, y \in X$  satisfy  $y \in A$  and  $x - y \in A$ . Then  $y + (x - y) \in A$  by (ii). Since  $x \leq y + (x - y)$  by Theorem 3.9, it follows from (i) that  $x \in A$  so that  $A$  is an ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  the set of all ideals in a subtraction algebra  $X$ . Applying [3, Theorem 1], we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.14.** *If  $X$  is a  $c$ -subtraction algebra, then  $(\mathcal{I}(X), \subseteq)$  is a complete lattice.*

### References

- [1] J. C. Abbott, *Sets, Lattices and Boolean Algebras*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1969.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 25, second edition 1961; third edition, 1967, Providence.
- [3] G. Grätzer, *Universal Algebra, 2nd edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1979.
- [4] Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim, *On ideals in subtraction algebras*, Sci. Math. Jpn. Online, **e-2006** (2006), 1081–1086.
- [5] Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim, and E. H. Roh, *Ideal theory of subtraction algebras*, Sci. Math. Jpn. Online **e-2004** (2004), 397–402.
- [6] Y. B. Jun and K. H. Kim, *Prime and irreducible ideals in subtraction algebras*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. (submitted).
- [7] B. M. Schein, *Difference Semigroups*, Comm. Algebra **20** (1992), 2153–2169.
- [8] B. Zelinka, *Subtraction Semigroups*, Math. Bohemica **120** (1995), 445–447.

YOUNG BAE JUN  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION (AND RINS)  
 GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
 CHINJU 660-701, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* skywine@gmail.com

YOUNG HEE KIM  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
 CHONGJU 361-763, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* yhkim@chungbuk.ac.kr

KYONG AH OH  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
 CHONGJU 361-763, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* kyongahoh@hanmail.net