

VIABILITY FOR SEMILINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF RETARDED TYPE

QIXIANG DONG AND GANG LI

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Banach space, $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ the generator of a compact C_0 -semigroup $S(t) : X \rightarrow X, t \geq 0$, D a locally closed subset in X , and $f : (a, b) \times C([-q, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ a function of Caratheodory type. The main result of this paper is that a necessary and sufficient condition in order that D be a viable domain of the semilinear differential equation of retarded type

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u_t), t \in [t_0, t_0 + T], u_{t_0} = \phi \in C([-q, 0]; X)$$

is the tangency condition

$$\liminf_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} d(S(h)v(0) + hf(t, v); D) = 0$$

for almost every $t \in (a, b)$ and every $v \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $v(0) \in D$.

1. Introduction

Let X be a real Banach space, $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $S(t) : X \rightarrow X, t \geq 0$, D a nonempty subset in X . Let q and T be positive numbers and $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$. Given $t_0 \in (a, b)$, a function $x : [t_0 - q, t_0 + T] \rightarrow X$ and $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$, define $x_t : [-q, 0] \rightarrow X$ by $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$ for all $\theta \in [-q, 0]$. In this paper we discuss the semilinear differential equation of retarded type:

$$(1.1) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u_t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$$

with the initial condition

$$(1.2) \quad u_{t_0} = \phi \in C([-q, 0]; X),$$

where $C([-q, 0]; X)$ denotes the Banach space of continuous X -valued functions on $[-q, 0]$ with supremum norm, $f : (a, b) \times C([-q, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ and $t_0 \in (a, b)$.

We say that D is viable domain for (1.1) if for each $t_0 \in (a, b)$, and $\phi \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $\phi(0) \in D$, there exists at least one mild solution $u : [t_0 -$

Received December 23, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34K30, 47D60, 49K25.

Key words and phrases. viable domain, differential equation of retarded type, tangency condition.

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(10571150).

$q, t_0 + T] \rightarrow X$ to (1.1) and (1.2) with $T = T(t_0, \phi) > 0, t_0 + T < b$, such that $u(t) \in D$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$. We recall that by mild solution to (1.1) and (1.2) we mean a continuous function $u : [t_0 - q, t_0 + T] \rightarrow X$, satisfying $u_{t_0} = \phi$, and

$$(1.3) \quad u(t) = S(t - t_0)\phi(0) + \int_{t_0}^t S(t - s)f(s, u_s)ds$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$.

The viability problem for the differential equation

$$(1.4) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + F(t, u(t)), t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$$

$$(1.5) \quad u(t_0) = x_0 \in D$$

has been studied by many authors by using various frameworks and techniques. In this respect it should be noted the pioneering work of Nagumo [15] who considered the finite dimensional case, $A = 0$ and F is continuous. In this context he showed that a necessary and sufficient condition in order that D be a viable domain for (1.3) is the following tangency condition:

$$\liminf_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1}d(x + hF(t, x); D) = 0$$

for each $(t, x) \in (a, b) \times D$. It is interesting to note that Nagumo's result (or some variant of it) has been rediscovered several times among others by Brezis [4], Crandall [7], Hartman [9], and Martin [14]. For the development in this area, we refer the readers to Ursescu [22], Pavel [19], Cârjă and Marques [5], Cârjă and Vrabie [6]. Brief reviews of the main contributions in this area can be found in [5] and [6]. We emphasize Pavel's main contribution who was the first who formulated the corresponding tangency condition applying to the semilinear case. More precisely, Pavel [19] showed that, whenever A generates a compact C_0 -semigroup and F is continuous on $(a, b) \times D$, where D is locally closed in X , a sufficient condition for viability is

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1}d(S(h)x + hF(t, x); D) = 0$$

for each $(t, x) \in (a, b) \times D$.

Concerning the differential equations of retarded type, the development was initialed about existence and stability by Travis and Webb [20], [21] and Webb [23], [24]. Since such equations are often more realistic to describe natural phenomena than those without delay, they have been investigated in variant aspects by many authors(see, e.g., [1], [2], [11], [13] and references therein). Iacob and Pavel [10] discussed viability problem for semilinear differential equations of retarded type. They proved that, whenever A generates a compact C_0 -semigroup and f is continuous from $(a, b) \times C([-q, 0]; X)$ into X a necessary and sufficient condition for viability for (1.1) is

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1}d(S(h)v(0) + hf(t, v); D) = 0$$

for each $t \in (a, b)$, each $v \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $v(0) \in D$, where D is a locally closed subset in X .

The aim of this paper is to discuss the viable problem of the semilinear differential equation of retarded type (1.1). We prove that a necessary and sufficient condition in order that D be a viable domain of (1.1) is the tangency condition. We only suppose that f is of Caratheodory type. Our result extends and improves that of Iacob and Pavel [10] who considered the case in which f is continuous, and also extends the well-known existence result of Hale [8] who considered the case in which X is finite dimensional and $A = 0$. Moreover, using a standard argument based on Zorn's Lemma, we get the existence of noncontinuable(saturated) mild solutions.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a real Banach space, $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $S(t) : X \rightarrow X, t \geq 0$. It is well known that in this case $S(t), t \geq 0$ is exponentially bounded, i.e., there are constants $C \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$ such that

$$\|S(t)\| \leq C e^{\omega t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if $S(t), t \geq 0$ is a compact semigroup (i.e., $S(t)$ maps bounded subsets into relatively compact subsets for $t > 0$), then $S(t)$ is continuous in the uniformly operator topology for $t > 0$ (see Pazy [19]) and X is separable (see [5]). For more details of semigroups of linear operators, we refer the readers to Pazy [19].

For convenience of future reference, we list the following conditions:

- (A1) for each $v \in C([-q, 0]; X)$, the function $f(\cdot, v) : (a, b) \rightarrow X$ is measurable on (a, b) ;
- (A2) for almost every(a.e.) $t \in (a, b)$, $f(t, \cdot) : C([-q, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ is continuous on $C([-q, 0]; X)$;
- (A3) for every $r > 0$, there is a function $m_r \in L(a, b; X)$ such that $\|f(t, v)\| \leq m_r(t)$ for a.e. $t \in (a, b)$ and every $v \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $\|v\| \leq r$.

(T) (Tangency condition)

$$(2.1) \quad \liminf_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} d(S(h)v(0) + hf(t, v); D) = 0$$

for a.e. $t \in (a, b)$ and all $v \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $v(0) \in D$, where $d(x, D)$ denotes the distance from $x \in X$ to the subset $D \subset X$.

Since the distance is non-expansive, i.e.,

$$|d(x, D) - d(y, D)| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

by standard arguments(see [10], [17]), Condition (T) is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad \liminf_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} d(S(h)v(0) + h \int_t^{t+h} S(t+h-s)f(s, v)ds; D) = 0$$

for a.e. $t \in (a, b)$ and all $v \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $v(0) \in D$.

We say that the function f is of Caratheodary type if f satisfies (A1)-(A3). A Caratheodary type function has the following Scorza Dragoni property which is nothing but the special case of [3], [12]. We denote by λ the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and by \mathcal{L} , the collection of all Lebesgue measurable sets in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 2.1. *Let X, Y be separable metric spaces and $I = (a, b)$ or $I \in \mathcal{L}((a, b))$. Let $f : I \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a function such that $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable for every $x \in X$ and $f(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for almost every $t \in I$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset $K \subset I$ such that $\lambda(I \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ and the restriction of f to $K \times X$ is continuous.*

Suppose that $u : (a - q, b) \rightarrow X$ is continuous. Then the mapping $t \mapsto u_t$, from (a, b) into $C([-q, 0]; X)$ is also continuous. The following result is a kind of variance of Lebesgue derivative type, which is useful in the sequel.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that D is a nonempty subset of a separable Banach space X , $S(t)$ is a C_0 -semigroup on X and $f : (a, b) \times C([-q, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ is a function which satisfies the conditions (A1), (A2) and (A3). Then there exists a negligible subset Z of (a, b) such that, for every $t \in (a, b) \setminus Z$, one has*

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} \int_t^{t+h} S(t+h-s)f(s, u_s)ds = f(t, u_t)$$

for all continuous functions $u : (a, b) \rightarrow X$.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is similar to that of [5] Theorem 2.3. So we omit it.

3. Main result

Now we are ready to state our main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $D \subset X$ be a locally closed subset in a general Banach space, $f : (a, b) \times C([-q, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ a function satisfying (A1)-(A3), and let $A : D(A) \rightarrow X$ be the infinitesimal generator of a compact C_0 -semigroup $S(t) : X \rightarrow X, t \geq 0$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition in order that D be a viable domain of (1.1) is the tangency condition (T).*

Proof of necessity. Let Z be given by Theorem 2.2, let $t_0 \in (a, b) \setminus Z$. Let $v \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ such that $v(0) \in D$. By hypothesis, there exists $T = T(t_0, v) > 0$ with $t_0 + T < b$ and a continuous function u satisfying (1.3) with $\phi = v$. Since $u(t_0 + h) \in D$ for all $h \in [0, T]$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & h^{-1}d(S(h)v(0) + hf(t_0, v); D) \\ & \leq h^{-1}\|S(h)v(0) + hf(t_0, v) - u(t_0 + h)\| \\ & \leq \|f(t_0, v) - h^{-1} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} S(t_0 + h - s)f(s, u_s)ds\|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $h \downarrow 0$, one obtains the condition (T). □

In the proof of sufficiency, the following lemma is needed. We first note that, since D is locally closed, there is a real number $r > 0$ such that $D \cap B(\phi(0), r)$ is closed. On the basis of the continuity of ϕ on $[-q, 0]$, there is a real number $T > 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|\phi(\theta_1) - \phi(\theta_2)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}r, \quad \forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in [-q, 0], |\theta_1 - \theta_2| \leq T.$$

Set $R = r + \|\phi(0)\|$ and

$$(3.3) \quad M = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} m_R(t)dt,$$

where m_R is the function appeared in (A3). Moreover, we may choose T small enough such that $t_0 + T < b$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|S(t)\phi(0) - \phi(0)\| + N(M + T) \leq \frac{1}{2}r, \quad (N = Ce^{\omega T}).$$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 hold. Suppose further that $f : (a, b) \times C([-q, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ satisfies the tangency condition (T). Then for each $t_0 \in (a, b)$, $\phi \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $\phi(0) \in D$, each positive integer n , and each open subset $L_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $Z \subset L_n$ and $\lambda(L_n) < \frac{1}{n}$, there exist a $\bar{t} \in [t_0, t_0 + T] \setminus Z$, an nondecreasing sequence $\{t_i^n\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset [t_0, t_0 + T]$, and an approximate solution u^n on $[t_0, t_0 + T]$ in the following sense:*

- (i) $t_0^n = t_0, t_{i+1}^n - t_i^n = d_i^n \leq \frac{1}{n}, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i^n = t_0 + T$;
- (ii) $u_{t_0}^n = \phi, u^n(t_i^n) = x_i^n \in D \cap B(\phi(0), r)$;
- (iii) $h_n(s) = f(t_i^n, u_{t_i^n}^n)$ in case $t_i^n \notin L_n$ while $h_n(s) = f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i^n}^n)$ in case $t_i^n \in L_n$ for $s \in [t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]$;
- (iv) $u^n(t) = S(t - t_i^n)x_i^n + \int_{t_i^n}^t S(t - s)h_n(s)ds + (t - t_i^n)p_i^n$ for $t \in [t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]$, where $x_i^n \in D$ and $p_i^n \in X$ with $\|p_i^n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Moreover, $u_{t_i^n}^n \in B(\phi, r) \cap C([-q, 0]; X)$.

Proof. Let $t_0 \in (a, b)$, $\phi \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. We may assume that (2.2) and (2.3) hold for each $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T] \setminus L_n$. Fix $\bar{t} \in [t_0, t_0 + T] \setminus L_n$. We shall construct u^n and t_i^n by induction. Set $t_0^n = t_0, u^n(t_0^n) = \phi(0) = x_0^n, u_{t_0}^n = \phi$. To simplify notation, we drop n as a superscript for t_i, x_i, u, p_i etc. Suppose that u is constructed on $[t_0 - q, t_i]$. Then we define t_{i+1} in the following manner. If $t_i = t_0 + T$, set $t_{i+1} = t_0 + T$, and if $t_i < t_0 + T$, then we define t_{i+1} as the following two cases.

Case 1 : $t_i \in L_n$. Set

$$(3.5) \quad \delta_i = \sup\{h \in (0, \frac{1}{n}] : t_i + h \leq t_0 + T, [t_i, t_i + h) \subset L_n, d(S(h)x_i + \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} S(t_i + h - s)f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i})ds; D) \leq \frac{h}{2n}\}.$$

In view of (2.1) and the fact that

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} S(t_i + h - s)f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i})ds = f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i}),$$

one can easily see that $\delta_i > 0$. Choose a number $d_i \in (\frac{1}{2}\delta_i, \delta_i]$, such that

$$(3.6) \quad d(S(d_i)x_i + \int_{t_i}^{t_i+d_i} S(t_i+d_i-s)f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i})ds; D) \leq \frac{d_i}{2n}.$$

Define $t_{i+1} = t_i + d_i$. By (3.6), there is $x_{i+1} \in D$ such that

$$\|S(d_i)x_i + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} S(t_{i+1}-s)f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i})ds - x_{i+1}\| \leq \frac{d_i}{n}.$$

Consequently, x_{i+1} can be written as

$$(3.7) \quad x_{i+1} = S(t_{i+1}-t_i)x_i + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} S(t_{i+1}-s)f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i})ds + (t_{i+1}-t_i)p_i$$

with $\|p_i\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$. In this case we define u on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ as

$$(3.8) \quad u(t) = S(t-t_i)x_i + \int_{t_i}^t S(t-s)f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i})ds + (t-t_i)p_i.$$

Case 2: $t_i \notin L_n$. In this case we set

$$(3.9) \quad \delta_i = \sup\{h \in (0, \frac{1}{n}] : t_i + h \leq t_0 + T, \\ d(S(h)x_i + \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} S(t_i+h-s)f(t_i, u_{t_i})ds; D) \leq \frac{h}{2n}\}.$$

By (2.2) we see that $\delta_i > 0$. Choose $d_i \in (\frac{1}{2}\delta_i, \delta_i]$, such that

$$(3.10) \quad d(S(d_i)x_i + \int_{t_i}^{t_i+d_i} S(t_i+d_i-s)f(t_i, u_{t_i})ds; D) \leq \frac{d_i}{2n}.$$

Define $t_{i+1} = t_i + d_i$. By (3.10), there is $x_{i+1} \in D$ such that

$$\|S(d_i)x_i + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} S(t_{i+1}-s)f(t_i, u_{t_i})ds - x_{i+1}\| \leq \frac{d_i}{n}.$$

Consequently, x_{i+1} can be written as

$$(3.11) \quad x_{i+1} = S(t_{i+1}-t_i)x_i + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} S(t_{i+1}-s)f(t_i, u_{t_i})ds + (t_{i+1}-t_i)p_i$$

with $\|p_i\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$. In this case we define u on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ as

$$(3.12) \quad u(t) = S(t-t_i)x_i + \int_{t_i}^t S(t-s)f(t_i, u_{t_i})ds + (t-t_i)p_i.$$

Setting $h(s) = f(\bar{t}, u_{t_i})$ in case $t_i \in L_n$ and $h(s) = f(t_i, u_{t_i})$ in case $t_i \notin L_n$ for $s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Let us define the step functions α_n and β_n as $\alpha_n(s) = t_i$ in case $t_i \notin L_n$, $\alpha_n(s) = \bar{t}$ in case $t_i \in L_n$ and $\beta_n(s) = t_i$ for $s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Then

h_n can be written as $h(s) = f(\alpha(s), u_{\beta(s)})$. By the induction hypotheses, u can be written in the form

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} u(t) = & S(t - t_0)\phi(0) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} S(t - s)h(s)ds \\ & + \int_{t_i}^t S(t - s)h(s)ds + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} (t_{m+1} - t_m)S(t - t_{m+1})p_m \\ & + (t - t_i)p_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let us check that $u_{t_{i+1}} \in B(\phi, r)$. To do this, we have to estimate $\|u_{t_{i+1}}(\theta) - \phi(\theta)\|$ for each $\theta \in [-q, 0]$. If $-q \leq \theta \leq t_0 - t_{i+1}$, then by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{t_{i+1}}(\theta) - \phi(\theta)\| &= \|u(t_0 + (t_{i+1} + \theta - t_0)) - \phi(0)\| \\ &= \|\phi(t_{i+1} + \theta - t_0) - \phi(0)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}r < r \end{aligned}$$

since $t_{i+1} - t_0 \leq T$. If $t_0 - t_{i+1} \leq \theta \leq 0$, then $t_{i+1} + \theta \geq t_0$, so by (3.13), (3.2) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_{t_{i+1}}(\theta) - \phi(\theta)\| \\ \leq & \|u(t_{i+1} + \theta) - \phi(0)\| + \|\phi(0) - \phi(\theta)\| \\ \leq & \|S(t_{i+1} + \theta - t_0)\phi(0) - \phi(0)\| + N \sum_{m=0}^i \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \|h(s)\|ds \\ & + \sum_{m=0}^i (t_{m+1} - t_m)N\|p_m\| + \|\phi(0) - \phi(\theta)\| \\ \leq & \|S(t_{i+1} + \theta - t_0)\phi(0) - \phi(0)\| + N(M + T) + \|\phi(0) - \phi(\theta)\| \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}r + \frac{1}{2}r = r \end{aligned}$$

and hence $u_{t_{i+1}} \in B(\phi, r)$. Using again (3.13), we derive

$$\|u(t) - \phi(0)\| \leq \|S(t - t_0)\phi(0) - \phi(0)\| + N(M + T) \leq \frac{1}{2}r < r$$

for all $t \in [t_0, t_{i+1}]$, i.e., $u(t) \in B(\phi(0), r)$ for $t \in [t_0, t_{i+1}]$. This remark, along with the fact that $\phi \in C([-q, 0]; X)$, implies that $u_{t_{i+1}} \in B(\phi, r) \cap C([-q, 0]; X)$. Thus, properties (ii), (iii) and (iv) are verified.

To prove property (i), we first note that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i$ exists, since $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is increasing and $t_i \leq t_0 + T$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = t^*$, then $t^* \leq t_0 + T$. We have to prove $t^* = t_0 + T$. To do this, we first show that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i$ also exists. In fact, let $j \geq i$. Using (3.13) for $t = t_i$ and $t = t_j$, we

derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_j - x_i\| &\leq \|S(t_i - t_0)(S(t_j - t_i)\phi(0) - \phi(0))\| \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \|S(t_i - s)(S(t_j - t_i)h(s) - h(s))\| ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} (t_{m+1} - t_m) \|S(t_i - t_{m+1})(S(t_j - t_i)p_m - p_m)\| \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=i}^{j-1} \left\| \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} S(t_j - s)h(s) ds \right\| \\
(3.14) \quad &\quad + \sum_{m=i}^{j-1} (t_{m+1} - t_m) \|S(t_j - t_{m+1})p_m\| \\
&\leq N \|S(t_j - t_i)\phi(0) - \phi(0)\| \\
&\quad + N \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \|S(t_j - t_i)h(s) - h(s)\| ds \\
&\quad + N \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} (t_{m+1} - t_m) \|S(t_j - t_i)p_m - p_m\| \\
&\quad + N \int_{t_i}^{t_j} m_R(s) ds + N(t_j - t_i) \frac{1}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now given $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $m_R \in L(a, b; X)$, there is $\eta > 0$ such that $\int_{t'}^{t''} m_R(s) ds \leq \varepsilon/(5N)$ for $t', t'' \in (a, b)$ with $|t'' - t'| < \eta$. By the existence of $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = t^*$, there is a positive integer k_0 such that

$$(3.15) \quad t_j - t_i < \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{10N(N+1)M}, \frac{\varepsilon}{10(N+1)}, \eta \right\}$$

for all $j > i \geq k_0$. Choose $k_1 > k_0$ with the properties: for $j > i \geq k_1$,

- $\|S(t_j - t_i)\phi(0) - \phi(0)\| \leq \varepsilon/(5N)$;
- $\|S(t_j - t_i)p_m - p_m\| \leq \varepsilon/(10NT)$, $1 \leq m \leq k_0 - 1$;
- $\|S(t_j - t_i)f(t_m, u_{t_m}) - f(t_m, u_{t_m})\| \leq \varepsilon/(10NT)$, $1 \leq m \leq k_0 - 1$ with $t_m \notin L_n$;
- $\|S(t_j - t_i)f(\bar{t}, u_{t_m}) - f(\bar{t}, u_{t_m})\| \leq \varepsilon/(10NT)$, $1 \leq m \leq k_0 - 1$ with $t_m \in L_n$.

Then we have

$$(3.16) \quad N \|S(t_j - t_i)\phi(0) - \phi(0)\| \leq N \frac{\varepsilon}{5N} = \frac{\varepsilon}{5};$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& N \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \|S(t_j - t_i)h(s) - h(s)\| ds \\
(3.17) \quad &\leq N \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k_0-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \|S(t_j - t_i)h(s) - h(s)\| ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{m=k_0}^{i-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \|S(t_j - t_i)h(s) - h(s)\| ds \right) \\
&\leq N(t_{k_0} - t_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{10NT} + N(t_i - t_{k_0})(N+1)M \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{5};
\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.18) \quad N \int_{t_i}^{t_j} m_R(s) ds \leq N \frac{\varepsilon}{5N} = \frac{\varepsilon}{5};$$

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & N \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} (t_{m+1} - t_m) \|S(t_j - t_i) p_m - p_m\| \\ \leq & N \sum_{m=0}^{k_0-1} (t_{m+1} - t_m) \|S(t_j - t_i) p_m - p_m\| \\ & + N \sum_{m=k_0}^{i-1} (t_{m+1} - t_m) \|S(t_j - t_i) p_m - p_m\| \\ \leq & N(t_{k_0} - t_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{10NT} + (t_i - t_{k_0}) N(N + 1) \\ \leq & \frac{\varepsilon}{5}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.20) \quad N(t_j - t_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}.$$

From (3.14) to (3.20), we obtain that

$$(3.21) \quad \|x_j - x_i\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $j > i \geq k_1$, i.e., $\{x_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x^*$ exists, and $x^* \in B(\phi(0), r) \cap D$ since $B(\phi(0), r) \cap D$ is closed. We define $u(t^*) = x^*$. By (iv) we have

$$\|u(t) - x_i\| \leq \|S(t - t_i)x_i - x_i\| + (t_i - t)(M + 1)$$

and therefore $\lim_{t \uparrow t^*} u(t) = x^* = u(t^*)$. Accordingly, u is continuous on $[t - q, t^*]$, and hence $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{t_i} = u_{t^*} \in C([-q, 0]; X) \cap B(\phi, r)$.

We assert that $t^* \notin L_n$ for sufficiently large n . Indeed, if $t^* \in L_n$, then there are only finite many $t_i \notin L_n$ since $[t_0, t^*] \setminus L_n$ is closed. Therefore there is a positive integer i_0 such that $t_i \in L_n$ for all $i \geq i_0$. But then $[t_{i_0}, t^*] \subset L_n$ by (3.5), which contradicts the fact that $\lambda(L_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ for sufficiently large n .

We now assume by contradiction that $t^* < t_0 + T$. We choose $h^* \in (0, \frac{1}{n}]$ such that

$$(3.22) \quad d(S(h^*)x^* + \int_{t^*}^{t^*+h^*} S(t^* + h^* - s)f(t^*, u_{t^*})ds; D) \leq \frac{h^*}{4n}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}\delta_i < d_i$ and $d_i = t_{i+1} - t_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, there is a positive integer i_0 such that $\delta_i < h^*$ for all $i > i_0$. On the basis of (3.9), we have

$$(3.23) \quad d(S(h^*)x^* + \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h^*} S(t_i + h^* - s)f(t^*, u_{t^*})ds; D) > \frac{h^*}{2n}$$

for $i > i_0$ and $t_i \notin L_n$. Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.23), one obtains an inequality which contradicts (3.22). Hence $t^* = t_0 + T$, which concludes the proof. \square

Proof of sufficiency. Let $\{L_n\}$ be a sequence of open subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $Z \subset L_n$ and $\lambda(L_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $L = \cap_{n \geq 1} L_n$ and a sequence of

n -approximate solutions $\{u^n\}$ and $\{t_i^n\}$ obtained in Lemma 3.2. Let us define

$$g_n(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} (t_{m+1}^n - t_m^n) S(t - t_{m+1}^n) p_m^n + (t - t_i^n) p_i^n$$

for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Then $\|g_n(t)\| \leq \frac{NT}{n}$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$ and u^n can be written in the form

$$(3.24) \quad u^n(t) = S(t - t_0)\phi(0) + \int_{t_0}^t S(t - s)h_n(s)ds + g_n(t)$$

for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$, $u_{t_0}^n = \phi$. Set

$$y^n(t) = \int_{t_0}^t S(t - s)h_n(s)ds, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + T].$$

Since the semigroup $S(t) : X \rightarrow X, t \leq 0$, is compact and $\{h_n\}$ is uniformly integrable on $[t_0, t_0 + T]$, by a standard argument involving a compactness result, it follows that there is a $y \in C([t_0, t_0 + T]; X)$ such that at least on a subsequence we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y^n(t) = y(t)$$

uniformly in $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$. Since $\|g_n(t)\| \leq \frac{NT}{n}$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$, it follows that

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n(t) = S(t - t_0)\phi(0) + y(t) \equiv u(t)$$

uniformly in $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$. Let us observe that if $s \notin L$, then $s \notin L_n$ for sufficiently large n , and then we have $\alpha_n(s) \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also we have $\beta_n(s) \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $s \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$. Therefore $h_n(s) \rightarrow f(s, u_s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a.e. $s \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$. Moreover, $u^n(\alpha_n(s)) \in D \cap B(\phi(0), r)$ implies $u(s) \in D \cap B(\phi(0), r)$ (which is closed). Finally, passing to limit in (3.24), one obtains (1.3), which completes the proof. \square

Concerning the continuation of the solution to (1.1) satisfying (1.2). Recall that a solution $v : [t_0, t_0 + T_1] \rightarrow X$ of (1.1), with $T_1 \geq T$ is said to be a continuation to the right of the solution $u : [t_0, t_0 + T] \rightarrow X$ to (1.1), if $v(t) = u(t)$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$. A solution u is said to be noncontinuable if it has no proper continuation. Using a standard argument based on Zorn's Lemma, one can easily verify that, if the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 hold, and $u : [t_0, b_0] \rightarrow X$ is a noncontinuable mild solution to (1.1) satisfying (1.2), then either $b_0 = b$ or $\lim_{t \uparrow b_0} \|u(t)\| = +\infty$. Moreover, the tangency condition (T) is also necessary. Precisely, we have

Theorem 3.3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, a necessary and sufficient condition in order that for each $t_0 \in (a, b)$, and each $\phi \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $\phi(0) \in D$, there is a noncontinuable mild solution $u(t) \in D$ to (1.1) satisfying (1.2) is the tangency condition (T).*

Remark 3.4. If, in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, we suppose that $\phi(\theta) \in D$ for all $\theta \in [-q, 0]$, then there exists a solution to (1.1) and (1.2) with $u(t) \in D$ for all $t \in [t_0 - q, t_0 + T]$.

Remark 3.5. If D is open, then the tangency condition (T) is automatically satisfied. In this case, by Theorem 3.1, one obtains the locally existence result of problem (1.1) and (1.2), which extends the well-known result of J. K. Hale [8], who considered the case in which X is finite dimensional (i.e., $X = \mathbb{R}^n$) and $A = 0$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a real Banach space X , $f : (a, b) \times C([-q, 0]; X) \rightarrow X$ a function satisfying (A1)-(A3), and let A be the infinitesimal generator of a compact C_0 -semigroup $S(t) : t \geq 0$. Then for each $t_0 \in (a, b)$, and each $\phi \in C([-q, 0]; X)$ with $\phi(0) \in D$, the problem (1.1) and (1.2) has a locally mild solution, for some $T = T(t_0, \phi) > 0$, with $T < b - t_0$.*

References

- [1] M. Adimy, H. Bouzabir, and K. Ezzinbi, *Existence for a class of partial functional differential equations with infinite delay*, Nonlinear Analysis **46** (2001), no. 1, 91–112.
- [2] O. Arino and E. Sanchez, *Linear theory of abstract functional differential equations of retarded type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **191** (1995), no. 3, 547–571.
- [3] H. Beliochi and J. M. Lasry, *Intégrales normales et mesures paramétrées en calcul des variations*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **101** (1973), 129–184.
- [4] H. Brezis, *On a characterization of flow-invariant sets*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 261–263.
- [5] O. Cârjă and M. D. P. Monteiro Marques, *Viability for nonautonomous semilinear differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **165** (2000), no. 2, 328–346.
- [6] O. Cârjă and I. I. Vrabie, *Viable Domain for Differential Equations Governed by Carathéodory Perturbations of Nonlinear m -Accretive Operators*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 225, 109–130.
- [7] M. G. Crandall, *A generalization of Peano's existence theorem and flow-invariance*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), 151–155.
- [8] J. K. Hale, *Functional Differential Equations*, Appl. Math. Sci. Vol. 3. Springer-Verlag New York, New York-Heidelberg, 1971. viii+238 pp.
- [9] P. Hartman, *On invariant sets and on a theorem of Wazewski*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (1972), no. 7, 511–520.
- [10] F. Iacob and N. H. Pavel, *Invariant sets for a class of perturbed differential equations of retarded type*, Isreal J. Math. **28** (1977), no. 3, 254–264.
- [11] A. G. Kartsatos and K. Y. Shin, *Solvability of functional evolutions via compactness methods in general Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **21** (1993), no. 7, 517–535.
- [12] A. Kucia, *Scorza Draconi type theorems*, Fund. Math. **138** (1991), no. 3, 197–203.
- [13] J. Liang and T. J. Xiao, *Solvability of the Cauchy problem for infinite delay equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **58** (2004), no. 3-4, 271–297.
- [14] R. H. Martin, Jr., *Differential equation on closed subsets of a Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (1973), 399–414.
- [15] M. Nagumo, *Über die Lage Integralkurven gewöhnlicher Differentialgleichungen*, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan (3) **24** (1942), 551–559.
- [16] N. H. Pavel, *Invariant sets for a class of semilinear equations of evolution*, Nonlinear Anal. **1** (1977), 187–196.

- [17] ———, *Differential equations, flow-invariance and applications*, Research notes in mathematics 113, Pitman Publishing limited, 1984.
- [18] N. H. Pavel and D. Motreanu, *Tangency, Flow-Invariance for Differential Equations, and Optimization Problems*, Dekker New York/Basel, 1999.
- [19] A. Pazy, *Semigroup of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [20] C. C. Travis and G. F. Webb, *Existence and stability for partial functional differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **200** (1974), 395–418.
- [21] ———, *Existence, stability and compactness in the α -norm for partial functional differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **240** (1978), 129–143.
- [22] C. Ursescu, *Caratheodory solution of ordinary differential equations on locally closed sets in finite dimensional spaces*, Math. Japan **31** (1986), no. 3, 483–491.
- [23] G. F. Webb, *Autonomous nonlinear functional differential equations and nonlinear semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **46** (1974), 1–12.
- [24] ———, *Asymptotic stability for abstract functional differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1976), 225–230.

QIXIANG DONG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE
YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY
YANGZHOU 225002, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: qxdongyz@yahoo.com.cn

GANG LI
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE
YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY
YANGZHOU 225002, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: gli@yzu.edu.cn