

H^f -SPACES FOR MAPS AND THEIR DUALS

YEON SOO YOON

ABSTRACT. We define and study a concept of H^f -space for a map, which is a generalized concept of an H -space, in terms of the Gottlieb set for a map. For a principal fibration $E_k \rightarrow X$ induced by $k : X \rightarrow X'$ from $\epsilon : PX' \rightarrow X'$, we can obtain a sufficient condition to having an H^f -structure on E_k , which is a generalization of Stasheff's result [17]. Also, we define and study a concept of co- H^g -space for a map, which is a dual concept of H^f -space for a map. Also, we get a dual result which is a generalization of Hilton, Mislin and Roitberg's result [6].

1. INTRODUCTION

A map $f : A \rightarrow X$ is *cyclic* [18] if there is a map $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $F|_X \sim 1_X$ and $F|_A \sim f$. It is clear that a space X is an H -space if and only if the identity map of X is cyclic. We call a space X as an H^f -space if there is a cyclic map $f : A \rightarrow X$. We show that if a space X is an H -space, then for any space A and any map $f : A \rightarrow X$, X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, but the converse does not hold. Let $p_k : E_k \rightarrow X$ be a principal fibration induced by $k : X \rightarrow X'$ from $\epsilon : PX' \rightarrow X'$. Stasheff [17] showed that if X and X' are H -spaces, and $k : X \rightarrow X'$ is an H -map, then there is an H -structure on E_k such that $p_k : E_k \rightarrow X$ is an H -map. We can generalize the above result as follows. If X is an H^f -space with H^f -structure $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ and X' is an $H^{f'}$ -space with $H^{f'}$ -structure $F' : X' \times A' \rightarrow X'$ such that $kF \sim F'(k \times l) : X \times A \rightarrow X'$, then there exists an H^f -structure $\bar{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ on E_k such that $p_k \bar{F} \sim F(p_k \times p_l) : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$. Also, we can show that if X is an H^f -space with H^f -structure $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$, then there exists an H^{f_n} -structure $F_n : X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$ for each Postnikov stage X_n of X such that $p_n F_n \sim F_{n-1}(p_n \times p'_n) : X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$, where f_n is an induced map from

Received by the editors April 30, 2007 and, in revised form, July 18, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55P45, 55P35.

Key words and phrases. H^f -spaces for maps, co- H^g -spaces for maps.

This work was supported by Hannam University Research Fund, 2006.

f , and all the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are H^{f_n} -primitive with respect to F_n , where $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ is the induced map by $f : A \rightarrow X$. On the other hand, we define and study a concept of $\text{co-}H^g$ -space of a map, which is a dual concept of H^f -space for a map. In 1978, Hilton, Mislin and Roitberg [6] showed that if X and X' are $\text{co-}H$ -spaces, and $r : X' \rightarrow X$ is a $\text{co-}H$ -map, then there is a $\text{co-}H$ -structure on C_r such that $i_r : X \rightarrow C_r$ is a $\text{co-}H$ -map. Then we can obtain a generalization of the above result to showing that if X is a $\text{co-}H^g$ -space with $\text{co-}H^g$ -structure $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$ and X' is a $\text{co-}H^{g'}$ -space with $\text{co-}H^{g'}$ -structure $\theta' : X' \rightarrow X' \vee A'$ such that $(r \vee s)\theta' \sim \theta r : X' \rightarrow X \vee A$, then there exists a $\text{co-}H^{\bar{g}}$ -structure $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$ on C_r satisfying $(i_r \vee i_s)\theta \sim \bar{\theta}i_r : X \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$. In 1998, Golansinski and Klein [5] obtained some sufficient conditions for a map $r : X' \rightarrow X$ is a $\text{co-}H$ -map as follows. Let $r : X' \rightarrow X$ be a map with X' and X 1-connected $\text{co-}H$ -spaces. If the mapping cone C_r is a $\text{co-}H$ -space, $i_r : X \rightarrow C_r$ a $\text{co-}H$ -map and $\dim X' < \text{conn } X' + \min\{\text{conn } X, \text{conn } C_r\}$, then $r : X' \rightarrow X$ is a $\text{co-}H$ -map. We can generalize the above result as follows; Let X be a simply connected $\text{co-}H^g$ -space with $\text{co-}H^g$ -structure $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$. Let X' be a simply connected $\text{co-}H$ -space and $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ a map, where $g' : X' \rightarrow A'$ is a map. If there is a $\text{co-}H^{\bar{g}}$ -structure $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$ on C_r satisfying $(i_r \vee i_s)\theta \sim \bar{\theta}i_r : X \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$ and $\dim X' < \min\{\text{conn } (X') + \text{conn } (C_s), \text{conn } (A') + \text{conn } (X)\}$, then $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ is a $\text{co-}H^g$ -primitive with respect to θ .

2. H^f -SPACES FOR MAPS

Let $f : A \rightarrow X$ be a map. A based map $g : B \rightarrow X$ is called f -cyclic [15] if there is a map $\phi : B \times A \rightarrow X$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times B & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\
 j \uparrow & & \nabla \uparrow \\
 A \vee B & \xrightarrow{(f \vee g)} & X \vee X
 \end{array}$$

is homotopy commute, where $j : A \vee B \rightarrow A \times B$ is the inclusion and $\nabla : X \vee X \rightarrow X$ is the folding map. We call such a map ϕ an *associated map* of a f -cyclic map g . Clearly, g is f -cyclic iff f is g -cyclic. In the case, $f = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$, $g : B \rightarrow X$ is called cyclic [18]. We denote the set of all homotopy classes of f -cyclic maps from B to X by $G(B; A, f, X)$ which is called the *Gottlieb set for a map* $f : A \rightarrow X$. In the case $f = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$, we called such a set $G(B; X, 1, X)$

the *Gottlieb set* denoted $G(B; X)$. In particular, $G(S^n; A, f, X)$ will be denoted by $G_n(A, f, X)$. Gottlieb [3, 4] introduced and studied the *evaluation subgroups* $G_n(X) = G_n(X, 1, X)$ of $\pi_n(X)$.

In general, $G(B; X) \subset G(B; A, f, X) \subset [B, X]$ for any map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and any space B . However, there is an example [23] such that $G(B, X) \neq G(B; A, f, X) \neq [B, X]$.

The next proposition is an immediate consequence from the definition.

Proposition 2.1.

- (1) For any maps $f : A \rightarrow X$, $\theta : C \rightarrow A$ and any space B , $G(B; A, f, X) \subset G(B; C, f\theta, X)$.
- (2) $G(B, X) = G(B; X, 1_X, X) \subset G(B; A, f, X) \subset G(B; A, *, X) = [B, X]$ for any spaces X , A and B .
- (3) $G(B, X) = \cap \{G(B; A, f, X) | f : A \rightarrow X \text{ is a map and } A \text{ is a space}\}$.
- (4) If $h : C \rightarrow A$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $G(B; A, f, X) = G(B; C, fh, X)$.
- (5) For any map $k : X \rightarrow Y$, $k_\#(G(B; A, f, X)) \subset G(B; A, kf, Y)$.
- (6) For any map $k : X \rightarrow Y$, $k_\#(G(B, X)) \subset G(B; X, k, Y)$.
- (7) For any map $s : C \rightarrow B$, $s^\#(G(B; A, f, X)) \subset G(C; A, f, X)$.

From Proposition 2.1(1), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *If $f : A \rightarrow X$ has a right homotopy inverse, then $G(B; A, f, X) = G(B, X)$ for any space B . In that case, $G_n(A, f, X) = G_n(X)$.*

Let $Map(A, X)$ be the space of continuous maps from A to X with compact open topology. For a based map $f : A \rightarrow X$, let $Map(A, X; f)$ be the path component of $Map(A, X)$ containing f . Let $Map_*(A, X)$ and $Map_*(A, X; f)$ be the spaces of base point preserving maps in $Map(A, X)$ and $Map(A, X; f)$ respectively. Clearly, the evaluation map $\omega : Map(A, X) \rightarrow X$ is a fibration. Moreover, the restriction to path component $\omega_f = \omega|_{Map(A, X; f)} : Map(A, X; f) \rightarrow X$ is a fibration with fiber $Map_*(A, X; f)$.

Proposition 2.3 ([24]). *For the evaluation map $\omega : Map(A, X; f) \rightarrow X$, $w_\#([B, Map(A, X; f)]) = G(B; A, f, X)$.*

Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let B be a co- H -group. Then $G(B; A, f, X)$ is a subgroup of $[B, X]$ for any $f : A \rightarrow X$.*

Clearly, a space X is an H -space means the identity map $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ is cyclic. Then the following proposition says that H -spaces are completely characterized by the Gottlieb sets.

Proposition 2.5 ([11]). *X is an H -space if and only if $G(B, X) = [B, X]$ for any space B .*

Now, for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, we would like to introduce new spaces which can be characterized by the Gottlieb sets for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$.

Definition 2.6. A space X is called an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ if there is a map, H^f -structure on X , $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$, where $j : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ is the inclusion.

For the dual Puppe sequence of a fibration $\cdots \rightarrow \Omega E \rightarrow \Omega B \xrightarrow{\partial} F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$, we have an operation [8, p. 97] $\rho : F \times \Omega B \rightarrow F$ of ΩB on F such that $\partial \sim \rho|_{\Omega B}$, that is, $\partial : \Omega B \rightarrow F$ is cyclic. Thus the space F is an H^∂ -space for $\partial : \Omega B \rightarrow F$. Cyclicity can be used to characterize properties of the co-domain of a map.

Theorem 2.7. *X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ if and only if $G(B; A, f, X) = [B, X]$ for any space B .*

Proof. Suppose that X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$. Then $f : A \rightarrow X$ is a cyclic map, and there is a map $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ such that $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$, where $j : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ is the inclusion. Let $g \in [B, X]$. Consider the map $G = F(g \times 1) : B \times A \rightarrow X$. Then $Gj \sim \nabla(g \vee f)$ and $g \in G(B; A, f, X)$. On the other hand, suppose that $G(B; A, f, X) = [B, X]$ for any space B . Take $B = X$ and consider the identity map $1_X : X \rightarrow X$. Since $1_X \in G(X; A, f, X)$, we know that the identity map 1_X is f -cyclic and X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$. \square

It is well known fact that if X dominates A and X is an H -space, then A is an H -space. This fact can be generalized as the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be an H^i -space for a map $i : A \rightarrow X$.*

(1) *If $i : A \rightarrow X$ has a left homotopy inverse $r : X \rightarrow A$, then A is an H -space.*

(2) If $i : A \rightarrow X$ has a right homotopy inverse $r : X \rightarrow A$, then X is an H -space.

Proof. (1) Let B be any space. It is sufficient to show that $[B, A] \subset G(B, A)$ for any space B . Since X is an H^i -space for $i : A \rightarrow X$, we know, from Theorem 2.7, that $G(B; A, i, X) = [B, X]$. Thus we have, from Proposition 2.1(5), that $[B, A] = r_*[B, X] = r_*(G(B; A, i, X)) \subset G(B; A, ri, A) = G(B, A, 1, A) = G(B, A)$. Thus A is an H -space. (2) We show that $[B, X] \subset G(B, X)$ for any space B . By Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 2.1(1), we can obtain that $[B, X] = G(B; A, i, X) \subset G(B; X, ir, X) = G(B; X, 1, X) = G(B, X)$. Thus we know, from Proposition 2.5, that X is an H -space. \square

Clearly, any H -space is an H^f -space for any map $f : A \rightarrow X$, but the converse does not hold.

Example 2.9. Consider the natural pairing $\mu : S^3/S^1 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3/S^1$. Then we know that the Hopf map $\eta : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ is cyclic. Thus S^2 is an H^η -space for $\eta : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$, but S^2 is not an H -space.

From Proposition 2.1(2) and (3), Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.7, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10. X is an H -space if and only if for any space A and any map $f : A \rightarrow X$, X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$.

From some properties of cyclic maps [11], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.11.

- (1) If X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, then for any map $\theta : B \rightarrow A$, X is an $H^{f\theta}$ -space for a map $\nu\theta : B \rightarrow X$.
- (2) If $r : X \rightarrow Y$ has a right homotopy inverse and X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$, then Y is an H^{rf} -space for a map $rf : A \rightarrow Y$.
- (3) If X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and Y is an H^g -space for a map $g : B \rightarrow Y$, then $X \times Y$ is an $H^{f \times g}$ -space for a map $f \times g : A \times B \rightarrow X \times Y$.
- (4) If X is an H^f -space for a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ and X is an H^g -space for a map $g : B \rightarrow X$, then X is an $H^{\nabla(f \vee g)}$ -space for a map $\nabla(f \vee g) : A \vee B \rightarrow X$.

Let $f : A \rightarrow X$, $f' : A' \rightarrow X'$, $l : A \rightarrow A'$, $k : X \rightarrow X'$ be maps. Then a pair of maps $(k, l) : (X, A) \rightarrow (X', A')$ is called a map from f to f' if the following diagram

is commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ l \downarrow & & \downarrow k \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X'. \end{array}$$

It will be denoted by $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$.

Given maps $f : A \rightarrow X$, $f' : A' \rightarrow X'$, let $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ be a map from f to f' . Let PX' and PA' be the spaces of paths in X' and A' which begin at $*$ respectively. Let $\epsilon_{X'} : PX' \rightarrow X'$ and $\epsilon_{A'} : PA' \rightarrow A'$ be the fibrations given by evaluating a path at its end point. Let $p_k : E_k \rightarrow X$ be the fibration induced by $k : X \rightarrow X'$ from $\epsilon_{X'}$. Let $p_l : E_l \rightarrow A$ induced by $l : A \rightarrow A'$ from $\epsilon_{A'}$. Then there is a map $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & E_k \\ p_l \downarrow & & \downarrow p_k \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & X, \end{array}$$

where $E_l = \{(a, \xi) \in A \times PA' | l(a) = \epsilon(\xi)\}$, $E_k = \{(x, \eta) \in X \times PX' | k(x) = \epsilon(\eta)\}$, $\bar{f}(a, \xi) = (f(a), f' \circ \xi)$, $p_k(x, \eta) = x$, $p_l(a, \xi) = a$.

Definition 2.12. Let X be an H^f -space with H^f -structure $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$. A map $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is called an H^f -primitive with respect to F if there is an associate map $F' : X' \times A' \rightarrow X'$ of f' such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times A & \xrightarrow{F} & X \\ k \times l \downarrow & & \downarrow k \\ X' \times A' & \xrightarrow{F'} & X'. \end{array}$$

The following lemmas are standard.

Lemma 2.13. A map $l : C \rightarrow X$ can be lifted to a map $C \rightarrow E_k$ if and only if $kl \sim *$.

Lemma 2.14 ([7]). Given maps $g_i : A_i \rightarrow E_k$, $i = 1, 2$ and $g : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow E_k$ satisfying $p_k g|_{A_i} \sim p_k g_i$, $i = 1, 2$, then there is a map $h : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow E_k$ such that $p_k h = p_k g$ and $h|_{A_i} \sim g_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 2.15. *If X is an H^f -space with H^f -structure $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ and $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is an H^f -primitive with respect to F , then there exists an $H^{\tilde{f}}$ -structure $\tilde{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ on E_k such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_k \times E_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & E_k \\ p_k \times p_l \downarrow & & p_k \downarrow \\ X \times A & \xrightarrow{F} & X. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ is an H^f -primitive with respect to F , there is a map $F' : X' \times A' \rightarrow X'$ such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times A & \xrightarrow{F} & X \\ k \times l \downarrow & & k \downarrow \\ X' \times A' & \xrightarrow{F'} & X'. \end{array}$$

Then $kF(p_k \times p_l) \sim F'(k \times l)(p_k \times p_l) = F'(k \circ p_k \times l \circ p_l) \sim F'(* \times *) \sim * : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X'$. From Lemma 2.13, there is a lifting $\tilde{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ of $F(p_k \times p_l) : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$, that is, $p_k \tilde{F} = F(p_k \times p_l)$. Then $p_k \circ \tilde{F}|_{E_k} \sim F|_{X} \circ p_k \sim p_k \circ 1$ and $p_k \circ \tilde{F}|_{E_l} \sim F|_{A} \circ p_l \sim f \circ p_l = p_k \circ \tilde{f}$. Thus we have, from Lemma 2.14, that there is a map $\tilde{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ such that $p_k \tilde{F} = p_k \tilde{F} = F(p_k \times p_l)$ and $\tilde{F}|_{E_k} \sim 1, \tilde{F}|_{E_l} \sim \tilde{f}$. This proves the theorem. □

Taking $f = 1_X$ and $l = k$, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.16 ([17]). *If X and X' are H -spaces and $k : X \rightarrow X'$ is an H -map, then there is an H -structure on E_k such that $p_k : E_k \rightarrow X$ is an H -map.*

In 1951, Postnikov [16] introduced the notion of the Postnikov system as follows; A Postnikov system for X (or homotopy decomposition of X) $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ consists of a sequence of spaces and maps satisfying (1) $i_n : X \rightarrow X_n$ induces an isomorphism $(i_n)_\# : \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(X_n)$ for $i \leq n$. (2) $p_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ is a fibration with fiber $K(\pi_n(X), n)$. (3) $p_n i_n \sim i_{n+1}$. It is well known fact [13] that if X is a 1-connected space having a homotopy type of CW-complex, then there is a Postnikov system $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ for X such that $p_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ is the fibration induced from the path space fibration over $K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n + 2)$ by a map $k^{n+2} : X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n + 2)$.

Theorem 2.17. *Let A and X be spaces having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes, and $\{A_n, i'_n, p'_n\}$ and $\{X_n, i_n, p_n\}$ be Postnikov systems*

for A and X respectively. If X is an H^f -space with H^f -structure $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$, then there exists an H^{f_n} -structure $F_n : X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$ for each stage X_n such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n \times A_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & X_n \\ p_n \times p'_n \downarrow & & p_n \downarrow \\ X_{n-1} \times A_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} & X_{n-1}, \end{array}$$

where f_n is an induced map from f , and all the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are H^{f_n} -primitive with respect to F_n , where $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ is the induced map by $f : A \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Clearly $\{X_n \times A_n, i_n \times i'_n, p_n \times p'_n\}$ is a Postnikov system for $X \times A$. Then we have, by Kahn's result [9, Theorem 2.2], that there are families of maps $f_n : A_n \rightarrow X_n$ and $F_n : X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$ such that $p_n f_n = f_{n-1} p'_n$ and $i_n f \sim f_n i'_n$, and $p_n F_n = F_{n-1}(p_n \times p'_n)$ and $i_n F \sim F_n(i_n \times i'_n)$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ respectively, and there are homotopy commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & X_n \\ k_A^{n+2} \downarrow & & k_X^{n+2} \downarrow \\ K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_\#} & K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2), \\ X_n \times A_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & X_n \\ k_X^{n+2} \times k_A^{n+2} \downarrow & & k_X^{n+2} \downarrow \\ K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2) \times K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_\#} & K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2), \end{array}$$

where $k_A^{n+2} : A_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2)$ and $k_X^{n+2} : X_n \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ are k -invariants of A and X respectively, $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ and $\tilde{F}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2) \times K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n+2) \approx K(\pi_{n+1}(X \times A), n+2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n+2)$ are the induced maps by $f : A \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$ respectively. Since $F|_X \sim 1$ and $F_n|_{A_n} \sim f_n$, we know, from Kahn's another result [10, Theorem 1.2], that $F_n|_{X_n} = (F|_X)_n \sim 1$ and $F_n|_{A_n} = (F|_A)_n \sim f_n$. Thus there exists an H^{f_n} -structure $F_n : X_n \times A_n \rightarrow X_n$ for each stage X_n such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n \times A_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & X_n \\ p_n \times p'_n \downarrow & & p_n \downarrow \\ X_{n-1} \times A_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} & X_{n-1}, \end{array}$$

where f_n is an induced map from f , and all the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are H^{f_n} -primitive with respect to F_n , where $\tilde{f}_\# : K(\pi_{n+1}(A), n + 2) \rightarrow K(\pi_{n+1}(X), n + 2)$ is the induced map by $f : A \rightarrow X$. \square

In fact, the above theorem follows from Theorem 2.15 if we can show that all the pair of k -invariants $(k_X^{n+2}, k_A^{n+2}) : f_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\#$ are H^{f_n} -primitive with respect to F_n .

We can obtain an equivalent condition for E_k is an $H^{\bar{f}}$ -space for \bar{f} .

Theorem 2.18. *Let $(k, l) : f \rightarrow f'$ be a map. Then E_k is an $H^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$ if and only if there is a map $G : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$ such that $Gj \sim \nabla(p_k \vee p_k \circ \bar{f})$ and $kG \sim *$, where $j : E_k \vee E_l \rightarrow E_k \times E_l$ is the inclusion.*

Proof. Suppose that E_k is an $H^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$. Then there is a map $\bar{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ such that $\bar{F}j' \sim \nabla(1 \vee \bar{f})$. Let $G = p_k \bar{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$. Then $Gj \sim \nabla(p_k \vee p_k \circ \bar{f})$, where $j : E_k \vee E_l \rightarrow E_k \times E_l$ is the inclusion. Since G has a lifting \bar{F} , by Lemma 2.13, we know that $kG \sim *$. On the other hand, suppose there is a map $G : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$ such that $Gj \sim \nabla(p_k \vee p_k \circ \bar{f})$ and $kG \sim *$, where $j : E_k \vee E_l \rightarrow E_k \times E_l$ is the inclusion. Since $kG \sim *$, there is a map $H : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ such that $p_k H \sim G$. For maps $1 : E_k \rightarrow E_k$ and $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$, we can easily know that $p_k H|_{E_k} \sim p_k \circ 1_{E_k}$ and $p_k H|_{E_l} \sim p_k \circ \bar{f}$. Thus we have, from Lemma 2.14, that there is a map $\bar{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ such that $p_k \bar{F} = p_k H$ and $\bar{F}|_{E_k} \sim 1$ and $\bar{F}|_{E_l} \sim \bar{f}$. Thus we know that E_k is an $H^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$. \square

Now we can obtain the converse of Theorem 2.15 under some conditions as follows;

Theorem 2.19. *Suppose that there are maps $s_k : X \rightarrow E_k$ and $s_l : A \rightarrow E_l$ such that $p_k s_k \sim 1_X$ and $p_l s_l \sim 1_A$. If there exists an $H^{\bar{f}}$ -structure $\bar{F} : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow E_k$ on E_k such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_k \times E_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} & E_k \\ p_k \times p_l \downarrow & & p_k \downarrow \\ X \times A & \xrightarrow{F} & X, \end{array}$$

then X is an H^f -space with H^f -structure $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Since E_k is an $H^{\bar{f}}$ -space for $\bar{f} : E_l \rightarrow E_k$, there is a map $G : E_k \times E_l \rightarrow X$ such that $Gj \sim \nabla(p_k \vee p_k \circ \bar{f})$ and $kG \sim *$, where $j : E_k \vee E_l \rightarrow E_k \times E_l$ is the inclusion. Consider the map $F = G(s_k \times s_l) : X \times A \rightarrow X$. Then $Fj' \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$

and $kF(p_k \times p_l) \sim *$, where $j' : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ is the inclusion. Thus we know that X is an H^f -space with H^f -structure $F : X \times A \rightarrow X$. \square

3. CO- H^g -SPACE FOR MAPS

Let $g : X \rightarrow A$ be a map. A based map $f : X \rightarrow B$ is called *g-coclic* [15] if there is a map $\theta : X \rightarrow A \vee B$ such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & A \vee B \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ X \times X & \xrightarrow{(g \times f)} & A \times B, \end{array}$$

where $j : A \vee B \rightarrow A \times B$ is the inclusion and $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal map. We call such a map θ a *coassociated map* of a *g-cocyclic map* f .

In the case $g = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$, $f : X \rightarrow B$ is called *cocyclic* [18]. Clearly any cocyclic map is a *g-cocyclic map* and also $f : X \rightarrow B$ is *g-cocyclic* iff $g : X \rightarrow A$ is *f-cocyclic*. The *dual Gottlieb set* $DG(X, g, A; B)$ for a map $g : X \rightarrow A$ is the set of all homotopy classes of *g-cocyclic maps* from X to B . In the case $g = 1_X : X \rightarrow X$, we called such a set $DG(X, 1, X; B)$ the *dual Gottlieb set* denoted $DG(X; B)$, that is, the dual Gottlieb set is exactly same with the dual Gottlieb set for the identity map. In particular, $DG(X, g, A; K(\pi, n))$ will be denoted by $G^n(X, g, A; \pi)$. Haslam [8] introduced and studied the *coevaluation subgroups* $G^n(X; \pi)$ of $H^n(X; \pi)$. $G^n(X; \pi)$ is defined to be the set of all homotopy classes of cocyclic maps from X to $K(\pi, n)$.

In general, $DG(X; B) \subset DG(X, g, A; B) \subset [X, B]$ for any map $g : X \rightarrow B$ and any space B . However, there is an example in [22] such that $DG(X, B) \neq DG(X, g, A; B) \neq [X, B]$.

The next proposition is an immediate consequence from the definition.

Proposition 3.1.

- (1) For any maps $g : X \rightarrow A$, $h : A \rightarrow B$ and any space C , $DG(X, g, A; C) \subset DG(X, hg, B; C)$.
- (2) $DG(X, B) = DG(X, 1_X, X; B) \subset DG(X, g, A; B) \subset DG(X, *, A; B) = [X, B]$ for any spaces X, A and B .
- (3) $DG(X, B) = \cap \{DG(X, g, A; B) | g : X \rightarrow A \text{ is a map and } A \text{ is a space}\}$.

- (4) If $h : A \rightarrow B$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $DG(X, g, A; C) = DG(X, hg, B; c)$.
- (5) For any map $k : Y \rightarrow X$, $k^\#(DG(X, g, A; B)) \subset DG(Y, gk, A; B)$.
- (6) For any map $k : Y \rightarrow X$, $k^\#(DG(X; B)) \subset DG(Y, k, X; B)$.
- (7) For any map $s : B \rightarrow C$, $s_\#(DG(X, g, A; B)) \subset DG(X, g, A; C)$.

It is well known [7] that $G^n(X; \pi)$ is a subgroup of $H^n(X; \pi)$. Moreover, it is also shown [12] that if B is an H -group, then $DG(X, B)$ is a subgroup of $[X, B]$.

But we do not know whether $DG(X, g, A; B)$ is a group. So we would like to investigate the relationship among $DG(X; B)$, $DG(X, g, A; B)$ and $[X, B]$.

Corollary 3.2.

- (1) If $g : X \rightarrow A$ has a left homotopy inverse, then $DG(X, g, A; B) = DG(X; B)$ for any space B . In that case, $G^n(X, g, A; \pi) = G^n(X; \pi)$ is a group.
- (2) If $g : X \rightarrow A$ is a map such that $G^n(X, g, A; \pi) \subset g^\#(G^n(A; \pi))$, then $G^n(X, g, A; \pi)$ is a subgroup of $H^n(X; \pi)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $g : X \rightarrow A$ be a map and B an H -group. Then

- (1) For any $[\gamma] \in g^\#(DG(A; B))$ and any $[\alpha] \in DG(X, g, A; B)$, $[\gamma] + [\alpha] \in DG(X, g, A; B)$.
- (2) For any $[\alpha] \in DG(X, g, A; B)$, $-[\alpha] \in DG(X, g, A; B)$.

Proof. Let $m : B \times B \rightarrow B$ and $\mu : B \rightarrow B$ be the H -structure and the inverse on B respectively. We can easily know, from Proposition 3.1(7), that $-[\alpha] = [\mu\alpha] = \mu_*([\alpha]) \in DG(X, g, A; B)$ for any $[\alpha] \in DG(X, g, A; B)$. Thus $DG(X, g, A; B)$ is closed under inversion. To show the property (1), let $[\gamma] \in g^\#(DG(A; B))$ and $[\alpha] \in DG(X, g, A; B)$. Since $[\gamma] \in g^\#(DG(A; B))$, there is $[\beta] \in DG(A; B)$ such that $\beta g \sim \gamma : X \rightarrow B$. Thus there are maps $\theta_1 : A \rightarrow A \vee B$ and $\theta_2 : X \rightarrow A \vee B$ such that $j\theta_1 \sim (1 \times \beta)\Delta$ and $j\theta_2 \sim (g \times \alpha)\Delta$, where $j : A \vee B \rightarrow A \times B$ is the inclusion. Let $\lambda = (1 \vee m)i(\theta_1 \vee 1)\theta_2 : X \rightarrow A \vee B$, where $i : A \vee (B \vee B) \rightarrow A \vee (B \times B)$ is the inclusion. Then we have $j\lambda \sim (1 \times m)((1 \times \beta)\Delta \times 1)(g \times \alpha)\Delta = (1 \times m)(g \times \beta g)\Delta \times \alpha)\Delta \sim (g \times m(\gamma \times \alpha)\Delta)\Delta = (g \times (\gamma + \alpha))\Delta$, where $j : A \vee B \rightarrow A \times B$ is the inclusion. Thus we know that $[\gamma] + [\alpha] \in DG(X, g, A; B)$. □

Corollary 3.4 ([12]).

- (1) $DG(X; B)$ is a subgroup of $[X, B]$ for an H -group B .

(2) For any map $g : X \rightarrow A$, the group $g^\#(G^n(A; \pi))$ acts on $G^n(X, g, A; \pi)$.

The following proposition says that co- H -spaces are completely characterized by the dual Gottlieb sets.

Proposition 3.5 ([12]). *X is a co- H -space if and only if $DG(X, B) = [X, B]$ for any space B .*

Now, for a map $g : X \rightarrow A$, we would like to introduce new spaces which can be characterized by the dual Gottlieb sets for a map $g : X \rightarrow A$.

Definition 3.6. A space X is called a co- H^g -space for a map $g : X \rightarrow A$ if there is a map, a co- H^g -structure, $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$ such that $j\theta \sim (1 \times g)\Delta$, where $j : X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$ is the inclusion and $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal map.

Proposition 3.7 ([22]). *X is a co- H^g -space for a map $g : X \rightarrow A$ if and only if $DG(X, g, A; B) = [X, B]$ for any space B .*

It is well known fact that if X dominates A and X is a co- H -space, then A is a co- H -space. This fact can be generalized as follows;

Corollary 3.8. *Let X be a co- H^r -space for a map $r : X \rightarrow A$.*

(1) *If $r : X \rightarrow A$ has a right homotopy inverse $i : A \rightarrow X$, then A is a co- H -space.*

(2) *If $r : X \rightarrow A$ has a left homotopy inverse $i : A \rightarrow X$, then X is a co- H -space.*

Proof. (1) Let B be any space. It is sufficient to show that $[A, B] \subset DG(A, B)$. Since X is a co- H -space for a map $r : X \rightarrow A$, we have that $DG(X, r, A; B) = [X; B]$. Thus we know, from Proposition 3.1(5), that $[A, B] = i^\#[X, B] = i^\#DG(X, r, A; B) \subset DG(A, ri, A; B) = DG(A, 1, A; B) = DG(A, B)$. (2) For any space B , we can obtain, from Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.1(1), that $[X, B] = DG(X, r, A; B) \subset DG(X, ir, X; B) = DG(X, 1, X) = DG(X, B)$. \square

Given maps $g : X \rightarrow A$, $g' : X' \rightarrow A'$, let $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ be a map from g' to g , that is, the following diagram is commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & A' \\ r \downarrow & & s \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{g} & A \end{array}$$

It is a well known fact that $Y \xrightarrow{\iota} cY \rightarrow \Sigma Y$ is a cofibration, where $\iota(y) = [y, 1]$. Let

$i_r : X \rightarrow C_r$ be the cofibration induced by $r : X' \rightarrow X$ from $\iota_{X'} : X' \rightarrow cX'$. Let $i_s : A \rightarrow C_s$ be the cofibration induced by $s : A' \rightarrow A$ from $\iota_{A'} : A' \rightarrow cA'$. Then there is a map $\bar{g} : C_t \rightarrow C_s$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & A \\ i_r \downarrow & & i_s \downarrow \\ C_r & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & C_s, \end{array}$$

where $C_t = cX' \amalg X/[x', 1] \sim t(x')$, and $C_s = cA' \amalg A/[a', 1] \sim s(a')$, $\bar{g} : C_t \rightarrow C_s$ is given by $\bar{g}([x', t]) = [g'(x'), t]$ if $[x', t] \in cX'$ and $\bar{g}(x) = g(x)$ if $x \in X$, $i_r(x) = x$, $i_s(a) = a$.

Definition 3.9. Let X be a $co-H^g$ -space with $co-H^g$ -structure $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$. Then a map $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ is called a $co-H^g$ -primitive with respect to $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$ if there is a coassociate map $\theta' : X' \rightarrow X' \vee A'$ of g' such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\theta'} & X' \vee A' \\ r \downarrow & & r \vee s \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & X \vee A. \end{array}$$

The following lemmas are standard.

Lemma 3.10. Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a map. Then there is a map $h : C_r \rightarrow B$ such that $hi_r = f$ if and only if $fr \sim *$.

Lemma 3.11 ([21]). Let $g_t : C_r \rightarrow B_t (t = 1, 2)$ and $g : C_r \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2$ a map such that $p_t j g i_k \sim g_t i_r (t = 1, 2)$, where $j : B_1 \vee B_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$ is the inclusion and $p_t : B_1 \times B_2 \rightarrow B_t, t = 1, 2$ are projections. Then there is a map $h : C_r \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2$ such that $g i_r = h i_r$ and $p_t j' h \sim g_t (t = 1, 2)$.

Theorem 3.12. If X is a $co-H^g$ -space with $co-H^g$ -structure $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$ and $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ is a $co-H^g$ -primitive with respect to θ , then there exists a $co-H^g$ -structure $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$ on C_r satisfying commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_r & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} & C_r \vee C_s \\ i_r \uparrow & & i_r \vee i_s \uparrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & X \vee A. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ is a $\text{co-}H^g$ -primitive with respect to θ , then there is a map $\theta' : X' \rightarrow X' \vee A'$ satisfying commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\theta'} & X' \vee A' \\ r \downarrow & & r \vee s \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & X \vee A. \end{array}$$

Then we have that $(i_r \vee i_s)\theta r \sim (i_r \vee i_s)(r \vee s)\theta' \sim (i_r \circ r \vee i_s \circ s)\theta \sim *$. Thus we know, from Lemma 3.10, that there is a map $\tilde{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$ such that $\tilde{\theta}i_r = (i_r \vee i_s)\theta$. Then $p_1j\tilde{\theta}i_r = p_1j(i_r \vee i_s)\theta \sim p_1(i_r \times i_s)(1 \times g)\Delta \sim i_r = 1 \circ i_r$ and $p_2j\tilde{\theta}i_r \sim p_2(i_r \times i_s)(1 \times g)\Delta \sim i_s \circ g = \bar{g} \circ i_r$. Thus we have, from Lemma 3.11, that there is a map $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$ such that $\bar{\theta}i_r = \tilde{\theta}i_r = (i_r \vee i_s)\theta$. \square

Taking $g = 1_X$ and $s = r$, we can get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.13 ([6]). *If X and X' are $\text{co-}H$ -spaces, and $r : X' \rightarrow X$ is a $\text{co-}H$ -map, then there is a $\text{co-}H$ -structure on C_r such that $i_r : X \rightarrow C_r$ is a $\text{co-}H$ -map.*

In 1959, Eckmann and Hilton [1] introduced a dual concept of Postnikov system as follows; A *homology decomposition* of X consists of a sequence of spaces and maps $\{X_n, q_n, i_n\}$ satisfying (1) $q_n : X_n \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism $(q_n)_* : H_i(X_n) \rightarrow H_i(X)$ for $i \leq n$. (2) $i_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ is a cofibration with cofiber $M(H_{n+1}(X), n)$ (a Moore space of type $(H_{n+1}(X), n)$). (3) $q_n \sim q_{n+1} \circ i_n$. It is known by [8] that if X be a 1-connected space having the homotopy type of CW complex, then there is a homology decomposition $\{X_n, q_n, i_n\}$ of X such that $i_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ is the principal cofibration induced from $\iota : M(H_{n+1}(X), n) \rightarrow cM(H_{n+1}(X), n)$ by a map $r : M(H_{n+1}(X), n) \rightarrow X_n$ which is called the dual Postnikov invariants.

From Theorem 3.12, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.14. *Let X and A be spaces having the homotopy type of 1-connected countable CW-complexes, and $\{X_n, q_n, i_n\}$ and $\{A_n, q'_n, i'_n\}$ be homology decompositions for X and A respectively. If X is a $\text{co-}H^g$ -space with $\text{co-}H^g$ -structure $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$ and for each $n \geq 2$, the pair of dual invariants $(r_A^n, r_X^n) : \tilde{g}_* \rightarrow g_n$ are $\text{co-}H^{g_n}$ -primitive with respect to $\theta_n : X_n \rightarrow X_n \vee A_n$, where $\tilde{g}_* : M(H_{n+1}(X), n) \rightarrow M(H_{n+1}(A), n)$ and g_n are induced maps from $g : X \rightarrow A$, then there exists a $\text{co-}H^{g_{n+1}}$ -structure on X_{n+1} such that $(i'_{n+1}, i_{n+1}) : g_n \rightarrow g_{n+1}$ is a $\text{co-}H^{g_{n+1}}$ -primitive with respect to $\theta_n : X_n \rightarrow X_n \vee A_n$.*

For a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we write $\text{conn } f = n$ if the induced map of homotopy groups $\pi_k(f) : \pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y)$ is an isomorphism for $k < n$ and an epimorphism for $k = n$. In particular, for the constant map $C_* : X \rightarrow *$ we put $\text{conn } X = \text{conn } C_*$. Let $X \flat Y$ and $X \wedge Y$ be the flat product and the smash product of spaces X and Y , respectively. There is a homotopy equivalence $X \flat Y \simeq \Sigma \Omega X \wedge \Omega Y$ (see e.g., [8, p. 216]). We now show that the converse of Theorem 3.12 also holds, provided some conditions are satisfied.

Lemma 3.15. *For any two maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : X' \rightarrow Y'$, $\text{conn } (f \flat g) = \min\{\text{conn } (f) + \text{conn } (Y'), \text{conn } (X) + \text{conn } (g)\}$*

Proof. Since $f \flat g = (f \flat 1_{Y'}) \circ (1_X \flat g) : X \flat X' \xrightarrow{1_X \flat g} X \flat Y' \xrightarrow{f \flat 1_{Y'}} Y \flat Y'$ and $\text{conn } (f \flat 1_{Y'}) = \text{conn } (\Sigma \Omega(f) \wedge 1_{\Omega Y'}) = \text{conn } (f) + \text{conn } (Y')$ and $\text{conn } (1_X \flat g) = \text{conn } (X) + \text{conn } (g)$, we have that

$$\text{conn } (f \flat g) = \min\{\text{conn } (f) + \text{conn } (Y'), \text{conn } (X) + \text{conn } (g)\}.$$

□

Lemma 3.16. ([19, Theorem 7.16]) *For a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $\text{conn } (f) = n$ if and only if (1) for every CW complex K with $\dim K < n$, $f_{\#} : [K, X] \rightarrow [K, Y]$ is one-to-one correspondence (2) for every CW complex K with $\dim K = n$, $f_{\#} : [K, X] \rightarrow [K, Y]$ is onto.*

Theorem 3.17. *Let X be a simply connected $\text{co-}H^g$ -space with $\text{co-}H^g$ -structure $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$. Let X' be a simply connected $\text{co-}H$ -space and $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ a map, where $g' : X' \rightarrow A'$ is a map. If there is a $\text{co-}H^g$ -structure $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$ on C_r satisfying commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_r & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} & C_r \vee C_s \\ i_r \uparrow & & i_r \vee i_s \uparrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & X \vee A \end{array}$$

and $\dim X' < \min\{\text{conn } (X') + \text{conn } (C_s), \text{conn } (A') + \text{conn } (X)\}$, then $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ is a $\text{co-}H^g$ -primitive with respect to θ .

Proof. Let $\mu' : X' \rightarrow X' \vee X'$ be a $\text{co-}H$ -structure on X' . It is known by [2] that a $\text{co-}H$ -structure μ' on a 1-connected space X' admits an inversion. It is also known by [14] that for a $\text{co-}H$ -space X' with a $\text{co-}H$ -structure μ' and an inversion map, and any spaces X and A , there is a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [X', XbA] \rightarrow [X', X \vee A] \xrightarrow[\gamma]{j\#} [X', X \times A] \rightarrow 0,$$

where $j\#\gamma = 1$ with $\gamma([\alpha_1, \alpha_2]) = [(\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2)\mu']$. Denote the induced operation on $[X', X \vee A]$ additively and let $\beta = 1 - \gamma j\# : [X', X \vee A] \rightarrow [X', X \vee A]$. Since $j\#\beta = 0$, we know that $\beta : [X', X \vee A] \rightarrow [X', XbA]$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [X', X] & \xrightarrow{\theta\#} & [X', X \vee A] & \xrightarrow{\beta} & [X', XbA] \\ i_r\# \downarrow & & (i_r \vee i_s)\# \downarrow & & (i_r b i_s)\# \downarrow \\ [X', C_r] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}\#} & [X', C_r \vee C_s] & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & [X', C_r b C_s]. \end{array}$$

Then $(i_r b i_s)\#\beta\theta\#([r]) = \beta'\bar{\theta}\#i\#([r]) = \beta'\bar{\theta}\#([i_r \circ r]) = 0$. Clearly $\text{conn } i_r = \text{conn } X'$ and $\text{conn } i_s = \text{conn } A'$. By Lemma 3.15, we know that $\text{conn } (i_r b i_s) = \min\{\text{conn } i_r + \text{conn } C_s, \text{conn } i_s + \text{conn } X\}$ and $\dim X' < \text{conn } (i_r b i_s)$. Thus we have, from Lemma 3.16, that $(i_r b i_s)\#$ is an isomorphism, so we get that $\beta\theta\#([r]) = 0$. From the definition of β , we know that $0 = \beta\theta\#([r]) = (1 - \gamma j\#)([\theta r]) = [\theta r] - \gamma[j\theta r]$. Thus we have that $\theta r \sim (p_1 j\theta r \vee p_2 j\theta r)\mu' \sim (r \vee g \circ r)\mu' \sim (r \vee s)(1 \vee g')\mu'$ and $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ is a $\text{co-}H^g$ -primitive with respect to θ . \square

Taking $g = 1_X, g' = 1_{X'}$ and $s = r$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.18 ([5]). *Let $r : X' \rightarrow X$ be a map with X' and X 1-connected co- H -spaces. If the mapping cone C_r is a co- H -space, $i_r : X \rightarrow C_r$ a co- H -map and $\dim X' < \text{conn } X' + \min\{\text{conn } X, \text{conn } C_r\}$, then $r : X' \rightarrow X$ is a co- H -map.*

In particular, let $M(A, n)$ be the Moore space of type (A, n) for $n \geq 2$. Then $\dim M(A, n) \leq n + 1$ and $\text{conn } M(A, n) = n - 1$. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.19. *Let X be a 2-connected co- H^g -space with co- H^g -structure $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee A$. Let $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ be a map, where $g' : M(A, n) \rightarrow M(A', n)$ ($n \geq 2$) is a map. If there is a co- H^g -structure $\bar{\theta} : C_r \rightarrow C_r \vee C_s$ on C_r satisfying commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_r & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} & C_r \vee C_s \\ i_r \uparrow & & i_r \vee i_s \uparrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & X \vee A, \end{array}$$

then $(s, r) : g' \rightarrow g$ is a co- H^g -primitive with respect to θ .

REFERENCES

1. B. Eckmann and P. Hilton, *Decomposition homologique d'un polyedre simplement connexe*, *ibid*, 248(1959), 2054-2558.
2. T. Ganca: Cogroups and suspensions. *Invent. Math.* **9** (1970), 185-197.
3. D. H. Gottlieb: A certain subgroup of the fundamental group. *Amer. J. Math.* **87** (1965), 840-856.
4. D. H. Gottlieb: Evaluation subgroups of homotopy groups. *Amer. J. Math.* **91** (1969), 729-756.
5. M. Golasinski and J. Klein: On maps into a co- H -space. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **28** (1998), 321-327.
6. P. Hilton, G. Mislin & J. Roitberg: On co- H -spaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **53** (1978), 1-14.
7. H. B. Haslam: *G-spaces and H-spaces*. Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of California, Irvine, 1969.
8. P. Hilton: *Homotopy Theory and Duality*, Gordon and Breach Science Pub., 1965.
9. D. W. Kahn: Induced maps for Postnikov systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **107** (1963), 432-450.
10. D. W. Kahn: A note on H -spaces and Postnikov systems of spheres. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (1964), 300-307.
11. K. L. Lim: On cyclic maps. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **32** (1982), 349-357.
12. K. L. Lim: Cocyclic maps and coevaluation subgroups. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **30** (1987), 63-71.
13. R. E. Mosher & M. C. Tangora: *Cohomology operations and applications in homotopy theory*, Harper & Row, New York, 1968.
14. J. L. Navarro: On the existence and classification of co- H -structures. *Collect. Math.* **30** (1979), 103-111.
15. N. Oda: The homotopy of the axes of pairings. *Canad. J. Math.* **17** (1990), 856-868.
16. M. Postnikov: On the homotopy type of polyhedra. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **76**(6) (1951), 789-791.
17. J. D. Stasheff: On extensions of H -spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1962), 126-135.
18. K. Varadarajan: Generalized Gottlieb groups. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **33** (1969), 141-164.
19. G. W. Whitehead: *Elements of homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1978.
20. M. H. Woo & Y. S. Yoon: T-spaces by the Gottlieb groups and duality. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **59** (1995), 193-203.
21. Y. S. Yoon: Lifting Gottlieb sets and duality. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119**(4) (1993), 1315-1321.
22. Y. S. Yoon: The generalized dual Gottlieb sets. *Topology Appl.* **109** (2001), 173-181.

23. Y. S. Yoon: Generalized Gottlieb groups and generalized Wang homomorphisms. *Sci. Math. Japon.* **55**(1) (2002),139-148.
24. Y. S. Yoon & J. O. Yu: v -semicyclic maps and fuction spaces. *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 77-87.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, HANNAM UNIVERSITY, DAEJEON 306-791, KOREA
Email address: yoons@hannam.ac.kr