KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 46(2006), 111-118

## On the Weighted $L^1$ -convergence of Grünwald Interpolatory Operators

JIAN LI WANG

Department of Mathematics, Shaoxing Arts and Science College, Shaoxing, Zhejiang 312000, China

Song Ping Zhou

Institute of Mathematics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Xiasha Economic Development Area, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310018, China e-mail: szhou@zjip.com

ABSTRACT. The present paper investigates the weighted  $L^1$ -convergence of Grünwald interpolatory operators based on the zeros of the second Chebyshev polynomials  $U_n(x) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin\theta}$ . The approximation rate is sharp.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $f \in C_{[-1,1]}$ , taking  $\{x_k^n\}_{k=1}^n = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^n$ , the zeros of the second Chebyshev polynomials  $U_n(x) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin\theta}$ ,  $x = \cos\theta$ , as the nodes, then we define the famous Grünwald interpolatory operators as follows:

$$G_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) l_k^2(x),$$

where

$$l_k(x) = \frac{U_n(x)}{U'_n(x_k)(x - x_k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

G. Min (see [3] and [4]) proved that  $G_n(f, x)$  uniformly converges to f(x) in any closed interval  $[a, b] \subset (-1, 1)$ , and it also converges to f(x) in the  $L^1$  norm (furthermore, [2] obtained the  $L^1$ -convergence rate). In order to analyse the nature of  $L^1$ -convergence by the Grünwald operators completely, the present paper will investigate the weighted case and establish the following

Received September 30, 2004.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A05, 41A25, 41A36.

Key words and phrases: Grünwald operator,  $L^1$ -convergence, weight.

Supported in part by Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10471130) and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 101009).

**Theorem.** For any  $f \in C_{[-1,1]}$ , the following estimates

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |G_n(f,x) - f(x)| \left(\sqrt{1-x^2}\right)^{\lambda} dx \le \begin{cases} C_{\lambda} \left[ \omega_{\varphi}(f,\frac{1}{n}) + \frac{1}{n} \|f\| \right], & \lambda > 0, \\ C \left[ \omega_{\varphi}(f,\frac{1}{n}) + \frac{\log n}{n} \|f\| \right], & \lambda = 0, \\ C_{\lambda} \left[ \omega_{\varphi}(f,\frac{1}{n}) + \frac{1}{n^{1+\lambda}} \|f\| \right], & -1 \le \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$$

hold, where  $\omega_{\varphi}(f,h)$  is the Ditzian-Totik type modulus with  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$ , ||f||denotes the supremum norm on [-1,1], C and  $C_{\lambda}$  denotes an absolute positive constant and a positive constant only depending on  $\lambda$  respectively, their values may be different even in the same line.

## 2. Proof of Theorem

We establish some lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** For any  $f \in C_{[-1,1]}$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ , it holds that

(1) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} |G_n(f,x)| \, (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx \le C_{\lambda} \|f\|.$$

*Proof.* From [5], we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} l_k^2(x) \le C \left[ 1 + \frac{\log n}{n} (1 - x^2)^{-1} \right], \ x \in (-1, 1).$$

Obviously,  $G_n(f, x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 2n - 1$ . By using the inequality [1, (8.1.4)], we have

$$(2) \qquad \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} |G_{n}(f,x)| (\sqrt{1-x^{2}})^{\lambda} dx \\ \leq C \int_{-1+(2n)^{-2}}^{1-(2n)^{-2}} |G_{n}(f,x)| (\sqrt{1-x^{2}})^{\lambda} dx \\ \leq C ||f|| \int_{-1+(2n)^{-2}}^{1-(2n)^{-2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} l_{k}^{2}(x)\right) (\sqrt{1-x^{2}})^{\lambda} dx \\ \leq C ||f|| + C \frac{\log n}{n} ||f|| \int_{-1+(2n)^{-2}}^{1-(2n)^{-2}} (1-x^{2})^{-1} (\sqrt{1-x^{2}})^{\lambda} dx,$$

and

(3) 
$$\int_{-1+(2n)^{-2}}^{1-(2n)^{-2}} (1-x^2)^{-1} (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx$$
$$\leq C \int_{-1+(2n)^{-2}}^{1-(2n)^{-2}} \left(\frac{1}{(1-x)^{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} + \frac{1}{(1+x)^{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}\right) dx$$
$$\leq \begin{cases} C_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0, \\ C \log n, \quad \lambda = 0, \\ C_{\lambda}n^{-\lambda}, \quad -1 < \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Together with (2) and (3), (1) is proved.

Write  $P_n(x)$  as the best polynomial approximant of degree n to f(x), and

$$\sigma_k(x) = (x - x_k) \frac{1 - x^2}{1 - x_k^2} l_k^2(x), \ k = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

**Lemma 2.** For any  $f \in C_{[-1,1]}$ ,  $\lambda > -1$ , we have

(4) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} |f(x) - P_n(x)| (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{\lambda} dx \le C_{\lambda} \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

and

(5) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} |G_n(f,x) - G_n(P_n,x)| \left(\sqrt{1-x^2}\right)^{\lambda} dx \le C_{\lambda} \omega_{\varphi}\left(f,\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Proof. Using [1, Theorem 7.21], we know

$$\|f - P_n\| \le C\omega_{\varphi}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right),$$

which means (4) holds. Applying (1) and noting that  $G_n(f, x)$  is a positive linear operator, we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |G_n(f,x) - G_n(P_n,x)| (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx$$
  
$$\leq \|f - P_n\| \int_{-1}^{1} G_n(1,x) (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx \leq C_{\lambda} \omega_{\varphi} \left(f,\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

therefore, (5) holds.

**Lemma 3.** If f is a polynomial of degree 2n+1, then we have the following identity:

(6) 
$$G_{n}(f,x) - f(x) = (G_{n}(f,1) - f(1)) \frac{1+x}{2} \left(\frac{U_{n}(x)}{U_{n}(1)}\right)^{2} + (G_{n}(f,-1) - f(-1)) \frac{1-x}{2} \left(\frac{U_{n}(x)}{U_{n}(-1)}\right)^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_{k}) \frac{3x_{k}}{1-x_{k}^{2}} \sigma_{k}(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f'(x_{k}) \sigma_{k}(x).$$

Proof. Write

$$H_n(x) = G_n(f,x) - f(x) - \left( (G_n(f,1) - f(1)) \frac{1+x}{2} \left( \frac{U_n(x)}{U_n(1)} \right)^2 + (G_n(f,-1) - f(-1)) \frac{1-x}{2} \left( \frac{U_n(x)}{U_n(-1)} \right)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \frac{3x_k}{1-x_k^2} \sigma_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n f'(x_k) \sigma_k(x) \right).$$

Since  $G_n(f, x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 2n - 2$ ,  $H_n(x)$  is a polynomial of degree 2n + 1. We check that

$$H_n(x_k) = 0, \ k = 1, 2, \cdots, n; \ H_n(\pm 1) = 0.$$

In view of that  $l_k(x_k) = 1$ , we see that

$$(l_k^2(x))'\Big|_{x=x_j} = 0, \ j \neq k,$$

$$\left( l_k^2(x) \right)' \Big|_{x=x_k} = 2l'_k(x_k) = \frac{2}{U'_n(x_k)} \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{U_n(x)}{(x-x_k)(x-x_j)} \Big|_{x=x_k}$$
$$= \frac{U''_n(x_k)}{U'_n(x_k)} = \frac{3x_k}{1-x_k^2},$$

hence

$$G'_{n}(f, x_{k}) - f'(x_{k}) = f(x_{k}) \frac{3x_{k}}{1 - x_{k}^{2}} - f'(x_{k})$$
$$= f(x_{k}) \frac{3x_{k}}{1 - x_{k}^{2}} \sigma'_{k}(x_{k}) - f'(x_{k}) \sigma'_{k}(x_{k}),$$

thus  $H'_n(x_k) = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A polynomial of degree 2n + 1 vanishes at 2n + 2 points (multiplicity calculated) must equal to zero. Lemma 3 is proved.

It is not difficult to deduce that

Lemma 4. For p > q,

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{|\sin(n+1)\theta|^p}{\sin^q \theta} d\theta \simeq \begin{cases} \log n, & q=1, \\ n^{q-1}, & q>1. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 5.** For any  $\lambda > -1$ , we have

(7) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| (G_n(P_n, 1) - P_n(1)) \frac{1+x}{2} \left( \frac{U_n(x)}{U_n(1)} \right)^2 \right| (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx$$
$$\leq \begin{cases} C_{\lambda} n^{-1} \|f\|, & \lambda > 0, \\ Cn^{-1} \log n \|f\|, & \lambda = 0, \\ C_{\lambda} n^{-1-\lambda} \|f\|, & -1 < \lambda < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

(8) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| (G_n(P_n, -1) - P_n(-1)) \frac{1 - x}{2} \left( \frac{U_n(x)}{U_n(-1)} \right)^2 \right| (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{\lambda} dx$$
$$\leq \begin{cases} C_{\lambda} n^{-1} \|f\|, & \lambda > 0, \\ C n^{-1} \log n \|f\|, & \lambda = 0, \\ C_{\lambda} n^{-1 - \lambda} \|f\|, & -1 < \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $U_n(\pm 1) = n + 1$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} l_k^2(\pm 1) = \frac{3n-1}{2}.$$

Then we use that  $||P_n|| \le 2||f||$  to yield that

$$\begin{split} & \int_{-1}^{1} \left| \left( G_n(P_n, 1) - P_n(1) \right) \frac{1+x}{2} \left( \frac{U_n(x)}{U_n(1)} \right)^2 \right| (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx \\ & \leq 2 \| f \| \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} l_k^2(1) \right) \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \int_{-1}^{1} U_n^2(x) (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx \\ & \leq C \| f \| n^{-1} \int_{-1}^{1} U_n^2(x) (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx \\ & \leq C \| f \| n^{-1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2 n\theta}{\sin^{1-\lambda} \theta} d\theta. \end{split}$$

Applying Lemma 4, with simple calculations for different cases  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda = 0$  and  $-1 < \lambda < 0$ , leads to (7). (8) can be proved similarly.

**Lemma 6.** For any  $\lambda > -2$ , we have

(9) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_n(x_k) \frac{3x_k}{1 - x_k^2} \sigma_k(x) \right| (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{\lambda} dx$$
$$\leq \begin{cases} C_{\lambda} n^{-1} \|f\|, & \lambda > -\frac{1}{2}, \\ C n^{-1} \log n \|f\|, & \lambda = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ C_{\lambda} n^{-\lambda - \frac{3}{2}} \|f\|, & -2 < \lambda < -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have (cf. [2], (22))

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_n(x_k) \frac{3x_k}{1 - x_k^2} \sigma_k(x) \right)^2 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx \le \frac{180\pi}{n^2} \|f\|^2.$$

Note that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_n(x_k) \frac{3x_k}{1-x_k^2} \sigma_k(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 2n+1$ , in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{-1}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_n(x_k) \frac{3x_k}{1 - x_k^2} \sigma_k(x) \right| (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{\lambda} dx \\ & \leq C \int_{-1 + n^{-2}}^{1 - n^{-2}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_n(x_k) \frac{3x_k}{1 - x_k^2} \sigma_k(x) \right| (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{\lambda} dx \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_{-1 + n^{-2}}^{1 - n^{-2}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_n(x_k) \frac{3x_k}{1 - x_k^2} \sigma_k(x) \right)^2 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{-1 + n^{-2}}^{1 - n^{-2}} (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{2\lambda - 1} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C n^{-1} \| f \| \left\{ \int_{-1 + n^{-2}}^{1 - n^{-2}} \left( \sqrt{1 - x^2} \right)^{2\lambda - 1} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C n^{-1} \| f \| \left\{ \int_{-1 + n^{-2}}^{1 - n^{-2}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - x)^{\frac{1}{2} - \lambda}} + \frac{1}{(1 + x)^{\frac{1}{2} - \lambda}} \right) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

then (9) can be verified easily.

**Lemma 7.** For any  $\lambda > -1$ , we have

(10) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} P'_n(x_k) \sigma_k(x) \right| (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx \le C_{\lambda} \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

*Proof.* From [6, (32)], we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} P'_n(x_k) \sigma_k(x) \right)^2 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \le C \omega_{\varphi}^2 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

because  $\lambda > -1$ ,  $1 + 2\lambda > -1$ , by Hölder inequality, we get

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} P'_{n}(x_{k}) \sigma_{k}(x) \right| (\sqrt{1-x^{2}})^{\lambda} dx$$

$$\leq \left\{ \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} P'_{n}(x_{k}) \sigma_{k}(x) \right)^{2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{-1}^{1} (\sqrt{1-x^{2}})^{2\lambda+1} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C_{\lambda} \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

*Proof of Theorem.* Altogether, with the above lemmas, we can proceed the proof of our theorem now. Since  $G_n(f, x)$  is linear, by applying lemma 3, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} &G_n(f,x) - f(x) \\ &= &G_n(f,x) - G_n(P_n,x) + G_n(P_n,x) - P_n(x) + P_n(x) - f(x) \\ &= &(G_n(f,x) - G_n(P_n,x)) + (P_n(x) - f(x)) + (G_n(P_n,1) - P_n(1)) \frac{1+x}{2} \left(\frac{U_n(x)}{U_n(1)}\right)^2 \\ &+ (G_n(P_n,-1) - P_n(-1)) \frac{1-x}{2} \left(\frac{U_n(x)}{U_n(-1)}\right)^2 \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n P_n(x_k) \frac{3x_k}{1-x_k^2} \sigma_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n P'_n(x_k) \sigma_k(x), \end{aligned}$$

with (4), (5), (7)-(10), we can get the required result.

### 3. Remarks

For  $\lambda \leq -1$ , there exist an  $f_0(x) \in C_{[-1,1]}$  such that

(11) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} |G_n(f_0, x) - f_0(x)| (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{\lambda} dx \not\longrightarrow 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

In fact, take  $f_0(x) = 1$ , then we have

$$G_n(f_0, x) - f_0(x) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{n-1}{(n+1)^2} U_n^2(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{3x_k}{1-x_k^2} \sigma_k(x).$$

When  $\lambda \geq -1$ , by Lemma 4 we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{3}{2} \frac{n-1}{(n+1)^2} U_n^2(x) (\sqrt{1-x^2})^{\lambda} dx \geq \frac{C}{n} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2 n\theta}{\sin^{1-\lambda} \theta} d\theta$$
$$\geq \begin{cases} C, & \lambda = -1, \\ C_{\lambda} n^{-1-\lambda}, & -1 < \lambda < 0, \\ C \log n/n, & \lambda = 0, \\ C_{\lambda} n^{-1}, & \lambda > 0, \end{cases}$$

together with (9), we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{3x_k}{1 - x_k^2} \sigma_k(x) \right| (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{\lambda} dx = o\left( \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{3}{2} \frac{n - 1}{(n+1)^2} U_n^2(x) (\sqrt{1 - x^2})^{\lambda} dx \right),$$

that means, (11) holds for  $\lambda = -1$ .

The above estimates also show that our results are sharp for  $\lambda > -1$ . We conclude that the result of [2] is a special case  $\lambda = 0$  of our theorem.

# References

- [1] Z. Ditzian and V. Totik, Moduli of smoothness, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, (1987).
- [2] Y. L. Luo, Y. Z. Gao and Q. Wang, The rate of L<sup>1</sup>-convergence of Grünwald interpolatory operators, Pure Appl. Math., 14(3)(1998), 55-59 (in Chinese).
- [3] G. Min, On Grünwald interpolatory operators and its application, J. Math. Res. Exposition, 9(1989), 442-446 (in Chinese).
- [4] G. Min, On L<sup>1</sup>-convergence of Gr
  ünwald interpolatory operators, Advan. Math. (China), 18(1989), 485-490 (in Chinese).
- [5] P. Nevai and P. Vertesi, Mean convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 105(1985), 26-53.
- [6] G. Q. Xu and Y. P. Liu, The rate of L<sup>2</sup>-convergence of a kind of quasi-Grünwald interpolatory operators, J. Math. Engin., 17(2)(2000), 19-24.