

Rank-preserver of Matrices over Chain Semiring

SEOK-ZUN SONG AND KYUNG-TAE KANG

Department of Mathematics, Cheju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea

e-mail : szsong@cheju.ac.kr and kangkt@cheju.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. For a rank-1 matrix A , there is a factorization as $A = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^t$, the product of two vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} . We characterize the linear operators that preserve rank and some equivalent condition of rank-1 matrices over a chain semiring. We also obtain a linear operator T preserves the rank of rank-1 matrices if and only if it is a form (P, Q, B) -operator with appropriate permutation matrices P and Q , and a matrix B with all nonzero entries.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

There are many papers on linear operators that preserve the rank of matrices over several semirings([1]-[6]). Matrices over a chain semiring also have been the subject of research by many authors (see [2], [5]). Beasley and Pullman [1] defined the perimeter of a Boolean rank-1 matrix in order to characterize the linear operators preserving Boolean rank. Song [5] obtained characterization of linear operators that preserve column rank over the fuzzy scalars.

In this article, we consider the rank-1 matrices over a chain semiring and their perimeters. We also characterize the linear operators that preserve the rank and perimeter of the rank-1 matrices over a chain semiring.

A *semiring* is essentially a ring in which only the zero is required to have an additive inverse.

Let \mathbb{K} be any set of two or more elements. If \mathbb{K} is totally ordered by $<$ (i.e., $x < y$ or $y < x$ for all distinct elements x, y in \mathbb{K}), then define $x + y$ as $\max(x, y)$ and xy as $\min(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{K}$. If \mathbb{K} has a universal lower bound and a universal upper bound, then \mathbb{K} becomes a semiring, and called a *chain* semiring. The following are interesting examples of a chain semiring.

Let \mathbb{H} be any nonempty family of sets nested by inclusion, $0 = \bigcap_{x \in \mathbb{H}} x$, and $1 = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{H}} x$. Then $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{H} \cup \{0, 1\}$ is a chain semiring.

Let α, w be real numbers with $\alpha < w$. Define $\mathbb{S} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha \leq \beta \leq w\}$. Then \mathbb{S} is a chain semiring. It is isomorphic to the chain semiring in the previous example with $\mathbb{H} = \{[\alpha, \beta] : \alpha \leq \beta \leq w\}$. Furthermore, if we choose the real numbers 0 and 1 as α and w in the previous example, then $m \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{F} \equiv \{\beta : 0 \leq \beta \leq 1\}$ is called *fuzzy* matrices.

Received September 20, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A03, 15A04.

Key words and phrases: linear operator, perimeter, (P, Q, B) -operator.

In particular, if we take \mathbb{H} to be a singleton set, say $\{a\}$, and denote \emptyset by 0 and $\{a\}$ by 1, the resulting chain semiring $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$ is called a *binary Boolean algebra*, and it is a subsemiring of every chain semiring.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in a chain semiring \mathbb{K} . Then addition, multiplication by scalars, and product of matrices on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ are defined as if \mathbb{K} were a field.

The *rank* or *factor rank*, $r(A)$, of a nonzero matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ is defined as the least integer k for which there exist $m \times k$ and $k \times n$ matrices B and C with $A = BC$. The rank of a zero matrix is zero. We note that $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ is a matrix with rank 1 if and only if there exist nonzero vectors $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}_{m,1}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{M}_{n,1}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $A = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^t$. But the following example shows that these vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are not uniquely determined by A .

Example 1.1. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a fuzzy matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{2,n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $n \geq 2$, where $0 < \alpha_i < 1$ for all i . Then we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [\alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \cdots \quad \alpha_n] = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [\alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \cdots \quad \alpha_n] = \cdots,$$

where $\alpha_i \leq \gamma < 1$.

Let $\Delta_{m,n} = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{m,n} = \{E_{ij} \mid (i, j) \in \Delta_{m,n}\}$, where E_{ij} is the matrix whose (i, j) th entry is 1 and whose other entries are all 0. We call E_{ij} a *cell*.

For any vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{M}_{m,1}(\mathbb{K})$, we define $|\mathbf{u}|$ to be the number of nonzero entries in \mathbf{u} . Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be any matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. Then we define $A^* = [a_{ij}^*]$ to be the matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ whose (i, j) th entry is 1 if and only if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. It follows from the definition that

$$(1.1) \quad (AB)^* = A^*B^* \quad \text{and} \quad (B+C)^* = B^* +_{\mathbb{B}} C^*$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ and all $B, C \in \mathcal{M}_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$.

If $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ are in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, we say that A *dominates* B (written $A \geq B$ or $B \leq A$) if $a_{ij} = 0$ implies $b_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j . Then we can easily obtain that $A \geq B$ if and only if $(A+B)^* = A^*$ for all matrices $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$.

Lemma 1.2. *For any factorization $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^t$ of a rank-1 matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, $|\mathbf{a}|$ and $|\mathbf{b}|$ are uniquely determined by A .*

Proof. It follows from (1.1) that $A^* = \mathbf{a}^*(\mathbf{b}^*)^t$ is a rank-1 matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$. Then we can easily show that $|\mathbf{a}^*|$ and $|\mathbf{b}^*|$ are uniquely determined by A^* . Therefore $|\mathbf{a}|$ and $|\mathbf{b}|$ are uniquely determined by A . \square

For any rank-1 matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, define the *perimeter* of A , $P(A)$, as $|\mathbf{a}| + |\mathbf{b}|$ for arbitrary factorization $A = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^t$. Even though the factorizations of

A are not unique, Lemma 1.2 shows that the perimeter of A is unique, and that $P(A) = P(A^*)$.

2. Rank and perimeter preservers

A mapping $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ is called a *linear operator* if $T(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha T(A) + \beta T(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$.

In this article, we characterize the set of linear operators preserving the rank and the perimeter of every rank-1 matrix over any chain semiring. These are motivated by analogous results for the set of linear operators that preserve all ranks in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. However, we obtain results and proofs in view of the perimeter analog.

For matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, the *Hadamard* (or *Schur*) product $A \circ B$ of A and B is the m by n matrix whose (i, j) -entry equals $a_{ij} b_{ij}$.

Suppose that T is a linear operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. Then

- (1) T is a (P, Q, B) -operator if there exist $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ permutation matrices P and Q , respectively and a matrix $B \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ with all nonzero entries and $r(B) = 1$ such that $T(A) = P(A \circ B)Q$ for all A in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, or $m = n$ and $T(A) = P(A^t \circ B)Q$ for all A in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$.
- (2) T preserve rank 1 if $r(T(A)) = 1$ whenever $r(A) = 1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$.
- (3) T preserve perimeter k of rank-1 matrices if $P(T(A)) = k$ whenever $P(A) = k$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ with $r(A) = 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *If T is a (P, Q, B) -operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, then T preserves both rank and perimeter of rank-1 matrices.*

Proof. If T is a (P, Q, B) -operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, there exist $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ permutation matrices P and Q , respectively such that $T(A) = P(A \circ B)Q$, or $m = n$ and $T(A) = P(A^t \circ B)Q$ for all A in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, where $B \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ is a matrix with all nonzero entries and $r(B) = 1$. Then we can write $B = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{d}^t$, where none of entries \mathbf{c} or \mathbf{d} is zero. Let A be a rank-1 matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ with a factorization $A = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^t$. For the case $T(A) = P(A \circ B)Q$, we have the following:

$$T(A) = P(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^t \circ \mathbf{c}\mathbf{d}^t)Q = P(\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{c})(\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{d})^t Q = (P(\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{c})) (Q^t(\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{d}))^t. \quad (2.1)$$

Thus (2.1) implies that

$$r(T(A)) = r\left((P(\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{c})) (Q^t(\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{d}))^t\right) = 1$$

and

$$P(T(A)) = |P(\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{c})| + |Q^t(\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{d})| = |\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{c}| + |\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{d}| = |\mathbf{a}| + |\mathbf{b}| = P(A).$$

For the case $m = n$ and $T(A) = (A^t \circ B)Q$, we can show that $r(T(A)) = 1$ and $P(T(A)) = P(A)$ by the similar method as above. \square

Furthermore, the converse of Theorem 2.1 is also true. We will show its proof in Theorem 2.7(below). Also Example 2.3(below) shows that a linear operator preserving ranks of all rank-1 matrices need not be (P, Q, B) -operator.

We note that a matrix has perimeter 2 if and only if it is a cell with nonzero scalar multiplication. We say that $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ is a *row* (or *column*) *matrix* if A has nonzero entries only in one row (column, respectively). A *line matrix* is a row matrix or a column matrix. Thus we have the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let T be a linear operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. If T preserves rank and perimeter 2 of every rank-1 matrix, then the following statements hold:*

- (1) T maps a cell into a cell with nonzero scalar multiplication;
- (2) T maps a line matrix into a line matrix.

Proof. (1) follows from the property that T preserves perimeter 2. (2) If not, there exist two distinct cells E and F in same row (or column) such that $T(E)$ and $T(F)$ lie in two different rows and different columns. Then we have $r(E + F) = 1$, while $r(T(E + F)) = r(T(E) + T(F)) = 2$. This contradicts to the fact that T preserves rank 1. \square

The following is an example of a linear operator that preserves rank and perimeter 2 of rank-1 matrices, but it does not preserve perimeter $2n$ ($n \geq 2$) and is not a (P, Q, B) -operator.

Example 2.3. Let T be a linear operator on $\mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{K})$ with $n \geq 2$ defined by

$$T(A) = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \right) E_{kk} = \max\{a_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n\} E_{kk}$$

for all $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{K})$, where k is a fixed integer in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then it is easy to verify that T is a linear operator and preserves rank and perimeter 2 of each rank-1 matrix. But T does not preserve perimeter $2n$: for, if $J \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{K})$ is a matrix whose entries are all 1, then J has rank 1 and perimeter $2n$, but $T(J) = E_{kk}$ has rank 1 and perimeter 2. Hence T is not a (P, Q, B) -operator by Theorem 2.1.

Let $\mathcal{R}_i = \{E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, $\mathcal{C}_j = \{E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, $\mathbb{R} = \{\mathcal{R}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ and $\mathbb{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. For a linear operator T on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, define $T^*(A) = [T(A)]^*$ for all A in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. Let $T^*(\mathcal{R}_i) = \{T^*(E_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $T^*(\mathcal{C}_j) = \{T^*(E_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let T be a linear operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. Suppose that T preserves rank and perimeters 2 and p (≥ 3) of rank-1 matrices. Then*

- (1) T maps two distinct cells in a row (column) into two distinct cells in a row or in a column with nonzero scalar multiplication;
- (2) if T maps a row matrix into a row (or column if $m = n$) matrix then T maps every row matrix into a row (or column if $m = n$) matrix, and if T maps a

column matrix into a column (or row if $m = n$) matrix then T maps every column matrix into a column (or row if $m = n$) matrix.

Proof. (1) Suppose $T(E_{ij}) = \alpha E_{rl}$ and $T(E_{ih}) = \beta E_{rl}$ for some distinct pairs $(i, j), (i, h) \in \Delta_{m,n}$ and some nonzero scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$. Then T maps the i th row matrix into r th row or l th column matrix by Lemma 2.2. Thus for any rank-1 matrix A with perimeter $p (\geq 3)$ which dominates $E_{ij} + E_{ih}$, we can easily show that $T(A)$ has perimeter at most $p - 1$, a contradiction. Thus T maps two distinct cells in a row into two distinct cells in a row or in a column with nonzero scalar multiplication.

(2) If not, then there exist rows \mathcal{R}_i and \mathcal{R}_j such that $T^*(\mathcal{R}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_r$ and $T^*(\mathcal{R}_j) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_s$ for some $(r, s) \in \Delta_{m,n}$. Consider a rank-1 matrix $D = E_{ip} + E_{iq} + E_{jp} + E_{jq}$ with $p \neq q$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(D) &= T(E_{ip} + E_{iq}) + T(E_{jp} + E_{jq}) \\ &= (\alpha_1 E_{rp'} + \alpha_2 E_{rq'}) + (\beta_1 E_{p''s} + \beta_2 E_{q''s}) \end{aligned}$$

for some $p' \neq q'$ and $p'' \neq q''$ and some nonzero scalars $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{K}$ by (1). Therefore $r(T(D)) \neq 1$ and T does not preserve rank 1, a contradiction. Hence T maps each row matrix into a row (or column if $m = n$) matrix. Similarly, T maps each column matrix into a column (or row if $m = n$) matrix. \square

For a linear operator T on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ preserving rank and perimeter 2 of rank-1 matrices, we define the corresponding mapping $T' : \Delta_{m,n} \rightarrow \Delta_{m,n}$ by $T'(i, j) = (k, l)$ whenever $T(E_{ij}) = b_{ij} E_{kl}$ for some nonzero scalar $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}$. Then T' is well-defined by Lemma 2.2-(1).

Lemma 2.5. *Let T be a linear operator preserving both rank and perimeters 2 and $k (k \geq 4, k \neq n + 1)$ of rank-1 matrices. Then T' is a bijection on $\Delta_{m,n}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have that for any $E_{ij} \in \mathbb{E}_{m,n}$, there exist $E_{rl} \in \mathbb{E}_{m,n}$ and nonzero $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $T(E_{ij}) = b_{ij} E_{rl}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that T maps the i th row of a matrix into the r th row with nonzero scalar multiplication. Suppose that $T'(i, j) = T'(p, q)$ for some distinct pairs $(i, j), (p, q) \in \Delta_{m,n}$. By the definition of T' , we have $T(E_{ij}) = b_{ij} E_{rl}$ and $T(E_{pq}) = b_{pq} E_{rl}$ for some nonzero scalars $b_{ij}, b_{pq} \in \mathbb{K}$. Lemma 2.4 implies that $i \neq p$ and $j \neq q$. Furthermore T maps the i th row and the p th row of a matrix into the r th row.

Case 1. $4 \leq k \leq n$: Claim: we can choose a $2 \times (k - 2)$ submatrix A from i th and p th row, but $T(A)$ is a $1 \times k$ submatrix in the r th row. If the claim is true, then $P(A) = k$, while $P(T(A)) = k + 1$, a contradiction.

Proof of the claim. By Lemma 2.4, T maps distinct cells in each row (or column) to distinct cells with nonzero scalar multiplication. Now, choose E_{ij}, E_{pj} but do not choose E_{iq}, E_{pq} . Since there is a cell E_{ph_1} ($h_1 \neq j, q$) in the p th row such that $T'(p, h_1) = T'(i, q)$ but $T'(i, h_1) \neq T'(p, j)$, we can choose a $2 \times (4 - 2)$ submatrix $E_{ij} + E_{ih_1} + E_{pj} + E_{ph_1}$ whose image under T is an 1×4 submatrix in the r th row.

Therefore the claim is satisfied for $k = 4$. Assume that for $k = s$ with $4 \leq s \leq n - 1$, the claim is true. Then there is a $2 \times (s - 2)$ submatrix

$$X = E_{ij} + \sum_{t=1}^{s-3} E_{ih_t} + E_{pj} + \sum_{t=1}^{s-3} E_{ph_t}$$

such that $T(X)$ is an $1 \times s$ submatrix in the r th row, where $\{j, q, h_1, \dots, h_{s-3}\}$ is the set of distinct indices. Now, we can choose a cell $E_{ph_{s-2}}$ ($h_{s-2} \neq j, q, h_1, \dots, h_{s-3}$) such that $T'(i, h_{s-2}) \neq T'(p, j), T'(p, q), T'(p, h_1), \dots, T'(p, h_{s-3})$. Then we have a $2 \times ((s + 1) - 2)$ submatrix $A = E_{ij} + \sum_{t=1}^{s-2} E_{ih_t} + E_{pj} + \sum_{t=1}^{s-2} E_{ph_t}$ such that $T(A)$ is an $1 \times (s + 1)$ submatrix in the r th row. Thus the claim is satisfied for $k = s + 1$. By the mathematical induction, the claim is true.

Case 2. $k = n + \alpha \geq n + 2$: Consider a matrix

$$Y = \sum_{s=1}^n E_{is} + \sum_{t=1}^n E_{pt} + \sum_{h=1}^{\alpha-2} \sum_{g=1}^n E_{hg}$$

with rank 1 and perimeter k . Then T maps the i th and p th row of Y into the r th row with nonzero scalar multiplication by Lemma 2.4. Thus the perimeter of $T(Y)$ is less than k , a contradiction.

Hence $T'(i, j) \neq T'(p, q)$ for any two distinct pairs $(i, j), (p, q) \in \Delta_{m,n}$. Therefore T' is a bijection on $\Delta_{m,n}$. \square

The condition of $k \neq n + 1$ in Lemma 2.5 must be necessary. The following example shows that T is a linear operator preserving both rank and perimeters 2 and $n + 1$ of rank-1 matrices, but T' is not a bijection on $\Delta_{m,n}$.

Example 2.6. Consider a linear operator T on $\mathcal{M}_{2,3}(\mathbb{K})$ defined by

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} a+e & b+f & c+d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we can easily show that T preserves both rank and perimeters 2 and 4 of rank-1 matrices. But T' is not a bijection on $\Delta_{2,3}$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let T be a linear operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) T is a (P, Q, B) -operator;
- (2) T preserves both rank and perimeter of rank-1 matrices;
- (3) T preserves both rank and perimeters 2 and k ($k \geq 4, k \neq n + 1$) of rank-1 matrices.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): clear by Proposition 2.1. (2) \Rightarrow (3): it is obvious. (3) \Rightarrow (1): Assume (3). Then the corresponding mapping $T : \Delta_{m,n} \rightarrow \Delta_{m,n}$ is a bijection by Lemma 2.5. Furthermore, there are two cases: (a) T^* maps \mathbb{R} onto \mathbb{R} and maps \mathbb{C} onto \mathbb{C} , or (b) T^* maps \mathbb{R} onto \mathbb{C} and maps \mathbb{C} onto \mathbb{R} .

Case (a): We note that $T^*(\mathcal{R}_i) = \mathcal{R}_{\sigma(i)}$ and $T^*(\mathcal{C}_j) = \mathcal{C}_{\tau(j)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$, where σ and τ are permutations of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\{1, \dots, n\}$, respectively. Let P and Q be the permutation matrices corresponding to σ and τ , respectively. Then for any $E_{ij} \in \mathbb{E}_{m,n}$, we can write $T(E_{ij}) = b_{ij}E_{\sigma(i)\tau(j)}$ for some nonzero scalar $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}$. Now we claim that $B = [b_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ has rank 1. For, consider an $m \times n$ matrix J , all of whose entries are 1. Then we have

$$T(J) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n E_{ij}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n T(E_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}E_{\sigma(i)\tau(j)} = PBQ.$$

Since J has rank 1, it follows that $r(T(J)) = 1$, and hence $r(B) = r(PBQ) = r(T(J)) = 1$. Therefore for any $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(A) &= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}E_{ij}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}T(E_{ij}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{ij}E_{\sigma(i)\tau(j)} = P(A \circ B)Q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is a (P, Q, B) -operator.

Case (b): We note that $m = n$ and $T^*(\mathcal{R}_i) = \mathcal{C}_{\sigma(i)}$ and $T^*(\mathcal{C}_j) = \mathcal{R}_{\tau(j)}$ for all i, j , where σ and τ are some permutations of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. By an argument similar to case (a), we obtain that $T(A)$ is of the form $T(A) = P(A^t \circ B)Q$, and thus T is a (P, Q, B) -operator. \square

We say that a linear operator T on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ *strongly preserves* perimeter k of rank-1 matrices if $P(T(A)) = k$ if and only if $P(A) = k$.

Consider a linear operator T on $\mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{K})$ with $n \geq 2$ defined by

$$T(A) = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\right)E_{11}$$

for all $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{K})$. Then T preserves both rank and perimeter 2 of rank-1 matrices but does not strongly preserve perimeter 2 because $p\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij}\right) = 2n$,

while $p\left(T\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij}\right)\right) = p(E_{11}) = 2$.

Corollary 2.8. *Let T be a linear operator on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. Then T preserves both rank and perimeter of rank-1 matrices if and only if it preserves perimeter 3 and*

strongly preserves perimeter 2 of rank-1 matrices.

Proof. Suppose T preserves perimeter 3 and strongly preserves perimeter 2 of rank-1 matrices. Then T maps each row of a matrix into a row or a column (if $m = n$) with nonzero scalar multiplication. Since T strongly preserves perimeter 2, T maps each cell onto a cell with nonzero scalar multiplication. This means that the corresponding mapping T' is a bijection. Thus T preserves both rank and perimeter of rank-1 matrices by the similar method in the proof of Theorem 2.7.

The converse is immediate. \square

Thus we have characterizations of the linear operators that preserve both rank and perimeter of rank-1 matrices over any chain semiring.

References

- [1] L. B. Beasley and N. J. Pullman, *Boolean rank-preserving operators and Boolean rank-1 spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl., **59**(1984), 55-77.
- [2] L. B. Beasley and N. J. Pullman, *Fuzzy Rank-Preserving Operators*, Linear Algebra Appl., **73**(1986), 197-211.
- [3] L. B. Beasley and N. J. Pullman, *Term-rank, permanent and rook-polynomial preservers*, Linear Algebra Appl., **90**(1987), 33-46.
- [4] L. B. Beasley, D. A. Gregory and N. J. Pullman, *Nonnegative Rank-Preserving Operators*, Linear Algebra Appl., **65**(1985), 207-223.
- [5] S. Z. Song, *Linear operators that preserve column rank of fuzzy matrices*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **62**(1994), 311-317.
- [6] S. Z. Song, L. B. Beasley, G. S. Cheon and Y. B. Jun, *Rank and perimeter preservers of Boolean rank-1 matrices*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **41**(2)(2004), 397-406.