

## Permuting Tri-Derivations in Prime and Semi-Prime Gamma Rings

DURAN ÖZDEN AND MEHMET ALI ÖZTÜRK

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Cumhuriyet University,  
58140 Sivas, Turkey*

*e-mail* : duranozden@e-kolay.net and maoszturk@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

YOUNG BAE JUN

*Department of Mathematics Education (and RINS), Gyeongsang National University,  
Chinju 660-701, Korea*

*e-mail* : ybjun@gnu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. We study permuting tri-derivations in  $\Gamma$ -rings and give an example.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring, a concept more general than a ring, was defined by Nobusawa [3]. Barnes [1] weakened slightly the conditions in the definition of  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. Barnes [1], Kyuno [2] and Öztürk et al. ([4]-[9]) studied the structure of  $\Gamma$ -rings and obtained various generalizations analogous to corresponding parts in ring theory. In [7], Öztürk proved some results related with permuting tri-derivation on prime and semi-prime rings. As a continuation of [7], we study permuting tri-derivations on  $\Gamma$ -rings and give an example.

### 2. Preliminaries

We first recall some basic concepts for the sake of completeness. Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be additive abelian groups.  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring if the following conditions are satisfied: for any  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

- $aab \in M$
- $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$ ,  $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$ ,  $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$
- $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$ .

Every ring is a  $\Gamma$ -ring and many notions on the ring theory are generalized to  $\Gamma$ -rings. Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. A  $\Gamma$ -subring of  $M$  is an additive subgroup  $N$  such that

---

Received February 19, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25.

Key words and phrases: prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, symmetric bi-derivation, permuting tri-derivation.

$N\Gamma N \subset N$ . A *right* (resp. *left*) *ideal* of  $M$  is an additive abelian group  $I$  such that  $I\Gamma M \subset I$  (resp.  $M\Gamma I \subset I$ ). If  $I$  is both a right and left ideal, then we say that  $I$  is an *ideal*.  $M$  is called a *prime*  $\Gamma$ -ring if  $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$  imply  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  ( $a, b \in M$ ). *Semi-prime*  $\Gamma$ -ring is defined similarly. A map  $D(\cdot, \cdot) : M \times M \rightarrow M$  is said to be *symmetric bi-additive* if it is additive both argument and  $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in M$ . Then the map  $d : M \rightarrow M$  defined by  $d(x) = D(x, x)$  is called the *trace* of  $D$ . A symmetric bi-additive map is called a *symmetric bi-derivation* if  $D(x\alpha y, z) = D(x, z)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. For a subset  $I$  of  $M$ ,

$$\text{Ann}_l I = \{a \in M \mid a\Gamma I = 0\}$$

is called the *left annihilator* of  $I$ . A *right annihilator*  $\text{Ann}_r I$  can be defined similarly.

We shall need the following well-known and frequently used lemmas:

**Lemma 2.2** [10, Lemma 3.4.5]. *Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Then  $\text{Ann}_r I = \text{Ann}_l I$ .*

Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Then we will denote  $\text{Ann} I = \text{Ann}_r I = \text{Ann}_l I$ .

**Lemma 2.3** [10, Lemma 3.4.6]. *Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Then*

- (i)  $\text{Ann} I$  is an ideal of  $M$ .
- (ii)  $I \cap \text{Ann} I = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.4** [8, Lemma 3]. *Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$  and  $a, b \in M$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a\alpha x\beta b = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$
- (ii)  $b\alpha x\beta a = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$
- (iii)  $a\alpha x\beta b + b\alpha x\beta a = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

*If one of the conditions is fulfilled and  $\text{Ann}_l I = 0$  then  $a\alpha b = 0 = b\alpha a$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Moreover if  $M$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.5** [11, Lemma 3(ii)]. *Let  $M$  be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ , and  $a \in R$ . If  $a\Gamma d(I) = 0$  ( $d(I)\Gamma a = 0$ ), then  $a = 0$  or  $d = 0$ , where  $d$  is a derivation of  $M$ .*

### 3. The results

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. A mapping  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : M \times M \times M \rightarrow M$  is said to be *tri-additive* if it satisfies:

- $D(x + w, y, z) = D(x, y, z) + D(w, y, z),$
- $D(x, y + w, z) = D(x, y, z) + D(x, w, z),$
- $D(x, y, z + w) = D(x, y, z) + D(x, y, w)$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in M$ . A tri-additive mapping  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is said to be *permuting tri-additive* if  $D(x, y, z) = D(x, z, y) = D(y, x, z) = D(y, z, x) = D(z, x, y) = D(z, y, x)$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$ . A mapping  $d : M \rightarrow M$  defined by  $d(x) = D(x, x, x)$  is called the *trace* of  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ , where  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is a permuting tri-additive mapping. It is obvious that if  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is a permuting tri-additive mapping, then the trace of  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies the relation

$$(1) \quad d(x + y) = d(x) + d(y) + 3D(x, x, y) + 3D(x, y, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in M$ . A permuting tri-additive mapping  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is called a *permuting tri-derivation* if  $D(x\alpha w, y, z) = D(x, y, z)\alpha w + x\alpha D(w, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then the relations

$$D(x, y\alpha w, z) = D(x, y, z)\alpha w + y\alpha D(w, y, z)$$

and

$$D(x, y, z\alpha w) = D(x, y, z)\alpha w + z\alpha D(w, y, z)$$

are fulfilled for all  $x, y, z, w \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Let  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be a permuting tri-additive mapping of  $M$  where  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Since

$$D(0, x, y) = D(0 + 0, x, y) = D(0, x, y) + D(0, x, y),$$

we have  $D(0, x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in M$ . Thus

$$0 = D(0, y, z) = D(-x + x, y, z) = D(-x, y, z) + D(x, y, z),$$

and so  $D(-x, y, z) = -D(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$ . Therefore the mapping  $d : M \rightarrow M$  defined by  $d(x) = D(x, x, x)$  is an odd function.

**Example 3.1.** For a commutative ring  $R$ , let

$$M = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c \in R \right\} \text{ and } \Gamma = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \mid \alpha \in R \right\}.$$

It is obvious that  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  are both abelian groups under matrix addition. Now it is easy to show that  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring under matrix multiplication. A map  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : M \times M \times M \rightarrow M$  defined by

$$\left( \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & a_1\alpha a_2\beta a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

is a permuting tri-derivation.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring of characteristic not 2, and 3, 5-torsion free,  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Let  $D_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $D_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be permuting tri-derivations of  $M$  with the traces  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  respectively. Then*

(i) *If  $d_1(I)\Gamma I\Gamma d_2(I) = 0$  then  $d_1(M)\Gamma I\Gamma d_2(M) = 0$ .*

(ii) *If  $\text{Ann}_l I = 0$  and  $d_1(M)\Gamma I\Gamma d_2(M) = 0$  then  $d_1(M)\Gamma M\Gamma d_2(M) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i). Suppose for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$(2) \quad d_1(x)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) = 0.$$

Linearizing (2) implies that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= d_1(x+y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x+y) \\ &= d_1(x)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + d_1(x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) + 3d_1(x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) \\ &\quad + 3d_1(x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) + d_1(y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + d_1(y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ &\quad + 3d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 3d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &\quad + 3D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 3D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ &\quad + 9D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 9D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &\quad + 3D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 3D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ &\quad + 9D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 9D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \end{aligned}$$

and by using (2), we have for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} &d_1(x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) + 3d_1(x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 3d_1(x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) \\ &\quad + d_1(y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 3d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 3d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &\quad + 3D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 3D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ &\quad + 9D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 9D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &\quad + 3D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 3D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ &\quad + 9D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 9D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $-x$  in (4) induces that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} &-d_1(x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) - 3d_1(x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 3d_1(x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &\quad - d_1(y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 3d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) - 3d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &\quad - 3D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 3D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ &\quad + 9D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) - 9D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &\quad + 3D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) - 3D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ &\quad - 9D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 9D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\text{Char}M \neq 2$  and  $M$  is 3-torsion free, it follows from (4) and (5) that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & d_1(x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) + d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ & + 3D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) \\ & + 3D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $2y$  for  $y$  in (6) and using the fact that  $\text{Char}M \neq 2$ , we get

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + D_1(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ & + 3D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $x + y$  for  $x$  in (7) and using (2) and the fact that  $M$  is 5-torsion free, we have

$$(8) \quad d_1(y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) + D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing  $z$  by  $z\beta d_2(y)\alpha' m\beta' D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z$  in (8), we get

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y)\alpha' m\beta' D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ & = -d_1(y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y)\alpha' m\beta' D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \Gamma$  and from (2), we get

$$D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y)\alpha' m\beta' D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \Gamma$ . Since  $M$  is a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, we get

$$(10) \quad D_1(x, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Now writing  $m\gamma z$  by  $z$  in (10), where  $m \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , we get

$$(11) \quad D_1(x, y, y)\alpha m\gamma z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Next replacing  $x$  by  $x\gamma m$  in (10) and using (11), we have

$$(12) \quad x\gamma D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , which implies that

$$D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \in \text{Ann}_r I \text{ and also } D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \in I,$$

and so  $D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \in (AnnI) \cap I = 0$  by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Thus, for all  $y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$(13) \quad D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

Now replacing  $y$  by  $x + y$  in (13), we get

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & D_1(m, x, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) + 3D_1(m, x, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) \\ & + 3D_1(m, x, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) + D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) \\ & + 3D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 3D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ & + 2D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 2D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) \\ & + 6D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 6D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $-x$  for  $x$  in (14) and using the fact that  $CharM \neq 2$ , we get

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & D_1(m, x, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) + 3D_1(m, x, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) \\ & + 3D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 2D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) \\ & + 6D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Now replacing  $y$  by  $x + y$  in (15) and using (13) the fact that  $M$  is 3-torsion free, we obtain

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & 6D_1(m, x, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 3D_1(m, x, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ & + D_1(m, y, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) + 4D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) \\ & + 6D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $-x$  for  $x$  in (16) and using the fact that  $CharM \neq 2$ , we get

$$(17) \quad 3D_1(m, x, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 2D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $z\beta d_2(x)\alpha'm'\beta'D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z$  for  $z$  in (17) and using (13), we get

$$(18) \quad 2D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x)\alpha'm'\beta'D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m, m' \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \Gamma$ . Since  $CharM \neq 2$  and  $M$  is semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, (18) implies that

$$(19) \quad D_1(m, x, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Now writing  $m\gamma z$  by  $z$  in (19), we get

$$(20) \quad D_1(m, x, y)\alpha m\gamma z\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Next replacing  $y$  by  $y\gamma m$  in (19) and using (20), we have

$$(21) \quad y\gamma D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . It follows that

$$D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) \in \text{Ann}_r I \text{ and } D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) \in I.$$

So we get  $D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) \in (\text{Ann}I) \cap I = 0$  by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Thus, for all  $x, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$(22) \quad D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) = 0.$$

Now replacing  $x$  by  $x + y$  in (22), we get

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} & D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) + 3D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) \\ & + 3D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) + D_1(m, m, y)\alpha z\beta d_2(x) \\ & + 3D_1(m, m, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) + 3D_1(m, m, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $-x$  for  $x$  in (23) and using the fact that  $M$  is 3-torsion free, we get

$$(24) \quad D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) + D_1(m, m, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, x, y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $x + y$  for  $x$  in (24) and using (22), we get

$$(25) \quad D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) + 3D_1(m, m, y)\alpha z\beta D_2(x, y, y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $z\beta d_2(y)\alpha' m' \beta' D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z$  for  $z$  in (25) and using (22), we get

$$(26) \quad D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y)\alpha' m' \beta' D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m, m' \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \Gamma$ . Since  $M$  is semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, (26) implies that

$$(27) \quad D_1(m, m, x)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Now writing  $m\gamma z$  by  $z$  in (27), we get

$$(28) \quad D_1(m, m, x)\alpha m\gamma z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Next replacing  $x$  by  $x\gamma m$  in (27) and using (28), we have

$$(29) \quad x\gamma d_1(m)\alpha z\beta d_2(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . It follows that

$$d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(y) \in \text{Ann}_r I \text{ and } d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(y) \in I$$

so that  $d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(y) \in (\text{Ann}I) \cap I = 0$  by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Thus, for all  $y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$(30) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(y) = 0.$$

Writing  $x + y$  for  $y$  in (30) and using the fact that  $M$  is 3-torsion free, we get

$$(31) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(x, x, y) + d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(x, y, y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing  $x$  for  $-x$  in (31) and using the fact that  $\text{Char}M \neq 2$ , we get

$$(32) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(x, x, y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Now writing  $z\gamma n$  by  $z$  in (32), we get

$$(33) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \gamma n \beta D_2(x, x, y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Next replacing  $y$  by  $n\gamma y$  in (32) and using (33), we have

$$(34) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, x, x)\gamma y = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . It follows that

$$d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, x, x) \in \text{Ann}_l I \text{ and } d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, x, x) \in I$$

so that  $d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, x, x) \in (\text{Ann}I) \cap I = 0$  by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Thus, for all  $x, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

$$(35) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, x, x) = 0.$$

Writing  $x + y$  for  $x$  in (35) and using the fact that  $\text{Char}M \neq 2$ , we get

$$(36) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, x, y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Now writing  $z\gamma n$  by  $z$  in (36), we get

$$(37) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \gamma n \beta D_2(n, x, y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Next replacing  $x$  by  $n\gamma x$  in (36) and using (37), we have

$$(38) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, n, y)\gamma x = 0$$



for all  $x, y, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . It follows that

$$d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, n, y) \in \text{Ann}_l I \text{ and } d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, n, y) \in I$$

so that  $d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, n, y) \in (\text{Ann}I) \cap I = 0$  by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Thus, for all  $y, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$(39) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta D_2(n, n, y) = 0.$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $z\gamma n$  in (39), we get

$$(40) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \gamma n \beta D_2(n, n, y) = 0$$

for all  $y, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Next replacing  $y$  by  $n\gamma y$  in (39) and using (40), we get

$$(41) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(n)\gamma y = 0$$

for all  $y, z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . It follows that

$$d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(n) \in \text{Ann}_l I \text{ and } d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(n) \in I$$

so that  $d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(n) \in (\text{Ann}I) \cap I = 0$  by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Thus, for all  $z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(n) = 0.$$

(ii). Suppose that  $\text{Ann}_l I = 0$  and for all  $z \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

$$(42) \quad d_1(m)\alpha z \beta d_2(n) = 0.$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $m'\beta d_2(n)\gamma z\beta'n'\gamma'd_1(m)\alpha m'$  in (42), we get

$$d_1(m)\alpha m'\beta d_2(n)\gamma z\beta'n'\gamma'd_1(m)\alpha m'\beta d_2(n) = 0$$

for all  $z \in I$ ,  $m, n, m', n' \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \beta', \gamma' \in \Gamma$ . Since  $M$  is a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, we have

$$(43) \quad d_1(m)\alpha m'\beta d_2(n)\gamma z = 0$$

for all  $z \in I$ ,  $m, n, m' \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , and so  $d_1(m)\alpha m'\beta d_2(n) \in \text{Ann}_l I = 0$ . Thus we conclude that

$$d_1(m)\alpha m'\beta d_2(n) = 0$$

for all  $m, n, m' \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a 2, 3-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $I$  a non-zero one-sided ideal of  $M$ . Let  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be a permuting tri-derivation with the trace  $d$ . Consider the following conditions:*

- (i)  $d(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$
- (ii)  $D(x, y, z) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$
- (iii)  $D(m, x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $m \in M$
- (iv)  $D(m, n, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $m, n \in M$
- (v)  $D(m, n, r) = 0$  for all  $m, n, r \in M$ .

Then (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Moreover if  $M$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring or  $\text{Ann}_r I = 0$  (or  $\text{Ann}_l I = 0$ ), the above conditions are equivalent.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a right ideal of  $M$  and let  $m, n, r \in M$ ,  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $M$  is 3-torsion free, it follows from (1) that

$$(44) \quad D(x, x, y) + D(x, y, y) = 0.$$

Writing  $y + z$  for  $y$  in (44) and using the fact that  $M$  is 2-torsion free, we know that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Replacing  $z$  by  $z\alpha m$  in (ii) implies that

$$0 = D(x, y, z\alpha m) = D(x, y, z)\alpha m + z\alpha D(m, x, y) = z\alpha D(m, x, y).$$

If  $M$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring then by Lemma 2.5, the above condition shows that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. If  $\text{Ann}_r I = 0$ , then the above condition shows that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Replacing  $y$  by  $y\beta n$  in (iii), we have

$$0 = D(m, x, y\beta n) = D(m, x, y)\beta n + y\beta D(m, n, x) = y\beta D(m, n, x).$$

If  $M$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring then by Lemma 2.5, the above condition shows that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent. If  $\text{Ann}_r I = 0$ , then the above condition shows that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent. Replacing  $x$  by  $x\gamma r$  in (iv), we have

$$0 = D(m, n, x\gamma r) = D(m, n, x)\gamma r + x\gamma D(m, n, r) = x\gamma D(m, n, r).$$

If  $M$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring then by Lemma 2.5, the above condition shows that (iv) and (v) are equivalent. If  $\text{Ann}_r I = 0$ , then the above condition shows that (iv) and (v) are equivalent. Similarly we can prove the result for a left ideal  $I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a 2, 3-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Let  $D_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $D_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be permuting tri-derivations of  $M$  with traces  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  respectively. If  $D_1(d_2(x), x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D_1 = 0$  or  $D_2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $D_1(d_2(x), x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . For any  $x, y \in I$  we have

$$D_1(d_2(x + y), x + y, x + y) + D_1(d_2(-x + y), x + y, x + y) = 0.$$

Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free, it follows that

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} &2D_1(d_2(x), x, y) + D_1(d_2(y), x, x) + 3D_1(D_2(x, x, y), x, x) \\ &+ 3D_1(D_2(x, x, y), y, y) + 6D_1(D_2(x, y, y), x, y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Writing  $x + y$  for  $y$  in (45) and using the fact that  $M$  is 3-torsion free, we get

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} D_1(d_2(x), y, y) + 4D_1(d_2(x), x, y) + 6D_1(D_2(x, x, y), x, x) \\ + 6D_1(D_2(x, x, y), x, y) + 3D_1(D_2(x, y, y), x, x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Writing  $-x$  for  $x$  in (46) and using the fact that  $M$  is 2-torsion free, we get

$$(47) \quad 4D_1(d_2(x), x, y) + 6D_1(D_2(x, x, y), x, x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Replacing  $y$  for  $x\alpha y$  in (47) and using the hypothesis and the fact that  $M$  is 2, 3-torsion free, we get

$$(48) \quad d_2(x)\alpha D_1(x, x, y) + d_1(x)\alpha D_2(x, x, y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $y\beta z$  for  $y$  in (48) implies that

$$(49) \quad d_2(x)\alpha y\beta D_1(x, x, z) + d_1(x)\alpha y\beta D_2(x, x, z) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Writing  $x$  for  $z$  in (49) and using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(50) \quad d_1(x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . In this case, suppose that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are both different from zero. Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in I$  such that  $d_1(x_1) \neq 0$  and  $d_2(x_2) \neq 0$ . In particular,  $d_1(x_1)\alpha y\beta d_2(x_1) = 0$  for all  $y \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $d_1(x_1) \neq 0$  and  $M$  is prime  $\Gamma$ -ring we have  $d_2(x_1) = 0$ . Similarly, we get  $d_1(x_2) = 0$ . Then the relation (49) reduces to the equation  $d_1(x_1)\alpha y\beta D_2(x_1, x_1, z) = 0$  for all  $y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Using this relation and Lemma 2.5 we obtain that  $D_2(x_1, x_1, z) = 0$  for all  $z \in I$  because of  $d_1(x_1) \neq 0$  (the mapping  $z \rightarrow D_2(x_1, x_1, z)$  is a derivation). Thus, we have  $D_2(x_1, x_1, z) = 0$ . In the same way, we get  $D_1(x_1, x_1, z) = 0$ . Substituting  $x_1 + x_2$  for  $z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(z) &= d_1(x_1 + x_2) \\ &= d_1(x_1) + d_1(x_2) + 3D_1(x_1, x_1, x_2) + 3D_1(x_1, x_2, x_2) \\ &= d_1(x_1) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(z) &= d_2(x_1 + x_2) \\ &= d_2(x_1) + d_2(x_2) + 3D_2(x_1, x_1, x_2) + 3D_2(x_1, x_2, x_2) \\ &= d_2(x_2) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $d_1(z) \neq 0$  and  $d_2(z) \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Hence, we get  $d_1(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  or  $d_2(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Thus  $D_1 = 0$  or  $D_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring of characteristic not 2 and 3, 5-torsion free,  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Let  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be a permuting tri-derivation of  $M$  and  $d$  be the trace of  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  such that  $d(I) \subset I$ . If  $\text{Ann}_1 I = 0$  and  $D(d(x), x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $D_1 = D_2 = D$  in Theorem 3.4. By (50) we get  $d(x)\alpha y\beta d(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since  $M$  is a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $d(m) = 0$  for all  $m \in M$  so from Lemma 3.3 that  $D = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $M$  be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring of characteristic not 2 and 3, 5-torsion free,  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Let  $D_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $D_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be permuting tri-derivations of  $M$  and let  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  be traces of  $D_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $D_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ , respectively, such that  $d_2(I) \subset I$ . If  $\text{Ann}_1 I = 0$  and  $D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D_1 = 0$  or  $D_2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in I$ , we have

$$D_1(d_2(x+y), d_2(x+y), x+y) + D_1(d_2(-x+y), d_2(-x+y), -x+y) = 0.$$

Since  $\text{Char} M \neq 2$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (51) \quad & 2D_1(d_2(y), d_2(x), x) + 6D_1(D_2(x, x, y), d_2(x), x) \\ & + 6D_1(D_2(x, y, y), d_2(y), x) + 18D_1(D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, y, y), x) \\ & + D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), y) + 6D_1(D_2(x, y, y), d_2(x), y) \\ & + 6D_1(D_2(x, x, y), d_2(y), y) + 9D_1(D_2(x, y, y), D_2(x, y, y), y) \\ & + 9D_1(D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, x, y), y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Writing  $2x$  for  $x$  in (51) and using the fact that  $\text{Char} M \neq 2$  and  $M$  is 3-torsion free, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (52) \quad & 2D_1(d_2(y), d_2(x), x) + 30D_1(D_2(x, x, y), d_2(x), x) \\ & + 18D_1(D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, y, y), x) + 5D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), y) \\ & + 6D_1(D_2(x, y, y), d_2(x), y) + 9D_1(D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, x, y), y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Writing  $2x$  for  $x$  in (52) and using the fact that  $\text{Char} M \neq 2$  and  $M$  is 3, 5-torsion free, we get

$$(53) \quad 6D_1(D_2(x, x, y), d_2(x), x) + D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Replacing  $y$  for  $y\beta x$  in (53) implies that

$$(54) \quad D_2(x, x, y)\beta D_1(d_2(x), x, x) + D_1(d_2(x), x, y)\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing  $y$  for  $x\alpha y$  in (54) induces

$$(55) \quad d_2(x)\alpha y\beta D_1(d_2(x), x, x) + D_1(d_2(x), x, x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . We now show that  $D_1(d_2(x), x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Assume that there exists  $x_1 \in I$  such that  $D_1(d_2(x_1), x_1, x_1) \neq 0$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x_1$  in (55), then  $d_2(x_1) = 0$  by Lemma 2.4. Therefore  $D_1(d_2(x_1), x_1, x_1) = D_1(0, x_1, x_1) = 0$ , a contradiction. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that  $D_1 = 0$  or  $D_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring of characteristic not 2 and 3, 5-torsion free,  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Let  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be a permuting tri-derivation of  $M$ ,  $d$  the trace of  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  such that  $d(I) \subset I$ . If  $Ann_l I = 0$  and  $D(d(x), d(x), x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Replacing  $D_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $D_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  by  $D(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  in (54) implies that

$$(56) \quad D(x, x, y)\beta D(d(x), x, x) + D(d(x), x, y)\beta d(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing  $y$  for  $y\alpha z$  in (56), then

$$(57) \quad D(x, x, y)\alpha z\beta D(d(x), x, x) + D(d(x), x, y)\alpha z\beta d(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $d(x)$  in (57) induces

$$D(d(x), x, x)\alpha z\beta D(d(x), x, x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Thus, since  $M$  is a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, we have  $D = 0$  by Corollary 3.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring of characteristic not 2, 3 and 5, 7-torsion free,  $I$  a non-zero ideal of  $M$ . Let  $D_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $D_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be permuting tri-derivations of  $M$ , and  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  traces of  $D_1(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $D_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ , respectively, such that  $d_2(I) \subset I$ . If  $d_1(d_2(x)) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $D_1 = 0$  or  $D_2 = 0$ , where a permuting tri-additive mapping  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : M \times M \times M \rightarrow M$  and  $f$  is the trace of  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in I$ , we have

$$d_1(d_2(x + y)) + d_1(d_2(-x + y)) = f(x + y) + f(-x + y).$$

Using the hypothesis and  $Char M \neq 2, 3$ , we have

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} &D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), d_2(y)) + 27D_1(D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, y, y), D_2(x, y, y)) \\ &+ 9d_1(D_2(x, x, y)) + 3D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), D_2(x, x, y)) \\ &+ 6D_1(d_2(x), d_2(y), D_2(x, y, y)) + 3D_1(d_2(y), d_2(y), D_2(x, x, y)) \\ &+ 18D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, y, y)) \\ &+ 9D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, y, y), D_2(x, y, y)) \\ &+ 9D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, x, y)) = F(x, x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Writing  $2x$  for  $x$  in (58) and using the fact that  $CharM \neq 2, 3$ , we get

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} &5D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), d_2(y)) + 27D_1(D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, y, y), D_2(x, y, y)) \\ &+ 45d_1(D_2(x, x, y)) + 63D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), D_2(x, x, y)) \\ &+ 6D_1(d_2(x), d_2(y), D_2(x, y, y)) \\ &+ 18D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, y, y)) \\ &+ 9D_1(d_2(y), D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, x, y)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Writing  $2x$  for  $x$  in (59) and using the fact that  $CharM \neq 2, 3$ , we get

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} &5D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), d_2(y)) + 315D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), D_2(x, x, y)) \\ &+ 45d_1(D_2(x, x, y)) + 18D_1(d_2(x), D_2(x, x, y), D_2(x, y, y)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Writing  $2x$  for  $x$  in (60) and using the fact that  $CharM \neq 2, 3$  and  $M$  is 5, 7-torsion free, we get

$$(61) \quad D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), D_2(x, x, y)) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Replacing  $y$  for  $y\beta z$  in (61) implies

$$(62) \quad D_2(x, x, y)\beta D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), z) + D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), y)\beta D_2(x, x, z) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing  $y$  for  $x\alpha y$  in (62), then

$$(63) \quad d_2(x)\alpha y\beta D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), z) + D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), x)\alpha y\beta D_2(x, x, z) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replacing  $z$  for  $x$  in (63) and using Lemma 2.4, we get

$$(64) \quad D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), x)\alpha y\beta d_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Suppose that  $D_1(d_2(x_1), d_2(x_1), x_1) \neq 0$  for some  $x_1 \in I$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x_1$  in (64), then  $d_2(x_1) = 0$  since  $M$  is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring. Therefore  $D_1(d_2(x_1), d_2(x_1), x_1) = D_1(0, 0, x_1) = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $D_1(d_2(x), d_2(x), x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , and so  $D_1 = 0$  or  $D_2 = 0$  by Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

## References

- [1] W. E. Barnes, *On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math., **18(3)**(1966), 411-422.
- [2] S. Kyuno, *On prime gamma rings*, Pacific J. Math., **75(1)**(1978), 185-190.
- [3] N. Nobusawa, *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math., **1** (1964), 81-89.

- [4] M. A. Öztürk and M. Sapanci, *Orthogonal symmetric bi-derivation on semi-prime gamma rings*, Hacettepe Bul. of Sci. and Engineering, Series B, **26**(1997), 31-46.
- [5] M. A. Öztürk, M. Sapanci and Y. B. Jun, *Symmetric bi-derivation on prime rings*, East Asian Math. J., **15**(1)(1999), 105-109.
- [6] M. A. Öztürk and M. Sapanci, *On generalized symmetric bi-derivations in prime rings*, East Asian Math. J., **15**(2)(1999), 165-176.
- [7] M. A. Öztürk, *Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semi-prime rings*, East Asian Math. J., **15**(2)(1999), 177-190.
- [8] M. A. Öztürk, M. Sapanci, M. Soytürk and K. H. Kim, *Symmetric bi-derivation on prime gamma rings*, Sci. Math., **3**(2)(2000), 273-281.
- [9] M. A. Öztürk, Y. B. Jun and K. H. Kim, *Orthogonal traces on semi-prime gamma rings*, Sci. Math. Jpn., **53**(3)(2001), 491-501; e4, 432-429.
- [10] M. Soytürk, *Some generalizations in prime ring with derivation*, Ph. D. Thesis, Cum. Univ. Graduate School of Natural and Applied Scien. Dept. Math. (1994).
- [11] M. Soytürk, *The commutative in prime gamma rings with derivations*, Turkish J. Math., **18**(1994), 149-155.