KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 46(2006), 433-436

Remarks on "Representable Difference Algebras"

SUN SHIN AHN

Department of Mathematics Education, Dongguk University, Seoul 100-715, Korea e-mail: sunshine@dongguk.edu

KYOUNG JA LEE School of General Education, Kookmin University, Seoul 136-702, Korea e-mail: lsj1109@kookmin.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. In this note we show that a representable difference algebra is equivalent to a commutative BCK-algebra.

1. Preliminaries

I. Chajda and P. Emanovský ([1]) introduced the notion of representable difference algebras which was a particular case of difference algebra introduced formerly by J. Meng. Such an algebra can be represented as a suitable meet-semilattice with 0 where every interval [0, x] has an antitone involution. The converse was true under certain conditions investigated in ([1]).

The concept of a difference algebra was introduced by J. Meng ([2]):

Definition 1.1 ([2]). An algebraic structure $(X; *, \leq, 0)$ with a binary operation *, a nullary operation 0 and a binary relation \leq is called a *difference algebra* if it satisfies the axioms:

(D1) (D, \leq) is a poset;

- (D2) $x \le y$ implies $x * z \le y * z$;
- (D3) $(x * y) * z \le (x * z) * y;$
- (D4) $0 \le x * x;$
- (D3) $x \le y$ if and only if $x * y \le 0$,

for any $x, y, z \in X$.

As it was pointed out in [2] and [4], difference algebra are important and very useful in certain algebraic considerations. A lot of examples of these algebras were exposed in [4]. Unfortunately, only rather work structural properties can be proved for difference algebras. The reason is that the structure of a poset cannot get a

Received May 16, 2005.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G25, 06A06, 06F35.

Key words and phrases: (representable) difference algebra, commutative BCK-algebra.

reach enough structure. To improve this situation, I. Chajda and P. Emanovský ([1]) introduced the notion of a representable difference algebra. In this note we show that a representable difference algebra is equivalent to a commutative *BCK*-algebra.

Definition 1.2 ([1]). By a representable difference algebra we mean an algebra (X; *, 0) of type (2, 0) satisfying the following identities: for any $x, y, z \in X$,

- (1) x * 0 = x, x * x = 0, 0 * x = 0;
- (2) x * (x * y) = y * (y * x);
- (3) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y.

In a representable difference algebra, the following are true (see [1]): for any $x, y, z \in X$,

- (d1) (x * y) * x = 0;
- (d2) y * (y * (y * x)) = y * x;
- (d3) $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0;
- (d4) $x \le y$ implies $x * z \le y * z$ and $z * y \le z * x$.

Theorem 1.3 ([1]). Every representable difference algebra is a difference algebra. The converse of Theorem 1.3 need not be true.

Example 1.4 ([4]). Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	3	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	3	0	0

Then $(X; *, \leq, 0)$ is a difference algebra, but not a representable difference algebra since $1 * (1 * 3) = 1 * 2 = 3 \neq 0 = 3 * 3 = 3 * (3 * 1)$.

Example 1.5. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	3
1	1	0	0	3
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	3	0

434

Then $(X; *, \leq, 0)$ is a difference algebra, but not a representable difference algebra since $2 * (2 * 3) = 2 * 3 = 3 \neq 0 = 3 * 3 = 3 * (3 * 2)$.

2. Main results

By a *BCI-algebra* ([3]) we mean an algebra (X; *, 0) of type (2, 0) satisfying the following axioms, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (i) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0;
- (ii) (x * (x * y)) * y = 0;
- (iii) x * x = 0;
- (iv) x * y = 0 and y * x = 0 imply x = y.

A *BCK-algebra* is a *BCI*-algebra satisfying the axiom: (v) 0 * x = 0 for all $x \in X$.

We can define a partial ordering " \leq " on X by $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0. In any *BCI*-algebra X, we have:

- (b1) x * 0 = x,
- (b2) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y,
- (b3) $x \leq y$ implies $z * z \leq y * z$ and $z * y \leq z * x$,
- (b4) $(x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y$

for any $x, y, z \in X$.

A BCK-algebra (X; *, 0) is said to be commutative ([3]) if for all $x, y \in X$, x * (x * y) = y * (y * x). H. Yutani ([5]) obtained equivalent simple axioms for an algebra (X; *, 0) to be a commutative BCK-algebra.

Theorem 2.1 ([5]). An algebra (X; *, 0) is a commutative BCK-algebra if and only if it satisfies the following:

- (a) x * (x * y) = y * (y * x);
- (b) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y;
- (c) x * x = 0;
- (d) x * 0 = x

for any $x, y, z \in X$.

Proposition 2.2. The axiom 0 * x = 0 in a representable difference algebra is superfluous.

Proof. By (2) and (3) we obtain, for any $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(x * y) * (x * z) = (x * (x * z)) * y = (z * (z * x)) * y = (z * y) * (z * x).$$

S. S. Ahn and K. J. Lee

Hence

(*)
$$(x * y) * (x * z) = (z * y) * (z * x).$$

In the above equality (*) if we let x = y and z = 0, then by x * x = 0, we obtain

$$0 * x = (x * x) * (x * 0) = (0 * x) * (0 * x) = 0$$

Using this concept and comparing the axiom system of representable difference algebra, we summarize :

Theorem 2.3. An algebra (X; *, 0) is a commutative BCK-algebra if and only if it is a representable difference algebra.

Corollary 2.4. If an algebra (X; *.0) is a commutative BCK-algebra, then it is a difference algebra.

Proof. It can be easily obtained by Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 2.3.

The converse of Corollary 2.4 need not be true.

Example 2.5. Let (X; *, 0) be a non-commutative *BCK*-algebra and let \leq be a *BCK*-order on X. Then $(X; *, \leq, 0)$ is a difference algebra, but not a commutative *BCK*-algebra.

Example 2.6. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ as in Example 1.5. Then $(X; *, \leq, 0)$ is a difference algebra but not a commutative *BCK*-algebra, since $2 * (2 * 3) = 2 * 3 = 3 \neq 0 = 3 * 3 = 3 * (3 * 2)$.

References

- I. Chajda and P. Emaonvský, Representable difference algebras, Kyungpook Math. J., 44(2004), 335-342.
- [2] J. Meng, Difference algebras Selected Papers on BCK- and BCI-algebras, 1(1992), 33-39.
- [3] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, BCK-algrbras, Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, 1994.
- [4] E. H. Roh, S. Y. Kim, Y. B. Jun and W. H. Shim, On difference algebras, Kyungpook Math. J., 43(2003), 407-414.
- [5] H. Yutani, On a system of axioms of a commutative BCK-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 5(1977), 255-256.

436