

## On an Extension of Hardy-Hilbert's Inequality

BICHENG YANG

*Department of Mathematics, Guangdong Institute of Education, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China*

*e-mail : bcyang@pub.guangzhou.gd.cn*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, by introducing three parameters  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\lambda$ , and estimating the weight coefficient, we give a new extension of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with a best constant factor, involving the Beta function. As applications, we consider its equivalent inequality.

### 1. Introduction

If  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , such that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$ , then the famous Hardy-Hilbert's inequality is given by

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q},$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$  is the best possible. Its equivalent inequality is

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m+n} \right)^p < \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \right]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p,$$

where the constant factor  $\left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \right]^p$  is still the best possible (see [1]).

Inequality (1) and (2) are important in analysis and its applications (see [2]). In recent years, (1) had been strengthened by [3], [4] as

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} - \frac{1-\gamma}{n^{1/p}} \right] a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{q})} - \frac{1-\gamma}{n^{1/q}} \right] b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where  $1 - \gamma = 0.42278433^+$  ( $\gamma = 0.57721566^+$  is Euler constant).

By introducing three parameters  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\lambda$ , Yang et al. [5] gave a generalization of (1) as

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} < \frac{B(\varphi_\lambda(p), \varphi_\lambda(q))}{A^{\varphi_\lambda(p)} B^{\varphi_\lambda(q)}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

Received April 4, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15.

Key words and phrases: Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, weight coefficient, Beta function, Hölder's inequality.

where the constant factor  $\frac{B(\varphi_\lambda(p), \varphi_\lambda(q))}{A^{\varphi_\lambda(p)} B^{\varphi_\lambda(q)}}$  ( $\varphi_\lambda(r) = \frac{r+\lambda-2}{r}$ ,  $\lambda > 2 - r$ ,  $r = p, q$ ) is still the best possible ( $B(u, v)$  is the Beta function). For  $A = B = 1$ , inequality (4) reduces to

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < B\left(\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}, \frac{q+\lambda-2}{q}\right) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Both (4) and (5) are generalizations of (1).

The main objective of this paper is to estimate the following weight coefficient:

$$(6) \quad \omega_\lambda(A, B, p, m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} (Am)^{(1-\lambda/q)(p-1)}}{(Am+Bn)^\lambda (Bn)^{1-\lambda/p}} \quad (A, B > 0, 0 < \lambda \leq p, m \in N)$$

and then to obtain a new inequality related to the double series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(Am+Bn)^\lambda}$$

with a best constant factor, but different from (4).

We need some lemmas and the following formula of the Beta function (see [6]):

$$(7) \quad B(u, v) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{-1+u}}{(1+t)^{u+v}} dt = B(v, u) \quad (u, v > 0).$$

**2. Some lemmas**

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq p$  and  $A, B > 0$ ,  $\omega_\lambda(A, B, p, m)$  is defined by (6), then for any  $m \in N$ , we have*

$$(8) \quad \omega_\lambda(A, B, p, m) < \frac{1}{A^{(\lambda-1)(p-1)} B} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right).$$

*Proof.* Since  $0 < \lambda \leq p$  and  $A, B > 0$ , we have

$$\omega_\lambda(A, B, p, m) < m^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} (Am)^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{q})(p-1)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(Am+By)^\lambda (By)^{1-\lambda/p}} dy.$$

Putting  $u = (By)/(Am)$  in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\omega_\lambda(A, B, p, m) < \frac{1}{A^{(\lambda-1)(p-1)} B} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{-1+\lambda/p}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du.$$

Hence by (7), we have (8). The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Note.** If  $0 < \lambda \leq q$ , by (8) and (7), for any  $n \in N$ , we also have

$$(9) \quad \omega_\lambda(B, A, q, n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)}(Bn)^{(1-\lambda/p)(q-1)}}{(Bn + Am)^\lambda (Am)^{1-\lambda/q}} < \frac{1}{B^{(\lambda-1)(q-1)}A} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda(q - 1)$ , then we have*

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} m^{\lambda-1-\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{p}} n^{\lambda-1-\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{q}} \\ &> A^{-\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}} B^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}, \frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{q}\right) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have  $\lambda - 1 - \frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{r} < 0$  ( $r = p, q$ ) and  $\frac{\lambda}{p} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} > 0$ . Hence we find

$$I > \int_1^\infty x^{\lambda-1-\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(Ax + By)^\lambda} y^{\lambda-1-\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{q}} dy \right] dx.$$

Setting  $u = (By)/(Ax)$  in the above integral, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &> A^{-\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}} B^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_{B/(Ax)}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} u^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} du \right] dx \\ &= A^{-\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}} B^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left\{ \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} u^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} du \right] dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_0^{B/(Ax)} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} u^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} du \right] dx \right\} \\ &> A^{-\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}} B^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du - \int_1^\infty x^{-1} \left[ \int_0^{B/(Ax)} u^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} du \right] dx \right\} \\ &= A^{-\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}} B^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{-1+(\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q})}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du - \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (7), we have (10). The lemma is proved.  $\square$

### 3. Main results and applications

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ , such that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q < \infty$ , then for*

$A, B > 0$ , we have

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} < \frac{B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})}{A^{\lambda/q} B^{\lambda/p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where the constant factor  $B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})/(A^{\lambda/q} B^{\lambda/p})$  is the best possible. In particular, for  $A = B = 1$ , we have

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality and in view of (6) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{a_m}{(Am + Bn)^{\lambda/p}} \cdot \frac{(Am)^{(q-\lambda)/q^2}}{(Bn)^{(p-\lambda)/p^2}} \right] \left[ \frac{b_m}{(Am + Bn)^{\lambda/q}} \cdot \frac{(Bn)^{(p-\lambda)/p^2}}{(Am)^{(q-\lambda)/q^2}} \right] \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m^p}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} \frac{(Am)^{(q-\lambda)p/q^2}}{(Bn)^{(p-\lambda)/p}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_m^q}{(Bn + Am)^\lambda} \frac{(Bn)^{(p-\lambda)q/p^2}}{(Am)^{(q-\lambda)/q}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \omega_\lambda(A, B, p, m) m^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_\lambda(B, A, q, n) n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (8) and (9), we have (11).

For  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda(q-1)$ , setting  $\tilde{a}_m$  and  $\tilde{b}_n$  as

$$\tilde{a}_m = m^{\lambda-1-\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{p}}, \tilde{b}_n = n^{\lambda-1-\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon}{q}} \quad (m, n \in N),$$

then we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} J &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} \tilde{a}_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} \tilde{b}_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} < 1 + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{1+\varepsilon}} dt = 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists  $A, B > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ , such that the constant factor  $B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})/(A^{\lambda/q} B^{\lambda/p})$  in (11) is not the best possible, then there exists a

positive number  $K < B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})/(A^{\lambda/q}B^{\lambda/p})$ , such that (11) is valid if we replace  $B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})/(A^{\lambda/q}B^{\lambda/p})$  by  $K$ . In particular, we have

$$\varepsilon I = \varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} < \varepsilon K J.$$

Hence by (10) and (13), we find

$$A^{-\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}} B^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \left\{ B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}, \frac{\lambda + \varepsilon}{q}\right) - \varepsilon \left(\frac{\lambda}{p} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} \right\} < K(1 + \varepsilon).$$

Setting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  in the above inequality, we conclude that  $B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})/(A^{\lambda/q}B^{\lambda/p}) \leq K$ . This contradicts the fact that  $K < B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})/(A^{\lambda/q}B^{\lambda/p})$ . Thus the constant factor  $B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})/(A^{\lambda/q}B^{\lambda/p})$  in (11) is the best possible. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $a \geq 0, p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ , such that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p < \infty$ , then for  $A, B > 0$ , we have*

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} \right]^p < \frac{[B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})]^p}{A^{\lambda(p-1)} B^\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p,$$

where the constant factor  $[B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})]^p/(A^{\lambda(p-1)}B^\lambda)$  is the best possible; Inequality (14) is equivalent to (11). In particular, for  $A = B = 1$ , we have

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m + n)^\lambda} \right]^p < [B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p.$$

*Proof.* Since  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p < \infty$ , then there exists  $k_0 \in N$ , such that for any  $k > k_0$ , that makes  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^k n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p < \infty$ . We set  $b_n(k) = n^{\lambda-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{a_m}{(Am+Bn)^\lambda} \right]^{p-1}$ , and use (11) to obtain

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &< \sum_{n=1}^k n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q(k) = \sum_{n=1}^k n^{\lambda-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{a_m}{(m+n)^\lambda} \right]^p \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{a_m b_n(k)}{(Am+Bn)^\lambda} \\ &< \frac{B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})}{A^{\lambda/q} B^{\lambda/p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^k n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^k n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we find

$$(17) \quad \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^k n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q(k) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \frac{B(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q})}{A^{\lambda/q} B^{\lambda/p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^k n^{(1-\lambda)(p-1)} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It follows that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q(\infty) < \infty$ . Hence, (16) keeps strict inequality as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by (11); so dose (17). Thus inequality (14) holds.

We prove that (11) implies (14). We need show that (14) implies (11). By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ n^{(\lambda-1)/p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} \right] \left[ n^{(1-\lambda)/p} b_n \right] \\
 &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1-\lambda)(q-1)} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (14), we have (11). It follows that inequality (14) is equivalent to (11).

If the constant factor in (14) is not the best possible, we may get a contradiction that the constant factor in (11) is not the best possible by (18). The theorem is proved.  $\square$

If  $\lambda = p \leq q$ , we find that

$$\frac{B\left(\frac{p}{p}, \frac{p}{q}\right)}{A^{p/q} B^{p/p}} = \frac{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(p-1)}{\Gamma(p)A^{p-1}B} = \frac{1}{(p-1)A^{p-1}B},$$

and in view of (11) and (14), we have

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$ ,  $1 < p \leq q$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , such that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(p-1)^2} a_n^p < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} b_n^q < \infty$ , then for  $A, B > 0$ , we have the following two equivalent inequalities:*

$$(19) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(Am + Bn)^p} < \frac{1}{(p-1)A^{p-1}B} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(p-1)^2} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}};$$

$$(20) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(Am + Bn)^p} \right]^p < \left[ \frac{1}{(p-1)A^{p-1}B} \right]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(p-1)^2} a_n^p,$$

where both of the constant factors in (19) and (20) are the best possible. In particular, for  $A = B = 1$ , we have

$$(21) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^p} < \frac{1}{(p-1)} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(p-1)^2} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}};$$

$$(22) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m+n)^p} \right]^p < \frac{1}{(p-1)^p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(p-1)^2} a_n^p.$$

**Remark 3.4.** (i). For  $\lambda = 1$  and  $A, B > 0$ , both (4) and (11) reduce to

$$(23) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{Am + Bn} < \frac{\pi}{A^{1/q} B^{1/p} \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and for  $p = q = 2$  and  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ , both (4) and (11) reduce to

$$(24) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(Am + Bn)^\lambda} < \frac{B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})}{(AB)^{\lambda/2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} a_n^2 \right\} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} b_n^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is just (3.17) in [5] and similar to (3.5) in [7] for  $C = A + B$ . Inequalities (4) and (11) are two distinct extensions of (1) with distinct best constant factors; so are (5) and (12).

(ii). Inequality (4) is a new extension of (2). Inequality (22) is only dependent on  $p > 1$ , which is not an extension of (2).

(iii). Since all the extended inequalities are with the best constant factors, we give some new results.

## References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [2] D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pecaric and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integral and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic, Boston, 1991.
- [3] B. Yang and M. Gao, *On a best value of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality*, *Advances in Math.*, **26**(1997), 159-164.
- [4] M. Gao and B. Yang, *On the extended Hilbert's inequality*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**(1998), 751-759.
- [5] B. Yang and L. Debnath, *On the extended Hardy-Hilbert's inequality*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **272**(2002), 187-199.
- [6] Z. Wang and D. Guo, *An Introduction to Special Functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1979.
- [7] B. Yang, *On a generalization of Hilbert's double series*, *Math. Ineq. Appl.*, **5**(2002), 197-204.