KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 46(2006), 463-476

Structure Eigenvectors of the Ricci Tensor in a Real Hypersurface of a Complex Projective Space

Chunji Li

Institute of System Science, College of Sciences, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110-004, P. R. China e-mail: chunjili@hanmail.net

U-HANG KI Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, 702-701, Korea e-mail: uhangki2005@yahoo.co.kr

ABSTRACT. It is known that there are no real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor in a nonflat complex space form ([6], [9]). In this paper we investigate real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space $P_n\mathbb{C}$ using some conditions of the Ricci tensor S which are weaker than $\nabla S = 0$. We characterize Hopf hypersurfaces of $P_n\mathbb{C}$.

0. Introduction

An *n*-dimensional complex space form $M_n(c)$ is a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c.

As is well known, complete and simply connected complex space forms are isometric to a complex projective space $P_n\mathbb{C}$, a complex Euclidean space \mathbb{C}_n or a complex hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{C}$ according as c > 0, c = 0 or c < 0.

Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$. Then M has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) induced from the complex structure J and the Kaehlerian metric of $M_n(c)$ (for details see section 1). The structure vector field ξ is said to be *principal* if $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ is satisfied, where A is the shape operator of M and $\alpha = \eta (A\xi)$. A real hypersurface is said to be a *Hopf hypersurface* if the structure vector field ξ of M is principal.

Tagaki ([16], [17]) classified all homogeneous real hypersurfaces of $P_n\mathbb{C}$ as six model spaces which are said to be A₁, A₂, B, C, D and E, and Cecil-Ryan ([2]) and Kimura ([11]) proved that they are realized as the tubes of constant radius over the Kaehlerian submanifolds. Namely, he proved the following:

Theorem T ([16]). Let M be a homogeneous real hypersurface of $P_n\mathbb{C}$. Then M

Received March 10, 2005, and, in revised form, February 22, 2006.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C40, 53C15.

Key words and phrases: real hypersurface, structure vector field, Ricci tensor, Hopf hypersurface.

is a tube of radius r over one of the following Kaehlerian submanifolds:

- (A₁) a hyperplane $P_{n-1}\mathbb{C}$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (A₂) a totally geodesic $P_k \mathbb{C}$ ($1 \le k \le n-2$), where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (B) a complex quadric Q_{n-1} , where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$,
- (C) $P_1 \mathbb{C} \times P_{(n-1)/2} \mathbb{C}$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $n \geq 5$ is odd,
- (D) a complex Grassmann $G_{2,5}\mathbb{C}$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and n = 9,
- (E) a Hermitian symmetric space SO(10)/U(5), where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and n = 15.

Also Berndt([1]) classified all Hopf real hypersurfaces of $H_n\mathbb{C}$ with constant principal curvatures as follows:

Theorem B ([1]). Let M be a real hypersurface of $H_n\mathbb{C}$. Then M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is principal if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following:

- (A_0) a self-tube, that is, a horosphere,
- (A₁) a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over a hyperplane $H_{n-1}\mathbb{C}$,
- (A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic $H_k \mathbb{C}(1 \le k \le k-2)$,
- (B) a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space $H_n\mathbb{R}$.

We denote by ∇ and S be the Levi-Civita connection and the Ricci tensor of M. There are many studies about Ricci tensors of real hypersurfaces ([3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [12], [15], etc.). Very important fact is that there are no real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, $c \neq 0$ ([6], [9], [10]). So it is natural to investigate real hypersurfaces M by using some conditions about derivative of S which are weaker than $\nabla S = 0$. For each Hopf hypersurface M in a nonflat complex space form, the structure vector field ξ is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor S of M, and the scalar $g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi, \nabla_{\xi}\xi)$ vanishes identically on M. So it is natural to consider a problem that if $S\xi = g(S\xi, \xi)\xi$ holds or $g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi, \nabla_{\xi}\xi) = \text{const.}$, is M a Hopf hypersurface? Nagai and one of the present authors ([8]) proved the following which gives a partial answer to this problem:

Theorem KN ([8]). Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex projective space $P_n\mathbb{C}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is a Hopf hypersurface in the ambient space $P_n\mathbb{C}$.
- (2) The structure vector ξ is an eigenvector with constant eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor S of M and $\nabla_{\phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi} S = 0$ holds.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the following:

Theorem. Let M be a real hypersurface of $P_n\mathbb{C}$. The the following are equivalent:

(1) M is a Hopf hypersurface in $P_n\mathbb{C}$.

(2)
$$S\xi = g(S\xi,\xi)\xi$$
 and $\nabla_{\phi\nabla_{\xi}\xi}S = 0$ hold, and $g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi,\nabla_{\xi}\xi)$ is constant on M .

1. Preliminaries

Let M be a real hypersurface immersed in a complex space form $(M_n(c), G)$ with almost complex structure J and the Kaehler metric G of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, and let N be a unit normal vector field on M. The Riemannian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in $M_n(c)$ and ∇ in M are related by the following formulas for any vector fields X and Y on M:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Y X = \nabla_Y X + g(AY, X) N, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X N = -AX,$$

where g denotes the Riemannian metric on M induced from that G of $M_n(c)$ and A is the shape operator in the direction of N in $M_n(c)$. For any vector field X tangent to M, we put

$$JX = \phi X + \eta (X) N, \quad JN = -\xi.$$

Then we may see that the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact metric structure on M, namely, we have

$$\begin{split} \phi^2 X &= -X + \eta \left(X \right) \xi, \quad g \left(\phi X, \phi Y \right) = g(X,Y) - \eta \left(X \right) \eta \left(Y \right), \\ \eta \left(\xi \right) &= 1, \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta \left(X \right) = g \left(X, \xi \right), \end{split}$$

for any vector fields X and Y on M.

From the fact $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$ and above equations we verify that

(1.1)
$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = \eta(Y) A X - g(A X, Y) \xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi A X.$$

Since the ambient manifold is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, we have the following Gauss and Codazzi equations respectively:

(1.2)
$$R(X,Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y -2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z\} + g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY,$$

(1.3)
$$(\nabla_X A) Y - (\nabla_Y A) X = \frac{c}{4} \{ \eta (X) \phi Y - \eta (Y) \phi X - 2g (\phi X, Y) \xi \}$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on M, where R denotes Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of M. We shall denote the Ricci tensor of type (1.1) by S. Then it follows from (1.2) that

(1.4)
$$SX = \frac{c}{4} \{ (2n+1)X - 3\eta(X)\xi \} + hAX - A^2X,$$

where h = trace A. Further, using (1.1), we obtain

(1.5)
$$(\nabla_X S) Y = -\frac{3}{4} c \{ g(\phi AX, Y) \xi + \eta (Y) \phi AX \} + (Xh) AY + (hI - A) (\nabla_X A) Y - (\nabla_X A) AY,$$

where I is the identity map.

In what follows, to write our formulas in convention forms, we denote by $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$, $\beta = \eta(A^2\xi)$, and for a function f we denote by ∇f the gradient vector field of f.

We put $U = \nabla_{\xi} \xi$, then U is orthogonal to the structure vector field ξ . Thus it is, using (1.1), seen that

(1.6)
$$\phi U = -A\xi + \alpha\xi,$$

which enables us to obtain $g(U, U) = \beta - \alpha^2$. Thus we easily see that ξ is a principal curvature vector, that is, $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ if and only if $\beta - \alpha^2 = 0$.

Now differentiating (1.6) covariantly along M and using (1.1), we find

(1.7)
$$\eta(X) g(AU + \nabla \alpha, Y) + g(\phi X, \nabla_Y U) \\ = g((\nabla_Y A) X, \xi) - g(A\phi AX, Y) + \alpha g(A\phi X, Y),$$

which shows that

(1.8)
$$(\nabla_{\xi} A) \xi = 2AU + \nabla \alpha,$$

because of (1.3). From (1.7) we also have

(1.9)
$$\nabla_{\xi} U = 3\phi A U + \alpha A \xi - \beta \xi + \phi \nabla \alpha,$$

where we have used (1.1).

If $\beta - \alpha^2 \neq 0$, then we can put

(1.10)
$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \mu W,$$

where W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . Then by (1.1) we see that $U = \mu \phi W$ and hence $g(U,U) = \mu^2$, and W is also orthogonal to U. Thus, we see, making use of (1.1) and (1.10), that

(1.11)
$$\mu g\left(\nabla_X W, \xi\right) = g\left(AU, X\right),$$

(1.12)
$$g(\nabla_X \xi, U) = \mu g(AW, X).$$

2. Structure eigenvectors of the Ricci tensor

Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Now, suppose that the structure vector ξ is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor, that is,

We then have by (1.4)

(2.2)
$$A^{2}\xi = hA\xi + (\beta - h\alpha)\xi.$$

In the following we assume that $\mu \neq 0$ on M, that is, ξ is not a principal curvature vector field and we put $\Omega = \{p \in M \mid \mu(p) \neq 0\}$. Then Ω is an open subset of M, and from now on we discuss our arguments on Ω unless otherwise stated.

From (1.10) and (2.2) we verify that

(2.3)
$$AW = \mu\xi + (h - \alpha)W$$

and hence

(2.4)
$$A^2W = hAW + (\beta - h\alpha)W$$

because $\mu \neq 0$.

Differentiating (2.3) covariantly along Ω , we find

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X A) W + A \nabla_X W = (X\mu)\xi + \mu \nabla_X \xi + X(h-\alpha)W + (h-\alpha)\nabla_X W.$$

If we take an inner product with W in this, then we obtain

(2.6)
$$g\left(\left(\nabla_X A\right)W,W\right) = -2g\left(AU,X\right) + Xh - X\alpha$$

because W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . We also have by applying ξ to (2.5)

(2.7)
$$\mu g\left(\left(\nabla_X A\right) W, \xi\right) = \left(h - 2\alpha\right) g\left(AU, X\right) + \mu\left(X\mu\right),$$

where we have used (1.11).

Putting $X = \xi$ in (2.5) and making use of (1.3) and (2.7), we find

(2.8)
$$(h-2\alpha)AU - \frac{c}{4}U + \mu\nabla\mu + \mu\{A\nabla_{\xi}W - (h-\alpha)\nabla_{\xi}W\}$$
$$= \mu(\xi\mu)\xi + \mu^{2}U + \mu(\xih - \xi\alpha)W.$$

On the other hand, it is, using $\phi U = -\mu W$, seen that

$$g(AU, X)\xi - \phi \nabla_X U = (X\mu)W + \mu \nabla_X W.$$

Replacing X by ξ in this and taking account of (1.6) and (1.9), we have

(2.9)
$$\mu \nabla_{\xi} W = 3AU - \alpha U - (\xi \alpha) \xi - (\xi \mu) W,$$

which shows that

(2.10)
$$W\alpha = \xi\mu.$$

Substituting (2.9) and (2.10) into (2.8), we get

(2.11)
$$3A^{2}U - 2hAU + A\nabla\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\beta - h\nabla\alpha + \left(\alpha h - \beta - \frac{c}{4}\right)U \\ = 2\mu \left(W\alpha\right)\xi + \mu \left(\xi h\right)W - \left(h - 2\alpha\right)\left(\xi\alpha\right)\xi,$$

where we have used $\mu^2 = \beta - \alpha^2$.

Differentiating (2.2) covariantly and making use of (1.1), we find

(2.12)
$$(\nabla_X A) A\xi + A (\nabla_X A) \xi + A^2 \phi A X - h A \phi A X$$
$$= (Xh) A\xi + h (\nabla_X A) \xi + X (\beta - h\alpha) \xi + (\beta - h\alpha) \phi A X,$$

which together with (1.3) yields

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{c}{4} \{ u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y) \} + \frac{c}{2}(h-\alpha)g(\phi Y, X) - g(A^2\phi AX, Y) + g(A^2\phi AY, X) + 2hg(\phi AX, AY) - (\beta - h\alpha)\{g(\phi AY, X) - g(\phi AX, Y)\} = g(AY, (\nabla_X A)\xi) - g(AX, (\nabla_Y A)\xi) + (Yh)g(A\xi, X) - (Xh)g(A\xi, Y) + Y(\beta - h\alpha)\eta(X) - X(\beta - h\alpha)\eta(Y),$$

where we have defined a 1-form u by u(X) = g(U, X) for any vector field X.

Putting $X = \mu W$ in (2.12) and taking account of (1.3), (1.8), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.14) (3\alpha - 2h) A^{2}U + 2(h^{2} + \beta - 2\alpha h + \frac{c}{4})AU + (h - \alpha)(\beta - \alpha h - \frac{c}{2})U$$

= $\mu A \nabla \mu + (\alpha h - \beta) \nabla \alpha - \frac{1}{2} (h - \alpha) \nabla \beta + \mu^{2} \nabla h - \mu (Wh) A\xi - \mu W(\beta - \alpha h)\xi.$

Because of (1.10), we have from (2.12)

$$A(\nabla_X A)\xi + (\alpha - h)(\nabla_X A)\xi + \mu(\nabla_X A)W$$

= $(Xh)A\xi + X(\beta - h\alpha)\xi + (\beta - h\alpha)\phi AX + hA\phi AX - A^2\phi AX.$

Therefore, replacing X by $\alpha \xi + \mu W$ in this and using (1.1), (1.3), (1.8), (1.10), (2.6) and (2.7), we find

$$(2.15) \qquad 2hA^2U + 2(\alpha h - \beta - h^2 - \frac{c}{4})AU + (h^2\alpha - h\beta + \frac{c}{2}h - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha)U \\ = g\left(A\xi, \nabla h\right)A\xi - \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\beta + \frac{1}{2}(h - 2\alpha)\nabla\beta + \beta\nabla\alpha \\ -\mu^2\nabla h + g\left(A\xi, \nabla\left(\beta - \alpha h\right)\right)\xi.$$

In the following we assume that $\nabla_{\phi U} S = 0$ and hence $\nabla_W S = 0$ since we assume that $\mu \neq 0$. Then, by replacing X by W, we have from (1.5)

$$-\frac{3}{4}c(h-\alpha)\left(u(Y)\xi+\eta\left(Y\right)U\right)+\mu\left(Wh\right)AY+\mu h\left(\nabla_{W}A\right)Y$$

= $\mu A\left(\nabla_{W}A\right)Y+\mu\left(\nabla_{W}A\right)AY,$

where we have used (1.1) and (2.3). Putting Y = W in this and making use of (1.3), (2.6) and (2.7), we find

(2.16)
$$(Wh)AW = hAU - \frac{c}{2}U - 2A^2U + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\beta - \alpha\nabla h + A\nabla h - A\nabla\alpha$$

because $\mu \neq 0$.

Differentiating (2.1) covariantly and using $\nabla_W S = 0$, we find

(2.17)
$$S\nabla_W \xi = W(\alpha h - \beta)\xi + \left\{\frac{c}{2}(n-1) + h\alpha - \beta\right\}\nabla_W \xi,$$

which implies

(2.18)
$$W(\beta - h\alpha) = 0.$$

By the way we see, using (1.1) and (2.3), that $\mu \nabla_W \xi = (h - \alpha) U$, it follows from (1.4) and (2.17) that

(2.19)
$$(h-\alpha)\left\{A^2U - hAU - \left(\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c\right)U\right\} = 0.$$

3. Real hypersurfaces with $g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi, \nabla_{\xi}\xi) = \text{const.}$

We continue now, our arguments under the same hypotheses $S\xi = g(S\xi,\xi)\xi$ and $\nabla_{\phi U}S = 0$ as in section 2. Further, suppose that g(U,U) = const., that is, $\nabla \mu = 0$. Then we have

(3.1)
$$\nabla \beta = 2\alpha \nabla \alpha,$$

which together with (2.10) gives

$$(3.2) W\alpha = 0.$$

Using these facts, (2.14) and (2.16) turn out respectively to

(3.3)
$$(3\alpha - 2h) A^{2}U + 2(h^{2} + \beta - 2\alpha h + \frac{c}{4})AU + (h - \alpha)\left(\beta - h\alpha - \frac{c}{2}\right)U \\ = \mu^{2}(\nabla h - \nabla \alpha) - \mu^{2}(Wh)W,$$

Chunji Li and U-Hang Ki

(3.4)
$$2A^{2}U - hAU + \frac{c}{2}U + (Wh)AW = A\nabla h - A\nabla\alpha - \alpha(\nabla h - \nabla\alpha)$$

We notice here that $h - \alpha \neq 0$ on Ω . In fact, if not, then we have $h = \alpha$. So (3.3) and (3.4) are reduced respectively to

(3.5)
$$\alpha A^2 U + 2\left(\beta - \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{4}\right)AU = 0, \quad 2A^2 U = \alpha AU - \frac{c}{2}U$$

because of (3.2), which enables us to obtain

$$\alpha AU = 2\left(\alpha^2 - \beta - \frac{c}{4}\right)U$$

on this set. However, we verify that $\alpha \neq 0$ on this subset by virtue of (2.11) and (3.4) with $h = \alpha$, it follows that $AU = \nu U$, where a function ν given by $\alpha\nu = 2(\alpha^2 - \beta - \frac{c}{4})$ is defined. From this and (3.5) we see that ν is constant by virtue of μ = constant and hence $\nabla \alpha = 0$. Further, we have

$$\nu^2 + \beta - \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{2} = 0.$$

Since α is constant, (2.11) implies

$$3\nu^2 - 2\alpha\nu + \alpha^2 - \beta - \frac{c}{4} = 0,$$

which will produce a contradiction. Hence $h - \alpha \neq 0$ on Ω is proved. Thus (2.19) becomes

(3.6)
$$A^2 U = hAU + \left(\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c\right)U.$$

Now, we are going to prove Wh = 0 on Ω . From (2.18), (3.1) and (3.2) we verify that $\alpha (Wh) = 0$. Suppose that $Wh \neq 0$. Then we have $\alpha = 0$. So (2.11) implies that

$$3A^2U = 2hAU + \left(\beta + \frac{c}{4}\right)U$$

because U is orthogonal to ξ , or using (3.6)

$$hAU + 2(\beta + c)U = 0.$$

Applying this by A and making use of (3.6), we find

$$\{h^2 + 2(\beta + c)\}AU + h\left(\beta + \frac{3}{4}c\right)U = 0.$$

Combining the last two equations, it follows that

$$h^{2}\left(\beta + \frac{5}{4}c\right) + 4(\beta + c)^{2} = 0,$$

which shows that Wh = 0 by virtue of (3.1), a contradiction. Thus, Wh = 0 on Ω is proved.

Using (3.6) and the fact that Wh = 0, (3.3) and (3.4) turn out respectively to

(3.7)
$$\mu^2(\nabla h - \nabla \alpha) = (2\beta - h\alpha + \frac{c}{2})AU + \left\{ (\beta - h\alpha)(2\alpha - h) + \frac{c}{4}(11\alpha - 8h) \right\} U,$$

(3.8)
$$A\nabla h - A\nabla \alpha = \alpha(\nabla h - \nabla \alpha) + hAU + 2(\beta - h\alpha + c)U.$$

Applying to the both sides of (3.7) by A and making use of (3.6) and (3.8), we find

(3.9)
$$(3\alpha - 2h)AU = (\alpha^2 - h\alpha - \frac{c}{2})U.$$

Let Ω_0 be a set of points in Ω such that $||AU - \lambda U||_p \neq 0$ at $p \in \Omega$ and suppose that Ω_0 is nonvoid. If $\alpha^2 - h\alpha - \frac{c}{2} \neq 0$, then from (3.9) we get $3\alpha - 2h \neq 0$ and hence Ω_0 is empty. Thus, it is, using (3.9), seen that

$$\alpha^2 - h\alpha - \frac{c}{2} = 0, \quad 3\alpha = 2h,$$

which shows that $\alpha^2 + c = 0$. Hence α is nonzero constant. So does h on Ω_0 . Therefore (3.8) is reduced to

(3.10)
$$3\alpha AU + (4\beta - 10\alpha^2)U = 0,$$

which together with (3.6) implies that

(3.11)
$$\left(8\beta - 11\alpha^2\right)AU + \alpha\left(6\beta - \frac{27}{2}\alpha^2\right)U = 0.$$

On the other hand, by using the fact that $\alpha^2 + c = 0$ and $3\alpha = 2h$ we have from (3.7)

$$4\left(\beta - \alpha^2\right)AU + \alpha\left(\beta - 4\alpha^2\right)U = 0.$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.11) to this, we see that $\beta - \alpha^2 = 0$ and hence $\Omega_0 = \emptyset$. Thus we have from (3.9)

$$(3.12) AU = \lambda U,$$

where the function λ given by

(3.13)
$$(3\alpha - 2h)\lambda = \alpha^2 - h\alpha - \frac{c}{2},$$

is defined.

Because of (3.12), it follows, making use of (3.6) and (3.7), that

(3.14)
$$\lambda^2 = h\lambda + \beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c,$$

Chunji Li and U-Hang Ki

$$(3.15) \quad \mu^2(\nabla h - \nabla \alpha) = \left\{ (2\beta - h\alpha + \frac{c}{2})\lambda + (\beta - h\alpha)(2\alpha - h) + \frac{c}{4}(11\alpha - 8h) \right\} U.$$

Since $\nabla \mu = 0$ by assumption, we find from the last equation

(3.16)
$$(Xf) u(Y) - (Yf) u(X) + f du(X, Y) = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y, where we have put

(3.17)
$$f = (2\beta - h\alpha + \frac{c}{2})\lambda + (\beta - h\alpha)(2\alpha - h) + \frac{c}{4}(11\alpha - 8h),$$

and the exterior derivation du of u is given by

$$du(Y,X) = \frac{1}{2} \{ Yu(X) - Xu(Y) - u([Y,X]) \}.$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (3.16), we find

(3.18)
$$(\xi f) u(Y) + f du(\xi, Y) = 0.$$

 $du(\xi, U)$ being vanish identically on Ω , it follows that

 $\xi f = 0$

because we have (1.9), (3.2), (3.12) and $\nabla \mu = 0$. Therefore (3.18) becomes

(3.19) $fdu(\xi, X) = 0.$

for any vector field X.

Finally we have from (2.15)

$$\begin{cases} 2\lambda \left(\alpha h - \beta - \frac{c}{4}\right) + h\beta - \alpha h^2 + 2ch - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha \end{cases} U \\ = \alpha \left(\xi h\right) A\xi + \alpha \left\{ (2\alpha - h) \left(\xi \alpha\right) - \alpha \left(\xi h\right) \right\} \xi \\ -\alpha A\nabla\alpha + (\beta + \alpha h - 2\alpha^2)\nabla\alpha - (\beta - \alpha^2)\nabla h, \end{cases}$$

where we have used (3.1), (3.2), (3.6), (3.12) and the fact that Wh = 0. If we take an inner product ξ with this and make use of (3.2), then we obtain

$$(3.20) \qquad \qquad \xi \alpha = \xi h.$$

Thus, above equation can be written as

$$(3.21) \quad \left\{ 2\lambda \left(\alpha h - \beta - \frac{c}{4} \right) + h\beta - \alpha h^2 + 2ch - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha \right\} U \\ = \alpha \left(\xi \alpha \right) \left\{ (2\alpha - h)\xi + \mu W \right\} - \alpha A \nabla \alpha + (\beta + \alpha h - 2\alpha^2) \nabla \alpha - (\beta - \alpha^2) \nabla h.$$

4. Real hypersurfaces satisfying $du(\xi, X) = 0$

We will continue, our arguments under the same hypotheses $S\xi = g(S\xi,\xi)\xi, \nabla_{\phi U}S = 0$ and g(U,U) = const. as in section 3.

Now, suppose that $du(\xi, X) = 0$ for any vector field X on Ω . Then we have $g(\nabla_{\xi}U, X) + g(\nabla_{X}\xi, U) = 0$, or using (1.1), (1.9), (1.12) and (3.12), $\phi \nabla \alpha = \mu (h - 3\lambda) W$ and hence

(4.1)
$$\nabla \alpha - (\xi \alpha) \xi = (h - 3\lambda) U.$$

Differentiating (3.14) covariantly and using (3.1), we find

$$(2\lambda - h)(X\lambda) = (\lambda - \alpha)(Xh) + (2\alpha - h)(X\alpha).$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in this and taking account of (3.20), we get

(4.2)
$$(2\lambda - h)\xi\lambda = (\lambda + \alpha - h)\xi\alpha.$$

In the same way, we also have from (3.13)

(4.3)
$$(3\alpha - 2h)\xi\lambda = (-\lambda + \alpha - h)\xi\alpha,$$

which together with (4.2) yields

$$(-3\alpha + h - 2\lambda)\xi\lambda = 2\lambda(\xi\alpha), \quad (3\alpha - 3h + 2\lambda)\xi\lambda = 2(\alpha - h)\xi\alpha.$$

Let $\Omega_1 = \{p \in \Omega | \{(\xi \lambda)^2 + (\xi \alpha)^2\}(p) \neq 0\}$. Assume that $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ and $\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then we have by above equations

(4.4)
$$h^{2} - 4\alpha h + 3\alpha^{2} + 2\lambda^{2} + \lambda (\alpha - h) = 0$$

on Ω_1 . Differentiating this covariantly and using (3.20), we find

$$(4\lambda + \alpha - h)\,\xi\lambda = 2(h - \alpha)\xi\alpha.$$

From this and (4.3), we verify that

(4.5)
$$5h^2 - 12h\alpha + 7\alpha^2 - 4\lambda^2 + 3\lambda(\alpha - h) = 0.$$

Using Sylvester's elimination method to (4.4) and (4.5), we deduce that

(4.6)
$$(204\alpha^2 - 121c)(\alpha^2 + c) = 0$$

on Ω_1 . (We use a computer to calculate this.) It is contradictory for c > 0 or c < 0. Thus $\Omega_1 = \emptyset$ and hence $\xi \lambda = \xi \alpha = 0$ on Ω . Thus (4.1) is reduced to

(4.7)
$$\nabla \alpha = (h - 3\lambda)U.$$

on Ω_2 , where $\Omega_2 = \{p \in \Omega | f(p) \neq 0\} \neq \emptyset$ since we have (3.19).

We notice here that α does not vanish on Ω_2 . In fact, if not, we have $h = 3\lambda$ because of (4.7). By (3.13) we see that $h\lambda = \frac{c}{4}$ and hence $3\lambda^2 = \frac{c}{4}$. So we have c > 0 on Ω_2 . We also have from (3.14), $2\lambda^2 + \beta + \frac{3}{4}c = 0$, a contradiction by virtue of c > 0.

Using (4.7), the equations (3.15) and (3.21) turn out respectively to

(4.8)
$$\mu^2 \nabla h = \{ \left(\beta - \alpha^2\right) \left(h - 3\lambda\right) + \left(2\beta - h\alpha + \frac{c}{2}\right)\lambda + \left(\beta - h\alpha\right) \left(2\alpha - h\right) + \frac{c}{4} \left(11\alpha - 8h\right) \} U.$$

(4.9)
$$\mu^2 \nabla h = \{(h - 3\lambda) \left(\beta + \alpha h - 2\alpha^2 - \alpha\lambda\right) + (\beta - h\alpha) \left(2\lambda - h\right) + \frac{c}{2}\lambda - 2ch + \frac{3}{4}c\alpha\}U$$

Comparing the last two equations, we obtain

$$h^2 + h\alpha - 2\beta - 5h\lambda + 3\alpha\lambda + 3\lambda^2 - 2c = 0$$

because $\alpha \neq 0$ on Ω_2 , which together with (3.13) and (3.14) gives

(4.10)
$$\beta - h\alpha + (h - \alpha)^2 - \frac{c}{4} = 0.$$

From this and (3.14) we have

$$\lambda^2 = h\lambda - (h - \alpha)^2 + c,$$

which connected with (3.13) implies that

$$(4.11) \quad 4h^4 - 22\alpha h^3 + (43\alpha^2 - 5c)h^2 + \left(\frac{29}{2}c - 35\alpha^2\right)\alpha h + 10\alpha^4 - 10c\alpha^2 + \frac{c^2}{4} = 0.$$

Differentiating (4.10) covariantly and making use of (3.1), we find on Ω_2

$$(3\alpha - 2h)\nabla h + (3h - 4\alpha)\nabla \alpha = 0.$$

Similarly we also have from (4.11)

$$\left\{16h^3 - 66\alpha h^2 + (86\alpha^2 - 10c)h - 35\alpha^3 + \frac{29}{2}c\alpha\right\}\nabla h + \left\{-22h^3 + 86\alpha h^2 - 10\alpha^2 h + \frac{29}{2}ch + 40\alpha^3 - 20c\alpha\right\}\nabla\alpha = 0$$

Since $(\nabla \alpha)^2 + (\nabla h)^2 \neq 0$ on Ω_2 with the aid of (3.15) and (3.17), it follows, using the last two equations, that

(4.12)
$$2h^4 - 12\alpha h^3 + \left(27\alpha^2 - \frac{c}{2}\right)h^2 - 27\alpha^3 h + 10\alpha^4 + c\alpha^2 = 0.$$

Using the same method as that used to derive (4.6) from (4.4) and (4.5), we can deduce from (4.11) and (4.2) the following: (We use a computer to calculate this.)

$$(\alpha^2 + c) \left(80\alpha^4 - 260c\alpha^2 + c^2\right) = 0$$

on Ω_2 . It is contradictory for c > 0 or c < 0. Therefore $\Omega_2 = \emptyset$ and consequently f = 0 on Ω because of (3.19).

5. Proof of Theorems

First of all, we prove

Lemma 5.1. Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If it satisfies $S\xi = g(S\xi,\xi)\xi, \nabla_{\phi U}S = 0$ and g(U,U) = const., then we have

(5.1)
$$g(U,U) + 9\lambda^2 + \frac{9}{4}c = 0.$$

Proof. As is already shown in section 4, we have f = 0 on Ω and hence $\nabla h = \nabla \alpha$ because of (3.15). Thus (3.8) becomes

(5.2)
$$h\lambda + 2(\beta - h\alpha + c) = 0,$$

which together with (3.14) implies that

(5.3)
$$\lambda^2 + \beta - h\alpha + \frac{5}{4}c = 0, \quad \lambda^2 = \frac{1}{2}h\lambda - \frac{c}{4}.$$

Since $\nabla h = \nabla \alpha$, we see, using (5.3), that $\nabla \alpha = 0$ on Ω . Thus, (3.21) implies

(5.4)
$$(h-2\lambda)\left(\beta-h\alpha\right) - \frac{c}{2}\lambda + 2ch - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha = 0,$$

which connected to (5.2) and (5.3) yields $h = \alpha - 2\lambda, \alpha\lambda = 4\lambda^2 + \frac{c}{2}$. Substituting these into (5.2), we verify that $\beta - \alpha^2 + 9\lambda^2 + \frac{9}{4}c = 0$. This completes the proof. \Box

According to Lemma 5.1, we see that $\Omega = \emptyset$ if c > 0. Thus, we have

Theorem 5.1. Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex projective space $P_n\mathbb{C}$. The the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is a Hopf hypersurface in the ambient space $P_n\mathbb{C}$.
- (2) The structure vector ξ is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor S of M and satisfies $g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi, \nabla_{\xi}\xi)$ is constant on M and $\nabla_{\phi\nabla_{\xi}\xi}S = 0$ holds.

Remark. For a real hypersurface of a nonflat complex space form, Theorem 5.1 is valid provided that $\|\nabla_{\xi}\xi\|^2 + \frac{9}{4}c \ge 0$.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referee for valuable comments.

References

- J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in a complex hyperbolic space, J. Reine Angew. Math., 395(1989), 132-141.
- [2] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 269(1982), 481-499.
- [3] T. Y. Hwang, U-H. Ki and N.-G. Kim, Ricci tensors of real hypersurfaces in a nonflat complex space form, Math. J. Toyama Univ., 27(2004), 1-22.
- [4] E.-H. Kang and U-H. Ki, On real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 34(1997), 173-184.
- [5] E.-H. Kang and U-H. Ki, Real hypersurfaces satisfying $\nabla_{\xi} S = 0$ of a complex space form, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **35**(1998), 819-835.
- U-H. Ki, Real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor of a complex space form, Tsukuba J. Math., 13(1989), 73-81.
- U-H. Ki, N.-G. Kim, S.-B. Lee, On certain real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, J. Korean Math. Soc., 29(1992), 63-77.
- [8] U-H. Ki and S. Nagai, Real hypersurfaces of a nonflat complex space form in terms of the Ricci tensor, Tsukuba J. Math., 29(2005), 511-532.
- U-H. Ki, H. Nakagawa and Y. J. Suh, Real hypersurfaces with harmonic Weyl tensor of a complex space form, Hiroshima Math. J., 20(1990), 93-102.
- [10] U.-K. Kim, Nonexistence of Ricci-parallel real hypersurfaces in P₂C or H₂C, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 41(4)(2004), 699-708.
- M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Soc., 296(1986), 137-149.
- [12] S. Maeda, Ricci tensors of real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 122(1994), 1229-1235.
- [13] H. Nakagawa, Lecture note on generalized Einstein conditions, Silla Print Pub. in Korea (2004).
- [14] R. Niebergall and P. J. Ryan, Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms, in Tight and Taut submanifolds, Combridge Univ. Press (1998(T.E. Cecil and S.S. Chern eds.)), 233-305.
- [15] Y. J. Suh, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form with η-parallel Ricci tensor, Tsukuba J. Math., 14(1990), 27-37.
- [16] R. Takagi, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math., 10(1973), 495-506.
- [17] R. Takagi, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures, I, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, (1975), 43-53, 507-516.