# ON PERIODICIZING FUNCTIONS

## TOSHIKI NAITO AND JONG SON SHIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a new concept, a "periodicizing" function for the linear differential equation with the periodic forcing function. Moreover, we construct this function, which is closely related with the solution of a difference equation and an indefinite sum. Using this function, we can obtain a representation of solutions from which we see immediately the asymptotic behavior of the solutions.

# 1. Introduction

We denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  and by  $\mathbb{C}$  the set of real numbers and the set of complex numbers, respectively.

Let us consider a linear differential equation of the form

(1) 
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax + f(t), \quad x(0) = w \in \mathbb{C}^d,$$

where  $A \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$ , the set of all complex  $d \times d$  matrices, and  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^d$  is a nontrivial continuous  $\tau$ -periodic function.

The purpose of this paper is to find a periodicizing function for Equation (1).

It is well known that the solution of the above equation is expressed as

(2) 
$$x(t) := x(t; 0, w) = e^{At}w + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}f(s)ds.$$

Received October 30, 2004. Revised September 13, 2005.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 33A30, 34C25, 34C11, 39A11; Secondary 34C27, 45M15.

Key words and phrases: periodicizing function, linear differential equation, linear difference equation, indefinite sum, representation of solution.

This work is partly supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 16540141, Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

However, from this representation it is not easy to see asymptotic behaviors of solutions of Equation (1). In the paper [2], we gave a new representation of the solution of Equation (1), from which asymptotic behaviors of solutions are seen.

Its representation is essentially related to a periodicizing function for Equation (1), as stated below. We take a continuous function z(t) such that the function

$$h(t):=z(t)+\int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}f(s)ds, \;\; t\in \mathbb{R},$$

becomes a nonconstant continuous  $\tau$ -periodic function. Then the solution x(t) of Equation (1) is rewritten as

$$x(t) = (e^{At}w - z(t)) + h(t).$$

The first term of the right hand side is well known. We call such a function z(t) a "periodicizing" function (for Equation (1)). Therefore, to find a periodicizing function is very important in obtaining the representation of solutions and in studying asymptotic behaviors of solutions for Equation (1). In this paper we will construct a periodicizing function z(t), which is closely related to the solution of a difference equation and an indefinite sum.

# 2. Discrete linear difference equations and the indefinite sum

#### 2.1. Discrete linear difference equations

Let  $\sigma(A)$  be the set of all eigenvalues of A and m the index of  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ . Let  $M_{\lambda} = \mathcal{N}((A - \lambda E)^m)$  be the generalized eigenspace of  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ , where  $E \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$  stands for the unite matrix. Then we have the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}^d = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} M_{\lambda}.$$

Let  $P_{\lambda}$  be the projection on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  to  $M_{\lambda}$  induced from this decomposition. Set  $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ .

Now, we solve the discrete linear difference equation of the form

(3) 
$$x_{n+1} = e^{\tau A} x_n + b, \quad x_0 = w,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . For the simplicity of the description, we set

$$\varepsilon(z) = \frac{1}{e^z - 1}, \quad \varepsilon^{(i)}(z) = \frac{d^i}{dz^i} \frac{1}{e^z - 1}.$$

Moreover, we define  $X_{\lambda}(A)$  and  $Y_{\lambda}(A)$  for  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  as

$$X_{\lambda}(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varepsilon^{(i)}(\tau \lambda) \frac{\tau^{i}}{i!} (A - \lambda E)^{i}, \text{ if } e^{\tau \lambda} \neq 1,$$

and

$$Y_{\lambda}(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} B_i \frac{\tau^i}{i!} (A - \lambda E)^i, \text{ if } e^{\tau \lambda} = 1,$$

where  $B_i, i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , stand for Bernoulli's numbers, refer to [3].

The following result can be found in [2].

THEOREM 1. [2] Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ . The component  $P_{\lambda}x_n$  of the solution  $x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , of Equation (3) is given as follows:

1) If  $e^{\tau\lambda} \neq 1$ , then

$$P_{\lambda}x_{n} = e^{n\tau\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n^{i} \frac{\tau^{i}}{i!} (A - \lambda E)^{i} [P_{\lambda}w + X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b] - X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b$$
$$= e^{n\tau A} [P_{\lambda}w + X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b] - X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b.$$

2) If 
$$e^{\tau\lambda} = 1$$
, then

$$P_{\lambda}x_n = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{n^{i+1}}{i+1} \frac{\tau^i}{i!} (A - \lambda E)^i [\tau(A - \lambda E)P_{\lambda}w + Y_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b] + P_{\lambda}w.$$

## 2.2. The indefinite sum

We will give fundamental results on the indefinite sum. Let  $\tau > 0$  and  $h:[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}^d$  be a continuous function.

First, we consider the problem of finding a continuous solution of the following equation

(4) 
$$\Delta_{\tau} z(t) := z(t+\tau) - z(t) = h(t), \quad t \in [0,\infty),$$

that is, the indefinite sum  $z(t) = \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}h(t)$ . If  $z_0(t)$  is one of solutions of Equation (4), then any other solution z(t) is given by

$$z(t) = z_0(t) + c(t)$$

with an arbitrary continuous  $\tau$ -periodic function c(t) (it is called a periodic constant).

LEMMA 2.1.

1) Let  $\varphi:[0,\tau]\to\mathbb{C}^d$  be a continuous function such that

(5) 
$$\varphi(\tau) = \varphi(0) + h(0).$$

Then a continuous solution z(t) of Equation (4) satisfying the initial condition  $z(s) = \varphi(s), s \in [0, \tau]$ , exists uniquely on  $[0, \infty)$ . Moreover, it is given by

(6) 
$$z(s+n\tau) = \varphi(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h(s+i\tau), \quad (s \in [0,\tau), \quad n=1,2,\ldots).$$

2) Conversely, if a continuous function z(t) is a solution of Equation (4), then  $\varphi(t) := z(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \tau]$ , satisfies the condition (5) and z(t) is given by (6).

*Proof.* 1) It follows from Equation (4) that there exists a function  $z(t), t \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$z(s + n\tau) = \varphi(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h(s + i\tau), \quad (s \in [0, \tau), \ n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

which is unique, refer to [3]. Then z(t) is continuous on  $[0, \infty) \setminus \tau \mathbb{N}$ . Claim the continuity of z(t) at points  $t = n\tau$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly, we have

$$\lim_{s \to 0+} z(s + n\tau) = \lim_{s \to 0+} \varphi(s) + \lim_{s \to 0+} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(s + k\tau)$$
$$= \varphi(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(k\tau).$$

On the other hand, using the condition (5), we have that

$$\lim_{s \to 0^{-}} z(s + n\tau) = \lim_{s \to 0^{-}} \varphi(s + \tau) + \lim_{s \to 0^{-}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} h(s + \tau + k\tau)$$

$$= \varphi(\tau) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} h((1 + k)\tau)$$

$$= \varphi(0) + h(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h(k\tau)$$

$$= \varphi(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(k\tau).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{s \to 0} z(s + n\tau) = z(n\tau) := \varphi(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(k\tau)$$

holds, which means that z(t) is continuous at  $t = n\tau, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $z: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}^d$  is a continuous solution of Equation (4). 2) is obvious.

Next, we consider a special case of Equation (4): that is,

(7) 
$$z(t+\tau) - z(t) = -B(t)b, \ t \in [0,\infty),$$

where  $b \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $B(t), t \in [0, \infty)$ , is a continuous matrix function such that

(8) 
$$B(s+k\tau) = B(s)B^{k}(\tau), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this case, the continuous variable t in Equation (7) is reduced to the discrete variable.

LEMMA 2.2.

1) Let  $\varphi:[0,\tau]\to\mathbb{C}^d$  be a continuous function such that

(9) 
$$\varphi(\tau) = \varphi(0) - B(0)b.$$

Then a continuous solution z(t) of Equation (7) satisfying the initial condition  $z(t) = \varphi(t), t \in [0, \tau]$  exists uniquely on  $[0, \infty)$ . Moreover, it is given by

(10) 
$$z(s+n\tau) = \varphi(s) - B(s)x_n(0) \quad (s \in [0,\tau), \ n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $x_n(0)$  is the solution of the difference equation of the form

(11) 
$$x_{m+1} = B(\tau)x_m + b, \quad x_0 = 0.$$

2) Conversely, if a continuous function z(t) is a solution of Equation (7), then  $\varphi(t) := z(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \tau]$ , satisfies the condition (9) and z(t) is given by (10).

*Proof.* 1) If  $s \in [0, \tau)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then from (6) in Lemma 2.1 and (8) it follows that

$$z(s + n\tau) = z(s) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B(s + i\tau)b$$
$$= z(s) - B(s) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B^{i}(\tau)b.$$

Clearly, we have that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B^i(\tau)b = x_n(0)$ . 2) is obvious.

Γ

We note that  $B(t) = e^{tA}$ ,  $A \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$ , satisfies the condition (8).

## 3. A periodicizing function

In this section we will construct a periodicizing function for Equation (1); that is,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax(t) + f(t), \ x(0) = w \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ . If an  $M_{\lambda}$  valued function y(t) satisfies the equation

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = Ay(t) + P_{\lambda}f(t),$$

we say that y(t) is a solution of Equation (1) in  $M_{\lambda}$ . Clearly, if x(t) is a solution of Equation (1), then  $P_{\lambda}x(t)$  is a solution of Equation (1) in  $M_{\lambda}$ . Put

$$b_f = \int_0^{ au} e^{A( au-s)} f(s) ds.$$

To apply our idea for Equation (1), we will translate the solution x(t) := x(t; 0, w) of Equation (1) as follows:

$$x(t) = e^{At}w - z(t) + h(t),$$

where

(12) 
$$h(t) = z(t) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} f(s) ds.$$

The condition that h(t) is  $\tau$ -periodic is equivalent to the condition that

$$z(t+\tau) + \int_0^{t+\tau} e^{A(t+\tau-s)} f(s) ds = z(t) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} f(s) ds.$$

Since

$$\int_{0}^{t+\tau} e^{A(t+\tau-s)} f(s) ds = e^{At} b_f + \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)} f(s) ds,$$

we have

(13) 
$$\Delta_{\tau} z(t) := z(t+\tau) - z(t) = -e^{At} b_{f}.$$

Therefore z(t) is an indefinite sum of  $-e^{At}b_f$ ; that is,  $z(t) = \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}b_f)$ . Summarizing these, we obtain the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. A periodicizing function for Equation (1) is an indefinite sum of  $-e^{At}b_f$ . Moreover, the solution x(t) of Equation (1) is expressed as follows:

$$x(t) = e^{At}w - \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}b_f) + h(t),$$

where

$$h(t) = \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}b_f) + \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)}f(s)ds$$

is a  $\tau$ -periodic function.

Since h(t) is a  $\tau$ -periodic function and the second term of the right hand side in (12) is defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the periodicizing function z(t) is well defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  provided z(t) is defined on  $[0,\infty)$ .

Now, we are in a position to state the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 2. Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ .

1) If  $e^{\tau\lambda} \neq 1$ , then

$$\Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}P_{\lambda}b) = -e^{tA}X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b + c(t), \quad t \ge 0,$$

where c(t) is a periodic constant.

2) If  $e^{\tau\lambda} = 1$ , then

$$\Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}P_{\lambda}b) = -\frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} (A - \lambda E)^{j} Y_{\lambda}(A) P_{\lambda}b + d(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where d(t) is a periodic constant.

*Proof.* Let us consider the equation

(14) 
$$P_{\lambda}z(t+\tau) - P_{\lambda}z(t) = -P_{\lambda}e^{tA}b.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists a continuous solution  $P_{\lambda}z(t)$  of Equation (14), which satisfies the relation

(15) 
$$P_{\lambda}z(s+n\tau) = P_{\lambda}z(s) - P_{\lambda}e^{sA}x_n(0), \quad (s \in [0,\tau), n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots),$$
 where  $x_n(0)$  is the solution of Equation (3) with  $w = 0$ .

1) Assume that  $e^{\lambda \tau} \neq 1$ . Put  $X = X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b$ . Using Theorem 1 we have

$$P_{\lambda}x_n(0) = e^{n\tau A}X - X,$$

which yields that

$$P_{\lambda}e^{sA}x_n(0) = e^{sA} \left(e^{n\tau A}X - X\right)$$
$$= -e^{sA}X + e^{(s+n\tau)A}X.$$

Hence the relation (15) is reduced to

$$P_{\lambda}z(s+n\tau) = (P_{\lambda}z(s) + e^{sA}X) - e^{(s+n\tau)A}X.$$

Since

$$P_{\lambda}z(s+n\tau) + e^{(s+n\tau)A}X = P_{\lambda}z(s) + e^{sA}X,$$

 $c(t) := P_{\lambda}z(t) + e^{tA}X$  is  $\tau$ -periodic. Therefore we obtain

$$P_{\lambda}z(t) = -e^{-tA}X + c(t).$$

2) Assume that  $e^{\lambda \tau} = 1$ . Put  $Y = Y_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b$ . Using Theorem 1 again, we have

$$\begin{split} &P_{\lambda}e^{sA}x_{n}(0)\\ &=e^{\lambda s}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\frac{s^{k}}{k!}(A-\lambda E)^{k}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\frac{n^{j+1}}{j!}\frac{\tau^{j}}{(A-\lambda E)^{j}}Y\\ &=e^{\lambda s}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\frac{s^{k}n^{j+1}\tau^{j}}{k!(j+1)!}(A-\lambda E)^{j+k}Y\\ &=\frac{e^{\lambda s}}{\tau}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k+j=i}\frac{s^{k}(n\tau)^{j+1}}{k!(j+1)!}(A-\lambda E)^{i}Y\\ &=\frac{e^{\lambda s}}{\tau}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\frac{s^{k}(n\tau)^{i-k+1}}{k!(i-k+1)!}(A-\lambda E)^{i}Y\\ &=\frac{e^{\lambda s}}{\tau}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=0}^{i+1}\frac{s^{k}(n\tau)^{i-k+1}}{k!(i+1-k)!}(A-\lambda E)^{i}Y-\frac{e^{\lambda s}}{\tau}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\frac{s^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}(A-\lambda E)^{i}Y\\ &=\frac{e^{\lambda(s+n\tau)}}{\tau}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\frac{(s+n\tau)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}(A-\lambda E)^{i}Y-\frac{e^{\lambda s}}{\tau}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\frac{s^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}(A-\lambda E)^{i}Y. \end{split}$$

Thus the relation (15) becomes

$$P_{\lambda}z(s+n\tau) = P_{\lambda}z(s) + \frac{e^{\lambda s}}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{s^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} (A - \lambda E)^{j} Y$$
$$- \frac{e^{\lambda(s+n\tau)}}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(s+n\tau)^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} (A - \lambda E)^{j} Y.$$

Since

$$d(t) := P_{\lambda} z(t) + \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} (A - \lambda E)^{j} Y$$

is  $\tau$ -periodic, we obtain

$$P_{\lambda}z(t) = -\frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} (A - \lambda E)^{j} Y + d(t).$$

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2, we can obtain the following result, which is a modification of the one given in [2].

THEOREM 3. Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  and x(t) := x(t; 0, w) be the solution of Equation (1).

1) If  $e^{\tau \lambda} \neq 1$ , then

$$P_{\lambda}x(t) = e^{At}[P_{\lambda}w + X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_f] + u_{\lambda}(t,b_f)$$

$$= e^{\lambda t} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^j}{j!} (A - \lambda E)^j [P_{\lambda}w + X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_f] + u_{\lambda}(t,b_f),$$

where

$$u_{\lambda}(t,b_f) = -e^{At}X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_f + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}P_{\lambda}f(s)ds$$

is a  $\tau$ -periodic solution of Equation (1) in  $M_{\lambda}$ .

2) If 
$$e^{\tau\lambda} = 1$$
, then

$$P_{\lambda}x(t) = \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} (A - \lambda E)^{j} [\tau(A - \lambda E)P_{\lambda}w + Y_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_{f}]$$
$$+ e^{\lambda t}P_{\lambda}w + v_{\lambda}(t,b_{f}),$$

where  $e^{\lambda t}P_{\lambda}w$  and

$$v_{\lambda}(t,b_f) := -\frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} (A - \lambda E)^j Y_{\lambda}(A) P_{\lambda} b_f$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} P_{\lambda} f(s) ds$$

are  $\tau$ -periodic functions, which are not necessarily solutions of Equation (1) in  $M_{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* 1) Assume that  $e^{\lambda \tau} \neq 1$ . Combining Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2, we have

$$P_{\lambda}x(t) = e^{At}P_{\lambda}w - \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}P_{\lambda}b_f) + u_{\lambda}(t,b_f)$$
$$= e^{tA}[P_{\lambda}w + X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_f] + u_{\lambda}(t,b_f),$$

where

$$u_{\lambda}(t,b_f) = -e^{tA}X_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_f + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}P_{\lambda}f(s)ds.$$

Notice that the periodic constant c(t) is canceled. It is easy to see that  $u_{\lambda}(t, b_f)$  is a  $\tau$ -periodic solution of Equation (1) in  $M_{\lambda}$ .

2) Assume that  $e^{\lambda \tau} = 1$ . In view of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$P_{\lambda}x(t) = e^{At}P_{\lambda}w - \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}P_{\lambda}b_f) + v_{\lambda}(t,b_f),$$

where

$$v_{\lambda}(t,b_f) = \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}P_{\lambda}b_f) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}P_{\lambda}f(s)ds.$$

Furthermore, from Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{split} &e^{tA}P_{\lambda}w - \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{At}P_{\lambda}b_{f}) \\ &= e^{t\lambda}P_{\lambda}w + e^{t\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\frac{t^{j}}{j!}(A - \lambda E)^{j}P_{\lambda}w \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{\tau}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\frac{t^{j+1}}{(j+1)!}(A - \lambda E)^{j}Y_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_{f} - d(t) \\ &= e^{t\lambda}P_{\lambda}w \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{t\lambda}}{\tau}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\frac{t^{j+1}}{(j+1)!}(A - \lambda E)^{j}(\tau(A - \lambda E)P_{\lambda}w + Y_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_{f}) - d(t). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$P_{\lambda}x(t) = \frac{e^{t\lambda}}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} (A - \lambda E)^j (\tau(A - \lambda E)P_{\lambda}w + Y_{\lambda}(A)P_{\lambda}b_f)$$
$$+ e^{t\lambda}P_{\lambda}w + v_{\lambda}(t, b_f).$$

We note that the periodic constant d(t) is canceled.

Notice that from this result we can easily obtain asymptotic behaviors of solutions of Equation (1), for details, refer to [2].

EXAMPLE. We will explain Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 through a simple one dimensional linear differential equation

(16) 
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = ax(t) + f(t), \quad x(0) = w \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and f is a continuous  $\tau$ -periodic scalar function. Then (13) is reduced to

$$\Delta_{\tau}z(t) := z(t+\tau) - z(t) = -e^{at}b_f.$$

Using Theorem 2 with  $B_0 = 1$ , we have

(17) 
$$z(t) := \Delta_{\tau}^{-1}(-e^{at}b_f) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{at}}{1 - e^{a\tau}}b_f, & (e^{a\tau} \neq 1), \\ -\frac{e^{at}}{\tau}tb_f, & (e^{a\tau} = 1). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3 the solution x(t) of Equation (16) is expressed as follows.

1) If  $e^{a\tau} \neq 1$ , then

$$x(t;0,w) = e^{at} \left( w - \frac{1}{1 - e^{a\tau}} b_f \right) + u(t,b_f),$$

where

$$u(t, b_f) = e^{at} \frac{1}{1 - e^{a\tau}} b_f + \int_0^t e^{a(t-s)} f(s) ds$$

is a  $\tau$ -periodic solution of Equation (16).

2) If  $e^{a\tau} = 1$ , then

$$x(t;0,w) = \frac{e^{at}}{\tau}tb_f + e^{at}w + v(t,b_f),$$

where

$$v(t,b_f) = -e^{at} \frac{t}{\tau} b_f + \int_0^t e^{a(t-s)} f(s) ds$$

is a  $\tau$ -periodic function, however, which is not a solution of Equation (16).

#### References

- J. Kato, T. Naito, and J. S. Shin, Bounded solutions and periodic solutions to linear differential equations in Banach spaces, Vietnam J. Math.(Proceeding in DEAA) 30 (2002), 561-575.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, A characterization of solutions in linear differential equations with periodic forcing functions, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 11 (2005), no. 1, 1-19.
- [3] K. S. Miller, An Introduction to the Calculus of Finite Differences and Difference Equations, Dover Publications, New York, 1966.

The University of Electro-Communications, Chofu, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan E-mail: naito@e-one.uec.ac.jp

shinjs@jcom.home.ne.jp