

**ANOTHER METHOD FOR A KUMMER-TYPE  
TRANSFORMATION FOR THE GENERALIZED  
HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNTION  ${}_2F_2$  DUE TO PARIS**

ARJUN K. RATHIE AND YONG SUP KIM \*

**Abstract.** A Kummer-type transformation formula for the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_2$  deduced by Exton, rederived in two simple and transparent ways by Miller and generalized by Paris, is again derived by another method.

In 1997, Exton [1, Eq. (12)] deduced four new reduction formulas for the Kampé de Fériet function and, as a special case of one of his four main results, he obtained the following interesting identity:

$$e^{-y} {}_2F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, & 1 + \frac{1}{2}a \\ b, & \frac{1}{2}a \end{matrix} ; y \right] = {}_2F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} b - a - 1, & 2 + a - b \\ b, & 1 + a - b \end{matrix} ; -y \right]. \quad (1)$$

This result is an analog of the so-called Kummer's first type transformation formula [5] for the confluent hypergeometric function:

$$e^{-y} {}_1F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix} ; y \right] = {}_1F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} b - a \\ b \end{matrix} ; -y \right]. \quad (2)$$

Recently Miller [3] derived the result (1) in two simple and transparent ways.

---

Received January 16, 2005. Revised April 12, 2005.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 33C20, 33C15.

**Key words and phrases :** Generalized hypergeometric function; Kummer-type transformation.

\* Corresponding Author.

Very recently Paris [4] gave a general result of (1) in the form:

$$e^{-y} {}_2F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, & c+1 \\ b, & c \end{matrix} ; y \right] = {}_2F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} b-a-1, & \alpha+1 \\ b, & \alpha \end{matrix} ; -y \right]. \tag{3}$$

where  $\alpha$  is given by  $\alpha \equiv \frac{c(1+a-b)}{a-c}$ .

Evidently (3) reduces to (1) by taking  $c = \frac{1}{2}a$ . The aim of this research note is to derive (3) by another method.

For this, in fact, first we shall prove the following (presumably new) general result:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{e^{-y}\Gamma(\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\rho+\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\rho+\gamma-\beta)} {}_2F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \rho, & \rho+\gamma-\alpha-\beta \\ \rho+\gamma-\alpha, & \rho+\gamma-\beta \end{matrix} ; y \right] \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_r(\beta)_r}{\Gamma(\rho+\gamma+r)r!} {}_1F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma+r \\ \rho+\gamma+r \end{matrix} ; -y \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In order to prove (4), consider the integral

$$I = \int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1}(1-x)^{\rho-1} e^{-xy} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} ; x \right] dx. \tag{5}$$

Writing  $e^{-xy} = e^{-y} \cdot e^{(1-x)y}$  in (5) and expressing  $e^{(1-x)y}$  as a series.

Changing the order of integration and summation, evaluating the integral with the help of a known result [2, p.849, Eq.(4)]:

$$\int_0^1 x^{\gamma-1}(1-x)^{\rho-1} {}_2F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} ; x \right] dx = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\rho)\Gamma(\gamma+\rho-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma+\rho-\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma+\rho-\beta)}, \tag{6}$$

and summing up the series, we get (7)

$$I = e^{-y} \frac{\Gamma(\rho+\gamma-\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(\rho)\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\rho+\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\rho+\gamma-\beta)} {}_2F_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} \rho, & \rho+\gamma-\alpha-\beta \\ \rho+\gamma-\alpha, & \rho+\gamma-\beta \end{matrix} ; y \right].$$

On the other hand, in (5), expressing  ${}_2F_1$  as a series, changing the order of integration and summation, evaluating the integral with the help of a known result [2, p.318, 3.383(1)]:

$$\int_0^u x^{n-1}(u-x)^{m-1}e^{\beta x} dx = B(m, n)u^{m+n-1}{}_1F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ m+n \end{matrix} ; \beta u \right], \quad (8)$$

we get

$$I = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_r(\beta)_r\Gamma(\gamma+r)\Gamma(\rho)}{(\gamma)_r\Gamma(\rho+\gamma+r)r!} {}_1F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma+r \\ \rho+\gamma+r \end{matrix} ; -y \right]. \quad (9)$$

From (7) and (9), we get (4). This completes the proof of (4).

In (4), if we take  $\alpha = -1, \beta = b - c - 1, \gamma = b - a - 1$  and  $\rho = a$ , we get, after a little simplification, Paris' result (3). Consequently we obtain Exton's result for  $c = \frac{1}{2}a$ .

## References

- [1] H. Exton, On the reducibility of Kampé de Fériet function, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **83**(1997), 119-121.
- [2] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. W. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [3] A. R. Miller, On a Kummer-type transformation for the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_2$ , *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **157** (2003), 507-509.
- [4] R. B. Paris, A Kummer-type transformation for a  ${}_2F_2$  hypergeometric function, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **173** (2005), 379-382.
- [5] L. J. Slater, *Confluent Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1960.

Arjun K. Rathie  
Department of Mathematics  
Govt. College Sujangarh  
Distt. Churu, Rajasthan, India  
*Email* : akrathie@rediffmail.com

Yong Sup Kim  
Department of Mathematics  
Wonkwang University  
Iksan 570-749  
South Korea  
*Email* : yspkim@wonkwang.ac.kr