

THE STABILITY OF A DERIVATION ON A BANACH ALGEBRA

EUN HWI LEE, ICK-SOON CHANG AND YONG-SOO JUNG

Abstract. In this article, we show that for an approximate derivation on a Banach $*$ -algebra, there exist a unique derivation near the an approximate derivation and for an approximate derivation on a C^* -algebra, there exist a unique derivation near the approximate derivation.

1. Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [23] posed the following problem concerning the stability of homomorphism. We are given a group G_1 and a metric group (G_2, d) . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) \leq \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then a homomorphism $g : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ exists with $d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$

As an answer to the problem of Ulam, D. H. Hyers [8] in 1941 has proved the stability of linear functional equation. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings was given by Th. M. Rassias [17]:

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with X, Y Banach spaces, such that $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for all fixed $x \in X$. Suppose that there exist

Received January 21, 2006. Revised March 16, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 39B52, 39B72.

Key words and phrases : Stability, derivation, approximate derivation, functional equation, Banach $*$ -algebra.

constant $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then there exists a unique \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2-2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Since then, the stability problems of several functional equation have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (for instance, [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 12, 11, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]). In Particular, P. Găvruta [7] in 1994 generalized the result of Rassias as the following:

Let G, X be an abelian group and a Banach spaces, respectively. Denote by $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a mapping such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y)$$

or all $x, y \in X$. Suppose that $f : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : G \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$$

for all $x \in G$.

In 1988, B. E. Johnson [10] investigated almost algebra $*$ -homomorphisms between Banach $*$ -algebras. Recently, C. Park [16] also proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of algebra homomorphisms on Banach $*$ -algebras and automorphisms on C^* -algebra.

Now we deal with a derivation d in algebra A , i.e., a linear mapping of A into A such that

$$d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y.$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that for an approximate derivation on a Banach $*$ -algebra, there exist a unique derivation near the approximate derivation and for an approximate derivation on a C^* -algebra, there exist a unique derivation near the an approximate derivation.

2. Stability of derivations on a Banach $*$ -algebra

In this section, let A be a Banach $*$ -algebra and A_{sa} the set of self-adjoint elements in A . We also denote by

$$S := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}.$$

As a matter of convenience, for given mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$, we use the following abbreviation:

$$D_\mu f(x, y) := f(\mu x + \mu y) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $x, y \in A$.

Let $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y) < \infty$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping satisfying (2.1). Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a function such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \|D_\mu f(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \|f(zw) - zf(w) - f(z)w\| \leq \varphi(z, w)$$

for all $x, y \in A$, for all $\mu = 1, i$, and for all $z, w \in A_{sa}$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for all fixed $x \in A$, then there exists a unique derivation $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Let $\mu = 1$ in (2.2). Then, by Găvruta's theorem, there exists a unique additive mapping $d : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the inequality (2.4), where

$$d(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$.

If we use the same argument as in the proof of [17, Theorem], then we see that d is \mathbb{R} -linear mapping. By replacing y by $2^{n-1}x$ in (2.2), then we find that

$$\|f(2^n \mu x) - 2\mu f(2^{n-1}x)\| \leq \varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x)$$

for $\mu = 1, i$ and for all $x \in A$.

Then, from this, we can show that

$$\|\mu f(2^n x) - 2\mu f(2^{n-1}x)\| = \|f(2^n x) - 2f(2^{n-1}x)\| \leq \varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x)$$

for $\mu = 1, i$ and for all $x \in A$.

Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(2^n \mu x) - 2\mu f(2^{n-1}x)\| &\leq \|f(2^n \mu x) - 2\mu f(2^{n-1}x)\| \\ &\quad + \|\mu f(2^n x) - 2\mu f(2^{n-1}x)\| \\ &\leq \varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x) + \varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x) \end{aligned}$$

for $\mu = 1, i$ and for all $x \in A$.

By dividing both sides by 2^n in preceding inequality, we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^n \mu x)}{2^n} - \mu \frac{f(2^{n-1}x)}{2^{n-1}} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Hence it follows that

$$d(\mu x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n \mu x)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \mu d(x)$$

for $\mu = 1, i$ and for all $x \in A$.

For all element $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda = s + it$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. So we note that

$$d(\lambda x) = d(sx + itx) = sd(x) + td(ix) = sd(x) + itd(x) = (s + it)d(x) = \lambda d(x)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $x \in A$.

Therefore we conclude that

$$d(\zeta x + \eta y) = \zeta d(x) + \eta d(y)$$

for all $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $x, y \in A$, i.e., d is \mathbb{C} -linear mapping.

If we substitute $z := 2^n z$ in(2.3) and multiply $1/2^n$, then we deduce that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^n zw)}{2^n} - zf(w) - \frac{f(2^n z)}{2^n} w \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n z, w)$$

for all $z, w \in A_{sa}$ and thence we get

$$d(zw) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n zw)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[zf(w) + \frac{f(2^n z)}{2^n} w \right] = zf(w) + d(z)w$$

for all $z, w \in A_{sa}$.

Replace w by $2^n w$ in the above relation and divide both sides by 2^n , then we have by additivity of d

$$d(zw) = z \frac{f(2^n w)}{2^n} + d(z)w,$$

for all $z, w \in A_{sa}$.

Taking the limit, we arrive at

$$d(zw) = zd(w) + d(z)w,$$

for all $z, w \in A_{sa}$.

For elements $x, y \in A$, $x = \frac{x+x^*}{2} + i \frac{x-x^*}{2i}$ and $y = \frac{y+y^*}{2} + i \frac{y-y^*}{2i}$, where $x_1 := \frac{x+x^*}{2}$, $x_2 := \frac{x-x^*}{2i}$ and $y_1 := \frac{y+y^*}{2}$, $y_2 := \frac{y-y^*}{2i}$ are selfadjoint.

Since d is \mathbb{C} -linear mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} d(xy) &= d(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 + ix_1y_2 + ix_2y_1) \\ &= (x_1 + ix_2)(d(y_1) + id(y_2)) + (d(x_1) + id(x_2))(y_1 + iy_2) \\ &= xd(y) + d(x)y \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in A$, which the proof is now complete. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping satisfying (2.1). Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a function such that the inequalities (2.2) and (2.3) for all $\mu \in \mathbf{S}$, for all $x, y \in A$, and for all $z, w \in A_{sa}$. Then there exists a unique derivation $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that the inequality (2.4) for all $x \in A$.*

Proof. Set $\mu = 1 \in \mathbf{S}$ in (2.2). As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique additive mapping $d : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the inequality (2.4), where

$$d(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we find that

$$d(\mu x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n \mu x)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \mu d(x)$$

for $\mu \in \mathbf{S}$ and for all $x \in A$.

Here and now, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be nonzero and M an integer greater than $4|\lambda|$. Then $|\frac{\lambda}{M}| < \frac{1}{4} < 1 - \frac{2}{3}$. According to the theorem in [13], we see that there exist elements $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in \mathbf{S}$ such that $3\frac{\lambda}{M} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3$. Observe that $d(x) = d(3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}x) = 3d(\cdot \frac{1}{3}x)$ for all $x \in A$. Then we have $d(\frac{1}{3}x) = \frac{1}{3}d(x)$ for all $x \in A$.

Thus it follows that for all $x \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda x) &= d\left(\frac{M}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x\right) = Md\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x\right) = \frac{M}{3} d\left(3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x\right) \\ &= \frac{M}{3} d(\mu_1 x + \mu_2 x + \mu_3 x) = \frac{M}{3} (d(\mu_1 x) + d(\mu_2 x) + d(\mu_3 x)) \\ &= \frac{M}{3} (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) d(x) = \frac{M}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} d(x) = \lambda d(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by additivity of d , we obtain that

$$d(\zeta x + \eta y) = \zeta d(x) + \eta d(y)$$

for all $x \in A$ and for all $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\zeta \neq 0$, $\eta \neq 0$. In particular, set $\zeta = 1, \eta = -1$, and $y = x$ in the above relation. Then $d(0) = d(x - x) = d(x) - d(x) = 0$. So $d(0x) = 0 = 0d(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Therefore d is a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping. The rest of the proof goes through by the same way as that of Theorem 2.1. The proof of Theorem is complete. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$

$$\|f(zw) - zf(w) - f(z)w\| \leq \theta(\|z\|^p + \|w\|^p)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{S}$, for all $x, y \in A$, and for all $z, w \in A_{sa}$. Then there exists a unique derivation $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. In Theorem 2.2, defining $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$, we obtain the desired result. \square

3. Stability of derivations on a unital C^* -algebra

From now on, let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $U(A)$ the set of unitary elements in A . Furthermore, e is the identity element of A .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping satisfying (2.1). Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a function such that (2.2) and*

$$(3.1) \quad \|f(uy) - uf(y) - f(u)y\| \leq \varphi(u, y)$$

for all $\mu = 1, i$, for all $u \in U(A)$, and for all $x, y \in A$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists a unique derivation $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that the inequality (2.4) for all $x \in A$.

Proof. If we utilize the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, then there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$$

for all $x \in A$, where

$$d(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Replace $2^n y$ instead of y in (3.1) and divide both sides by 2^n , then we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^n uy)}{2^n} - u \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} - f(u)y \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(u, 2^n y)$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and for all $x \in A$.

Now taking the limit, we get

$$d(uy) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n uy)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[u \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} + f(u)y \right] = ud(y) + f(u)y$$

for all $u \in U(A)$ and for all $y \in A$.

In the above relation, setting $y = e$ yields $d(u) = ud(e) + f(u)$ for all $u \in U(A)$. Considering $u = e$ in this relation, we obtain $f(e) = 0$. In

particular, we note that

$$2^{-n}d(e) = d(2^{-n}e) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n \cdot 2^{-n}e)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(e)}{2^n} = 0.$$

Hence we conclude that $d(e) = 0$. So that $d(u) = f(u)$ for all $u \in U(A)$.

Since d is \mathbb{C} -linear mapping and all $x \in A$ is a finite combination of unitary elements, i.e., $x = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j v_j$,

$$d(xy) = d\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j u_j y\right) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j u_j d(y) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j f(u_j) y = xd(y) + d(x)y$$

for all $x, y \in A$. This completes the proof of Theorem. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping satisfying (2.1). Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a function such that (2.2) and (3.1) for all $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$, for all $u \in U(A)$, and for all $x, y \in A$. Then there exists a unique derivation $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that the inequality (2.4) for all $x \in A$.*

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$$

for all $x \in A$. The rest of proof is same to the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|D_\mu f(x, y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$

$$\|f(uy) - uf(y) - f(u)y\| \leq \theta(\|u\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$, for all $x, y \in A$, and for all $u \in U(A)$. Then there exists a unique derivation $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Considering $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ in the theorem 3.2, we arrive at the conclusion of the corollary. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank referees for their valuable comments. The second author dedicates this paper to his late father.

References

- [1] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [2] J. Baker, *The stability of the cosine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1980), 411-416.
- [3] F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg and Berlin, 1973.
- [4] I.-S. Chang and Y.-S. Jung, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quadratic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 283(2) (2003), 491-500.
- [5] I.-S. Chang, E. H. Lee and H.-M. Kim, *On Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation*, Math. Ineq. Appl. 6(1) (2003), 87-95.
- [6] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math., 27 (1984), 76-86.
- [7] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [9] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *"Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables"*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [10] B.-E. Johnson, *Approximately multiplicative maps between Banach algebras*, J. London. Math. Soc., 37 (2) (1988), 126-137.
- [11] Y.-S. Jung and I.-S. Chang, *The stability of a cubic type functional equation with the fixed point alternative*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 306(2) (2005), 752-760.
- [12] Y.-S. Jung and K.-H. Park, *On the stability of the functional equation $f(x + y + xy) = f(x) + f(y) + xf(y) + yf(x)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 274(2) (2002), 659-666.
- [13] R. V. Kadison and G. Pedersen, *Means and Convex combinations of unitary operators*, Math. Scand., 57 (1985), 249-266.

- [14] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of operator Algebras*, Vol I: Elementary Theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol 100, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [15] C. Park, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 275 (2002), 711-720.
- [16] ———, *On an approximate automorphism*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132(6) (2003), 1739-1745.
- [17] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [18] ———, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 251 (2000), 264-284.
- [19] ———, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Math. Appl., 62 (2000), 23-130.
- [20] Th. M. Rassias (Ed.), *“Functional Equations and inequalities”*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht/ Boston/ London, 2000.
- [21] Th. M. Rassias and J. Tabor, *What is left of Hyers-Ulam stability?*, Journal of Natural Geometry, 1 (1992), 65-69.
- [22] F. Skof, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 53 (1983), 113-129.
- [23] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, (1960) Chap. VI, Science ed., Wiley, New York.

Eun Hwi Lee

Department of Mathematics

Jeonju University

Jeonju 560-759, Korea

Email : ehl@jj.ac.kr

Ick-Soon Chang

Department of Mathematics

Mokwon University

Taejon 302-729, Korea

Email : ischang@mokwon.ac.kr

Yong-Soo Jung

Department of Mathematics

Chungnam National University

Taejon 305-764, Korea

Email : ysjung@math.cnu.ac.kr