

A STUDY ON MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF TiN, ZrN AND WC COATED FILM ON THE TITANIUM ALLOY SURFACE

Dong-Joon Oh, D.D.S., M.S.D., Hee-Jung Kim, D.D.S., M.S.D., Ph.D.,
Chae-Heon Chung, D.D.S., M.S.D., Ph.D.

Department of Prosthodontics, College of Dentistry, Chosun University, Korea

Statement of problems. In an attempt to reduce screw loosening, dry lubricant coatings such as pure gold or teflon have been applied to the abutment screw. However, under repeated tightening and loosening procedures, low wear resistance and adhesion strength of coating material produced free particles on the surface of abutment screw and increased frictional resistance resulting in screw tightening problems.

Purpose. The aim of this study was to compare friction coefficient, adhesion strength, vickers hardness and evaluate coating surface of titanium alloy specimens coated with TiN(titanium nitride), ZrN(zirconium nitride) and WC(tungsten carbide).

Material and method. Titanium alloy(Ti-6Al-4V) discs of 12mm in diameter and 1mm in thickness divided into 4 groups. TiN, ZrN and WC was coated for the specimens of 3 groups respectively, and those of 1 group were not coated. Each group was made up of 4 specimens. In this study, sputtering method was used among the PVD(Physical Vapor Deposition) techniques available for TiN, ZrN and WC coatings. Friction coefficient, adhesion strength, vickers hardness and coating surface of 4 groups were measured.

Results.

1. For all three coating conditions, friction coefficient was significantly decreased. Especially, ZrN coated surface showed the lowest value. TiN(0.39 ± 0.02), ZrN(0.24 ± 0.01), WC(0.31 ± 0.03).
2. TiN coating showed the highest adhesion strength, however ZrN coating had the lowest value. TiN($25.3N \pm 1.6$), ZrN($14.8N \pm 0.6$), WC($18.4N \pm 0.7$).
3. Vickers hardness of all three coatings was remarkably increased as compared with that of none coated specimen. TiN coating had the highest Vickers hardness, however WC coating showed the lowest value. TiN(1865.2 ± 33.8), ZrN(1814.4 ± 18.6), WC(1008.5 ± 35.9).
4. The ZrN or WC coated specimen showed a homogeneous and smooth surface, however the rough surface with defects was observed for TiN coating.

Conclusions. When TiN, ZrN and WC coating applied to the abutment screw, frictional resistance would be reduced, as a result, the greater preload and prevention of the screw loosening could be expected.

Key Words

TiN(titanium nitride), ZrN(zirconium nitride), WC(tungsten carbide), Screw loosening

※ This study was supported by research funds from Chosun University, 2005.

Recently, dentists are administering prosthetic treatments using dental implants more than ever before on many occasions and indeed, implant prosthesis can restore stomatognathic function close to a normal level. Implant prostheses can be attached to their superstructure or fixtures in two different ways: cement retained type and screw retained type. Although each type has its own merit comparing with the other, clinicians has preferred to use the screw retained type because of the retrievability. Although the screw retained type deserves its credit for the structure can be removed more easily, it may also cause problems such as screw loosening. Jemt^{1,2} reported that mobile prostheses caused by the loosened gold screws was the most common problem after the final tightening.^{1,2} Worthington et al.³ also reported that abutment screw had more problems such as loosening and fracture than any other components. Minimizing the screw loosening is essential for long term successful rates. Therefore, it is essential for clinicians to understand the mechanics and physical properties of the screw.

Forces attempting to disengage the parts are called "joint separating forces". The force keeping the parts together can be called the "clamping force". Screw loosening occurs when the joint separating forces acting on the screw joint are greater than the clamping forces holding the screw unit together.⁴ To achieve secure assemblies, screw should be tensioned to produce greater clamping force than separating force. Clamping load is usually proportionate to the tightening torque. Applied torque developing force within a screw called "preload". Preload is the initial load in tension on the screw.⁴ This tensile force on the screw develops a compressive clamping force between the parts. Increasing the torque will increase the preload. Increasing preload will maximize the stability of the screw joint by

increasing clamping threshold that separating forces must overcome to cause screw loosening.^{4,5}

But the torque applied to the screw joint during tightening is not entirely translated into screw preload since part of this torque is expended to overcome friction. About 50% of the energy transmitted by torque tightening is expended to overcome the friction between the abutment screw head and the abutment-seating surface. About 40% of the applied torque is used to overcome thread friction and only 10% produces the screw tension.^{6,8} Thus we have to maximize preload by minimizing the loss of torque for the stability of screw joint assemblies.

In an attempt to reduce frictional resistance, dry lubricant coating has been applied to the abutment screw. The notable products were GoldTite(Implant Innovation, 3i) coated with 0.76 μm thickness of pure gold and TorqTite(Nobel Biocare, Steri-oss) coated with teflon.⁹ Martin et al.¹⁰ reported that GoldTite and TorqTite abutment screw with enhanced surfaces produced greater rotational angles and preload values than the conventional gold alloy and titanium alloy screws. However, under repeated tightening and loosening procedures, low wear resistance and adhesion strength of coating material produced free particles on the surface of abutment screw and increased frictional resistance resulting in screw tightening problems. Therefore, further studies for surface treatment of abutment screw would be need to minimize frictional resistance as well as increase surface stability and strength.

TiN (titanium nitride), ZrN (zirconium nitride) and WC(tungsten carbide) coated surface has been considered as the solution of the surface treatment problems. Some studies have reported that TiN, ZrN, WC coatings could decrease the coefficient of friction and improve resistance of corrosion and physical properties.^{11,12}

The aim of this study was to compare friction coefficient, adhesion strength, vickers hardness and evaluate coating surface of titanium alloy specimens coated with TiN, ZrN and WC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Materials

Titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) discs of 12mm in diameter and 1mm in thickness divided into 4 groups. TiN, ZrN and WC was coated for the specimens of 3 groups respectively, and those of 1 group were not coated. Each group was made up of 4 specimens (Fig. 1, Table I).

2. Methods

1) Mounting of titanium alloy disc

Each specimen was mounted in liquid unsaturated polyester as shown in fig. 2. The mounting




Fig. 1. Titanium alloy discs used in this study.

media (Epovia, Cray Valley Inc. Korea) was a 2-part system made up of a resin and hardener (Fig. 2). Two components were mixed together and poured to be cured overnight.

2) Polishing and ultrasonic cleansing of mounted specimens

All specimens were polished with automatic polishing unit (Mecapol P 260, Presi, France) in which 200, 600, 1000 and 1200 grit silicon carbide paper were used in order. Micropolishing was finally done with Al_2O_3 (0.3 μm) (Fig. 3). The polished specimens were cleansed in the solution of alcohol and acetone by ultrasonic cleaner (Branson 3510, Branson, USA) for 10 minutes (Fig. 4).

3) TiN, ZrN and WC film coating using RF sputtering

In this study, sputtering method was used among the PVD (Physical Vapor Deposition) techniques available for TiN, ZrN and WC coatings. TiN, ZrN and WC was coated by magnetron sputtering equipment (Fig. 5). Table II shows coating conditions of TiN, ZrN and WC.

4) Measurement of friction coefficient for coated specimens

Mobile friction meter (SF-2, FACE, Japan) were used to measure friction coefficient of the coated specimens (Fig. 6). Each specimen was measured 10 times.

Table I. Kinds of coated materials used in this study

Group of specimen	Coated materials	Diameter * Length of specimen
A	TiN	ϕ 12 * 1mm
B	ZrN	ϕ 12 * 1mm
C	WC	ϕ 12 * 1mm
D	Uncoated	ϕ 12 * 1mm



Fig. 2. Mounting media(Epovia, CrayValley Inc. Korea) and specimens which were mounted.




Fig. 3. Polishing unit (Mecapol P 260, Presi, France).



Fig. 4. Ultrasonic cleaner (Branson 3510, Bransonic, USA).




Fig. 5. Magnetron sputtering equipment and flow chart.

Table II. Coating conditions of TiN, ZrN and WC

	TiN	ZrN	WC
Target	Pure Ti	Pure Zr	Pure W
Base pressure	3.0×10^{-5} Torr	3.0×10^{-5} Torr	3.0×10^{-5} Torr
Working pressure	2.0×10^{-2} Torr	2.0×10^{-2} Torr	2.0×10^{-2} Torr
Gas	N ₂ (35sccm)	N ₂ (35sccm)	C ₂ H ₂ (35sccm)
	Ar(5sccm)	Ar(5sccm)	Ar(5sccm)
Operation Temperature	150° C	150° C	150° C
Pre-sputtering	20min	20min	20min
Deposition Time	15min	15min	15min
Power supply	100W	100W	100W



Fig. 6. Mobile friction meter.




Fig. 7. Scratch tester.



Fig. 8. Vickers hardness tester (MXT70, Matszawa Seiki Co. Japan).



Fig. 9. FE-SEM instruments (XL 30 SFEG by Phillips Co.).

5) Measurement of adhesion strength of coated specimen

Adhesion strength were measured with scratch tester(Revetest, CSM instrument, Switzerland), in which adhesion strength is evaluated by the analysis of friction coefficient and acoustic emission(Fig. 7). One specimen in each group were randomly selected, and adhesion strength was measured 10 times for each specimen.

6) Vickers hardness test of each specimen

One specimen in each group were randomly selected, and vickers hardness was measured 10 times for each specimen(Fig. 8).

7) Surface roughness investigation using FE-SEM instruments

The coated surfaces of specimens were observed by FE-SEM(Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy, XL 30 SFEG, Phillips Co., Netherlands) with the magnification of 1000 times(Fig. 9).

8) Statistical analysis

SPSS version 10.0.7 program has been utilized for statistics. Statistical significances were tested by oneway ANOVA(analysis of variances) among

groups and significant difference between groups was tested by Tukey' s multiple comparison test.

RESULTS

1. Friction coefficient

The magnitude of friction coefficient was greater in order of uncoated group, TiN group, WC group, ZrN group. Friction coefficient of three coated groups was remarkably reduced as compared with that of uncoated group(Table III).

2. Vickers hardness

The magnitude of vickers hardness was greater in order of TiN group, ZrN group, WC group, uncoated group. Vickers hardness of three coated groups was increased as compared with that of uncoated group(Table IV).

3. Adhesion strength

The magnitude of adhesion strength was greater in order of TiN group, WC group, ZrN group (Table V).

Table III. Friction coefficient for three coated groups


Coated materials	Mean	S.D.	P-value
TiN	0.39	0.02	<0.05
ZrN	0.24	0.01	
WC	0.31	0.03	
Uncoated	0.48	0.01	

Table IV. Vickers hardness of three coated groups


Coated materials	Mean (Hv)	S.D.	P-value
TiN	1865.2	33.8	<0.05
ZrN	1814.4	18.6	
WC	1008.5	35.9	
Uncoated	313.9	18.9	

Table V. Adhesion strength of three coated group


Coated materials	Mean (N)	S.D.	P-value
TiN	25.3	1.6	<0.05
ZrN	14.8	0.6	
WC	18.4	0.7	




Group A (TiN)



Group B (ZrN)



Group C (WC)



Group D (Uncoated)

Fig. 10. SEM investigation of TiN, ZrN and WC coated film ($\times 1000$).

4. Coating surface investigation

The coating surface was observed and evaluated by FE-SEM. The SEM micrographs of TiN, ZrN or WC coating surface are shown in Fig. 10. ZrN or WC coated specimen showed the homogeneous and smooth surface. However TiN coated specimen showed the rough surface with some defects.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have been reported that the most common problem associated with dental implant system is loosening of the screw that holds the prosthesis and implant together.¹⁻³ To solve the screw loosening problems, 3i (Implant Innovations Inc) altered the palladium-gold abutment screw

surface itself, adding a solid lubricant to decrease the friction coefficient and increase preload values. Steri-Oss (Nobel Biocare) also altered the surface of its titanium abutment screw through a treatment process to decrease friction coefficient and increase fatigue strength.^{9,13}

Under repeated tightening and loosening procedures, however, free particles on the surface of abutment screw were produced because of the low wear resistance and adhesion strength of coating material. The particles increased frictional resistance and lead to the problems in tightening the screw.¹⁰ From those reasons, improvement of coating material has become a prominent figure to get better mechanical properties.

Thin film coatings are achieved by using CVD(chemical vapor deposition) or PVD. Thin hard coatings deposited by CVD or PVD are used to improve metallic surface properties for industrial purposes. Its high hardness, low coefficient of friction and resistance to adhesive wear are favourable, for example, for drills and cutting tools.^{12,14-16} CVD deposition takes place at a temperature of about 1000° C, and thus its range of applications is limited. Much lower deposition temperature can be achieved with PVD processes between 150° C and 500° C.¹⁴ Valvoda et al.¹⁷ mentioned that such a high stress was unlikely able to originate from the different thermal expansion coefficients of the coatings and the substrate with respect to the low deposition temperature.

In this study, sputtering method was used among the PVD techniques available for TiN, ZrN and WC coatings. Sputtering has been one of the most commonly used method because dense structure films and better adhesion could be obtained comparing with the CVD coating. Sputtering is a physical process whereby atoms in a solid target material are ejected into the gas phase due to bombardment of the material by energetic ions.¹¹ Sputtering is largely driven by

momentum exchange between the ions and atoms in the material, due to collisions. Although the first collision pushes atoms deeper into the cluster, subsequent collisions between the atoms can result in some of the atoms near the surface being ejected away from the cluster. The number of atoms ejected from the surface per incident ion is called the "sputter yield" and is an important measure of the efficiency of the sputtering process. Other things the sputter yield depends on are the energy of the incident ions, the masses of the ions and target atoms, and the binding energy of atoms in the solid. The ions for the sputtering process are supplied by a plasma that is induced in the sputtering equipment. In practice a variety of techniques is used to modify the plasma properties, especially ion density, to achieve the optimum sputtering conditions, including usage of RF alternating current, utilization of magnetic fields, and application of a bias voltage to the target. The sputtered atoms, those ejected into the gas phase, are not in their thermodynamic equilibrium state. Therefore, they tend to condense back into the solid phase upon colliding with any surface in the sputtering chamber. This results in deposition of the sputtered material on all surfaces inside the chamber. This phenomenon is used extensively in the semiconductor industry to deposit thin films of various materials onto silicon wafers. One important advantage of sputtering as a deposition technique is that the deposited films have the same composition as the target material.

Friction coefficient

To achieve optimum joint stability, preload should be as high as possible and frictional resistance causing loss of torque should be minimize.¹⁸ For that reason, it is important to reduce frictional resistance while tightening screw.

Frictional force depends on the properties of the two contact materials, lubricant and preload. Martin et al.¹⁰ mentioned that abutment screw with enhanced surfaces reduced the coefficient of friction resulting in greater rotation angles and preload values than the conventional abutment screw. Elias et al.⁷ also mentioned that the greater the preload applied to a screw joint, up to a maximum equal to the ultimate strength, the greater the resistance to loosening and the more stable the joint. As frictional force is proportionate to friction coefficient, low friction coefficient of abutment screw could produce greater rotational angle and preload, which decrease the screw loosening.^{5,10}

From the results of this study, the coated groups had lower coefficient of friction than non-coated control groups. In particular, the ZrN coating showed the lowest coefficient of friction. When TiN, ZrN and WC coating applied to the abutment screw, frictional resistance would be reduced, as a result, the greater preload and prevention of the screw loosening could be expected.

However, according to Elias⁷, this results might have adverse effects on the stability of abutment screws in a lower opening torque. Hagiwara and Chashi¹⁹ noted that abutment screw removal torque values decreased with a reduction in the friction coefficient. Therefore, further studies should be needed whether too high reduction of coefficient of friction promotes screw loosening.

Vickers hardness

A significant mechanism that results in screw loosening of implant prostheses is the settling effect. The settling effect,^{5,20} which plays a critical role in screw stability, is the result of no surface being completely smooth. Settling occurs when the microsurface irregularities of the contacting component surfaces become burnished flat from

functional loads and vibrations. Wear of the contact areas brings the two surfaces closer together. Sakaguchi et al.²¹ reported that 2% to 10% of the initial preload is lost as a result of settling. Consequently, the torque necessary to remove a screw is less than the torque initially used to place the screw. When settling exceeds the elastic elongation of the screw, the screw loosening occurs because there are no longer any contact forces to hold it in place.^{20,22} The extent of settling depends on the initial surface roughness, surface hardness, and magnitude of the loading forces.^{5,20,22} Therefore, high surface hardness of the abutment screw could diminish settling effect.

In this study, TiN, ZrN, WC coating experimental groups showed the significant increasing Vickers hardness comparing with the control group. Particularly, from the result that TiN and ZrN had high Vickers hardness in this measurement. TiN or ZrN coated abutment screw could be expected to reduce the settling effect.

SEM investigation of coating surface

Mezger et al.²³ mentioned that although TiN coating certainly had remarkable properties, more improvements would be needed, especially regarding to the continuity of the coated surface such as absence of pores or microcracking. In recent study about comparison with TiN and ZrN surface roughness, TiN surface showed more roughness than ZrN surface.¹²

In this study, while ZrN and WC showed smooth and homogeneous surface, TiN had rough surface with defects. The results, TiN had more rough surface than ZrN, was compatible with the previous study. It has been known that coated surface roughness influences to the frictional coefficient. Moreover, smooth coating surface could be expected to reduce the settling effect.

Adhesion strength

In mechanical aspects, the coating adhesion to the surface of the substrate is one of the most important properties.¹⁴ Adhesion strength of coatings is widely studied by using the scratch test. This consists of introducing stresses by deforming the surface by means of indentation of a moving diamond tip. The applied load is increased continuously until film detachment. The smallest load at which the coating is damaged is called the critical load.

Milic et al.¹¹ noted that hard coatings exhibited good corrosion, abrasion and wear resistance. For this reason, the coatings should have good adhesion to the substrate.

In the measurement of TiN, ZrN and WC coating adhesion strength, TiN showed the highest adhesion strength and ZrN had the lowest adhesion strength. When the titanium substrate with bodycentered cubic lattice(bcc) is coated with TiN, good structural match is shown.²⁴ Therefore, it is considered that the match will bring the high adhesion strength.

However, to get more objective information about adhesion strength, further studies with comparative control group would be needed. In addition, study for the clinically acceptable adhesion strength also might be needed.

CONCLUSION

In this study, friction coefficient, adhesion strength and vickers hardness of TiN, ZrN and WC coated film on the titanium alloy surface were evaluated respectively, and the coating surface of titanium alloy specimens were also observed by FE-SEM. The results were as follows :

1. For all three coating conditions, friction coefficient was significantly decreased. Especially, ZrN coated surface showed the lowest value.

2. TiN coating showed the highest adhesion strength, however ZrN coating had the lowest value.
3. Vickers hardness of all three coatings was remarkably increased as compared with that of none coated specimen. TiN coating had the highest Vickers hardness, however WC coating showed the lowest value.
4. The ZrN or WC coated specimen showed a homogeneous and smooth surface, however the rough surface with defects was observed for TiN coating.

Conclusively, when TiN, ZrN and WC coating applied to the abutment screw, frictional resistance would be reduced, as a result, the greater preload and prevention of the screw loosening could be expected.

And TiN, ZrN and WC coated abutment screw expected to reduce the settling effect.

REFERENCES

1. Jemt T. Failures and complications in 391 consecutively inserted fixed prostheses supported by Branemark Implants in edentulous Jaws: A study of treatment from the time of prosthesis placement to the first annual checkup. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1991;6:270-276.
2. Jemt T, Linden B, Lekholm U. Failures and complications in 127 consecutively placed fixed partial prostheses supported by Branemark Implants : From prosthetic treatment to first annual checkup. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:40-44.
3. Worthington P, Bolender CL, Taylor TD. The Swedish system of osseointegrated implant : problems and complications encountered during a 4-year trial period. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1987;2:77.
4. McGlumphy EA, Mendel DA, Holloway JA. Implant screw mechanics. *Dent Clin North Am* 1998;42:71-89.
5. Binon P, Sutter F, Brunski J, Gulbransen H, Weiner R. The role of screws in implant systems. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1994;9:48-63 .
6. Haack JE, Sakaguchi RL, Sun T, Coffey JP. Elongation and preload stress in dental implant abutment screws. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1995;10:529-536.
7. Elias CN, Figueira DC, Rios PR. Influence of the coating material on the loosening of dental implant

- abutment screw joints. *Materials Science and Engineering C* 2005;1-6.
8. Motosh N. Development of design charts for bolts preloaded up to the plastic range. *J Eng Ind* 1976;98:849-851.
 9. Binon PP. Implants and components : Entering the new millenium. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2000;15:76-94.
 10. Martin WC, Woody RD, Miller BH, Miller AW. Implant abutment screw rotations and preloads for four different screw materials and surfaces. *J Prosthet Dent* 2001;86:24-32.
 11. Milic M, Milosavljevic M, Bibic N, Nenadovic T. Mechanical properties of sputtered TiN coatings. *Thin Solid Films* 1985;126:319-323.
 12. Arias DF, Arango YC, Devia A. Characterization of bilayer coatings of TiN/ZrN grown using pulsed arc PAPVD. *Appl. Sur. Sci* 2005;252:1175-1181.
 13. Squier RS, Psoter WJ, Taylor TD. Removal torques of conical, tapered implant abutments : The effects of anodization and reduction of surface area. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:24-27.
 14. Takadoum J, Bennani HH. Influence of substrate roughness and coating thickness on adhesion, friction and wear of TiN films. *Surf. & Coat. Tech.* 1997;96:272-282.
 15. Sundgren JE. Structure and properties of TiN coatings. *Thin Solid Films* 1985;128:21-44.
 16. Polonsky IA, Chang TP, Keer LM, Sproul WD. A study of rolling-contact fatigue of bearing steel coated with physical vapor deposition TiN films: Coating response to cyclic contact stress and physical mechanisms underlying coating effect on the fatigue life. *Wear* 1998;215:191-204.
 17. Valvoda V, Kuzel R, Cerny R. Structure of TiN coatings deposited at relatively high rates and low temperatures by magnetron sputtering. *Thin Solid Films* 1988;156:53-63.
 18. Burguete RL, Johns RB, King T, Patterson EA. Tightening characteristics for screwed joints in osseointegrated dental implants. *J Prosthet Dent* 1994;71:592-599.
 19. Hagiwara M, Chashi N. New tightening technique for threaded fasteners. *Materials and Engineering Proceedings of the International Offshore mechanics and Artic Engineering Symposium vol 3. New York : ASME* 1992;371-376.
 20. Winkler S, Ring K, Ring JD, Boberick KG. Implant screw mechanics and the settling effect : An overview. *J Oral Implantol* 2003;29:242-245.
 21. Sakaguchi RL, Borgersen SE. Nonlinear contact analysis of preload in dental implant screws. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1995;10:295-302.
 22. Jorneus L, Jemt T, Carlsson L. Loads and designs of screw joints for single crowns supported by osseointegrated implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:353-359.
 23. Mezger PR, Creugers NHJ. Titanium nitride coatings in clinical dentistry. *J Dent* 1992;20:342-344.
 24. Calister WD, *Materials Science and Engineering An Introduction*, 5th. Edition. Jhon Willey & Sons, Inc. New York, 2000, pp30-59.

Reprint request to:

CHAE-HEON CHUNG D.D.S., M.S.D., PH.D.
 DEPARTMENT OF PROSTHODONTICS, COLLEGE OF DENTISTRY,
 CHOSUN UNIVERSITY,
 421, SEOSUK-DONG, DONG-GU, GWANGJU, 501-825, KOREA
 jhjung@mail.chosun.ac.kr