

ESTIMATION OF THE NUMBER OF ROOTS ON THE COMPLEMENT

KI-YEOL YANG

ABSTRACT. Let $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ be a map of pairs of compact polyhedra. A surplus Nielsen root number $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$ is defined which is lower bound for the number of roots on $X \setminus A$ for all maps in the homotopy class of f . It is shown that for many pairs this lower bound is the best possible one, as $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$ can be realized without by-passing condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zhao considered the minimum number $MF[f; X \setminus A]$ of fixed points on the complement $X \setminus A$ and defined the Nielsen number on the complementary space of a given map $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (X, A)$, $N(f; X \setminus A)$ which is a lower bound for $MF[f; X \setminus A]$ and has the same basic properties as $N(f; X, A)$ ([8]). Zhao[9] introduced a new concept “surplus Nielsen number”, $SN(f; X \setminus A)$, which is a lower bound for the number of fixed points on $X \setminus A$ for all maps in the homotopy class of f . And he showed that for many pairs this lower bound is the best possible one, as $SN(f; X \setminus A)$ can be realized without the by-passing condition.

This paper is an analogy of Zhao[9]. To determine the minimal number $MR[f; X \setminus A, c]$ of roots at $c \in B$ on $X \setminus A$ for all maps in the homotopy class of a given map $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$, the Nielsen root number on the complementary space $N(f; X \setminus A, c)$ is introduced in Yang[7], which is a lower bound for $MR[f; X \setminus A, c]$.

It is the purpose of this paper to introduce a better lower bound for $MR[f; X \setminus A, c]$, which can be realized without the hypothesis that A can be by-passed. The method used here follows that of Zhao[9]. After some preparation in section 2, the

Received by the editors October 26, 2005, Revised February 15, 2006 .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55M20.

Key words and phrases. Root, surplus Nielsen root number.

This paper was supported (in part) by NON DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Suncheon National University.

surplus Nielsen root number of f on $X \setminus A$, $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$, is defined (Definition 3.1), $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) \geq N(f; X \setminus A, c)$. In section 4, we shall prove that $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) = MR[f; X \setminus A, c]$ if X and every component of $X \setminus A$ is a manifold with dimension different from 2.

2. ROOT CLASSES ON THE SUBSPACE

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of compact polyhedron, and let U be a subset of X which has finitely many arcwise connected components. A root class of $f|_U : U \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a root class of f on U .

Definition 2.1. Two roots x_0 and x_1 of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ on U are said to belong to the same root class of f on U if there exists a path α in U from x_0 to x_1 such that $e_c \simeq f \cdot \alpha \text{ rel}\{0, 1\}$.

It is obvious that every root class of f on U belongs to a root class of f . The root classes of f on U have the same basic properties as original root classes. We repeat some basic properties of root classes, which can be found in [4].

Proposition 2.2. *The root set $\Gamma(f|_U)$ of f on U splits into a disjoint union of root classes on U .*

Proposition 2.3. *Every root class of f on U is an open subset of $\Gamma(f|_U)$.*

Definition 2.4. Let $H : f_0 \simeq f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homotopy. For $x_0 \in \Gamma(f_0|_U)$ and $x_1 \in \Gamma(f_1|_U)$, we say that x_0 and x_1 are H -related on U if there exists a path β in U from x_0 to x_1 such that $H(\beta(t), t) \simeq e_c \text{ rel}\{0, 1\}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $H : f_0 \simeq f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homotopy. Let x_0 belong to a root class \mathbb{R}_0 of f_0 on U and let x_1 belong to a root class \mathbb{R}_1 of f_1 on U . Let x_0 and x_1 be H -related on U . Then x'_0 and x'_1 are H -related on U for any $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0$ and $x'_1 \in \mathbb{R}_1$.*

Definition 2.6. For a root class \mathbb{R}_0 of f_0 on U and a root class \mathbb{R}_1 of f_1 on U , we say that \mathbb{R}_0 and \mathbb{R}_1 are H -related on U if there exist $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0$ and $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}_1$ such that x_0 and x_1 are H -related on U .

By Proposition 2.5, this definition is independent of the choice of x_0 and x_1 .

For a subset $D \subset X \times I$, the subset $D_t = \{x \in X \mid (x, t) \in D\}$ of X will be called the t -slice of D .

Proposition 2.7. *Let $H : f_0 \simeq f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homotopy, and let \mathbb{R}_0 and \mathbb{R}_1 be root classes of f_0 and f_1 on U respectively. Then \mathbb{R}_0 and \mathbb{R}_1 are H -related on U if and only if they are respectively the 0- and 1-slices of a single root class of H on $U \times I$.*

3. SURPLUS NIELSEN ROOT NUMBER $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$

Let (X, A) be a pair of compact polyhedra, then $(X \setminus A)$ consists of finitely many components and every component of $X \setminus A$ is arcwise connected and semilocally 1-connected. Let us consider the map $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$, and a homotopy of the form $H : (X \times I, A \times I) \rightarrow (Y, B)$.

Definition 3.1. A root class \mathbb{R} of f on $X \setminus A$ is said to be a *nonsurplus* root class of f on $X \setminus A$ if there is a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and there is a path $\alpha : I, 0, I - \{1\}, 1 \rightarrow X, x_0, X \setminus A, A$ such that

$$f \cdot \alpha \simeq e_c : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y, c, B.$$

A root class of f on $X \setminus A$ which is not a nonsurplus root class of f on $X \setminus A$ is said to be a *surplus* root class of f on $X \setminus A$.

By Definition 2.1 and Definition 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.2. *A root x_0 of f on $X \setminus A$ belongs to a nonsurplus root class if and only if there exists a path from x_0 to A satisfying the conditions of Definition 3.1.*

Theorem 3.3. *The number of surplus root classes of f on $X \setminus A$ is finite, each of them is a compact subset of X .*

Proof. Let \mathbb{R} be a surplus root class of f on $X \setminus A$, we shall prove \mathbb{R} is compact. Suppose $x_0 \in X - \mathbb{R}$, it suffices to find a neighborhood V of x_0 in X such that $V \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$.

- (i) If $x_0 \notin \Gamma(f, c)$, we can take $V = X - \Gamma(f, c)$.
- (ii) If $x_0 \in \Gamma(f; X \setminus A, c)$, then x_0 belongs to a root class \mathbb{R}' of f on $X - A$. By Proposition 2.2 and 2.3, $\mathbb{R}' \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ and there is a neighborhood V of x_0 in $X \setminus A$ such that $V \cap \Gamma(f; X \setminus A, c) \subset \mathbb{R}'$. Since $X \setminus A$ is an open subset of X , V is also a neighborhood of x_0 in X and $V \cap \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}' \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$.

- (iii) If $x_0 \in A \cap \Gamma(f, c)$. Let C be the component of $X \setminus A$ containing \mathbb{R} . Assume that $x_0 \in cl(C)$, otherwise we can take $V = X - cl(C)$. Pick a neighborhood W of c such that every loop in W is trivial in Y . There is an arcwise connected neighborhood V of x_0 such that $V \subset f^{-1}(W)$. Suppose $x_1 \in V \cap \Gamma(f|_C)$, take a path α in V from x_1 to x_0 with $\alpha(I-1) \subset C$, then $f \cdot \alpha$ in W , hence

$$f \cdot \alpha \simeq e_c : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y, c, B$$

Thus, x_1 is in a nonsurplus root class of f on $X \setminus A$, and this implies that $V \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$.

From the proof above, we also get that the union of all the surplus root classes of f on $X \setminus A$ is a compact set. As in [3, p. 7, Corollary 1.13], we get that the number of surplus root classes of f on $X \setminus A$ is finite. \square

From this theorem, we can define the index of a surplus root class of f on $X \setminus A$ in the same way as in [4], which is a homomorphism from $H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(Y, Y - \{c\})$.

Definition 3.4. A surplus root class \mathbb{R} of f on $X \setminus A$ is *essential* if $\text{ind}(f, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$; *inessential* if $\text{ind}(f, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. The number of essential surplus root classes of f on $X \setminus A$ is called the *surplus Nielsen root number* of f on $X \setminus A$, denoted $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $H : f \simeq g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ be a homotopy, let \mathbb{R}_0 and \mathbb{R}_1 be root classes of f and g on $X \setminus A$ respectively, and let \mathbb{R}_0 and \mathbb{R}_1 be H -related on $X \setminus A$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbb{R}_0 is a surplus root class of f on $X \setminus A$,
- (ii) \mathbb{R}_1 is a surplus root class of g on $X \setminus A$,
- (iii) \mathbb{R}_0 and \mathbb{R}_1 are respectively the 0- and 1-slices of a single surplus root class of H on $(X \times I) - (A \times I)$.

Proof. Since \mathbb{R}_0 and \mathbb{R}_1 are H -related on $X \setminus A$, we can assume, by Proposition 2.7, that \mathbb{R}_0 and \mathbb{R}_1 are respectively the 0- and 1-slices of a single root class \mathbb{R} on $(X \times I) - (A \times I)$.

If \mathbb{R}_0 is a nonsurplus root class of f on $X \setminus A$, then there is a path

$$\alpha : I, 0, I - \{1\}, 1 \rightarrow X, x_0, X \setminus A, A$$

such that

$$e_c \simeq f \cdot \alpha : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y, c, B,$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0$. Define a map $i_0 : X \rightarrow X \times I$ and $j_0 : Y \rightarrow Y \times I$ by $i_0(x) = (x, 0)$ and $j_0(y) = (y, 0)$ respectively. Then we get a path

$$i_0 \cdot \alpha : I, 0, I - \{1\}, 1 \rightarrow X \times I, (x_0, 0), (X \times I) - (A \times I), A \times I$$

with

$$\mathbb{H} \cdot (i_0 \cdot \alpha) = j_0 \cdot (f \cdot \alpha) \simeq j_0 \cdot e_c : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y \times I, (c, 0), B \times I.$$

Note that $(x_0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that \mathbb{R} is a nonsurplus root class of H on $(X \times I) - (A \times I)$.

Furthermore, if \mathbb{R} is a nonsurplus root class on $(X \times I) - (A \times I)$, then there is a path

$$\beta : I, 0, I - \{1\}, 1 \rightarrow X \times I, (x', s), (X \times I) - (A \times I), A \times I$$

with $(x', s) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$e_{(c,s)} \simeq \mathbb{H} \cdot \beta : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y \times I, (c, s), A \times I.$$

Thus, we $x' \in \Gamma(f_s)$, where f_s is the s -slice of H , i.e. $f_s(x) = H(x, s)$. For a $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}_1$, x_1 and x' are respectively in the 1- and s -slices of \mathbb{R} , and then they are H' -related on $X - A$, where $H'(x, t) = H(x, 1 - t + s \cdot t)$ is a homotopy from g to f_s . By Definition 2.4, there is a path γ in $X \setminus A$ from x_1 to x' such that

$$H'(\gamma(t), t) \simeq \gamma(t) \text{rel} \{0, 1\},$$

i.e.,

$$H(\gamma(t), 1 - t + s \cdot t) \simeq \gamma(t) \text{rel} \{0, 1\}.$$

Define maps $p : X \times I \rightarrow X$ and $q : Y \times I \rightarrow Y$ by $p(x, t) = x, q(y, t) = y$ respectively, then the product of γ and $p \cdot \beta$

$$\gamma(p \cdot \beta) : I, 0, I - \{1\}, 1 \rightarrow X, x_1, X \setminus A, A$$

is a path from x_1 to A , and

$$g \cdot \gamma(t) = H(\gamma(t), 1) \simeq H(\gamma(t), 1 - t + s \cdot t) \simeq \gamma(t),$$

$$g \cdot (p \cdot \beta) = H(p \cdot \beta, 1) \simeq q \cdot (\mathbb{H} \cdot \beta) \simeq q \cdot e_{(c,s)}.$$

Moreover, we get

$$e_c \simeq g(\gamma(p \cdot \beta)) : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y, c, B.$$

Thus, \mathbb{R}_1 is a nonsurplus root class of g on $X \setminus A$.

The converse is the same. □

By this lemma we have

Theorem 3.6 (Homotopy invariance). *If two maps $f \simeq g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ are homotopic, then $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) = SN(g; X \setminus A, c)$.*

The next theorem follows directly from Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.6 and the properties of the root index.

Theorem 3.7. *$SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$ is a nonnegative integer. Any map which is homotopic to $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ has at least $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$ roots on $X \setminus A$. Thus, $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) \leq MR[f; X \setminus A, c]$.*

Theorem 3.8. *Let $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ be a map of pairs of compact polyhedra, then $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) \geq N(f; X \setminus A, c)$. If A can be by-passed in X , then $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) = N(f; X \setminus A, c)$.*

Proof. By Definition 3.1 and [8, Theorem 2.3], a root class of f on $X \setminus A$ which is contained in a weakly noncommon root class is a surplus one, all of them lie in an open subset $X \setminus A$ of X . By additivity of the root index, an essential weakly noncommon root class contains at least one essential surplus root class of f on $X \setminus A$. Thus, $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) \geq N(f; X \setminus A, c)$.

If A can be by-passed in X , then a root class of f will contain at most one root class of f on $X \setminus A$. By [8, Lemma 3.5], every surplus root class of f on $X \setminus A$ is contained in a weakly noncommon root class, therefore $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) = N(f; X \setminus A, c)$. \square

Following example shows that our new lower bound $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$ can be greater strictly than $N(f; X \setminus A, c)$.

Example 3.9. Let $X = S^1 = \{e^{\theta i}\}$, and let $A = \{e^0, e^{\pi i}\}$. A map $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (X, A)$ is given by $f(e^{\theta i}) = (e^{-2|\theta - \pi|i})$. The point $c = e^{\pi i}$.

As a map on X , f is homotopic to a map $g : X \rightarrow X$ given by $g(e^{\theta i}) = e^0$. Hence, f has no essential root class. It follows that $N(f; X \setminus A, c) = 0$. But, two roots $\{e^{\frac{\pi}{2}i}, e^{\frac{3\pi}{2}i}\}$ at c lie in different components of $X - A$ and has non-zero indices. Thus, $SN(f; X \setminus A, c) = 2$.

4. MINIMUM THEOREM FOR $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$

Lemma 4.1 *Let (X, A) and (Y, B) be pairs of compact polyhedra, where every component of $X \setminus A$ is a PL manifold with dimension greater than 2. Let x_0 be*

an isolated root of a root finite map $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ on $X \setminus A$. Suppose $\alpha : I, 0, I - \{1\}, 1 \rightarrow X, x_0, X \setminus A, A$ is a path from x_0 to A with $\Gamma(f) \cap \alpha(I) = \{x_0\}$ and

$$e_c \simeq f \cdot \alpha : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y, c, B.$$

Then f is homotopic to a map $f' : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ with

$$\Gamma(f') = (\Gamma(f) - \{x_0\}) \cup \{\alpha(1)\}.$$

Proof. By a perturbation, we can assume that α is a *PL* arc which containing no roots except for starting point. Let $H : (I \times I, \{1\} \times I) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ be the homotopy from e_c to $f \cdot \alpha$, i.e. $H(t, 0) = c$ and $H(t, 1) = f \cdot \alpha(t)$. Since (Y, B) is a simplicial pair, we may assume that c is a vertex of Y and $\{H(1, s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$ is a *PL* arc in B from c to $f(x_0)$. Choose a conic neighborhood $N(f(x_0), \varepsilon) = \{y \in Y \mid d(y, f(x_0)) < \varepsilon\}$ of $f(x_0)$ such that $N \cap H(\{1\}, I)$ is a line segment. We define a homotopy $G : (X \times I, A \times I) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ by

$$G(x, t) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \notin N(c, \varepsilon t) \\ \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon t} d(f(x), f(x_0)) - 1\right) f(x) \\ \quad + \left(2 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon t} d(f(x), f(x_0))\right) f(x_0) & \text{if } 0 < \frac{\varepsilon t}{2} < d(f(x), f(x_0)) \leq \varepsilon t \\ H(1, 1 - t + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} d(f(x), f(x_0))) & \text{if } 0 \leq d(f(x), f(x_0)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon t}{2} \end{cases}$$

(cf. [4]). We define $g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ by $g(x) = G(x, 1)$, then g is homotopic to f with $\Gamma(g) = \Gamma(f) \cup \{\alpha(1)\}$ and $e_c \simeq g \cdot \alpha$.

By using the method in [6] and [2], we can combine the root x_0 to $\alpha(1)$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, A) and (Y, B) be pairs of compact polyhedra such that*

- (1) *X and Y are *PL* manifolds with same dimension,*
- (2) *every component of $X \setminus A$ is a *PL* manifold with dimension greater than 2.*

Then every map $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ is homotopic to a map $g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ with $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$ roots on $X \setminus A$.

Proof. By transversality and homotopy extension, we can assume that f is root-finite and that all roots of f on $X \setminus A$ lie in maximal simplexes. We can unite roots belonging to the same root class of f on $X \setminus A$ as in [6]. Suppose x_0 lies in a nonsurplus root class, then there is a path

$$\alpha : I, 0, I - \{1\}, 1 \rightarrow X, x_0, X \setminus A, A$$

such that

$$e_c \simeq f \cdot \alpha : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y, c, B.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we shall move the root x_0 to $\alpha(1) \in A$. As X has no local cut point, we can take the paths with different terminal points which are not roots. Finally, delete root classes on $X \setminus A$ which consist of a single root of index zero by the usual method ([1, p.123, Theorem 4]). Then we get a map $g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ with $SN(f; X \setminus A, c)$ roots on $X - A$. \square

REFERENCES

1. R. F. Brown: The Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem *Scott, Foresma and Co., Glenview, IL*, (1971).
2. Jezierski, Jerzy: The relative coincidence Nielsen number. *Fundam. Math.* **149** (1996), no. 1, 1–18.
3. B. Jiang: Lectures on Nielsen Fixed Point Theory, Contemporary Mathematics 14. *Amer. Math. Soc. Providence* **49** (1983).
4. T. H. Kiang: The Theory of Fixed Point Classes *The Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Science Press, Beijing*, 1989.
5. H. Schirmer: A relative Nielsen number. *CPacific J. Math.* **122** (1986), 459–473.
6. Lin, Xiaosong: On the root classes of mapping. *Acta Math. Sin., New Ser.* **2**, (1986), no. 3, 199–206.
7. Ki-Yeol Yang: *The Nielsen root number for the complement. J. Korea Soc. Math. Edu. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math.* **8** (2001), no. 1, 61–69.
8. X. Zhao: A relative Nielsen root number for the complement. *In: Topological Fixed Point and Applications(Tianjin, 1988)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1411 (1989), Springer, Berlin, 189-199.
9. ———: Estimation of the number of fixed points on the complement. *Topology and its Appl.* **37** (1990), 257–256.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SUNCHON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SUNCHEON CHEONAM 540-742, KOREA
Email address: gyyang@sunchon.ac.kr