J. ENVIRON. TOXICOL.
Vol. 21, No. 1, 21～26 (2006)

Isolation and Characterization of UV-Inducible Gene *UV150* and *UV200* in Eukaryotic Cells

In Soon Choi

Department of Life Science, Silla University, Pusan 617-736, Korea

진핵세포에서 DNA 상해에 반응하는 유전자 (*UV150*과 *UV200*) 기능연구 분리 및 특성 연구

최 인 순

신라대학교 생물과학과

요 약

본 연구는 DNA 상해유도기작을 규명하기 위하여 하등 진핵생물인 분열형 효모 *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*로부터 subtraction hybridization 방법을 이용하여 자외선 유도 유전자인 *UV150*과 *UV200*을 분리하고 그 유전자 구조와 발현양상을 조사하였다. 분리한 유전자의 발현양상을 Northern hybridization 방법으로 살펴본 결과 자외선 조사 1시간 후부터 발현이 증가되었다. 또한 알킬화제인 Methyl Methanesulfonate (MMS) 처리에 의해서도 발현이 증가되었다. 이 결과 다른 UV-inducible 유전자와는 다르게 분리한 *UV150* 유전자는 UV에 *UV200* 유전자는 MMS에 의하여 발현이 증가됨을 알 수 있었다. 유전자의 기능을 알기 위하여 *URA4* 유전자를 이용하여 null-mutant 세포주를 제조하여 그 특성을 살펴본 결과 분리한 *UV150* 유전자는 세포의 성장에 필수적인 유전자임을 알 수 있었다.

Key words : *UV150*, UV-inducible gene, DNA repair, cell viability, MMS

INTRODUCTION

The DNA damage-tolerance mechanisms involve the cellular responses to damage at or near replication forks. These mechanisms, which do not result on the removal of damage from the genome, include a variety of recombinational and mutagenic processes that are not necessarily unique to the processing of damaged DNA (Birkenbihl and Subramani, 1992; Boothmann *et al.*, 1993). In addition, some damage tolerance mechanisms are associated with a significant increase in mutation frequency, thus providing the potential for genetic diversity within a population of affected cells (Fornace *et al.*, 1989; Harosh and Deschavanne, 1989). The molecular mechanisms of DNA repair and tolerance responses are still unclear in eukaryotic cells.

One of the most interesting aspects of cellular response to DNA damage may be the regulation of its activity. In *E. coli*, four major regulatory systems that control the expression of several genes induced by DNA damages of environmental stresses have

※ To whom correspondence should be addressed.
Tel: +82-51-999-5348, Fax: +82-51-999-5644
E-mail: ischoi@silla.ac.kr

been identified: the SOS response, the adaptive response to alkylation damage, the response to oxidative damage, and the heat-shock response (Maga *et al.*, 1986; Fornace *et al.*, 1988). The SOS response which plays multiple roles in DNA repair, recombination, and mutagenesis provides a molecular model of coordinate gene regulation. A number of DNA damage-inducible genes were recently identified and characterized in eukaryotes. However, available data do not indicate any obvious similarity to inducible responses in prokaryotes.

In higher eukaryotic cells, several cDNA clones showing the inducibility by damaging agents were isolated (Elledge and Davis, 1987; Birkenhihl and Subramani, 1992). Although the functions of most genes are not yet defined, these studies elicited several interesting general insights. Firstly, multiple and diverse DNA-damaging agents can induce expression of several genes, and damage-inducible genes may be ubiquitous (McClanahan and McEntee, 1986; Morrison *et al.*, 1988). Secondly, constitutive expression and inducibility of genes can be influenced by the DNA repair capacity of cells. Thirdly, heat shock treatment induces the expression of some genes which are induced by DNA-damaging agents (Schild *et al.*, 1983; Weinert and Hartwell, 1990).

Among these genes, *DINI* was identified as a gene encoding a regulatory subunit of ribonucleotide reductase (*RNR3*) (Sung *et al.*, 1988). Many of yeast genes with known functions are also inducible by DNA damage. These include *RNR2*, a gene encoding the small subunit of ribonucleotide reductase (Radman *et al.*, 1978); *CDC8* encodes thymidylate kinase; *UBI4*, which encodes polyubiquitin; *POLI*, which encodes DNA polymerase α; *CDC9*, the gene for DNA ligase (Montelone *et al.*, 1981). Among these, *RNR2* and *CDC8* could play indirect roles in DNA repair by providing precursors for repair synthesis. The *CDC8*, *CDC9*, and *POLI* genes are also cell-cycle regulated. The enhanced expression of *CDC9* following exposure to UV-irradiation has been demonstrated in non-cycling stationary phase cultures. Therefore, the induction of this gene is the direct response to UV-irradiation, rather than simple synchronization of cell cycle. The *UBI4* gene which is required for the degradation of proteins is increased in stationary phase and meiosis. The induction of this gene by DNA damaging agents suggests that some genes could be induced in response to aberrant proteins generated by the treatment of DNA damaging agents.

The present study intends to characterize the DNA damage-inducible responses in eukaryotic cells. The fission yeast, *S. pombe*, which displays efficient DNA repair systems, was used in this study as a model system for higher eukaryotes. To study UV-inducible responses in *S. pombe*, ten UV-inducible cDNA clones were isolated from *S. pombe* by using subtration hybridization method. To investigate the expression of isolated genes, the cellular levels of the transcripts of these genes were determined by Northern blot analysis after UV-irradiation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Strains, cell culture, and genetic methods

E. coli strain DH5*a* (F- endA1, hsd17, (r-, mk-), supE44, thi-1, recA1, gyrA96, relA1, lacIqZ-M15) and yeast *S. pombe* strain JY741 (h- ade6- M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18) was used for this study (a gift from Mark Johnston). *E. coli* strains were grown on LB media (1% tryptone, 1% sodium chloride, 0.5% yeast extract). Yeast strain was grown in YE (2% glucose, 0.5% yeast extract) medium supplemented with appropriate amino acids. Standard molecular biology techniques were employed as described (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). *S. pombe* chromosomal DNAs were prepared according to the methods of Choi (1999).

2. Preparation of DNA probe by random priming

The DNA fragment was labeled with [a-^{32}P] dCTP (3,000 Ci/mmole) by random primed DNA labeling method (Feinberg and Vogelstein, 1984). The labeling

reaction was carried out in 20 μL of the standard random priming buffer containing 50 ng of DNA, 30 μCi of [a-^{32}P] dCTP, dATP, dGTP, dTTP and 2 unit of Klenow enzyme for 1 hr at 37°C.

3. Subtraction hybridization

For subtraction hybridization, 15 μg of biotinylated DNA were mixed with 3 μg of single stranded DNA from UV-induced cDNA library and resuspended in 1 X hybridization buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.3 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1 μg/mL poly (A)). The mixture was boiled for 1 minute and then incubated at 68°C for 36 hours. After hybridization, the biotinylated DNA was removed by the addition of streptavidin and extraction with phenol/chloroform. Remaining DNA was converted to double stranded DNA using T7 primer and klenow fragment. Ten microliter of DNA solution was mixed with reaction buffer to final concentrations of 40 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 6.6 mM $MgCl_2$, 500 μM dNTP, 20 ng T7 primer, 5 unit Klenow fragment, 100 unit of T4 DNA ligase, and then incubated at 16°C for 6 hours. This mixture was used directly for transformation into *E. coli* cells.

4. Treatment of DNA damaging agent and northern blot analysis

One hundred milliliters of cells grown to mid exponential stage were harvested, washed and then resuspended in 10 mL of distilled water. The cell suspension was evenly spreaded onto 150 mm petri dish and then exposed to 200 J/m^2 of ultraviolet (UV)-light or 0.25% MMS treatment. The treated cells were inoculated into fresh YE medium, incubated at 30°C in the dark, and collected at indicated times. Total RNA was prepared according to Jang *et al.* (1998). RNA was denatured and electrophoresed in 1.2% agarose containing formaldehyde and transferred onto nitrocellulose filters. The membrane was hybridized with probe DNA fragment at 68°C. After hybridization, the membrane was washed twice in 2X SSPE, 0.1% SDS and 0.2X SSC, 0.01% at 55°C. After the final rince, the membrane was wrapped with plastic wrap, and exposed onto X-ray film (X-Omat, Kodak) for 12 hrs or more.

5. Disruption of the *UV150* gene

The *UV150* gene was replaced by *Ura4+*. The 3.2 *BamHI-BamHI* fragment of *UV150* gene was replaced with the 1.8 kb *Ura4+* gene to create *UV150::Ura4+*. This disruption cassette was used for transformation of yeast.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Isolation of UV inducible gene

DNA damage triggers complex cellular responses in *E. coli* that include induction of several genes involved in repair, recombination and mutagenesis. The SOS response is an example of a stress response, where an environmental stress condition activates transcription of a group of genes (Phipps *et al.*, 1985; Perozzi and Prakash, 1986; Praekelt and Macock, 1990). In these kinds of responses to environmental stress, heat shock response has been found and well characterized in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms (Madura and Prakash, 1990). However, there is no direct evidence for an SOS-like response in eukaryotic cells. For the characterization of UV-inducible response in eukaryotic cells, UV-inducible genes were isolated from *S. pombe* cells by subtraction hybridization methods.

The isolation of UV-inducible genes from *S. pombe* was accomplished using subtraction hybridization method. For the induction of UV-inducible transcripts, *S. pombe* cells in mid-log phase were irradiated with 200 J/m^2 of UV-light and incubated for 3 hours. Poly (A)$^+$ RNA isolation from UV-induced or normal cells were used for templates for cDNA synthesis. For the enrichment of UV-inducible cDNA fragments, substraction hybridization was performed. About 15 μg of single stranded DNA obtained from normal RNA was hybridized with UV-induced RNA. The unhybridized

UV-induced RNA was made cDNA and then ligated into TA cloning vector. After transformation into XL1 blue cells, about 10 clones were obtained as substracted library. To confirm UV-inducibility of these clones, dot blot analysis was performed (Fig. 1). Among these cDNA clone, Two clones showed higher intensity with UV-induced. This isolated gene was designated as *UV150* and *UV200*, respectively. To determine whether the inducibility of the isolated UV-inducible genes by UV-irradiation, total RNAs isolated from *S. pombe* cells were analyzed by Northern blotting using with *in vitro* transcribed RNA probes. To confirm UV-inducibility of the isolated gene, northern blot analysis was performed (Fig. 2). This result was indicated that the *UV150* gene was induced by UV-irradiation. *ACT1* gene was used as an internal control.

Figure 2 shows the induction kinetics of UV-inducible genes by UV-irradiation. The transcripts of *UV150* increased rapidly and reached maximum accumulation at 6 hrs after UV-irradiation. Compared to the message levels of control, the levels of maximal increase were approximately 3 folds to UV-irradiation. In order to investigation whether the increase of *UV150* trascripts was a specific results of UV-irradiation, *UV150* transcript levels were examined after treating the cells to methylmethane sulfonate (MMS). As shown in figure 3, the transcripts of *UV200* was induced by treatment of 0.25% MMS for 30 minutes. These results implied that the effects of damaging agents are complex and different regulatory pathways exist for the induction of these genes. These results



Fig. 1. Screening of substraction hybridization. Equal amount of DNA was transferred onto two sheets of NC filters using slot blot kit and hybridized with ^{32}P-labelled first strand cDNA probes prepared from normal or UV-irradiated *S. pombe* cells. Lane C, probes from normal cells; U, probes from UV-irradiated cells.



Fig. 2. Increase of *UV150* transcript levels by UV-irradiation. Exponentially growing *S. pombe* cells were irradiated with 200 J/m^2 of UV-light and incubated at 30°C for 2, 4, or 6 hours. At the times indicated, total RNA were isolated and the transcript levels of *UV150* were determined by Northern blot analysis. *ACT1* gene used as an internal control. Lane 1, 2, 3, and 4; post-irradiation incubation for 0, 2, 4, and 6 hours, respectively.



Fig. 3. The transcript levels of *UV200* gene in MMS teated cells. Exponentially growing *S. pombe* cells were treated with 0.25% MMS for 30 min, and incubated at 30°C. After incubating, RNA was isolated and northern blot analysis was performed with *in vitro* transcribed *UV200* probes. Lane 1, 2, 3, and 4, post-treated incubation for 0, 2, 4, and 6 hours, respectively.



Fig. 4. Construction of *UV150* gene deletion mutant. (A) the 3.2 kb *BamHI-BamHI* of *UV150* gene was replaced with 1.8 kb *Ura4+* fragment to create deletion murants (*UV150::Ura4+*). (B) Southern Blot confirming *UV150::Ura4+* heterozygotes. The 2.8, 2.0 and 1.3 kb fragments were detected in the heterozygote when it was probed the 3.2 kb *BamHI* fragment of *UV150*. Lanes: 1, heterozygotes with *UV150::Ura4+*; 2 and 3, wild type homozygotes.

imply that *UV200* gene product might be involved in specific cellular response such as DNA repair, recombination or mutagenesis.

2. Gene deletion of *UV150*

To examine the effect of loss of function on cell viability and growth, the targeted disruption of *UV150* was achived. gene deleted strain was constructed. The construction of gene deletion was made in which a 3.2 kb *BamHI-BamHI* fragment, containing the majority of the ORF including the ATG, was replaced by the *Ura4+* gene (Fig. 4A). The generation of gene mutations was verified by Southern blot analysis (Fig. 4B). The viability of deleted strain was assayed by spotting serially diluted cells onto plates. This assay showed that the *UV150* deleted cells has a



Fig. 5. The *UV150* gene restores cell viability and DNA repair. The *UV150* gene is an essential gene for cell growth. Cells from a single colony were cultured, assayed by spotting serially diluted cells onto YE medium, and incubated at 30°C for 3 days. Wild-type, JY741; deleted strain, *UV150* gene replaced by *Ura4+*; *UV150*, isolated UV-inducible gene.

strongly reduced growth (Fig. 5). This result indicated that *UV150* gene is essential for cell viability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by Grant of Silla University (2004).

REFERENCES

Boothmann DA, Meyers M, Fukunaga N and Lee SW. Isolation of X-ray-inducible transcripts from radioresistant human melanoma cells. Proc. Natl. Acid. Sci. USA. 1993; 90: 7200-7204.

Birkenbihl RP and Subramani S. Cloning and characterization of *rad21* an essential gene of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* involved in DNA double strand break repair. Nuclei Acid Res. 1992; 20: 6605-6611.

Choi IS Isolation and characterization of new family genes DNA damage in yeast. Environmental Mutagens & Carcinogens. 1999; 19(1): 28-33.

Elledge SJ and Davis RW. Identification and isolation of the gene encoding the small submit of ribonucleotide reductase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: DNA damage-inducible gene required for mototic viability. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1987; 7: 2783-2793.

Feinberg AP and Vogelstein B. A technique for radiolabelling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. Anal. Biochem. 1984; 137: 266-267.

Harosh I and Deschavanne P. The *RAD3* gene is a member of the DEAH family RNA helicase-like protein. Nucleic Acids Res. 1989; 19: 6331.

Jang YK, Jin YH, Kim M, Fabre F. Hong SH and Park SD. Molecular cloning of rhp51^{+} gene in *Schizosccharomyces pombe*, whose amino acid sequence is highly conserved from prokarytic RecA to the mammalian Rad51 homolog. Gene. 1998; 5: 130-142.

Madura K and Prakash S. Transcript levels of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA repair gene *RAD23* increase in response to UV light and in meiosis but remain constant in the mitotic cell cycle. Necleic Acid Res. 1990; 18: 4737-4742.

Maga JA, McClanahan TA and McEntee K. 1986. Transcriptional regulation of DNA damage responsive (DDR) genes in different rad mutant strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 1986; 205: 276-284.

McClanahan T and McEntee K. DNA damage and heat shock dually regulated genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Cell. Biol. 1986; 6: 90-95.

Montelone BA, Prakash S and Prakash L. Recombination and mutagenesis in *rad6* mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* : Evidence for multiple functions of the *RAD6* gene. Mol. Gen. Genet. 1981; 184: 410-415.

Morrison A, Miller EJ and Prakash L. Domain structure and functional analysis of the carboxyl-terminal polyacidic sequence of the *RAD6* protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell Biol. 1988; 8: 1179-1185.

Perozzi G and Prakash S. *RAD7* gene of *Saccharomyses cerevisiae*: transcript, nucleotide sequence analysis and functional relationship between the *RAD7* and *RAD23* gene products. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1986; 6: 1497-1507.

Phipps J, Nasim A and Miller DR. Recovery, repair, and mutagenesis in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Adv. Genetics. 1985; 23: 1-72.

Praekelt UM and Macock PA. *HSP12*, a new small heat shock gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* : analysis of structure, regulation and function. Mol. Gen. Genet. 1990; 233: 97-106.

Radman M, Villani G, Boiteux S, Kinsella AR, Clickman BW and Spadari S. Replication fidelity : mechanisms of mutation avoidance and mutation fixation. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant, Biol. 1978; 43: 937.

Sambrook J and Russell DW. 2991 Molecular cloning. A laboratory mannual. Cold Spring Harbor.

Schild D, Konfort B, Perez C, Gish W and Mortimer RK. Isolation and characterization of yeast DNA repair genes. I. Cloning of the *RAD52* gene. Curr. Genet. 1983; 7: 85-92.

Sung P, Prakash S and Prakash L. The *RAD6* protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* polyubiquitinates histones, and its acidic domain mediated the specificity. Genes. Dev. 1988; 2: 1476-1485.

Weinert TA and Hartwell LH. Characterization of *RAD9* of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and evidence that its function acts posttranslationally in cell cycle arrest after DNA damage. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1990; 54: 6564-6572.