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#### Abstract

On a groupoid satisfying the Austin's identity, every n-ary linear term is essentially $n$-ary. That is, if a term has no variables appearing more than once, then the term depends on every variable it involves.


## 1. Introduction

A groupoid is a pair $(G, \cdot)$ of a set $G$ and a binary operation '.' defined on $G$. A term or a word in a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ of symbols is an expression built, up from $X$ using the groupoid operation. We use the notation $x^{2}$ for the term $x x$. Thus $x^{2} x, x x^{2}$ and $x^{2} x^{2}$ represent $(x x) x, x(x x)$ and $(x x)(x x)$, respectively.

A term is called $n$-ary if it involves $n$ distinct variables in its expression, and linear if each variable appears at most once in the expression. On a groupoid ( $G, \cdot)$, an $n$-ary term $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ defines a mapping of $G^{n}$ into $G$ by substitution. A mapping defined by a term in this way is called a term function. An n-ary term is called essentially $n$-ary over a groupoid $(G, \cdot)$ if, as a term function, it depends on each $x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. That is,

$$
f\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \neq f\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i-1}, c, a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)
$$

for some elements $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n}, b, c$ in $G$.
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By $p_{n}(G, \cdot)$, we denote the number of all essentially $n$-ary terms over $(G, \cdot)$ for all $n \geq 0$. We say that a groupoid $(G, \cdot)$ is term infinite if $p_{n}(G, \cdot)$ is infinite for all $n \geq 2$. Of course, term infinite algebras are infinite but not conversely.

A groupoid $(G, \cdot)$ is called nontrivial if $G$ has more than one element, and proper if the basic operation $x y$ is essentially binary. In another word, a groupoid which is neither a left-zero semigroup nor right-semigroup is proper.

The groupoid identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) x\right)\left(\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right) z\right)=x\right. \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Austin's identity, and a groupoid ( $G, \cdot \cdot$ ) satisfying this identity is called an Austin's groupoid. Since its appearance in [1], this identity appeared in many papers ([3], [4], [5], [6], [8]), because the identity initiated the research on identities which have no nontrivial finite models.

A nontrivial Austin's groupoid has the following interesting properties.

Theorem 1. ([1], [2]) Every nontrivial Austin's groupoid is infinite.
Theorem 2. ([6]) Every nontrivial Austin's groupoid is terminfinite.

In [7], in comparison with the Austin's identity, it was shown that the identity $\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) x\right)\left(y^{2} z\right)=x$ is the shortest groupoid identity which has no nontrivial finite models.

In this paper, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 3. On a non-trivial Austin's groupoid, every n-ary linear term is essentially $n$-ary for all $n \geq 1$.

## 2. Some properties of Austin's groupoids

An element $a$ of a groupoid is called idempotent if $a^{2}=a$.
For every $n \geq 1$, define two special terms $f_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) & =\left(\left(\cdots\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) x_{3}\right) \cdots\right) x_{n-1}\right) x_{n} \\
\text { and } \quad g_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) & =x_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(\cdots\left(x_{n-2}\left(x_{n-1} x_{n}\right)\right) \cdots\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

With a groupoid $(G, \cdot)$ and an element $a$ of $G$, we define a mapping $T_{a}: G \rightarrow G$ by $T_{a}(x)=\left(a^{2} a\right) x$ for all $x$ in $G$.

Lemma 2.1. If $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial Austin's groupoid, then we have the following.
i. For each a in $G$, the mapping $T_{a}$ is injective.
ii. $(G, \cdot)$ is proper.
iii. $(G, \cdot)$ has no idempotent element.
iv. The terms $x, x^{2}$ and $x^{2} x$ are essentially unary and pairwise distinct.
v. The terms $f_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ are essentially $n$-ary for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. (i) If $T_{a}(x)=T_{a}(y)$ then, by the Austin's identity,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\left(\left(a^{2} a\right) x\right)\left(\left(a^{2}\left(a^{2} a\right) z\right)=\left(T_{a}(x)\right)\left(\left(a^{2}\left(a^{2} a\right) z\right)\right.\right. \\
& =\left(T_{a}(y)\right)\left(\left(a^{2}\left(a^{2} a\right)\right) z\right)=\left(\left(a^{2} a\right) y\right)\left(\left(a^{2}\left(a^{2} a\right) z\right)=y .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Assume that $x y$ does not depend on $x$, then we have $x y=y^{2}$. Putting ( $\left.u^{2} u\right) v$ for $x$ and $\left(u^{2}\left(u^{2} u\right)\right) z$ for $y$ in this identity, we get

$$
\left.v=\left(\left(u^{2} u\right) v\right)\left(\left(u^{2}\left(u^{2} u\right)\right) z\right)=x y=y^{2}=\left(u^{2}\left(u^{2} u\right)\right) z\right)^{2}
$$

which is impossible in a nontrivial groupoid. Assume now that $x y$ does not depend on $y$, then we have $x y=x^{2}$ and so $T_{a}(b)=\left(a^{2} a\right) b=$ $\left(a^{2} a\right)^{2}$ for all $b$ in $G$. That is, $T_{a}$ is constant, which contradicts (i). Therefore, $x y$ is essentially binary. (iii) Suppose to the contrary that $(G, \cdot)$ has an idempotent element, say $a$. Note that $a^{2} a=a^{2}\left(a^{2} a\right)=a$ and so $(a x)(a y)=\left(\left(a^{2} a\right) x\right)\left(a^{2}\left(a^{2} a\right)\right) y=x$. In particular, $a(a y)=$ $(a a)(a y)=a$. Putting $a u$ for $x$ in $x=(a x)(a y)$, we obtain that $a u=(a(a u))(a y)=a(a y)=a$ and hence $x=(a x)(a y)=a a=a$, a contradiction. (iv) By (iii), $x^{2}$ is essentially unary and $x^{2} \neq x$. Assume $x^{2} x=c$, a constant. Then $x=\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) x\right)\left(\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z\right)=(c x)\left(\left(y^{2} c\right) z\right)$.

Putting $y=c$, we get $x=(c x)\left(\left(c^{2} c\right) z\right)=(c x)(c z)$. Putting $c$ for $x$ and $y$, we have $c=c^{2} c^{2}$. Putting $c^{2}$ for $x$ in $c=x^{2} x$ we have $c=\left(c^{2}\right)^{2} c^{2}=c c^{2}$. Now putting $c^{2}$ for $x$ in $x=(c x)(c z)$, we have $c^{2}=$ $\left(c c^{2}\right)(c z)=c(c z)$ and so $c^{2}=c c^{2}=c$, a contradiction to (iii). Thus $x^{2} x$ is essentially unary. Now we show that $x^{2} x \neq x$. Assume $x^{2} x=x$, then $x=\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) x\right)\left(\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z\right)=(y x)\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) z\right)=(y x)(y z)$. Putting $x^{2}$ for $y$, we have $x=\left(x^{2} x\right)\left(x^{2} z\right)$ and hence $x x=\left(x^{2} x\right)\left(x^{2} x\right)=x$, a contradiction to (iii). Thus $x^{2} x \neq x$. Now assume $x^{2} x=x^{2}$, then $x=\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) x\right)\left(\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z\right)=\left(y^{2} x\right)\left(\left(y^{2} y^{2}\right) z\right)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}=\left(y^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(\left(y^{2} y^{2}\right) z\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $x$ for $y$ and $x^{2}$ for $z$ in (*), we have $x^{2}=\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right) x^{2}\right)=$ $\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(\left(x^{2}\right)^{2} x^{2}\right)=\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}$. Put $x$ for $y$ and $\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}$ for $z$ in $(*)$, then $x^{2}=\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}\right)=\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right) x^{2}=\left(x^{2}\right)^{2} x^{2}=\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}$. That is, $x^{2}$ is an idempotent element, which is a contradiction to (iii). Thus $x^{2} x \neq x^{2}$. (v) We use induction on $n$. It is clear for $n=1,2$ as $(G, \cdot)$ is proper. Let $n \geq 3$ and assume that $g_{k}$ are essentially $k$-ary for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. By (A), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n-1}\left(x_{2} \cdots, x_{n-1}\right) & =x_{2}\left(x_{3}\left(\cdots\left(x_{n-1} x_{n}\right) \cdots\right)\right) \\
& =\left[\left(y^{2} y\right)\left(x_{2}\left(x_{3}\left(\cdots\left(x_{n-1} x_{n}\right) \cdots\right)\right)\right]\left(\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z\right)\right. \\
& =g_{n}\left(y^{2} y, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\left(\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction hypothesis, $g_{n-1}$ and hence $g_{n}$ depends on $x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$. We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right) & =x_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(\cdots\left(x_{n-2} x_{n-1}\right) \cdots\right)\right) \\
& =x_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(\cdots\left(x_{n-2}\left[\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) x_{n-1}\right)\left(\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z\right)\right]\right) \cdots\right)\right) \\
& =g_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n-2},\left(y^{2} y\right) x_{n-1},\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction hypothesis, $g_{n-1}$ and hence $g_{n}$ depends on $x_{1}$. Thus $g_{n}$ depends on all its variables. To prove $f_{n}$ is essentially $n$-ary for $n \geq 3$, we first show that $f_{3}(x, y, z)=(x y) z$ is essentially ternary. Assume $f_{3}$ does not depend on $x$. Then $(x y) z=(u y) z$. Putting $\left(x^{2} x\right) y$ for $x$ and $\left(x^{2}\left(x^{2} x\right)\right) z$ for $y$ in this identity, we have $\left[\left(\left(x^{2} x\right) y\right)\left(\left(x^{2}\left(x^{2} x\right)\right) z\right)\right] z=$ $\left[u\left(\left(x^{2}\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right)\right) z\right)\right] z$, and so $y z=\left[u\left(\left(x^{2}\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right)\right) z\right)\right] z$. This implies that $y z$ does not depends on $y$, which is a contradiction to (ii). Thus, $f_{3}$ depends on $x$. Since $\left.y=\left(\left(x^{2} x\right) y\right)\left(x^{2}\left(x^{2} x\right)\right) z\right)=f_{3}\left(x^{2} x, y, x^{2}\left(x^{2} x\right) z\right)$ we
infer that $f_{3}$ depends on $y$. Using (A) again, we infer that $f_{3}$ depends on $z$ by the identity $u z=\left(\left(\left(x^{2} x\right) u\right)\left(\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) v\right)\right) z=f_{3}\left(\left(x^{2} x\right) u,\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) v, z\right)$.
Thus we have proved that $f_{3}$ is essentially ternary. Now, suppose $n>3$ and assume that $f_{k}$ is essentially $k$-ary for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Observe that, by the identity (A), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n-1}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) & =f_{n}\left(\left(x^{2} x\right) x_{2},\left(x^{2}\left(x^{2} x\right)\right) \tilde{z}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \\
\text { and } \quad f_{n-2}\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) & \left.=f_{n}\left(x^{2} x, x_{2},\left(x^{2}\left(x^{2} x\right)\right)\right)_{z}, x_{4}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by induction hypothesis, we deduce that $f_{n}$ depends on all variables. That is, $f_{n}$ is essentially $n$ ary for all $n \geq 2$.

Corollary 2.2. For a nontrivial Austin's groupoid $(G, \cdot)$, we have $p_{n}(G, \cdot) \geq 2$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be a nontrivial Austin's groupoid. Then we have
i. For mappings $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}: G \rightarrow G$, we have $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$ if and only if $\phi_{1}(x y)=\phi_{2}(x y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. Here, $x y$ can be replaced by $f_{n}$ or $g_{n}$ for any $n \geq 1$.
ii. For any a in $G$, the mappings $T_{a}$ is not the identity mapping.
iii. The mapping $n \mapsto T_{a}^{n}$ is injective or there exists an integer $m$ such that $T_{a}^{m}(x)=x$ for all $x$ in $G$.
iv. The term $\left(x^{2} x\right) y$ depends on $y$ and $\left(x^{2} x\right) y \neq y$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $\phi_{1}(x y)=\phi_{2}(x y)$. Putting $\left(y^{2} y\right) x$ for $x$ and $\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z$ for $y$, we have $\phi_{1}(x)=\phi_{2}(x)$. Further proof proceeds by induction on the arity of the terms. (ii) Assume that $T_{a}(x)=x$ for some $a$ and all $x \in G$. Then we have $\left(a^{2} a\right) x=x$. Putting $x=a^{2} a$ we see that $a^{2} a$ is idempotent, which contradicts Lemma 2.1(iii). Thus $T_{a} \neq I d$. (iii) Suppose the mapping $n \mapsto T_{a}^{n}$ is not injective, then $T_{a}^{j}=T_{a}^{k}$ for some $j<k$. Then $T_{a}^{k-j}\left(T_{a}^{j}(b)\right)=T_{a}^{k}(b)=T_{a}^{j}(b)$ for all $b$ in $G$. Since $T_{a}$ and hence $T_{a}^{j}$ is injective by Lemma 2.1(i), we see that $T_{a}^{k-j}(x)=x$ for all $x$ in $G$. That is, $T_{a}^{k-j}=I d$. (iv) The fact that ( $\left.x^{2} x\right) y$ depends on $y$ follows from Lemma 2.1(i). If $\left(x^{2} x\right) y=y$, then $\left(x^{2} x\right)\left(x^{2} x\right)=x^{2} x$, which contradicts Lemma 2.1(iii). Thus $\left(x^{2} x\right) y \neq$ $y$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem

In this section, we prove Theorem 3 by induction on the arity of linear terms.

For $n=1,2,3$, the conclusion follows by Lemma 2.1. Let $n \geq 4$ and assume that the assertion is true for all $k$-ary linear terms for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $f$ be an $n$-ary linear term. So, all variables in $f$ are mutually distinct. We have two cases: (1) $f$ contains at least two subterms of the form $x_{i} x_{j}$ and (2) $f$ contains only one subterm of the form $x_{i} x_{j}$. Assume case (1) and so $f$ contains subterms $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{3} x_{4}$ after relabeling of variables if needed. Then, there are ( $n-1$ )-ary linear terms $g$ and $h$ such that

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=g\left(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} x_{4}, x_{5}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) .
$$

Then using (A) we have

$$
f\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) x_{1},\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=g\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)
$$

and $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2},\left(y^{2} y\right) x_{3},\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z, x_{5}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5} \cdots, x_{n}\right)$.
Since $g$ and $h$ are essentially by induction hypothesis, these identities show that $f$ depends on all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$, i.e., $f$ is essentially $n$-ary. Now, consider case (2). Since $n \geq 4, f$ contains a subterm of the form $\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) x_{3}$ or $x_{3}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)$. There is a $(n-1)$-ary linear term $g$ such that $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=g\left(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, and then we have $f\left(\left(y^{2} y\right) x_{1},\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=g\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. By induction $g$ depends on $x_{1}, x_{3}, \cdots, x_{n}$, and hence so does $f$. If $f$ contains $\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) x_{3}$ as a subterm, let $f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=h\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) x_{3}, x_{4}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ for some $(n-2)$-ary linear term $h$. Then $f\left(y^{2} y, x_{2},\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z, x_{4}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=$ $h\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and so $f$ also depends on $x_{2}$. If $f$ contains $x_{3}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ as a subterm, since $g_{n}$ is essentially $n$-ary by Lemma $2.1(\mathrm{v})$, we may assume that $f$ is not of the form of $g_{n}$. Then $f$ is of the form

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\cdots\left(\left[x_{k}\left(\cdots\left(x_{3}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right) \cdots\right)\right] x_{k+1}\right) \cdots
$$

for some $k \geq 3$. Putting $y^{2} y$ for $x_{k}$ and $\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z$ for $x_{k+1}$, we have by (A) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{k-1}, y^{2} y,\left(y^{2}\left(y^{2} y\right)\right) z, x_{k+2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \\
=\cdots\left(\cdots\left(x_{3}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right) \cdots\right) \cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

where the right-hand side is a linear term without the variables $x_{k}$ and $x_{k+1}$. By induction, $f$ depends on each variables appearing on the right-hand side, in particular on $x_{2}$ as well. Consequently, $f$ depends on every variable it involves. This completes the proof.
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