# MAPPINGS RELATED TO MINIMAL SURFACES 

Sook Heui Jun*


#### Abstract

In this paper, we study harmonic mappings related to the nonparametric minimal surfaces that lie over the upper halfplane.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$. A real-valued function $u$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be harmonic in a given domain $\mathbb{D}$ if it has continuous partial derivatives of the first and second order in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfies the partial differential equation

$$
u_{x x}+u_{y y}=0
$$

on $\mathbb{D}$.
A continuous function $f=u+i v$ defined in $\mathbb{D}$ is harmonic if $u$ and $v$ are real harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$. In any simply connected subdomain of $\mathbb{D}$ we can write $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic and $\bar{g}$ denotes the function $z \longmapsto \overline{g(z)}$. A harmonic mapping $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ if it is one-to-one and orientation preserving in $\mathbb{D}$.

Let $\Omega$ be a simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $S$ be a nonparametric surface over $\Omega$ given by

$$
S=\{(u, v, F(u, v)): u+i v \in \Omega\}
$$

Then $S$ is a minimal surface if and only if $S$ has the representation of the form
$S=\left\{\left(\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{1}(z) d z+c_{1}, \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{2}(z) d z+c_{2}, \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{3}(z) d z+c_{3}\right): z \in D\right\}$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& D=\{z:|z|<1\}  \tag{1.1}\\
& \phi_{1}^{2}+\phi_{2}^{2}+\phi_{3}^{2}=0 \\
& \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3} \text { are analytic, and } \\
& f=u+i v=\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{1}(z) d z+i \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{2}(z) d z+c
\end{align*}
$$
\]

is a conformal univalent harmonic mapping from $D$ onto $\Omega[3,4]$. Since the mapping $f$ is harmonic in $D$, it is of the form $f=h+\bar{g}$ where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $D$. In addition, $a=g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}$ is analytic in $D$ and $|a(z)|<1$.

In this paper, we will show that the conformal univalent harmonic mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =p_{1}+\frac{i p_{2}}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\overline{\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)}+\frac{1+z}{1-z}+\overline{\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

from $D$ onto the upper halfplane $\Omega=\{w: \operatorname{Im}\{w\}>0\}$ arise in connection with the nonparametric minimal surfaces $S$ that lies over $\Omega$ by using the properties of univalent harmonic mappings.

## 2. Univalent harmonic mapping

The following result is obtained by J.G. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small. We are going to use it in this section.

Theorem 1([2]. Theorem 5.3). A harmonic $f=h+\bar{g}$ locally univalent in $D$ is a univalent mapping of $D$ onto a domain convex in the direction of the real axis (i.e. a domain which has a connected intersection with every line parallel to the real axis) if and only if $h-g$ is a conformal univalent mapping of $D$ onto a domain convex in the direction of the real axis.

Let $S$ be a nonparametric minimal surface over $\Omega=\{w: \operatorname{Im}\{w\}>0\}$. Then we have a conformal univalent harmonic mapping $f=h+\bar{g}$ from $D$ onto $\Omega$ satisfying (1.1). Fix a point $p=p_{1}+i p_{2}$ in $\Omega$, and let $P=$
$\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, F(p)\right)$ be the corresponding point of $S$. Since the composition $f \circ \Psi$ of a harmonic function $f$ with an analytic function $\Psi$ is harmonic, we may normalize in such a way that the harmonic mapping $f$ satisfies $f(0)=p$. Since $\Omega$ is convex in the direction of the real axis, we know that the analytic function $\phi=h-g$ is a conformal univalent mapping of $D$ onto the domain $\Omega$ by using Theorem 1. We are free to normalize $g(0)=0$, in which case $\phi(0)=h(0)=f(0)=p$.

Theorem 2. A conformal univalent harmonic mapping $f=h+\bar{g}$ from $D$ onto $\Omega$ satisfying (1.1) normalized by $f(0)=p$ and $g(0)=0$ has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{p+\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+a}{1-a} \phi^{\prime} d z\right\}+i \operatorname{Im}\{\phi\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. $f=h+\bar{g}$ and $a=g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}$ implies that $\operatorname{Im}\{f\}=\operatorname{Im}\{\phi\}, \operatorname{Re}\{f\}=$ $R e\{h+g\}$, and $h^{\prime}+g^{\prime}=\frac{1+a}{1-a} \phi^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\operatorname{Re}\{f\}+i \operatorname{Im}\{f\}=\operatorname{Re}\{h+g\}+i \operatorname{Im}\{\phi\} \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{z}\left(h^{\prime}+g^{\prime}\right) d z+c\right\}+i \operatorname{Im}\{\phi\} \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\{\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+a}{1-a} \phi^{\prime} d z+c\right\}+i \operatorname{Im}\{\phi\}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $f(0)=p$ and $g(0)=0$, we obtain

$$
f(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{p+\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+a}{1-a} \phi^{\prime} d z\right\}+i \operatorname{Im}\{\phi\}
$$

Theorem 3. If $f=h+\bar{g}$ is of the form (1.1), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=h^{\prime}+g^{\prime}, \phi_{2}=-i\left(h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}\right), \text { and } \phi_{3}=2 i b h^{\prime} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=b^{2}$.

Proof. $\operatorname{Re}\{w\}=\frac{w+\bar{w}}{2}$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{1}(z) d z+i \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{2}(z) d z+c \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{1}(z) d z+\overline{\int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{1}(z) d z}}{2}+i \frac{\int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{2}(z) d z+\overline{\int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{2}(z) d z}}{2}+c \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\zeta}\left(\phi_{1}+i \phi_{2}\right) d z+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\zeta}\left(\phi_{1}-i \phi_{2}\right) d z+c
\end{aligned}
$$

From $f=h+\bar{g}$, we have

$$
h=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\zeta}\left(\phi_{1}+i \phi_{2}\right) d z+c, g=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\zeta}\left(\phi_{1}-i \phi_{2}\right) d z
$$

Hence

$$
h^{\prime}+g^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{1}+i \phi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{1}-i \phi_{2}\right)=\phi_{1}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{1}+i \phi_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{1}-i \phi_{2}\right)=i \phi_{2}
$$

Therefore $\phi_{2}=-i\left(h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\phi_{1}^{2}+\phi_{2}^{2}+\phi_{3}^{2}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}^{2}=-\left(h^{\prime}+g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left[-i\left(h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}=-4 h^{\prime} g^{\prime}=-4 h^{\prime}\left(a h^{\prime}\right)=-4 a h^{\prime 2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}$. The equation (2.3) tells us that not all function $a$ correspond to nonparametric minimal surfaces. That is, a must be a perfect square. Let $a=b^{2}$. Then $b$ is analytic in $D$ and $|b|<1$. Thus $\phi_{3}=2 i \sqrt{a} h^{\prime}=2 i b h^{\prime}$.

Theorem 4. The analytic function $\phi=h-g$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\frac{p-\bar{p} z}{1-z} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem $1, \phi$ is a conformal univalent mapping from $D$ onto $\Omega$ with $\phi(0)=p$. We begin by finding all Möbius transformations $\phi$ of $D$ onto the upper halfplane $\Omega$ with $\phi(0)=p \in \Omega, \phi\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)=0, \phi\left(e^{i \beta}\right)=\infty$; by the simple calculation, we obtain

$$
\phi=\frac{p\left(1-e^{-i \alpha} z\right)}{1-e^{-i \beta} z}
$$

where $\alpha \neq \beta$. Since $\phi\left(e^{i \beta} z\right)=\frac{p\left(1-e^{-i \alpha+i \beta} z\right)}{1-z}$ and a rotation of the disk $D$ is simply reparametrizations of the same surface, it is no loss of generality to assume that $e^{-i \beta}=1$. So we get $\phi(z)=\frac{p\left(1-e^{-i \alpha} z\right)}{1-z}$ with $\phi(0)=p$. Since the boundry values of $\phi$ are on $\partial \Omega, \operatorname{Im}\left\{\phi\left(e^{i\left(\pi+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\right)\right\}$ must be 0 . From this, we get $e^{i \frac{\alpha}{2}}=p$. Therefore $\phi(z)=\frac{p-\bar{p} z}{1-z}$ with $\phi(0)=p$.

Now we are ready to find out some conformal univalent harmonic mappings from $D$ onto the upper halfplane $\Omega=\{w: \operatorname{Im}\{w\}>0\}$ that arise in connection with the nonparametric minimal surface $S$ that lie over $\Omega$. Let $b(z)= \pm z$. From (1.1), (2.1), (2.2), and (2.4), we obtain the followings;

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\operatorname{Re}\left\{p+\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+b^{2}}{1-b^{2}} \phi^{\prime} d z\right\} \\
& =p_{1}-2 p_{2} \operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+z^{2}}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{2}} d z \\
& =p_{1}-p_{2} \operatorname{Im}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right\} \\
& =p_{1}+\frac{i p_{2}}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)-\overline{\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)}\right], \\
v & =\operatorname{Im}\{\phi\}=p_{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right\}=\frac{p_{2}}{2}\left[\frac{1+z}{1-z}+\overline{\left.\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)\right],}\right. \\
F & =\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \phi_{3} d z+c_{3}=\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{z} 2 i b h^{\prime} d z+c_{3} \\
& =\operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{z}-2 b h^{\prime} d z+c_{3}=\operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{-2 b\left(h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}\right)}{1-\frac{g^{\prime}}{h^{\prime}}} d z+c_{3} \\
& =\operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{-2 b \phi^{\prime}}{1-b^{2}} d z+c_{3}= \pm 4 p_{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{z}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{2}} d z+c_{3} \\
& = \pm p_{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right\}+c_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\frac{1+z}{1-z}=R e^{i t}$. Then $R>0$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2}<t<\frac{\pi}{2}$ because $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is a Möbius transformation from $D$ to the right half plane. From these, we get $z=$ $\frac{R e^{i t}-1}{R e^{i t}+1}$. Substitue this into the above $u, v$, and $F$. Then we get the follow-
ings;

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =p_{1}-\frac{p_{2}}{4}\left(2 t+R^{2} \sin 2 t\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
v & =p_{2} R \cos t \\
F & = \pm \frac{p_{2}}{4}\left(R^{2} \cos 2 t-\log R^{2}\right)+c_{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case $u$ varies from $-\infty$ to $\infty$ on each horizontal line. That is, we obtain minimal surfaces over all of $\Omega$.

Finally, we obtain our last result.

## Theorem 5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z)=p_{1}+\frac{i p_{2}}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)\right. & -\overline{\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)} \\
& \left.+\frac{1+z}{1-z}+\overline{\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

are harmonic univalent mappings that arise in connection with the minimal surfaces $S$ over $\Omega$ defined by equations (2.5).
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