



## Effects of Dietary Supplemental Megazone<sup>®</sup> on Growth Performance, Nutrients Digestibility, Blood Characteristics, Meat Quality and Carcass Traits in Weaning-to-Finishing Pigs

Y. H. Kim, Y. Wang<sup>1</sup>, J. H. Cho<sup>1</sup>, Y. J. Chen<sup>1</sup>, H. J. Kim<sup>1</sup>, J. S. Yoo<sup>1</sup>, B. J. Min<sup>1</sup>, S. J. Lee, J. C. Park, H. J. Jung, and I. H. Kim\*

*Swine Research Division, National Livestock Research Institute, RDA*

*<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Resource & Science, Dankook University*

### Abstract

This study was conducted to investigate the effects of Megazone<sup>®</sup> (a mineral mix) supplementation on growth performance, nutrients digestibility, blood characteristics, meat quality and carcass traits in weaning-to-finishing pigs. A total of 48 crossbred (Landrace×Yorkshire×Duroc) pigs with initial body weight (BW) of 4.46±0.18 kg were used in a 21 wks trial. Pigs were blocked by weight and allotted to two dietary treatments in a completely randomized design. There were 6 pens per treatment. Dietary treatments included: 1) Control (CON: basal diet) and 2) MT (basal diet+0.8% Megazone<sup>®</sup>). Through the entire experimental period, there were no effects of dietary Megazone<sup>®</sup> supplementation on growth performance, nutrients digestibility, blood characteristics and meat quality traits ( $p>0.05$ ). Market weight and backfat thickness also had no differences between the two treatments ( $p>0.05$ ). However, carcass weight and carcass ratio in MT treatment were improved significantly compared with CON treatment ( $p<0.05$ ). In conclusion, supplementation of Megazone<sup>®</sup> can increase carcass weight and carcass ratio in weaning-to-finishing pigs, however, it has no effects on growth performance, nutrients digestibility, blood characteristics and meat quality traits.

**Key words :** megazone<sup>®</sup>, mineral mix, carcass traits, blood characteristics, growth performance

### INTRODUCTION

The aluminosilicate compounds have been used as adsorbent in pigs for many years. It's suggested that these compounds are effective in trapping certain molecules, such as ammonia (Mumton and Fishman, 1977). The mechanisms of these compounds are mainly related to their porosity properties which is called three-dimensional structures and highly ion selectivity and exchange capacity. A series of aluminosilicate clay additives experiments including bentonite, zeolite, biotite and clinoptilolite (Castro and Iglesias, 1989; Ward *et al.*, 1991; Kwon *et al.*, 2002; Malagutti *et al.*, 2002) had been studied to determine their potential capability of promo-

ting growth performance and improving feed efficiency of pigs. However, limited information exists on the effects of supplementing diets with aluminosilicate clays on blood characteristics, as well as meat quality of pigs. Also, the effects of aluminosilicate clays on carcass traits have not been elucidated much. Our study was, therefore, undertaken to evaluate the effects of an aluminosilicate minerals product which named Megazone<sup>®</sup> (Anicx Co., Korea) on growth performance, nutrients digestibility, blood characteristics, meat quality and carcass traits in weaning-to-finishing pigs.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Source and Composition of Megazone<sup>®</sup>

The compositions of Megazone<sup>®</sup> include 30% quartz, 30% feldspar, 30% ceramic MAMS (Mystery Activated Material Series) and 10% biotite (Manufacturers specifications). This product was manufactured by Anicx Co. (Korea). The primary

\* Corresponding author : I. H. Kim, Department of Animal Resource & Science, Dankook University, #29 Anseodong, Cheonan, Choongnam, 330-714, Korea. Tel.: 82-41-550-3652, Fax: 82-41-553-1618, E-mail: inhokim@dankook.ac.kr

effective composition of this product is aluminosilicate clays.

### Experimental Design, Animals, Housing and Diets

A total of 48 crossbred (Landrace×Yorkshire×Duroc) pigs weaned at 17 d of age with an average initial BW  $4.46 \pm 0.18$  kg were used in the current experiment. The experimental period lasted 21 wks. Pigs were fed on a 3-phase dietary regimen: nursery diet, growing diet and finishing diet. At the beginning of experiment, pigs were allotted on the basis of initial BW according to a completely randomized design. There were 6 replication pens per treatment and 4 pigs per pen during each phase, respectively. Dietary treatments include: 1) CON (basal diet) and 2) MT (basal diet add 0.8% Mega-zone®). The 3-phase diets (Table 1) were fed in meal form and formulated to meet or exceed of NRC (1998) recommendations for all nutrients.

Nursery house (wk 1 to 5), growing house (wk 5 to 11) and finishing house (wk 11 to 21) were environmentally controlled building with slatted floors. Nursery house temperature was maintained at 32°C and decreased 2°C weekly, the other two houses maintained approximately  $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  and  $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. The dimensions of the pen (length×width) were 1.6×0.8 m, 1.6×1.2 m and 1.8×1.8 m, respectively. Each pen was equipped with a one-sided self-feeder and a nipple waterer to allow *ad libitum* access to feed and water throughout all the experimental period.

### Sampling and Measurements

Body weight and feed intake were measured at the end of wk 5, 11 and 21 to determine average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and gain/feed (G/F). Chromic oxide ( $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ ) was added (0.2%) as an indigestible marker on d 30 to 35, d 72 to 77 and d 142 to 147. Feed and fresh fecal samples were collected on d 35, d 77 and d 147. Feed and feces samples were analyzed for dry matter (DM) and nitrogen (N) digestibilities (AOAC, 1994). Chromium was determined by UV absorption spectrophotometry (UV-1201, Shimadzu, Japan) and apparent digestibilities of DM and N were calculated using the indirect method.

Blood samples were collected at cervical vein into both  $\text{K}_3\text{EDTA}$  vacuum tubes and clot activator vacuum tubes (Becton Dickinson Vacutainer Systems, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) from 2 pigs in each pen at the end of wk 5, 8, 11, 16 and 21. Both the concentrations of red blood cell (RBC)

**Table 1. Formula and chemical composition of 3-phase basal dietary**

| Ingredients (%)                    | Nursery | Growing | Finishing |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Corn                               | 55.26   | 59.94   | 64.48     |
| Soybean meal                       | 33.43   | 23.74   | 19.74     |
| Rice bran                          | -       | 5.00    | 5.00      |
| Molasses                           | 2.50    | 4.00    | 4.00      |
| Animal fat                         | 5.33    | 2.61    | 2.10      |
| Rapeseed meal                      | -       | 2.00    | 2.00      |
| Difluorinated phosphate            | 1.93    | 1.16    | 1.19      |
| Limestone                          | 0.78    | 0.44    | 0.46      |
| L-lysine-HCl                       | 0.17    | 0.34    | 0.37      |
| Trace mineral premix <sup>1)</sup> | 0.10    | 0.25    | 0.25      |
| Vitamin premix <sup>2)</sup>       | 0.12    | 0.10    | 0.10      |
| Salt                               | 0.20    | 0.15    | 0.15      |
| DL-methionine                      | 0.03    | 0.10    | 0.06      |
| L-threonine                        | -       | 0.09    | 0.07      |
| Choline chloride                   | 0.05    | 0.08    | 0.03      |
| Chemical composition <sup>3)</sup> |         |         |           |
| ME (kcal/kg)                       | 3,360   | 3,450   | 3,415     |
| Crude protein (%)                  | 20.00   | 17.70   | 16.20     |
| Lysine (%)                         | 1.30    | 1.00    | 0.95      |
| Calcium (%)                        | 0.90    | 0.70    | 0.70      |
| Phosphorus (%)                     | 0.80    | 0.60    | 0.60      |

<sup>1)</sup> Provided per kg of complete diet: 12.5 mg Mn, 179 mg Zn, 5 mg Cu, 0.5 mg I and 0.4 mg Se.

<sup>2)</sup> Provided per kg of complete diet: 4,800 IU vitamin A, 960 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 20 IU vitamin E, 2.4 mg vitamin K<sub>3</sub>, 4.6 mg vitamin B<sub>2</sub>, 1.2 mg vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, 13 mg pantothenic acid, 23.5 mg niacin and 0.02 mg biotin.

<sup>3)</sup> Calculated values.

count, white blood cell (WBC) count and lymphocytes count in whole blood and IgG, albumin and total protein in serum were measured. All the blood parameters (RBC, WBC and lymphocytes) and serum parameters (total protein, albumin and IgG) were measured using the automatic blood analyzer (ADVIA 120, Bayer, USA).

Market weight and backfat thickness were measured at the end of the test, using PigLog 105 (SFK-Technology, Denmark) ultrasonically apparatus. Backfat thickness were measured on left side 7 cm from the middle of the back at the 5th, 10th, 15th and last ribs according to the method of PigLog 105-User's Guide.

Pigs were slaughtered at a local commercial slaughterhouse.

Slaughter grade was evaluated by careermen at Animal Product Grading Service. Carcass weight and carcass ratio were measured after a 44 h chill at 3°C.

The 10th-rib chop samples were vacuum-sealed subsequent analysed for water holding capacity (WHC), cook loss and shear force as the method previously described by Matthews *et al.* (2001).

Surface meat color, CIE L\* (lightness), a\* (red-green component), b\* (blue-yellow component), were measured in triplicate on a freshly-cut surface after a 30 min bloom time at 0°C using a Minolta Chromameter (Minolta CR-301, Tokyo, Japan). Ultimate pH values were measured directly using a combined pH electrode (NWKbinar pH, K-21, Landsberg, Germany).

### Statistical Analyses

In this experiment, all the data were analyzed as a completely randomized design using GLM procedure of SAS (1996). The model included the effects of block (replication) and treatment. Pen served as the experimental unit.

## RESULTS

The results of inclusion Megazone® in weanling-to-finishing diets at 0.8% had no effects on ADG, ADFI and G/F during the nursery (wk 0 to 5), grower (wk 5 to 11) and finisher (wk 11 to 21) phases, or entire trial ( $p>0.05$ ) (Table 2).

The effects of Megazone® on nutrients digestibility in weaning-to-finishing pigs are presented in Table 3. During the three periods, there were no effects ( $p>0.05$ ) on the apparent digestibility of DM or N between the treatments.

Table 4 and Table 5 show the effects of Megazone® on blood characteristics in weaning-to-finishing pigs. Determined serum parameters including total protein, albumin and IgG (Table 4) were not affected by the dietary treatments ( $p>0.05$ ). Also, blood cell count parameters including WBC, RBC and lymphocyte (Table 5) could not find statistical differences between dietary treatments ( $p>0.05$ ).

Slaughter grade of meat in pigs fed dietary addition of Megazone® are presented in Table 6. There was no difference ( $p>0.05$ ) in slaughter grade of pork between the treatments ( $p>0.05$ ).

Table 7 shows the results of CIE L\*/a\*/b\*, shear force, cook loss, WHC and total carcass fat. There were no significant

**Table 2. Effects of dietary supplemental Megazone® on growth performance in pigs**

| Items       | CON <sup>1)</sup> | MT <sup>1)</sup> | SE <sup>2)</sup> |
|-------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Wk 0 to 5   |                   |                  |                  |
| ADG (kg)    | 0.416             | 0.393            | 0.020            |
| ADFI (kg)   | 0.646             | 0.653            | 0.045            |
| G/F         | 0.709             | 0.668            | 0.040            |
| Wk 5 to 11  |                   |                  |                  |
| ADG (kg)    | 0.745             | 0.766            | 0.032            |
| ADFI (kg)   | 1.614             | 1.632            | 0.023            |
| G/F         | 0.464             | 0.481            | 0.020            |
| Wk 11 to 21 |                   |                  |                  |
| ADG (kg)    | 0.810             | 0.829            | 0.018            |
| ADFI (kg)   | 2.708             | 2.658            | 0.016            |
| G/F         | 0.297             | 0.311            | 0.011            |
| Wk 0 to 21  |                   |                  |                  |
| ADG (kg)    | 0.708             | 0.716            | 0.008            |
| ADFI (kg)   | 1.656             | 1.648            | 0.007            |
| G/F         | 0.490             | 0.487            | 0.008            |

<sup>1)</sup> Abbreviated CON, basal diet; MT, Con diet added 0.8% Megazone®.

<sup>2)</sup> Pooled standard error.

**Table 3. Effects of dietary complex Megazone® on nutrients digestibility in pigs**

| Items (%)   | CON <sup>1)</sup> | MT <sup>1)</sup> | SE <sup>2)</sup> |
|-------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Wk 0 to 5   |                   |                  |                  |
| DM          | 84.12             | 83.81            | 3.93             |
| N           | 81.64             | 82.43            | 4.44             |
| Wk 5 to 11  |                   |                  |                  |
| DM          | 70.60             | 70.39            | 2.19             |
| N           | 65.81             | 65.20            | 2.63             |
| Wk 11 to 21 |                   |                  |                  |
| DM          | 72.39             | 70.47            | 2.01             |
| N           | 72.69             | 68.24            | 1.92             |

<sup>1)</sup> Abbreviated CON, basal diet; MT, Con diet added 0.8% Megazone®.

<sup>2)</sup> Pooled standard error.

ficant differences between CON and MT treatments on any measured meat quality traits.

**Table 4. Effects of dietary supplemental Megazone® on serum characteristics in pigs**

| Items                      | CON <sup>1)</sup> | MT <sup>1)</sup> | SE <sup>2)</sup> |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Total protein (g/dL)       |                   |                  |                  |
| 5 Wks                      | 5.18              | 5.18             | 0.16             |
| 8 Wks                      | 5.12              | 5.12             | 0.29             |
| 11 Wks                     | 5.38              | 6.42             | 0.35             |
| 16 Wks                     | 6.52              | 7.06             | 0.29             |
| 21 Wks                     | 7.22              | 7.44             | 0.26             |
| Difference (Wks 8~Wks 5)   | -0.06             | -0.06            | 0.21             |
| Difference (Wks 11~Wks 8)  | 0.26              | 1.30             | 0.62             |
| Difference (Wks 16~Wks 11) | 1.14              | 0.64             | 0.56             |
| Difference (Wks 21~Wks 16) | 0.70              | 0.38             | 0.84             |
| Difference (Wks 21~Wks 5)  | 2.05              | 2.26             | 0.23             |
| Albumin (g/dL)             |                   |                  |                  |
| 5 Wks                      | 3.48              | 3.40             | 0.15             |
| 8 Wks                      | 3.12              | 3.06             | 0.29             |
| 11 Wks                     | 3.48              | 3.98             | 0.29             |
| 16 Wks                     | 3.94              | 4.12             | 0.12             |
| 21 Wks                     | 3.48              | 3.82             | 0.13             |
| Difference (Wks 8~Wks 5)   | -0.36             | -0.34            | 0.22             |
| Difference (Wks 11~Wks 8)  | 0.36              | 0.92             | 0.58             |
| Difference (Wks 16~Wks 11) | 0.46              | 0.14             | 0.39             |
| Difference (Wks 21~Wks 16) | -0.46             | -0.30            | 0.20             |
| Difference (Wks 21~Wks 5)  | 0.00              | 0.42             | 0.33             |
| IgG (g/dL)                 |                   |                  |                  |
| 5 Wks                      | 326.25            | 386.50           | 13.48            |
| 8 Wks                      | 431.40            | 358.60           | 56.64            |
| 11 Wks                     | 443.20            | 655.60           | 78.07            |
| 16 Wks                     | 711.40            | 905.80           | 209.18           |
| 21 Wks                     | 1,185.40          | 1,057.00         | 108.80           |
| Difference (Wks 8~Wks 5)   | 105.15            | -27.90           | 32.10            |
| Difference (Wks 11~Wks 8)  | 11.60             | 297.00           | 127.24           |
| Difference (Wks 16~Wks 11) | 268.25            | 250.20           | 255.79           |
| Difference (Wks 21~Wks 16) | 474.05            | 151.20           | 223.23           |
| Difference (Wks 21~Wks 5)  | 859.15            | 670.50           | 184.91           |

<sup>1)</sup> Abbreviated CON, basal diet; MT, Con diet added 0.8% Megazone®.

<sup>2)</sup> Pooled standard error.

Dietary addition of Megazone® had no effects ( $p>0.05$ ) on market weight and backfat thickness (Table 8) in MT treatment compared with CON treatment. However, carcass weight and carcass ratio in MT treatment significantly increased ( $p<0.05$ ) compared with CON treatment.

**Table 5. Effects of dietary supplemental Megazone® on whole blood characteristics in pigs**

| Items                             | CON <sup>1)</sup> | MT <sup>1)</sup> | SE <sup>2)</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| WBC ( $\times 10^4/\text{mm}^3$ ) |                   |                  |                  |
| 5 Wks                             | 11.46             | 11.49            | 0.18             |
| 8 Wks                             | 11.67             | 11.85            | 0.27             |
| 11 Wks                            | 18.18             | 18.02            | 2.38             |
| 16 Wks                            | 15.50             | 18.12            | 1.64             |
| 21 Wks                            | 15.11             | 14.54            | 0.87             |
| Difference (wk 8~wk 5)            | 0.21              | 0.36             | 0.09             |
| Difference (wk 11~wk 8)           | 6.51              | 6.17             | 0.12             |
| Difference (wk 16~wk 11)          | -2.68             | 0.10             | 2.91             |
| Difference (wk 21~wk 16)          | -0.39             | -3.58            | 1.03             |
| Difference (wk 21~wk 5)           | 3.65              | 3.05             | 0.64             |
| RBC ( $\times 10^6/\text{mm}^3$ ) |                   |                  |                  |
| 5 Wks                             | 6.38              | 6.29             | 0.20             |
| 8 Wks                             | 6.55              | 6.73             | 0.12             |
| 11 Wks                            | 6.08              | 5.49             | 0.34             |
| 16 Wks                            | 6.20              | 6.25             | 0.26             |
| 21 Wks                            | 6.24              | 6.30             | 0.15             |
| Difference (wk 8~wk 5)            | 0.17              | 0.44             | 0.21             |
| Difference (wk 11~wk 8)           | -0.47             | -1.24            | 0.30             |
| Difference (wk 16~wk 11)          | 0.12              | 0.76             | 0.26             |
| Difference (wk 21~wk 16)          | 0.04              | 0.05             | 0.26             |
| Difference (wk 21~wk 5)           | -0.14             | 0.01             | 0.31             |
| Lymphocyte (%)                    |                   |                  |                  |
| 5 Wks                             | 51.16             | 54.25            | 4.07             |
| 8 Wks                             | 43.32             | 47.14            | 2.38             |
| 11 Wks                            | 37.60             | 43.40            | 4.88             |
| 16 Wks                            | 47.40             | 54.20            | 6.24             |
| 21 Wks                            | 49.00             | 43.80            | 7.05             |
| Difference (wk 8~wk 5)            | -7.84             | -7.11            | 3.28             |
| Difference (wk 11~wk 8)           | -5.72             | -3.74            | 2.43             |
| Difference (wk 16~wk 11)          | 9.80              | 10.80            | 8.01             |
| Difference (wk 21~wk 16)          | 1.6               | -10.40           | 7.32             |
| Difference (wk 21~wk 5)           | -2.16             | -10.45           | 6.22             |

<sup>1)</sup> Abbreviated CON, basal diet; MT, Con diet added 0.8% Megazone®.

<sup>2)</sup> Pooled standard error.

## DISCUSSION

Several experiments were conducted to evaluate the effects of different aluminosilicate minerals, such as biotite, zeolite and bentonite on growth performance of pigs. Chen *et al.*

**Table 6. Effects of dietary supplemental Megazone® on slaughter grade of pork in pigs**

| Items               | CON <sup>1)</sup> | MT <sup>1)</sup> |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Slaughter Grade (%) |                   |                  |
| A                   | 33.3              | 33.3             |
| B                   | 33.3              | 33.3             |
| C                   | 33.3              | 33.3             |
| D                   | 0.0               | 0.0              |
| A+B                 | 66.6              | 66.6             |

<sup>1)</sup> Abbreviated CON, basal diet; MT, CON diet added 0.8% Megazone®.

**Table 7. Effects of dietary supplemental Megazone® on meat quality characteristics in pigs**

| Items                 | CON <sup>1)</sup> | MT <sup>1)</sup> | SE <sup>2)</sup> |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| CIE L*                | 49.938            | 53.215           | 1.024            |
| CIE a*                | 6.687             | 7.372            | 0.363            |
| CIE b*                | 2.012             | 3.238            | 0.378            |
| Shear force (kg)      | 3.522             | 3.120            | 0.161            |
| Cook loss (%)         | 33.037            | 34.095           | 1.148            |
| pH                    | 5.708             | 5.572            | 0.021            |
| WHC (%)               | 60.043            | 61.032           | 0.632            |
| Total carcass fat (%) | 1.752             | 1.372            | 0.143            |

<sup>1)</sup> Abbreviated CON, basal diet; MT, CON diet added 0.8% Megazone®.

<sup>2)</sup> Pooled standard error.

(2005a) using 1.0% and 2.0% biotite (an aluminosilicate mineral product) and Mathews *et al.* (1999) using 0.5% hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate (HSCAS) observed no significant improvements on pig performance. Our experiment seemed to approve above results. In contrast, Kwon *et al.* (2003) using 0.3% biotite suggested significant improvements were observed on pigs performance from their growth trials. Similarly, Castro and Iglesias (1989) observed significant improvements in weight gain and feed conversion when pigs were fed 3.0% and 6.0% zeolite (primary composition is HSCAS).

Digestibility coefficients for DM and N were unaffected by Megazone® inclusion in the present experiment. Similar result have been reported by Kwon *et al.* (2002) when feeding biotite and Collings *et al.* (1980) with sodium betonite.

**Table 8. Effects of dietary supplemental Megazone® on carcass traits in pigs**

| Items                  | CON <sup>1)</sup>  | MT <sup>1)</sup>   | SE <sup>2)</sup> |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Market weight (kg)     | 112.02             | 112.58             | 0.336            |
| Carcass weight (kg)    | 93.60 <sup>b</sup> | 96.27 <sup>a</sup> | 0.329            |
| Carcass ratio          | 83.53 <sup>b</sup> | 85.50 <sup>a</sup> | 0.155            |
| Backfat thickness (mm) | 20.62              | 21.80              | 0.950            |

<sup>1)</sup> Abbreviated CON, basal diet; MT, Con diet added 0.8% Megazone®.

<sup>2)</sup> Pooled standard error.

<sup>a,b</sup> Mean values with no common superscript are significantly different in the same row ( $p < 0.05$ ).

However, Thacker (2003) found that DM digestibility was decreased linearly with increasing levels of biotite. Chen *et al.* (2005a) also demonstrated significant improvement in DM and N digestibilities by addition of biotite.

None of the blood cell count parameters and serum parameters determined in the current experiment were affected by Megazone® inclusion. Previous reports were various, Chen *et al.* (2005b) found that RBC and Lymphocyte were increased, and WBC was lower compared with or without biotite treatment, but there were no statistical differences. Yuan *et al.* (2004) reported that lymphocyte proliferation was improved by addition of biotite in nursery pig diets. Limited studies were conducted to evaluate the effects of HSCAS clays on serum parameters of pigs. However, other study found improvements on serum total protein and albumin when pigs fed aflatoxin-contaminated diets with HSCAS clays vs aflatoxin-contaminated diets (Schell *et al.* 1993). The discrepancy between Schell's report and our study may be explained by the aflatoxin reduced indicators of protein synthesis such as serum albumin and total protein, moreover, HSCAS has a ability to correct the aberrations in serum profiles.

Megazone® supplementation had no effects on all the meat quality traits measured (Table 7) in our experiments. However, improvements in carcass weight and carcass ratio were evident in meat from pigs fed diets supplemented with Megazone® (Table 8). On the contrary, Thacker (2003) reported there was no effect on carcass weight when pigs fed diets with biotite inclusion. The backfat level observed in our study is consistent with the results of Mathews *et al.* (1999) when

feeding HSCAS and Pearson *et al.* (1985) feeding zeolite in growing-finishing pigs. However, the contrast results were found by Taverner *et al.* (1984) reported higher backfat levels in pigs fed sodium bentonite while Ward *et al.* (1991) reported a leaner carcass in pigs fed sodium zeolite. More studies should be conducted to evaluate the effects on carcass traits.

## IMPLICATION

Current results indicate that Megazone<sup>®</sup> can improve carcass weight and carcass ratio, however, growth performance, nutrients digestibility, blood characteristics and meat quality are not affected. As several aspects or factors can lead to similar result, the exact mechanisms of this mineral additive can not be explained clearly by current study. Further research is also needed to determine the optimum addition level of the Megazone<sup>®</sup> used in this study.

## REFERENCES

1. AOAC (1995) Official method of analysis. 16th ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC.
2. Castro, M. and Iglesias, M. (1989) Effect of zeolite on traditional diets for fattening pigs. *Cuban J. Agric. Sci.* **23**, 289-291.
3. Chen, Y. J., Kwon, O. S., Min, B. J., Shon, K. S., Cho, J. H., and Kim, I. H. (2005a) The effects of dietary Biotite V supplementation on growth performance, nutrients digestibility and fecal noxious gas content in finishing pigs. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* **18**, 1147-1152.
4. Chen, Y. J., Kwon, O. S., Min, B. J., Shon, K. S., Cho, J. H., and Kim, I. H. (2005b) The effect of dietary Biotite V supplementation as an alternative substance to antibiotics in growing pigs. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* **18**, 1642-1645.
5. Collings, G. F., Thomasson, S. A., Ku, P. K., and Miller, E. R. (1980) Sodium bentonite in swine diets. *J. Anim. Sci.* **50**, 272-277.
6. Kwon, O. S., Kim, I. H., Hong, J. W., Lee, S. H., and Jung, Y. K. (2002) Effect of germanium biotite supplementation on growth performance and serum characteristics in nursery pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **80** (Suppl. 1), 394 (Abstr).
7. Kwon, O. S., Kim, I. H., Hong, J. W., Lee, S. H., Jung, Y. K. Min, B. J., and Lee, W. B. (2003) Effects of dietary germanium biotite in weaned, growing and finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci. & Technol.* **45**, 355-368.
8. Malagutti, L., Michele, Z., and Franca, S. (2002) Use of clinoptilolite in piglet diets as a substitute for colistine. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* **1**, 275-280.
9. Matthews, J. O., Southern, L. L., Higbie, A. D., Persica, M. A., and Bidner, T. D. (1999) Effect of a hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate on growth performance and carcass traits of pigs. *Prof. Anim. Sci.* **15**, 196-200.
10. Matthews, J. O., Southern, L. L., Higbie, A. D., Persica, M. A., and Bidner, T. D. (2001) Effects of betaine on growth, carcass composition, meat quality, and plasma metabolites of finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **79**, 722-728.
11. Mumton, F. and Fishman, P. H. (1977) The application of natural zeolites in animal science and aquaculture. *J. Anim. Sci.* **45**, 1188-1203.
12. Pearson, G., Smith, W. C., and Fox, J. M. (1985) Influence of dietary zeolite in pig performance over the weight range 25-78 kg. *New Zealand J. M. Exp. Agric.* **13**, 151-154.
13. SAS (1996) SAS user's guide. Release 6.12 edition. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC.
14. Schell, T. C., Lindemann, M. D., Kornegay, E. T., Blodgett, D. J., and Doerr, J. A. (1993) Effectiveness of different types of clay for reducing the detrimental effects of aflatoxin-contaminated diets on performance and serum profiles of weanling pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **71**, 1226-1231.
15. Taverner, M. R., Campbell, G., and Biden, R. S. (1984) A note on sodium bentonite as an additive to grower pigs diets. *Anim. Prod.* **38**, 137-139.
16. Thacker, P. A. (2003) Performance of growing-finishing pigs fed diets containing graded levels of biotite, an aluminosilicate clay. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* **16**, 1666-1672.
17. Ward, T. L., Watkins, K. L., Southern, L. L., Hoyt, P. G., and French, D. D. (1991) Interactive effects of sodium zeolite-A and copper in growing swine: Growth, and bone and tissue mineral concentrations. *J. Anim. Sci.* **69**, 726-733.

18. Yuan, S. L., Piao, X. S., Li, D. F., He, Y. H., and Guo, X. H. (2004) Effects of Biotite V on growth performance and serum lymphocyte proliferation in weaned piglets.

National Feed Engineering Technology Research Center,  
China Agricultural University (Unpublished).

---

(2006. 9. 11. 접수 ; 2006. 11. 23. 채택)