# Antioxidant Constituents from the Leaves of Cedrela sinensis A. Juss

Ik Soo Lee\*, Chunhua Wei\*, Phuong Thien Thuong\*, Kyung Sik Song\*\*, Yeon Hee Seong\*\*\*, and KiHwan Bae\*<sup>†</sup>

\*\*College of Pharmacy, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea.

\*\*College of Agriculture and Life Science, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea.

\*\*\*College of Veterinary Medicine and Research Institute of Veterinary Medicine, Chungbuk National University,

Cheongju 361-763, Korea.

ABSTRACT : Phytochemical study on the EtOAc fraction from the MeOH extract of the leaves of *Cedrela sinensis* led to the isolation of five known phenolic compounds (1-5), whose structures were identified as (+)-catechin (1), kaempferol-3-O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranoside (2), quercetin (3), quercetin-3-O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranoside (4), and quercetin-3-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (5), respectively, by comparing their spectral (UV, IR,  $^1$ H and  $^{13}$ C-NMR, and ESI-MS) and physicochemical data with those reported in the literature. Among the isolated compounds (1-5), compounds 1 and 3-5 exhibited significant DPPH radical scavenging effects with IC50 values ranging from 21.3  $\pm$  1.4 to 38.1  $\pm$  3.2  $\mu$ M as well as superoxide anion radical scavenging effects with IC50 values ranging from 9.4  $\pm$  0.7 to 21.2  $\pm$  3.6  $\mu$ M. Furthermore, compounds 1 and 3-5 also exhibited considerable inhibitory effects on LDL peroxidation induced by either Cu $^{2+}$  or AAPH with IC50 values ranging from 1.4  $\pm$  0.4 to 11.9  $\pm$  1.4  $\mu$ M. These results indicated that flavonoids are the major constituents of *C. sinensis* and considered to be antioxidant principles of this plant.

Key words: Cedrela sinensis, Flavonoids, Antioxidant, DPPH, Superoxide, LDL oxidation

### INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been increasing interest in antioxidants derived from natural products. Numerous epidemiological and experimental studies have revealed a clear relationship between antioxidants and diseases such as cancer, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease (Greenwald & McDonald, 1997; Lindquist *et al.*, 2000). Moreover, antioxidants are found in many natural products, which include natural phenolics and vitamins, are reported to be more safe and powerful than synthetic antioxidants such as butylatedhydroxytoluene (BHT), butylatedhydroxyanisole (BHA), and tert-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ) (Wanasundara & Shahidi, 1998; Tang *et al.*, 2001). For these reasons, demand for natural antioxidants has been increased.

Cedrela sinensis A. Juss (Meliacea) is a medicinal plant, which is widely distributed in China and cultivated in Korea. The fresh young leaves and shoots have been used as an effective nutritious food in Korea for a long time. All most every part of *C. sinensis*, including seeds, root bark, peptioles, and leaves, has a medicinal effect (Edmonds & Staniforth, 1998). In fact, the leaves and stems of this plant have been used for

the treatment of itch, dysentery, and enteritis in oriental medicine (Lee, 1985). In addition, the powdered root has been used as a corrective, and the fruits have been used as an astringent and for the treatment of eye infection (Stuart, 1911; Perry, 1980). Recently, it was reported that the crude extract of C. sinensis can induce apoptosis of cancer cells (Chang et al., 2002), enhance lipolysis of differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocyte and its uptake of glucose (Hsu et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003), reduce plasma glucose in diabetic rats (Yu, 2002), and inhibit Leydig cell steroidogenesis (Poon et al., 2005). As a part of our continuing study on the bioactive principles of this plant, we have found that the EtOAc-soluble fraction of C. sinensis exhibited a considerable antioxidant effect on DPPH assay. This prompted us to investigate the major antioxidant constituents of this plant, and resulted in the isolation of five phenolic compounds. The present paper reports the isolation and structural identification of these compounds and their free radical scavenging effects on DPPH and superoxide anion radicals, as well as their inhibitory effects on low-density lipoprotein (LDL) peroxidation induced by Cu<sup>2+</sup> or AAPH using the TBA method.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Corresponding author: (Phone) +82-42-821-5925 (E-mail) baekh@cnu.ac.kr Received March 10, 2006 / Accepted September 28, 2006

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant materials

The leaves of *C. sinensis* were collected in Daejeon, Korea, in October 2005, and identified by Prof. KiHwan Bae. A voucher specimen (CNU 1269) has been deposited in the herbarium at the College of Pharmacy, Chungnam National University, Daejeon, Korea.

#### Instruments and reagents

1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), xanthine, xanthine oxidase, nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT), 2,2'-Azobis (2-amidino-propane) dihydrochloride (AAPH), cupric sulfate pentahydrate (CuSO<sub>4</sub> 5H<sub>2</sub>O), thiobarbituric acid (TBA), α-tocopherol (vitamin E), and butylated hydroxyl toluene (BHT) were purchased from Sigma Co., U. S. A. Etylenediaminetetraacetatic acid (EDTA) and tricloroacetic acid (TCA) were obtained from Daejung Chemical, Ltd., Korea.

Melting points were determined on an Electrothemal apparatus. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-370 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO 100 IR spectrophotometer. UV spectra were obtained a JASCO V-550 UV/VIS spectrometer. ESI-MS were registered using a HP 1100 series LC/MSD spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker DRX-300 spectrometer and chemical shift are expressed as  $\delta$  values using TMS unit as an internal standard. Column chromatography was performed by using silica gel (Kieselgel 70-230 mesh and 230-400 mesh, Merck), Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Biosciences), and Lichroprep RP-18 (Merk). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on pre-coated silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> (0.25 mm, Merck) and RP-18  $F_{254s}$  plates (0.25 mm, Merck). Spots were detected by UV light (254 nm) and spraying 10% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> followed by heating. Analytical and quantitative HPLC were used performed on a Shimadzu SCL-10A system controller with LC-10AD pump; SPD-10A UV-VIS multiwavelength detector; ZORBAX eclipse XID- $C_{18}$  (2.1 mm × 150 mm. 5 μm) column. Preparative MPLC was carry out on YAMZEN MPLC system with pump 540; detector Prep UV-10V; ULTRA PACK ODS-S-50A (11 mm × 300 mm).

## **Extraction and isolation**

The dried leaves of C. sinensis (6.0 kg) were extracted three times with MeOH at room temperature for 7 days, filtered and concentrated to afford a MeOH extract (526.0 g). The MeOH extract was suspended in  $H_2O$  and then partitioned successively with hexane, EtOAc, and BuOH to afford a hexane-soluble fraction (170 g), an EtOAc-soluble fraction (90 g), and a BuOH-soluble fraction (150 g), respectively. As a result of

measuring the antioxidant effect of the C. sinensis extract and its solvent-fractions, the EtOAc-soluble fraction exhibited the strongest antioxidant effect with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 22.0  $\pm$ 1.5  $\mu$ g/m $\ell$  on DPPH assay. Accordingly, the EtOAc-soluble fraction (90 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (100 × 8.5 cm) eluting with a gradient of CHC<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (100:1 to 1:1) to afford four fractions (Fr. 1~4). Fr. 2 was chromatographed on a silica gel column ( $50 \times 4.5$  cm) using CHC<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (20:1 to 5:1) to afford seven subfrations (Fr. 2-1~2-7). Fr. 2-6 and Fr. 2-7 were rechromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 (30×3 cm) using MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (7:3) and MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (6:4) to yield compounds 1 (20 mg) and 2 (100 mg), respectively. Fr. 4 was further chromatographed on a silica gel column (50  $\times$  4.5 cm) using CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (20 : 1 to 2:1) to afford three subfrations (Fr. 4-1~4-3). Fr. 4-1 was applied to preparative MPLC (ODS-S-50A column, 11 × 300 mm, Yamazen corporation; UV, 254 nm; flow rate, 4 ml/ min) using MeOH- $H_2O$  (6:4) to yield compound 3 (220 mg). Compound 4 (350 mg) was obtained from Fr. 4-2 after chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 (3×30 cm) using MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1). Fr. 4-3 was further chromatographed on an RP-18 column (2.5×30 cm) using MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1) to yield compound 5 (540 mg).

**Compound 1** – White amorphous powder; mp: 175-176 °C; [α]<sub>D</sub> +16.0° (c 0.1, MeOH); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (MeOH): 228, 283; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3300 (OH), 1610, 1520 (aromatic C = C), 1280, 1140; ESI-MS m/z: 313 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 6.83 (1H, d, J= 1.8 Hz, H-2'), 6.76 (1H, d, J= 8.0 Hz, H-5'), 6.72 (1H, dd, J= 1.8, 8.0 Hz, H-6'), 5.92 (1H, d, J= 2.0 Hz, H-6), 5.85 (1H, d, J= 2.0 Hz, H-8), 4.56 (1H, d, J= 7.5 Hz, H-2), 3.97 (1H, ddd, J= 5.4, 7.5, 8.0 Hz, H-3), 2.85 (1H, dd, J= 5.4, 16.0 Hz, H-4β), 2.50 (1H, dd, J= 8.0, 16.0 Hz, H-4α); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 82.8 (C-2), 68.8 (C-3), 28.5 (C-4), 157.6 (C-5), 96.2 (C-6), 157.8 (C-7), 95.5 (C-8), 156.9 (C-9), 100.8 (C-10), 132.2 (C-1'), 115.2 (C-2'), 146.2 (C-3'), 146.2 (C-4'), 116.1 (C-5'), 120.0 (C-6').

**Compound 2** – Yellow amorphous powder; mp: 172-175 °C;  $[\alpha]_D$  –184.0° (c 0.1, MeOH); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (MeOH): 269, 347; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3350 (OH), 1650 (C = O), 1610, 1510, 1450 (aromatic C = C), 1365, 1170 (glycosidic C-O); ESI-MS m/z: 455 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 7.73 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-2', 6'), 6.91 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-3', 5'), 6.32 (1H, d, J = 1.2 Hz, H-8), 6.15 (1H, d, J = 1.2 Hz, H-6), 5.36 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz, rham-1), 0.92 (3H, d, J = 5.5 Hz, rham-6); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 159.1 (C-2), 136.1 (C-3), 179.4 (C-4), 163.1 (C-5), 99.7 (C-6), 165.7 (C-7), 94.7 (C-8), 158.4 (C-9), 105.8 (C-10), 122.5 (C-1'), 131.9 (C-2', 6'), 116.4 (C-3', 5'), 161.4 (C-4'), 103.4 (rham-1), 71.9 (rham-2), 72.0 (rham-3), 73.1 (rham-4), 71.8 (rham-5), 17.6 (rham-6).

**Compound 3** – Yellow amorphous powder; mp: 313-314 °C; UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm (MeOH): 267, 371; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3380 (OH), 1676 (C = O), 1608, 1516, 1455 (aromatic C = C); ESI-MS m/z: 325 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz): 7.67 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-2), 7.54 (1H, dd, J = 2.1, 8.4 Hz, H-6), 6.88 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-5), 6.40 (1H, d, J = 1.8 Hz, H-8), 6.18 (1H, d, J = 1.8 Hz, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 75 MHz): 147.6 (C-2), 136.6 (C-3), 176.7 (C-4) 161.6 (C-5), 99.0 (C-6), 164.8 (C-7), 94.2 (C-8), 157.0 (C-9), 103.8 (C-10), 122.8 (C-1'), 116.4 (C-2'), 145.9 (C-3'), 148.6 (C-4'), 115.9 (C-5'), 120.8 (C-6').

**Compound 4** – Yellow amorphous powder; mp: 179-181 °C; [α]<sub>D</sub> –178.0° (c 0.1, MeOH); UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm (MeOH): 255, 353; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3320 (OH), 1660 (C = O), 1610, 1500, 1450 (aromatic C = C), 1360, 1140 (glycosidic C-O); ESI-MS m/z: 471 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 7.33 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-2'), 7.30 (1H, dd, J = 2.0, 8.0 Hz, H-6'), 6.90 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-5'), 6.34 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-8), 6.20 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-6), 5.34 (1H, d, J = 1.5 Hz, rham-1), 4.22 (1H, dd, J = 1.7, 3.0 Hz, rham-2), 3.44-3.30 (3H, m, rham-3, 4, 5), 0.94 (3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, rham-6); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 159.2 (C-2), 136.2 (C-3), 179.5 (C-4), 163.1 (C-5), 99.7 (C-6), 165.7 (C-7), 94.7 (C-8), 158.4 (C-9), 105.8 (C-10), 122.8 (C-1'), 116.9 (C-2'), 146.3 (C-3'), 149.7 (C-4'), 116.3 (C-5'), 122.9 (C-6'), 103.5 (rham-1), 72.1 (rham-2), 72.0 (rham-3), 73.2 (rham-4), 71.8 (rham-5), 17.6 (rham-6).

**Compound 5** – Yellow amorphous powder; mp: 232-234 °C; [α]<sub>D</sub> –12.5° (c 0.1, MeOH); UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm (MeOH): 257, 355; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3350 (OH), 1660 (C = O), 1610, 1500, 1450 (aromatic C = C), 1360, 1140 (glycosidic C-O); ESI-MS m/z: 487 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 7.71 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-2'), 7.56 (1H, dd, J = 2.0, 8.0 Hz, H-6'), 6.86 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-5'), 6.34 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-8), 6.16 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-6), 5.23 (1H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, glc-1), 3.72 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 2.1 Hz, glc-6a), 3.58 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 5.1 Hz, glc-6b), 3.51-3.22 (4H, m, glc-2, 3, 4, 5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 158.4 (C-2), 135.6 (C-3), 179.4 (C-4), 162.9 (C-5), 99.8 (C-6), 165.9 (C-7), 94.7 (C-8), 158.9 (C-9), 105.6 (C-10), 123.0 (C-1'), 117.5 (C-2'), 145.8 (C-3'), 149.7 (C-4'), 115.9 (C-5'), 123.1 (C-6'), 104.3 (glc-1), 75.7 (glc-2), 78.1 (glc-3), 71.1 (glc-4), 78.0 (glc-5), 62.5 (glc-6).

#### DPPH radical scavenging assay

The DPPH assay was performed as described previously (Taco *et al.*, 1994). Briefly, 5  $\mu\ell$  of each sample, dissolved in DMSO, was added to 195  $\mu\ell$  of 150  $\mu$ M DPPH in ethanol in 96 well plates. The solution was mixed for 1 min and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The absorbance of reaction mixture was measured at 517 nm on a microplate reader.

The free radical scavenging activity was expressed as follow: DPPH radical scavenging activity  $(\%) = [(Ac-As)/(Ac-Ab)] \times 100$ 

Where Ac was the absorbance of the control, As was the absorbance of the sample and Ab was the absorbance of the blank (DMSO). The  $IC_{50}$  value was defined as the concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% of DPPH radicals.

#### Superoxide anion radical scavenging assay

The superoxide assay was performed as described previously (Beauchamp & Fridovich, 1971). The 495  $\mu\ell$  assay mixture consisted of 50 mM sodium carbonate buffer (pH 7.8), containing 0.1 mM xanthine and 25  $\mu$ M nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT). The reaction was initiated by addition of 5  $\mu\ell$  20 nM xanthine oxidase in the presence or absence of each compound. The increase in absorbance at 560 nm was read after 5 min on a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1240, Tokyo, Japan). Superoxide radical scavenging activity was expressed as follow:

Superoxide anion radical scavenging activity (%) =  $[(Ac-As)/(Ac-Ab)] \times 100$ 

Where Ac was the absorbance of the control, As was the absorbance of the sample and Ab was the absorbance of the blank (where the xanthine oxidase was not added). The  $IC_{50}$  value was defined as the concentration of sample required to decrease 50% of NBT reduction.

#### Preparation of low density lipoprotein (LDL)

Plasma was obtained from fasted healthy normalipidemic individual. LDL was isolated as described previously (Nicole & Mavis, 1998). Plasma was centrifuged at 43800 rpm for 20 hrs at  $4^{\circ}$ C in Beckman T8-M ultracentrifuge and then chylomicron and very low-density lipoprotein floated to the top of tube was removed. Other infranatants was collected, adjusted to  $d = 1.063 \text{ g/m}\ell$  using NaBr and centrifuged at 43800 rpm for 28 hrs at  $4^{\circ}$ C. The top layer of LDL was collected and dialyzed against 10 mM phosphate saline buffer (pH 7.4). The LDL protein was determined by bicinchoninic acid method using bovine serum albumin as a standard (Smith *et al.*, 1985).

#### Lipid peroxidation inhibitory assay

The assay for lipid peroxidation in human LDL was performed using the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) method as described previously (Wang *et al.*, 2004). Briefly, LDL (100  $\mu g/m\ell$ ) was incubated with 5  $\mu$ M Cu<sup>2+</sup> or 5 mM AAPH in 0.2 m $\ell$  of 10 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.4) in the absence or presence of each compound at various concentration at 37 °C for 3 hrs. The oxidation was terminated by adding EDTA and BHT (1 mM and 50  $\mu$ M final concentrations, respectively). Upon incu-

bation  $0.2~\text{m}\ell$  aliquot was withdrawn and  $0.5~\text{m}\ell$  TCA (20%) was added followed by  $0.5~\text{m}\ell$  TBA (0.67%). The mixture was heated at 95-100 °C for 15 min, cooled in ice and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 5 min. Then the absorbance of supernatant was read at 532 nm. Lipid peroxidation inhibitory activity (%) is expressed as follows:

Lipid peroxidation inhibitory activity (%) =  $[(Ac-As)/(Ac-Ab)]\times 100$ 

Where Ac was the absorbance of the control, As was the absorbance of the sample and Ab was the absorbance of the blank (without  $5~\mu M~Cu^{2+}$  or 5~mM~AAPH). The IC<sub>50</sub> value was defined as the concentration of sample required to inhibit 50% of thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS) formation.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The MeOH extract of C. sinensis (the leaves) was suspended in H<sub>2</sub>O and then partitioned successively with hexane, EtOAc, and BuOH. Among the partitioned fractions, the EtOAc-soluble fraction exhibited a significant antioxidant effect in a concentration-dependant manner with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of  $22.0 \pm 2.5 \,\mu\text{g/m}\ell$  on DPPH assay (Table 1), suggesting that some compounds present in this fraction possess antioxidant effects. The subsequent bioassay-guided isolation of the EtOAc-soluble fraction led to the isolation of five known phenolic compounds (1-5), whose structures (Fig. 1) were identified as (+)-catechin (1) (Agrawal, 1989), kaempferol-3-O-α-Lrhamnopyranoside (2) (Fukunaga et al., 1988), quercetin (3) (Zhong et al., 1997), quercetin-3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (4) (Kim et al., 2004), and quercetin-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (5) (Choi et al., 1998), respectively, by comparing their spectral (UV, IR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, and ESI-MS) and physicochemical data with those reported in the literature.

Flavonoids are generally known as effective antioxidants as well as radical scavengers (Rice-Evans *et al.*, 1997). Scavenging of free radicals seems to play a considerable part in the antioxidant ability of flavonoids. In order to understand the antioxidant behavior and the related activity-structure relationships of the flavonods (1-5) isolated from *C. sinensis*, it has been evaluated for their radical scavenging ability using DPPH and superoxide assays.

DPPH is a stable free radical and it has been widely used to test the free radical scavenging ability of phenolic compounds (Brand-Williams *et al.*, 1995). The radical scavenging effects of five flavonoids (1-5) on DPPH are shown in Table 2. The flavonoids (1 and 3-5) which possess an o-dihydroxy group in the B-ring exhibited considerable DPPH radical scavenging effects with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from  $21.3 \pm 1.4$  to  $38.1 \pm 3.2$ 

**Table 1.** DPPH radical scavenging effects of the MeOH extract of *C. sinensis* and its solvent-fractions.

Extract/fractions	$IC_{50} (\mu g/m\ell)^{\dagger}$	
MeOH extract	$75 \pm 3.6$	
Hexane fraction	> 100	
EtOAc fraction	$22.0 \pm 2.5$	
BuOH fraction	$69.3 \pm 4.2$	
α-Tocopherol <sup>‡</sup>	$21.8 \pm 1.4$	

†The concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% of DPPH radicals

<sup>‡</sup>The compound used as a positive control.

Data represented the mean  $\pm$  SD of three individual experiments.

**Fig. 1.** Chemical structures of compounds **1-5** isolated from *C. sinensis*.

**Table 2.** Free radical scavenging effects of compounds **1-5** isolated from  $\hat{C}$ . *sinensis* on DPPH and superoxide anion radicals.

Compounds	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>+</sup>	
Compounds —	DPPH•	•O <sub>2</sub> -
1	$33.9 \pm 3.5$	$19.0 \pm 2.8$
2	> 100	> 100
3	$21.3 \pm 1.4$	$9.4 \pm 0.7$
4	$38.1 \pm 3.2$	$21.2 \pm 3.6$
5	$36.1 \pm 3.8$	$19.2 \pm 2.9$
$lpha$ -Tocopherol $^{\dagger}$	$50.3 \pm 1.5$	-
Caffeic acid ‡	$41.2 \pm 2.8$	$34.6 \pm 3.7$

The concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% of free radicals.

<sup>‡</sup>The compounds used as positive controls.

Data represented the mean  $\pm$  SD of three individual experiments.

 $\mu M$  in comparison with a positive control,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (IC<sub>50</sub>:  $50.3 \pm 1.5 \,\mu M$ ), but afzelin (2) which does not possess any o-dihydroxy group exhibited a weak radical scavenging effect (IC<sub>50</sub>>100  $\mu M$ ). In addition, quercetin (3) which possesses a 2,3-double bond conjugated with a 4-oxo group in the C-ring exhibited a slightly effective scavenging effect than (+)-cat-

echin (1), whereas quercetin glycosides (4 and 5) exhibited weaker scavenging effects than (+)-catechin (1).

The superoxide anion radical ( ${}^{\bullet}O_2^{-}$ ) scavenging effects of the flavonoids (1-5) were investigated using the xanthine/xanthine oxidase system, and the results are presented in Table 2. Of these, flavonoids 1 and 3-5 exhibited considerable superoxide anion radical scavenging effects in a concentration-dependant manner with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from  $9.4 \pm 0.7$  to  $21.2 \pm 3.6 \,\mu\text{M}$  in comparison with a positive control, caffeic acid (IC<sub>50</sub>:  $34.6 \pm 3.7 \,\mu\text{M}$ ). The scavenging pattern was similar to that of DPPH assay.

Above two free radical scavenging results and literature (Bros et al., 1990; Rice-Evans et al., 1996; Arora et al., 1998; Pietta, 2000; Burda & Oleszek, 2001) suggest that the structural requirement considered to be essential for effective radical scavenging by flavonoids is the presence of an o-dihydroxy (catechol) B-ring structure, which conferred higher stability in the radical form and participating in electron delocalization (Rice-Evans et al., 1996; Pietta, 2000). Moreover, The 2,3double bond conjugated with a 4-oxo group, which is responsible for electron delocalization from the B ring, enhances further the radical scavenging capacity (Bros et al., 1990; Rice-Evans et al., 1996), and saturation of the 2,3-double bond is believed to cause a loss of activity potential (Rice-Evans et al., 1996; Arora et al., 1998). Also, the free 3-OH group is important for their maximum radical scavenging potential (Burda & Oleszek, 2001), and substitution of the 3-OH group of flavonoids by a methyl or glycosyl group led to the loss in activity (Burda & Oleszek, 2001), probably due to steric effect (Bros et al., 1990; Rice-Evans et al., 1996).

Lipid peroxidation, specifically low-density lipoprotein (LDL) oxidation, appears to be a critical step in the pathogenesis of atherosclerotic vascular disease (Steinberg et al., 1989; Aviram, 1993). Because flavonoids have been shown to be effective in the inhibition of LDL oxidation, many studies have been reported on their protecting effects on LDL oxidation. These effects may arise from the metal chelating and reactive oxygen radical scavenging ability of flavonoids. Therefore, the flavonoids (1-5) from C. sinensis were evaluated for their inhibitory effects on LDL peroxidation induced by Cu2+ or free radical generator AAPH using the TBA method, and the results are presented in Table 3. Again, flavonoids 1 and 3-5 exhibited considerable inhibitory effects on LDL peroxidation induced by either Cu<sup>2+</sup> or AAPH with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from  $1.4 \pm 0.4$  to  $11.9 \pm 1.4 \,\mu\text{M}$ , confirming that the o-dihydroxy structure in the B ring is mostly responsible for metal chelating and free radical scavenging involved in lipid peroxidation of LDL (Brown et al., 1998). However, all the flavonoids (1-5) tested were more effective at inhibiting

**Table 3.** Inhibitory effects of compounds **1-5** isolated from *C. sinensis* on LDL peroxidation induced by Cu<sup>2+</sup> and AAPH.

Compounds —	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM) <sup>†</sup>		
	Cu <sup>2+</sup>	AAPH	
1	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$9.8 \pm 1.0$	
2	> 50	> 50	
3	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	$9.1 \pm 0.2$	
4	$6.9 \pm 1.2$	$11.9 \pm 1.4$	
5	$4.9 \pm 1.1$	$10.8 \pm 1.6$	
α-Tocopherol ‡	$29.9 \pm 4.3$	_	
$BHT^{\scriptscriptstyle \dagger}$	$2.3 \pm 0.2$	$10.1 \pm 1.4$	

<sup>†</sup>The concentration of sample required to inhibit 50% of TBARS formation.

<sup>‡</sup>The compounds used as positive controls.

Data represented the mean  $\pm$  SD of three individual experiments.

the Cu<sup>2+</sup>-induced peroxidation than the peroxidation induced by AAPH. This result suggested that both metal chelating and free radical scavenging mechanisms probably contributed to the inhibitory effects of the flavonoids on LDL peroxidation induced by Cu<sup>2+</sup>. In the LDL peroxidation induced by AAPH, however, only the free radical scavenging mechanism contributed to the antioxidant action of the flavonoids, thereby accounting for the lower antioxidant effects (Arora *et al.*, 1998). Previous study demonstrated that flavonoids possessed good metal chelating ability, thereby suggesting that the metal chelating mechanism significantly contributed to the antioxidant potential of flavonoids (Morel *et al.*, 1993).

In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that flavonoids are the major constituents of *C. sinensis*, and among the isolated flavonods (1-5), (+)-catechin (1) and quercetin and its derivatives (3-5) play an important role in the revealing of a potent antioxidant effect of this plant. Therefore, *C. sinensis* is considered to be a good source of natural antioxidants as well as an effective nutritious food, whose consumption may contribute to better improved health and the prevention of oxidative stress-related diseases.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This study was financially supported by research fund of Institute of Drug research & Development of Chungnam National University in 2005.

## LITERATURE CITED

**Agrawal PK** (1989) Carbon-13 NMR of flavonoids. Elsevier. New York. p. 432-496.

Arora A, Nair MG, Strasburg GM (1998) Structure-activity

- relationships for antioxidant activities of a series of flavonoids in a liposomal system. Free. Radic. Biol. Med. 24:1355-1363.
- **Aviram M** (1993) Modified forms of low-density lipoprotein and atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis 98:1-9.
- **Beauchamp C, Fridovich I** (1971) Superoxide dismutase: Improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gels. Anal. Biochem. 44:276-287.
- Bors W, Heller W, Michel C, Saran M (1990) Flavonoids as antioxidants: determination of radical scavenging efficiencies. Methods Enzymol. 186:343-355.
- Burda S, Oleszek W (2001) Antioxidant and antiradical activities of flavonoids. J. Agri. Food Chem. 49:2774-2779.
- **Chang HC, Hung WC, Huang MS, Hsu HK** (2002) Extract from the leaves of *Toona sinensis roemor* exerts potent antiproliferative effect on human lung cancer cells. American Journal of Chinese Medicine 30:307-314.
- Choi WH, Park WY, Hwang BY, Oh GJ, Kang SJ, Lee KS, Ro JS (1998) Phenolic compounds from the stem bark of *Cornus walteri* Wagner. Kor. J. Pharmacog. 29:217-224.
- Edmonds JM, Staniforth M (1998) *Toona sinensis*: Meliaceae. Curtis's Botanical Magazine 15:186-193.
- Fukunaga T, Nishiya K, Kajikawa I, Watanabe Y, Suzuki N, Takeya K, Itokawa H (1988) Chemical studies on the constituents of Hyphear tanakae Hosokawa from different Host trees. Chem. Pham. Bull. 36:1180-1184.
- Greenwald P, McDonald SS (1997) Cancer Prevention: The Role of Diet and Chemoprevention. Cancer Control 4:118-127.
- **Hsu HK, Yang YC, Hwang JH, Hong SJ** (2003) Effects of *Toona sinensis* leaf extract on lipolysis in differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences 19:385-390.
- Kim YK, Kim YS, Choi SU, Ryu SY (2004) Isolation of Flavonol Rhamnosides from *Loranthus tanakae* and Cytotoxic Effect of Them on Human Tumor Cell Lines. Arch. Pharm. Res. 27:44-47.
- Lee TB (1985) Illustrated Flora of Korea. Hyangmunsa. Seoul. p. 506.
- **Lindquist CH, Gower BA, Goran MI** (2000) Role of dietary factors in ethnic differences in early risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. AM. J. Clin. Nutr. 71:725-732.
- Morel I, Lescoat G, Cogrel P, Sergent O, Pasdeloup N, Brissot P, Cillard P, Cillard J (1993) Antioxidant and iron-chelating activities of the flavonoids catechin, quercetin and diosmetin on iron-loaded rat hepatocyte cultures. Biochem. Pharmacol. 45:13-19.
- **Nicole K, Mavis A** (1998) The isoflavone genistein inhibits copper and peroxyl radical mediated low density lipoprotein oxidation in vitro. Atherosclerosis 140:341-347.
- Perry LI (1980) Medicinal plants of east and southeast Asia: attributed properties and uses. MIT press. Cambridge. Mass.

- p. 263.
- Pietta PG(2000) Flavonoids as antioxidants. J. Nat. Prod. 63:1035-1042.
- **Poon SL, Leu SF, Hsu HK, Liu MY, Huang BM** (2005) Règulatory mechanism of *Toona sinensis* on mouse leydig cell steroidogenesis. Life Science 76:1473-1487.
- **Rice-Evans CA, Miller NJ, Paganga G** (1997) Antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds. Trends in Plant Science 2:152-159.
- **Rice-Evans C, Miller NJ, Paganga G** (1996) Structure–antioxidant relationship of flavonoids and phenolic acids. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 20:933-956.
- Smith PK, Krohn RI, Hermanson GT, Mallia AK, Gartner FH, Provenzano MD, Fujimoto EK, Goeke NM, Olson BJ, Klenk DC (1985) Measurement of protein using bicinchoninic acid. Anal. Biochem. 150:76-85.
- Steinberg D, Parthasarathy S, Carew TE, Khoo JC, Witztum J (1989) Beyond cholesterol: Modifications of lowdensity lipoproteins that increase its atherogenicity. New England Journal of Medicine 320:915-924.
- **Stuart GA** (1911) Chinese material medica, vegetable kingdom. Shanghai. p. 100.
- **Taco T, Kitatani F, Watanabe N, Yagi A, Sakata K** (1994) A sample screening method for antioxidants and isolation of several antioxidants produced by marine bacteria from fish and shelfish. Biosci. Biotech. Biochem. 58:1780-1783.
- Tang S, Kerry JP, Sheehan D, Buckley DJ, Morrissey PA (2001) Antioxidative effect of added tea catechins on susceptibility of cooked red meat, poultry and fish patties to lipid oxidation. Food Research International 34:651-657.
- **Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset C** (1995) Use of free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. Lebensm. Wiss. Technol. 28:25-30.
- Wanasundara UN, Shahidi F (1998) Antioxidant and pro-oxidant activity of green tea extracts in marine oils. Food Chemistry 63:335-342.
- Wang X, Greilberger J, Ledinski G, Kager G, Paigen B, Jurgens G (2004) The hypolipidemic natural product *Commiphora mukul* and its component guggulsterone inhibit oxidative modification of LDL. Atherosclerosis 172:239-246.
- Yang YC, Hsu HK, Hwang JH, Hong SJ (2003) Enhancement of glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 adipocytes by *Toona sinensis* leaf extract. Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences 19:327-333.
- Yu JYL (2002) *Toona sinensis* extract affects gene expression of GLUT4 GLU00 se transporter in adipose tissue of alloxan-induced diabetic rats. Proceedings of 5th Congress of the International Diabetic Society. China.
- **Zhong XN, Otsuka H, Ide T, Hirata E, Takushi A, Takeda Y** (1997) Three flavonol glycosides from leaves of *Myrsine serguinii*. Phytochemistry 46:943-946.