

원저

Influence of Postnatal *Angelicae Gigantis* Radix Herb-acupuncture on Prenatal Noise Stress-induced NOS Expression in the Offspring Rats

Lee Jeong-seok*, Kim Ee-hwa** and Lee Eun-yong*

*Department of Acupuncture & Moxibustion, College of Oriental Medicine, Semyung University

**Department of Acupoint & Meridian, College of Oriental Medicine, Semyung University

국문초록

태생후 당귀약침 자극이 태생전 소음스트레스로 인한 태아쥐의 NOS 신경세포 발현에 미치는 영향

이정석* · 김이화** · 이은용*

*세명대학교대학원 한의과대학 침구학교실

**세명대학교대학원 한의과대학 경혈학교실

목적 : 당귀는 전통적으로 학습과 기억능력 증진 및 각종 질병치료에 우수한 효과가 있는 것으로 알려져 있다. 본 연구에서는 이러한 인삼약침이 소음스트레스가 유발된 태아쥐의 해마 및 시상하부에서 nitric oxide synthase에 미치는 영향을 관찰하였다.

방법 : 당귀약침이 소음스트레스에 미치는 영향을 연구하기 위하여 태아기에 소음스트레스를 유발하고 생후 4주후 7일간 당귀약침을 투여한 후 NADPH-d 조직화학법을 시행하였다.

결과 : 1. 소음스트레스는 태아쥐의 해마 및 시상하부에서 NOS 발현이 유의하게 증가하였다.
2. 당귀약침은 해마 CA1에서만 소음스트레스에 의해서 증가된 NOS 발현을 유의하게 억제하였다.
3. 당귀약침은 시상하부 PVN, DMH 및 LHA에서 소음스트레스에 의해서 증가된 NOS 발현을 유의하게 억제하였다.

· 접수 : 2006년 5월 17일 · 수정 : 2006년 5월 20일 · 채택 : 2006년 5월 20일
· 교신저자 : 이은용, 충북 제천시 산21-11 세명대학교 부속한방병원 침구과
Tel. 043-649-1816 E-mail : acupley@netian.com

결론 : 본 연구를 통하여 당귀약침이 소음스트레스가 유발된 태아쥐의 해마 및 시상하부에서 증가된 NOS 발현을 유의하게 억제시켜 태아의 스트레스 자극에 당귀약침치료가 유의한 효과가 있음을 확인하였다.

핵심단어 : *Angelicae gigantis radix*; prenatal noise stress; nitric oxide synthase; hippocampus; hypothalamus

I. Introduction

Prenatal stress alters an individual's developmental trajectory through altered early brain development¹. Previous studies reported that exposure to noise during pregnancy adversely influenced the development of the fetus and neonate: increased antepartum fetal death and congenital anomaly in the central nervous system, impaired social behavior in juvenile stage, and a long-term alteration in the immune function²⁻³. In addition, stress is associated with activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. Various stress related inputs converge upon the neurons located in the periventricular nucleus (PVN). In fact, this nucleus has a pivotal role in the control of pituitary-adrenocortical activity in response to stress⁴⁻⁵.

The hippocampal formation is a brain region critically involved in learning and memory formation. In humans, hippocampal damage impairs explicit memory, and in rodents, hippocampal damage impairs spatial and contextual learning which require the formation of relational representations among multiple cues. In various studies, prenatal stress by restraint⁶, alcohol⁷ and noise⁸ is known to influence learning and memory capabilities of the offspring by altering neuronal activity in the hippocampus and related structures⁹.

Nitric oxide (NO), synthesized from L-arginine by nitric oxide synthase (NOS), acts as a neurotransmitter and a biological messenger molecule in the central nervous system (CNS) and other mammalian tissues. It has been reported that NOS

is constitutively expressed in PVN¹⁰ and, furthermore, NO is implicated in the regulation of functions of PVN neurons, especially when the internal environment is disturbed under stress conditions¹⁰⁻¹¹. Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-diaphorase (NADPH-d) is a histochemical marker specific for NOS in the CNS.

Angelicae gigantis radix(AGR), which belongs to the Umbelliferae family, is one of the best known Oriental medicinal herbs, and have used for invigorating blood circulation¹². In addition, medications based on *Angelicae gigantis radix* possesses a variety of pharmacological effects including immunoregulation, anti-oxidation, anti-tumor, anti-irradiation injury, promotion of hematopoiesis¹³⁻¹⁶. In the present study, the influence of postnatal *Angelicae gigantis radix* herb-acupuncture treatment on neuronal activity, in particular with respect to NOS expression in the each regions of hippocampus and hypothalamus of offspring rats with prenatal noise stress during pregnancy was investigated via NADPH-d histochemistry.

II. Materials & Methods

1. Animals and treatments

The experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institute of Health (NIH) and the Korean Academy of Medical Sciences. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (250 ± 10 g, 12 weeks old) and female Sprague-Dawley rats (180 ± 10 g, 8 weeks old) were used

in this study. Female rats ($n = 40$) were allowed to mate with male rats ($n = 40$) for 24 h. One day later, female rats were separated from the male rats and housed individually in a plastic home cage at the controlled temperature (20 ± 2 °C) and the light-dark cycle of 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness (light on from 07:00 h to 19:00 h). Food and water were made available *ad libitum*.

After confirming of pregnancy on the 14th days after mating, female rats were randomly divided into six groups (experimental 1) : the control group, the 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, the 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, the noise-treated group, the noise- and 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, and the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group and divided into five groups (experimental 2) : the control group, the noise-treated group, the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, and the noise- and 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, and the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group ($n = 5$ for each group). Starting on the 15th day of pregnancy, rats of the prenatal noise-treated groups were applied with the 95 decibel supersonic machine sound for 1 h once a day until delivery¹⁷⁾.

After birth, the offspring in each group was left undisturbed together with the respective mother for 28 days, and then, offspring rats were acupunctured with *Angelicae gigantis* radix herb-acupuncture at *Chung-Wan* (CV12) acupoint once a day for 7 consecutive days at the respective doses; they were sacrificed 6 weeks after birth. To obtain the aqueous extract of *Angelicae gigantis* radix, 200 g of *Angelicae gigantis* radix was added to distilled water, and extraction was performed by heating at 80 °C concentrated with a rotary evaporator, and lyophilized. The resulting powder, weighing 30 g (a collection rate of 15 %), was diluted with saline.

2. Tissue preparation

For the sacrificial process, animals were first weighed and overdosed with Zolctil 50 (10 mg/kg, i.p.; Vibac, Carros, France). After a complete lack of response was observed, the rats were transcidentally perfused with 50 mM phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then with 4% paraformaldehyde in 100 mM phosphate buffer (PB) at pH 7.4. The brains were dissected, postfixed in the same fixative overnight, and transferred into a 30 % sucrose solution for cryoprotection. Serial coronal sections of 40 μ m thickness were made using a freezing microtome (Leica, Nussloch, Germany).

3. NADPH-d histochemistry

Sections were then stained for NADPH-d activity according to a previously described protocol¹⁸⁾. In brief, free-floating sections were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h in 100 mM PB containing 0.3% Triton X-100, 0.1 mg/ml nitroblue tetrazolium, and 0.1 mg/ml β -NADPH. The sections were then washed three times with PBS and mounted onto gelatin-coated slides. The slides were air dried overnight at room temperature, and coverslips were mounted using Permount[®].

4. Data analysis

To score the number of NADPH-d-positive cells in each area of the hippocampus, cell counting was performed using Image-Pro®Plus computer-assisted image analysis system (Media Cybernetics Inc., Silver Spring, MD, USA) attached to a light microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The staining intensities of the processed sections were assessed in a quantitative fashion according to a micro-densitometrical method based on optical density using an image analyzer (Multiscan, Fullerton, CA).

5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by Student's

t-test. The results were presented as the mean \pm standard error mean (S.E.M.). Differences were considered significant for $p < 0.05$.

III. Results

1. Number of NADPH-d-positive cells in CA1 region of hippocampus

The number of NADPH-d-positive cells in the CA1 region was about $128.92 \pm 12.59/\text{mm}^2$ in the control group, $158.33 \pm 10.93/\text{mm}^2$ in the 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, $129.41 \pm 9.82/\text{mm}^2$ in the 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, and $135.78 \pm 9.81/\text{mm}^2$ in the 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group. The number of NADPH-d-positive cell under normal conditions was not affected by administration of *Angelicae gigantis* radix. This number was

significantly increased to $231.86 \pm 20.69/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise-treated group, but was dose-dependently decreased again to $198.53 \pm 13.05/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, $176.47 \pm 11.58/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, and $163.73 \pm 17.03/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group (Fig. 1, 2).

2. Number of NADPH-d-positive cells in CA2 and CA3 regions of hippocampus

The number of NADPH-d-positive cells in the CA2 and CA3 regions was about $30.40 \pm 4.50/\text{mm}^2$ in the control group, $27.00 \pm 1.74/\text{mm}^2$ in the 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, $35.60 \pm 3.78/\text{mm}^2$ in the 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, and $35.40 \pm 2.77/\text{mm}^2$ in the 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group. The number of NADPH-d-positive cell under normal




Fig. 1. Mean number of NADPH-d-positive cells in the CA1 region in each group

* represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the control group.

represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the noise-treated group.

A: the control group.

B: the 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix(AGR)-treated group.

C: the 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.

D: the 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.

E: the noise-treated group.

F: the noise- and 10 mg/kg AGR-treated group.

G: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.

H: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.




Fig. 2. Photography of NADPH-d-positive cells in the CA1 region in each group

- A: the control group.
- B: the 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*(AGR)-treated group.
- C: the 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- D: the 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- E: the noise-treated group.
- F: the noise- and 10 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- G: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- H: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group. Scale bar represents 200 μ m.

conditions was not affected by administration of *Angelicae gigantis radix*. This number was significantly increased to $41.20 \pm 4.33/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- treated group, but was dose-dependently decreased again to $46.00 \pm 5.35/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*- treated group, $35.00 \pm 2.81/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated group, and $26.20 \pm 2.08/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated group (Fig. 3, 4).

3. Number of NADPH-d-positive cells in the dentate gyrus region of hippocampus

The number of NADPH-d-positive cells in the dentate gyrus region was about $56.54 \pm 5.89/\text{mm}^2$ in the control group, $54.19 \pm 4.48/\text{mm}^2$ in the 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated group, $52.09 \pm 5.21/\text{mm}^2$ in the 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated group, and $57.07 \pm 2.77/\text{mm}^2$ in the 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated group. The number of NADPH-d-positive cell under normal conditions was not affected by administration of *Angelicae gigantis radix*. This number was significantly increased to $91.88 \pm 9.23/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise-treated group, but was dose-dependently decreased again to $86.39 \pm 8.19/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated

group, $74.16 \pm 8.33/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group and $74.08 \pm 11.31/\text{mm}^2$ in the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group (Fig. 5, 6).

4. The densities of NADPH-d-positive cells in periventricular nucleus (PVN) region of hypothalamus

The density of NADPH-d-positivity in the hypothalamic PVN region was 123.41 ± 3.05 in the control group. This was increased significantly to 160.61 ± 0.60 in the noise stress-treated group compared to the control group; but the densities dropped to 151.48 ± 1.38 in the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, to 139.92 ± 1.63 in the noise- and 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, and to 126.81 ± 2.60

in the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group (Fig. 7, 8).

5. The densities of NADPH-d-positive cells in ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (VMH) region of hypothalamus

The density of NADPH-d-positivity in the hypothalamic VMH region was 127.11 ± 1.27 in the control group. This was increased significantly to 155.47 ± 1.31 , in the noise stress-treated group compared to the control group; but the densities dropped to 148.07 ± 3.06 in the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, to 131.24 ± 2.02 in the noise- and 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group, and to 122.71 ± 2.38 in the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group (Fig. 9, 10).




Fig. 3. Mean number of NADPH-d-positive cells in the CA2 and CA3 regions in each group

* represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the control group.

represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the noise-treated group.

A: the control group.

B: the 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix(AGR)-treated group.

C: the 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.

D: the 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.

E: the noise-treated group.

F: the noise- and 10 mg/kg AGR-treated group.

G: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.

H: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.




Fig. 4. Photography of NADPH-d-positive cells in the CA2 and CA3 regions in each group

- A: the control group.
- B: the 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*(AGR)-treated group.
- C: the 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- D: the 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- E: the noise-treated group.
- F: the noise- and 10 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- G: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- H: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group. Scale bar represents 200 μ m.




Fig. 5. Mean number of NADPH-d-positive cells in the dentate gyrus region in each group

- * represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the control group.
- # represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the noise-treated group.
- A: the control group.
- B: the 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*(AGR)-treated group.
- C: the 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- D: the 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- E: the noise-treated group.
- F: the noise- and 10 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- G: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- H: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.




Fig. 6. Photography of NADPH-d-positive cells in the dentate gyrus region in each group

- A: the control group.
- B: the 10 mg/kg Angelicae gigantis radix(AGR)-treated group.
- C: the 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- D: the 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- E: the noise-treated group.
- F: the noise- and 10 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- G: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- H: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group. Scale bar represents 200 μ m.




Fig. 7. Mean densities of NADPH-d-positive cells in the periventricular nucleus (PVN) region in each group

- * represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the control group.
- # represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the noise-treated group.
- A: the control group.
- B: the noise-treated group.
- C: the noise- and 10 mg/kg Angelicae gigantis radix (AGR)-treated group.
- D: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- E: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.




Fig. 8. Photography of NADPH-d-positive cells in the periventricular nucleus (PVN) regions in each group
 A: the control group.
 B: the noise-treated group.
 C: the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix* (AGR)-treated group.
 D: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
 E: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
 F: the NADPH-d-positive neurons. Scale bar represents 100 μm (A-E) and 25 μm (F).




Fig. 9. Mean densities of NADPH-d-positive cells in the ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (VMH) region in each group
 * represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the control group.
 # represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the noise-treated group.
 A: the control group.
 B: the noise-treated group.
 C: the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix* (AGR)-treated group.
 D: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
 E: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.




Fig. 10. Photography of NADPH-d-positive cells in the ventrimedial hypothalamic nucleus (VMH) regions in each group

- A: the control group.
- B: the noise-treated group.
- C: the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix* (AGR)-treated group.
- D: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- E: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- F: the NADPH-d-positive neurons. Scale bar represents 100 μm (A-E) and 25 μm (F).




Fig. 11. Mean densities of NADPH-d-positive cells in the lateral hypothalamic area (LHA) region in each group

- * represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the control group.
- # represents $p < 0.05$ compared to the noise-treated group.
- A: the control group.
- B: the noise-treated group.
- C: the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix* (AGR)-treated group.
- D: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
- E: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.




Fig. 12. Photography of NADPH-d-positive cells in the lateral hypothalamic area (LHA) regions in each group
 A: the control group.
 B: the noise-treated group.
 C: the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix* (AGR)-treated group.
 D: the noise- and 50 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
 E: the noise- and 100 mg/kg AGR-treated group.
 F: the NADPH-d-positive neurons. Scale bar represents 100 μm (A-E) and 25 μm (F).

6. The densities of NADPH-d-positive cells in lateral hypothalamic area (LHA) region of hypothalamus

The density of NADPH-d-positivity in the hypothalamic LHA region was 129.42 ± 1.90 in the control group. This was increased significantly to 153.76 ± 1.47 , in the noise stress-treated group compared to the control group; but the densities dropped to 149.79 ± 2.61 in the noise- and 10 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated group, to 132.05 ± 1.27 in the noise- and 50 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated group, and to 122.03 ± 2.61 in the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis radix*-treated group (Fig. 11, 12).

IV. Discussion

The purposes of the present study were to investigate whether postnatal *Angelicae gigantis radix* (AGR) herb acupuncture alters NOS activity in the each regions of hippocampus and hypothalamus of offspring rats with prenatal noise stress during pregnancy. Recently, alternative and complementary approaches such as natural, herbal, and nutritional supplements, and physical manipulations have been increasing popularity.

Angelicae gigantis radix was a medicinal herb for treatment of various disease such as blood deficiency syndromes marked by irregular menstruation, amenorrhea, menorrhagia, abdominal pain due to cold of deficiency, pain due to blood stasis, traumatic injuries, numbness, sores, carbuncles and othe pyogenic skin infections, constipation, etc. In addition, *Angelicae gigantis radix* herb-acupuncture was associated with improvement learning and memory. Jin et al.¹⁹⁾ reported that

Angelicae gigantis radix alleviates scopolamine-induced learning disability and improves spatial working memory in mice. Nishijo et al.²⁰⁾ suggested that *Angelicae gigantis* radix ameliorates learning and memory deficits in an amnesia animal model. *Angelicae gigantis* radix and its constituents are known to possess anti-neoplastic, anti-stress, and anti-oxidant activities²¹⁾. Evidence supporting the medicinal efficacy of *Angelicae gigantis* radix based on its protective property against free radical attack has been presented¹⁵⁻¹⁶⁾. However, no study on the effect of *Angelicae gigantis* radix on the expression of hippocampal and hypothalamic neurons containing NOS in the offspring rats with prenatal noise stress during pregnancy has been made yet.

Stressful experiences during the development period may exert a long-term effect on the hippocampal functions and may induce various psychosomatic problems such as mental retardation and developmental disorders. Various prenatal stresses have been reported to induce structural abnormality in the hippocampal formation. It was reported that prenatal stress reduced the density of the pyramidal neurons, decreased the total hippocampal volume, and induced the synaptic loss in the hippocampus^{1,6)}. In addition, Coe et al.⁸⁾ suggested that prenatal environment can alter behavior, dysregulate neuroendocrine systems, and affect the hippocampal structure of primates in a persistent manner through suppression of neurogenesis.

NO is diffusible free radical that has recognized as a biological messenger involved in several physiological and pathological functions²²⁾. In the CNS, NO has been implicated in various neurophysiological functions including feeding, anxiety, immune response, and synaptic plasticity²³⁻²⁶⁾. Moreover, NO has also been implicated in the physiological processes of learning and memory formation and administration of NOS inhibitors results in learning disability and memory deficits²⁷⁻²⁸⁾. In the pathologic conditions, NO acts as a major toxic mediator when over-

produced²⁹⁻³⁰⁾. Sustained overproduction of NO is known to induce neurodegenerative change³¹⁾ and cell death³²⁾. Patients with Alzheimer disease showed increased in iNOS expression in the hippocampus, frontal and entorhinal cortex³³⁾. Traumatic brain injury showed transient increase in NOS activity³⁴⁾. In the cerebral cortex of epilepsy patients, particularly in those with a long seizure history, the number and labeling intensity of NOS-positive neurons was higher than normal³⁵⁾. The present results showed that the exposure to the noise during pregnancy caused the increase of the NOS expression in the hippocampus and hypothalamus of offspring rat.

The present results demonstrated that postnatal *Angelicae gigantis* radix herb-acupuncture shown to suppress increments of NOS in the hippocampus and hypothalamus of offspring rats with prenatal noise stress during pregnancy. Based on the present study, *Angelicae gigantis* radix may provide new therapeutic opportunities as an agent to counteract the effects of prenatal noise stress-induced hippocampal and hypothalamic dysfunction through NOS change, and may be useful in the treatment of psychiatric problems in children of mothers who have experienced noise stress during pregnancy.

V. Conclusion

In the present study, the effect of *Angelicae gigantis* radix(AGR) herb-acupuncture on the NOS-positive cells in the hippocampus and hypothalamus of offspring rats with prenatal noise stress during pregnancy was elucidated using NADPH-d histochemistry assay.

The results are as follows:

1. In the CA1 region, the noise- and 100 mg/kg *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated group

decreased significantly compared to the noise treated group.

2. In the CA2 and CA3 regions, the noise- and *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated groups are no significant decrease to the noise treated group.
3. In the dentate gyrus regions, the noise- and *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated groups are no significant decrease to the noise treated group.
4. In the PVN region, the noise- and *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated groups decreased significantly compared to the noise treated group.
5. In the VMH region, the noise- and *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated groups decreased significantly compared to the noise treated group.
6. In the LHA region, the noise- and *Angelicae gigantis* radix-treated groups decreased significantly compared to the noise treated group.

VI. References

1. Hayashi A, Nagaoka M, Yamada K, Ichitani Y, Miake Y, Okado N. Maternal stress induces synaptic loss and developmental disabilities of offspring. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* 16, 209 - 216 (1998).
2. Sobrian SK, Vaughn VT, Ashe WK, Markovic B, Djuric V, Jankovic BD. Gestational exposure to loud noise alters the development and postnatal responsiveness of humoral and cellular components of the immune system in offspring. *Environ. Res.*, 73, 227-241 (1997).
3. Kay G, Tarcic N, Poltyrev T, Weinstock M. Prenatal stress depresses immune function in rats, *Physiol. Behav.*, 63, 397-402 (1998).
4. Cullinan WE, Helmreich DL, Watson SJ. Fos expression in forebrain afferents to the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus following swim stress. *Journal of Comparative Neurology.* 1996 ; 368(1) : 88-99.
5. Sanchez F, Moreno MN, Vacas P, Carretero J, Vazquez R. Swim stress enhances the NADPH-diaphorase histochemical staining in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. *Brain Research.* 1999 ; 828(1-2) : 159-62.
6. Lemaire V, Koehl M, Le Moal M, Abrous DN. Prenatal stress produces learning deficits associated with an inhibition of neurogenesis in the hippocampus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 11032 - 11037 (2000).
7. Savage DD, Becher M, de la Torre A, Sutherland RJ. Dose-dependent effects of prenatal ethanol exposure on synaptic plasticity and learning in mature offspring. *Alcoholism : Clinical and Experimental Research* 26, 1752-1758 (2002).
8. Coe CL, Kramer M, Czéh B, Gould E, Reeves AJ, Kirschbaum C, Fuchs E. Prenatal stress diminishes neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of juvenile Rhesus monkeys. *Biol. Psychiatry* 54, 1025-1034 (2003).
9. Ikonomidou C, Bittigau P, Ishimaru MJ, Wozniak DF, Koch C, Genz K, Price MT, Stefovská V, Hörster F, Tenkova T, Dikranian K, Olney JW. Ethanol-induced apoptotic neurodegeneration and fetal alcohol syndrome. *Science* 287, 1056-1060 (2000).
10. Hatakeyama S, Kawai Y, Ueyama T, Senba E. Nitric oxide synthase-containing magnocellular neurons of the rat hypothalamus synthesize oxytocin and vasopressin and express Fos following stress stimuli. *Journal of Chemical Neuroanatomy.* 1996 ; 11(4) : 243-56.
11. Luo Y, Kaur C, Ling EA. Hypobaric hypoxia induces fos and neuronal nitric oxide synthase expression in the paraventricular and supraoptic nucleus in rats. *Neuroscience Letters.* 2000 ; 296(2-3) : 145-8.
12. Zhao KJ, Dong TT, Tu PF, Song ZH, Lo CK, Tsim KW. Molecular genetic and chemical assessment of radix *Angelica* (*Danggui*) in China. *Journal of Agricultural*

- and Food Chemistry. 2003 ; 51(9) : 2576-83.
13. Liu JH, Ho SC, Lai TH, Liu TH, Chi PY, Wu RY. Protective effects of Chinese herbs on D-galactose-induced oxidative damage, Methods and Findings in Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology. 2003 ; 25(6) : 447-52.
 14. Ye YN, So HL, Liu ES, Shin VY, Cho CH. Effect of polysaccharides from *Angelica sinensis* on gastric ulcer healing. Life Sciences. 2003 ; 72(8) : 925-32.
 15. Jang MH, Chang HK, Shin MC, Lee TH, Kim YP, Kim EH, Kim CJ. Effect of *Angelicae gigantis radix* on c-Fos expression in the hippocampus of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 2003 ; 91 : 149-152.
 16. Kim EH, Jang MH, Shin MC, Shin MS, Kim CJ. Protective effect of aqueous extract of *Angelicae gigantis radix* against 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium-induced apoptosis in PC12 cells. Biol Pharm Bull 2003 ; 26 : 1668-1673.
 17. Kim EK, Kim H, Lee MH, Kim YS, Yang HY, Chang HK, Lee TH, Jang MH, Shin MC, Lee SJ, Lee HH, Shin MS, Kim CJ. Influence of prenatal noise and music on the 5-hydroxy-tryptamine synthesis and the tryptophan hydroxylase expression in the raphe nuclei of young rats. Neurosci. Res. Commun. 2004 ; 35 : 118-129.
 18. Jang MH, Shin MC, Lim BV, Chung JH, Kang HS, Kang SA, Choue RW, Kim EH, Kim CJ. Nicotine administration decreases nitric oxide synthase expression in the hypothalamus of food-deprived rats. Neurosci. Lett. 2002 ; 322 : 29-32.
 19. Jin SH, Park JK, Nam KY, Park SN, Jung NP. Korean red ginseng saponins with low ratios of protopanaxadiol and protopanaxatriol saponin improve scopolamine-induced learning disability and spatial working memory in mice. J. Ethnopharmacol. 1999 ; 66 : 123-129.
 20. Nishijo H, Uwano T, Zhong YM, Ono T. Proof of the mysterious efficacy of Ginseng: Basic and clinical trials: Effects of Red ginseng on learning and memory deficits in an animal model of amnesia. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 2004 ; 95 : 145-152.
 21. Kim SH, Lee SE, Oh H, Kim SR, Yee ST, Yu YB, Byun MW, Jo SK. The radioprotective effects of bu-zhong-yi-qi-tang: a prescription of traditional Chinese medicine. American Journal of Chinese Medicine. 2002 ; 30(1) : 127-37.
 22. Förstermann U, Closs EI, Pollock JS, Nakane M, Schwartz P, Gath H, Kleinert H. Nitric oxide synthase isozymes. Characterization, purification, molecular cloning, and functions. Hypertension 1994 ; 23 : 1121-1131.
 23. Quock RM, Nguyen E. Possible involvement of nitric oxide in chlor-diazepoxide-induced anxiolysis in mice. Life Sci. 1992 ; 51 : PL255-260.
 24. Calapai G, Caputi AP. Nitric oxide and drinking behaviour. Regul. Pept. 1996 ; 66 : 117-121.
 25. Naassilla M, Beaugé FJ, Daoust M. Regulation of rat neuronal nitric oxide synthase activity by chronic alcoholization. Alcohol Alcohol. 1997 ; 32 : 13-17.
 26. Bredt DS. Endogenous nitric oxide synthesis: biological functions and pathophysiology. Free Radic. Res. 1999 ; 31 : 577 - 596.
 27. Arancio O, Kiebler M, Lee CJ, Lev-Ram V, Tsien RY, Kandel ER, Hawkins RD. Nitric oxide acts directly in the presynaptic neuron to produce long-term potentiation in cultured hippocampal neurons. Cell. 1996 ; 87 : 1025 - 1035.
 28. Holscher C. Nitric oxide, the enigmatic neuronal messenger: its role in synaptic plasticity. Trends Neurosci. 1997 ; 20 : 298 - 303.
 29. Jennings RB, Reimer KA, Steenbergen C. Myocardial ischemia revisited. The osmolar load, membrane damage, and reperfusion. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 1986 ; 18 : 769 - 780.
 30. Knowles RG, Salter M, Brooks SL, Moncada S. Anti-inflammatory glucocorticoids inhibit

- the induction by endotoxin of nitric oxide synthase in the lung, liver and aorta of the rat. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1990 ; 172 : 1042 - 1048.
31. Olivenza R, Moro MA, Lizasoain I, Lorenzo P, Fernandez AP, Rodrigo J, Bosca L, Leza JC. Chronic stress induces the expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase in rat brain cortex. *J. Neurochem.* 2000 ; 74 : 785 - 791.
 32. McCann SM, Licinio J, Wong ML, Yu WH, Karanth S, Rettorri V. The nitric oxide hypothesis of aging. *Exp. Gerontol.* 1998 ; 33 : 813 - 826.
 33. Heneka MT, Wiesinger H, Dumitrescu- Ozimek L, Riederer P, Feinstein DL, Klockgether T. Neuronal and glial co- expression of argininosuccinate synthetase and inducible nitric oxide synthase in Alzheimer disease. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 2001 ; 60 : 906 - 916.
 34. Wada K, Chatzipanteli K, Busto R, Dietrich WD. Effects of L-NAME and 7-NI on NOS catalytic activity and behavioral outcome after traumatic brain injury in the rat. *J. Neurotrauma.* 1999 ; 16 : 203 - 212.
 35. Gonzalez-Hernandez T, Garcia-Marin V, Perez-Delgado MM, Gonzalez-Gonzalez ML, Rancel-Torres N, Gonzalez-Feria L. Nitric oxide synthase expression in the cerebral cortex of patients with epilepsy. *Epilepsia.* 2000 ; 41 : 1259 - 1268.