Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Jour. **13** (2005), No. 2, pp. 209–215

CONFORMAL CHANGE OF THE VECTOR S_ω IN 7-DIMENSIONAL g-UFT

Chung Hyun Cho

Abstract. We investigate change of the vector S_ω induced by the conformal change in 7-dimensional g-unified field theory. These topics will be studied for the second class with the first category in 7-dimensional case.

1. Introduction

The conformal change in a generalized 4-dimensional Riemannian space conneted by an Einstein's connection was primarily studied by HLAVAT Ý([6],1957). CHUNG([4],1968) also investigated the same topic in 4-dimensional $^*g$-unified field theory.

The Einstein's connection induced by the conformal change of the tensor $S_{\omega\mu}{}^{\nu}$ for the second class with the first category in 7-dimensional g-UFT were investigated by CHO([2],2001).

In the present paper, we investigate change of the vector S_ω induced by the conformal change in 7-dimensional g-unified field theory. These topics will be studied for the second class with the first category in 7-dimensional case.

2. Preliminaries

This chapter is a brief collection of basic concepts, notations, theorems, and results needed in our further considerations. They may be referred to CHUNG([3]), CHO([1],1992; [2],2001).

Received July 12, 2005.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53A45, 83E99.
Key words and phrases: Einstein connection, conformal change.

2.1. n-dimensional g-unified field theory. The n-dimensional g-unified field theory (n-g-UFT hereafter) was originally suggested by HLAVATÝ([6],1957) and systematically introduced by CHUNG([5],1963).

Let $X_n (n \geq 2)$ be an n-dimensional generalized Riemannian manifold, referred to a real coordinate system x^ν obeying coordinate transformations $x^\nu \to x^{\nu'}$, for which

$$Det\left(\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x'}\right)\right) \neq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

In the usual Einstein's n-dimensional unified field theory, the manifold X_n is endowed with a general real nonsymmetric tensor $g_{\lambda\mu}$ which may be split into its symmetric part $h_{\lambda\mu}$ and skew-symmetric part $k_{\lambda\mu}$:

$$g_{\lambda\mu} = h_{\lambda\mu} + k_{\lambda\mu} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$Det((g_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0 \qquad Det((h_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore we may define a unique tensor $h^{\lambda\nu} = h^{\nu\lambda}$ by

$$h_{\lambda\mu} h^{\lambda\nu} = \delta^\nu_\mu. \tag{2.4}$$

In our n-g-UFT, the tensors $h_{\lambda\mu}$ and $h^{\lambda\nu}$ will serve for raising and/or lowering indices of the tensors in X_n in the usual manner.

The manifold X_n is connected by a general real connection $\Gamma^\nu_{\omega\mu}$ with the following transformation rule :

$$\Gamma^{\nu'}_{\omega'\mu'} = \frac{\partial x^{\nu'}}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^{\omega'}} \cdot \frac{\partial x^\gamma}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} + \frac{\partial^2 x^\alpha}{\partial x^{\omega'} \partial x^{\mu'}}\right) \tag{2.5}$$

and satisfies the system of Einstein's equations

$$D_\omega g_{\lambda\mu} = 2 S_{\omega\mu}{}^\alpha g_{\lambda\alpha} \tag{2.6}$$

where D_ω denotes the covariant derivative with respect to $\Gamma^\nu_{\lambda\mu}$ and

$$S_{\lambda\mu}{}^\nu = \Gamma^\nu_{[\lambda\mu]} \tag{2.7}$$

is the *torsion tensor* of $\Gamma^\nu_{\lambda\mu}$. The connection $\Gamma^\nu_{\lambda\mu}$ satisfying (2.6) is called the *Einstein's connection.*

In our further considerations, the following scalars, tensors, abbreviations, and notations for $p = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ are frequently used :

$$\mathfrak{g} = Det((g_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{h} = Det((h_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{k} = Det((k_{\lambda\mu})), \tag{2.8a}$$

$$g = \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad k = \frac{\mathfrak{k}}{\mathfrak{h}}, \tag{2.8b}$$

$$K_p = k_{[\alpha_1}{}^{\alpha^1} \cdots k_{\alpha_p]}{}^{\alpha^p}, \quad (p = 0, 1, 2, \cdots) \tag{2.8c}$$

$${}^{(0)}k_\lambda{}^\nu = \delta_\lambda{}^\nu, \quad {}^{(1)}k_\lambda{}^\nu = k_\lambda{}^\nu, \quad {}^{(p)}k_\lambda{}^\alpha = {}^{(p-1)}k_\lambda{}^\alpha k_\alpha{}^\nu, \tag{2.8d}$$

$$K_{\omega\mu\nu} = \nabla_\nu k_{\omega\mu} + \nabla_\omega k_{\nu\mu} + \nabla_\mu k_{\omega\nu}, \tag{2.8e}$$

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \tag{2.8f}$$

where ∇_ω is the symbolic vector of the convariant derivative with respect to the Christoffel symbols $\{{}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}\}$ defined by $h_{\lambda\mu}$. The scalars and vectors introduced in (2.8) satisfy

$$K_0 = 1; K_n = k \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even}; \quad K_p = 0 \quad \text{if } p \text{ is odd}, \tag{2.9a}$$

$$g = 1 + K_2 + \cdots + K_{n-\sigma}, \tag{2.9b}$$

$${}^{(p)}k_{\lambda\mu} = (-1)^{p(p)}k_{\mu\lambda}, \quad {}^{(p)}k^{\lambda\mu} = (-1)^{p(p)}k^{\nu\lambda}. \tag{2.9c}$$

Furthermore, we also use the following useful abbreviations, denoting an arbitrary tensor $T_{\omega\mu\nu}$, skew-symmetric in the first two indices, by T:

$$\overset{pqr}{T} = \overset{pqr}{T}{}_{\omega\mu\nu} = T_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{(p)}k_\omega{}^{\alpha(q)}k_\mu{}^{\beta(r)}k_\nu{}^\gamma, \tag{2.10a}$$

$$T = T_{\omega\mu\nu} = \overset{000}{T}, \tag{2.10b}$$

$$2\overset{pqr}{T}{}_{\omega[\lambda\mu]} = \overset{pqr}{T}{}_{\omega\lambda\mu} - \overset{pqr}{T}{}_{\omega\mu\lambda}, \tag{2.10c}$$

$$2\overset{(pq)r}{T}{}_{\omega\lambda\mu} = \overset{pqr}{T}{}_{\omega\lambda\mu} + \overset{qpr}{T}{}_{\omega\lambda\mu}. \tag{2.10d}$$

We then have

$$\overset{pqr}{T}{}_{\omega\lambda\mu} = -\overset{qpr}{T}{}_{\lambda\omega\mu}. \tag{2.11}$$

If the system (2.6) admits $\Gamma^\nu_{\lambda\mu}$, using the above abbreviations it was shown that the connection is of the form

$$\Gamma^\nu_{\omega\mu} = \{{}^{\nu}_{\omega\mu}\} + S_{\omega\mu}{}^\nu + U^\nu_{\omega\mu} \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$U_{\nu\omega\mu} = 2 \overset{001}{S}{}_{\nu(\omega\mu)}. \tag{2.13}$$

The above two relations show that our problem of determining $\Gamma^{\nu}_{\omega\mu}$ in terms of $g_{\lambda\mu}$ is reduced to that of studying the tensor $S_{\omega\mu}{}^{\nu}$. On the other hand, it has also been shown that the tensor $S_{\omega\mu}{}^{\nu}$ satisfies

$$S = B - 3 \overset{(110)}{S} \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$2B_{\omega\mu\nu} = K_{\omega\mu\nu} + 3K_{\alpha[\mu\beta}k^{\alpha}_{\omega]}k^{\beta}_{\nu}. \tag{2.15}$$

DEFINITION 2.1. The vector S_ω defined by

$$S_\omega = S_{\omega\alpha}{}^{\alpha}. \tag{2.16}$$

2.2. Some results for the second class with the first category in 7-*g*-UFT. In this section, we introduce some results of 7-g-UFT without proof, which are needed in our subsequent considerations.

They may be referred to CHO([1],1992).

DEFINITION 2.2. In 7-g-UFT, the tensor $g_{\lambda\mu}(k_{\lambda\mu})$ is said to be the second class, if $K_2 \neq 0$, $K_4 = 0 = K_6$ with the first category.

THEOREM 2.3 (MAIN RECURRENCE RELATIONS). *For the second class in 7-UFT, the following recurrence relation hold*

$${}^{(p+3)}k_\lambda{}^\nu = -K_2^{(p+1)}k_\lambda{}^\nu, \quad (p = 0, 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{2.17}$$

THEOREM 2.4 (FOR THE SECOND CLASS IN 7-g-UFT). *A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (2.5) is*

$$1 - (K_2)^2 \neq 0. \tag{2.18}$$

If the condition (2.18) is satisfied, the unique solution of (2.14) is given by

$$(1 - K_2^2)(S - B) = -2 \overset{(10)1}{B} + (K_2 - 1) \overset{110}{B} + 2 \overset{(20)2}{B} + 2 \overset{112}{B}. \tag{2.19}$$

3. Conformal change of the 7-dimensional vector S_ω for the second class

In this final chapter we investigate the change $S_\omega \to \overline{S}_\omega$ of the vector induced by the conformal change of the tensor $g_{\lambda\mu}$, using the recurrence relations and theorems introduced in the preceding chapter.

We say that X_n and $\overline{X}_n$ are conformal if and only if

$$\overline{g}_{\lambda\mu}(x) = e^{\Omega} g_{\lambda\mu}(x) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\Omega = \Omega(x)$ is an at least twice differentiable function. This conformal change enforces a change of the vector S_ω. An explicit representation of the change of 5-dimensional vector S_ω for the second class will be exhibited in this chapter.

Agreement 3.1. Throughout this section, we agree that, if T is a funtion of $g_{\lambda\mu}$, then we denote $\overline{T}$ the same function of $\overline{g}_{\lambda\mu}$. In particular, if T is a tensor, so is $\overline{T}$. Furthermore, the indices of $T(\overline{T})$ will be raised and/or lowered by means of $h^{\lambda\nu}(\overline{h}^{\lambda\nu})$ and/or $h_{\lambda\nu}(\overline{h}_{\lambda\nu})$.

The results in the following theorems are needed in our further considerations. They may be referred to CHO([1],1992, [2],2001).

Theorem 3.2. *In n-g-UFT, the conformal change (3.1) induces the following changes:*

$$^{(p)}\overline{k}_{\lambda\mu} = e^{\Omega(p)} k_{\lambda\mu}, \quad ^{(p)}\overline{k}_{\lambda} = {}^{(p)}k^{\nu}_{\lambda}, \quad ^{(p)}\overline{k}^{\lambda\mu} = e^{-\Omega(p)} k^{\lambda\mu}, \tag{3.2a}$$

$$\overline{g} = g, \quad \overline{K}_p = K_p, \qquad (p = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{3.2b}$$

Theorem 3.3. *(For the second classes with the first category in 7-g-UFT). The change of the tensor $B_{\omega\mu\nu}$ induced by the conformal change (3.1) may be given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B}_{\omega\mu\nu} &= e^{\Omega}(B_{\omega\mu\nu} + k_{\nu[\omega}\Omega_{\mu]} - k_{\omega\mu}\Omega_\nu \\ &\quad - h_{\nu[\omega}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta}\Omega_\delta + 2^{(2)}k_{\nu[\omega}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta}\Omega_\delta + k_{\omega\mu}{}^{(2)}k_\nu{}^{\delta}\Omega_\delta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Now, we are ready to derive representations of the changes $S_\omega \to \overline{S}_\omega$ in 7-g-UFT for the second class with the first category induced by the conformal change (3.1).

THEOREM 3.4. *The conformal change (3.1) induces the following change:*

$$2\overline{\overset{(10)1}{B}}_{\omega\mu\nu} = e^{\Omega}2[\overset{(10)1}{B}_{\omega\mu\nu} + (-2^{(4)}k_{\nu[\omega}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta} + 2^{(2)}k_{\nu[\omega}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta})\Omega_{\delta} + k_{\omega\mu}{}^{(2)}k_{\nu}{}^{\delta}\Omega_{\delta}) - {}^{(3)}k_{\nu[\omega}\Omega_{\mu]}]. \tag{3.4}$$

THEOREM 3.5. *The conformal change (3.1) may be represented by*

$$\overline{\overset{ppq}{B}}_{\omega\mu\nu} = e^{\Omega}[\overset{ppq}{B}_{\omega\mu\nu} + (-1)^{p}\{2^{(p+q+2)}k_{\nu[\omega}{}^{(p+1)}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta} + {}^{(2p+1)}k_{\omega\mu}{}^{(2+q)}k_{\nu}{}^{\delta} - {}^{(2p+1)}k_{\omega\mu}{}^{(q)}k_{\nu}{}^{\delta} + {}^{(p+q+1)}k_{\nu[\omega}{}^{(p)}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta} - {}^{(p+q)}k_{\nu[\omega}{}^{(p+1)}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta}\}\Omega_{\delta}]. \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \cdots \\ q = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

THEOREM 3.6. *The change $S_{\omega\mu}{}^{\nu} \to \overline{S}_{\omega\mu}{}^{\nu}$ induced by conformal change (3.1) may be represented by*

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{S}_{\omega\mu}{}^{\nu} = {} & S_{\omega\mu}{}^{\nu} + 1 - h^{\nu}{}_{[\omega}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta}\Omega_{\delta} \\ & + (K_2 - 1)k_{\omega\mu}\Omega^{\nu} + (1 - K_2)k_{\omega\mu}{}^{(2)}k^{\nu\delta}\Omega_{\delta} \\ & + \frac{1}{K_2{}^2 - 1}[(-1 + K_2)k^{\nu}{}_{[\omega}\Omega_{\mu]} \\ & + (-1 + 2K_2 + K_2{}^2)^{(2)}k^{\nu}{}_{[\omega}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta}\Omega_{\delta} \\ & + (K_2 + K_2{}^2 - 2K_2{}^3)k^{\nu}{}_{[\omega}{}^{(2)}k_{\mu]}{}^{\delta}\Omega_{\delta}].\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

THEOREM 3.7. *The change $S_{\omega} \to \overline{S}_{\omega}$ induced by conformal change (3.1) may be represented by*

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{S}_{\omega} = S_{\omega} + 1 + \tfrac{1}{C}[& (3 - 3K_2 - 7K_2{}^2 \\ & + 5K_2{}^3 - 2K_2{}^4)k_{\omega}{}^{\delta}\Omega_{\delta} \\ & + (1 - 2K_2 - K_2{}^2)^{(2)}k_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha}k_{\omega}{}^{\delta}\Omega_{\delta}]\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $C = 2(K_2{}^2 - 1)$.

Proof. In virtue of Definition 2.1 and Agreement (3.1), we have

$$\overline{S}_\omega = \overline{S}_{\omega\alpha}{}^{\alpha} \tag{3.8}$$

The relation(3.7) follows by substituting (3.2), (3.3), (2.10), Definition (2.2) into Theorem 3.6.

References

[1] C.H. Cho, Conformal change of the connection in 3- and 5-dimensional $^*g^{\lambda\nu}$ -unified Field Theory, *BIBS, Inha Univ.* **13** (1992), 11–19.
[2] C.H. Cho, Conformal change of the tensor $S_{\omega\mu}{}^{\nu}$ in 7-dimensional g-UFT,*Bull.Korean Math.Soc.***38** (2001),No.1, 197–203.
[3] K.T. Chung, Three- and Five-dimensional considerations of the geometry of Einsteins's $^*g$-unified field theory, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **27(9)** (1988), 1105–1136.
[4] K.T. Chung, Conformal change in Einstein's $^*g^{\lambda\nu}$-unified field theory, *Nuove Cimento* **(X)58B** (1968), 201–212.
[5] K.T. Chung, Einstein's connection in terms of $^*g^{\lambda\nu}$, *Nuove Cimento* **(X) 27** (1963), 1297–1324.
[6] V. HLAVATÝ, Geometry of Einstein's unified field theory, *P. Noordhoff Ltd* (1957).

Department of Mathematics
Inha University
Incheon, 402–751, Korea
E-mail: chcho@inha.ac.kr