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#### Abstract

We define a general space $H_{\omega, p}$ of the Hardy space and improve that Aleman's results to the space $H_{\omega, p}$. It follows that the multiplication operator on this space is cellular indecomposable and that each invariant subspace contains nontrivial bounded functions.


## 1. Introduction

For a positive integrable function $\omega \in C^{2}[0,1)$, we define the space $H_{\omega, p}$ of analytic functions $f$ in the unit disc $U$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p}=|f(0)|^{p}+p^{2} \int_{U}|f(z)|^{p-2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \omega(|z|) d m<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the area measure on $C$. Some simple computations with power series show that if $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$ is analytic in $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p}=\sum_{n \geq 0}\left|a_{n}\right|^{p} \omega_{n}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{0}=1$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}=2 \pi n^{p} \int_{0}^{1} r^{p n-p+1} \omega(r) d r \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p=2$, Aleman([1]) proved that every function in $H_{\omega, 2}$ is the quotient of two bounded analytic functions in $H_{\omega, 2}$. In this paper, by the similar proofs, we shall prove that every function in $H_{\omega, p}$ is the quotient of two bounded analytic functions in $H_{\omega, p}$ for $p \geq 2$. This result which is proved
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in section 2 has some applications concerning the invariant subspaces of the multiplication operator defined on $H_{\omega, P}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{z} f\right)(\zeta)=\zeta f(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in U, \quad f \in H_{\omega, p} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.1) and (1.2), it follows easily that $M_{z}$ is a bounded weighted shift on $H_{\omega, p}$. From the result mentioned above it turns out that every nontrivial invariant subspace of $M_{z}$ contains a nontrivial bounded function, that each two nontrivial invariant subspaces have a nontrivial intersection and that each nontrivial invariant subspace has the codimension one property. For the usual Dirichlet space $D$, this was proved by S.Richter and A.Shields in [4]. These results provide positive answers to the corresponding questions for the space $D_{\alpha}[4$, Conjectures 1 and 2] and are proved in section 3. The method used for the proofs implies the following cyclicity theorem for the spaces $H_{\omega, p}$, related to Question 3 in [2]. A function whose modulus is greater or equal to the modulus of a cyclic vector for $M_{z}$ must also be a cyclic vector.

## 2. Bounded Functions in $H_{\omega, p}$

We begin with a general change of variable formula that is used in order to obtain an equivalent form of the norm on $H_{\omega, p}$.

Lemma 2.1. ([1])Let $\phi$ be a nonconstant analytic function in $U$ and $u, v$ be nonnegative measurable functions on $C$ with respect to area measure. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U}(u \circ \phi) v\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2} d m=\int_{\phi(U)} u(\zeta)\left(\sum_{\phi(z)=\zeta} v(z)\right) d m(\zeta) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is actually known and was proved for $v(z)=-l o g|z|$ in [5].
For a nonconstant analytic function $f$ in $U, \zeta \in f(U)$ and $u$ a nonnegative measurable function on $[0,1)$, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{u, f}(\zeta)=\sum_{f(z)=\zeta} u(|z|) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case when $u(r)=u_{0}(r)=\log 1 / r, r \in[0,1),(2.2)$ gives the usual Nevanlinna counting function of $f$ and we denote $N_{u_{0}, f}=N_{f}$. Substituting that $u(\zeta)=|\zeta|^{p-2}, v(z)=\omega(|z|)$, and $\phi(z)=f(z)$, we obtain
the following Corollary.
Corollary 2.2. If $f \in H_{\omega, p}$ is nonconstant, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p} & =|f(0)|^{p}+p^{2} \int_{f(U)}|\zeta|^{p-2}\left(\sum_{f(z)=\zeta} \omega(|z|)\right) d m(\zeta) . \\
& =|f(0)|^{p}+p^{2} \int_{f(U)}|\zeta|^{p-2} N_{\omega, f}(\zeta) d m(\zeta) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. ([1])Let $f$ be nonconstant analytic function in $U$ and for $z, \lambda \in U$ and let $\varphi_{z}(\lambda)=(z+\lambda) /(1+\bar{z} \lambda)$. Then for every $\zeta \in f(U)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\omega, f}(\zeta)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{U} \triangle \bar{\omega}(z) N_{f \circ \varphi_{z}}(\zeta) d m(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\omega}$ is defined on $U$ by $\bar{\omega}(z)=\omega(|z|)$ and $\triangle$ denotes the Laplace operator.

From the fact that $H_{\omega, p}$ is contained in $H^{2}$, it follows that each function $f \in H_{\omega, p}$ has nontangential limits $f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ a.e. on $[0,2 \pi]$ and that its boundary function is in $L^{2}[0,2 \pi]$. For $z \in U$, let $P_{z}(\theta)=$ $\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta}+z\right) /\left(e^{i \theta}-z\right)$, be the Poisson kernel.

Proposition 2.4. Let $f \in H_{\omega, p}$, then
$\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-|f(0)|^{p}=-\int_{U} \triangle \bar{\omega}(z)\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-|f(z)|^{p}\right) d m(z)$.
Proof. For a nonconstant $f \in H_{\omega, p}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-|f(0)|^{p}=p^{2} \int_{f(U)}|\zeta|^{p-2}\left(-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{U} \Delta \bar{\omega}(z) N_{f \circ \varphi_{z}}(\zeta) d m(z)\right) d m(\zeta) \\
& (2.6) \quad=-\int_{U} \triangle \bar{\omega}(z)\left(\frac{p^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{f(U)}|\zeta|^{p-2} N_{f \circ \varphi_{z}}(\zeta) d m(\zeta)\right) d m(z), \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

by Lemma 2.3 and Fubini's theorem. Letting $\nu(\lambda)=\log 1 /|\lambda|, u(\zeta)=$ $|\zeta|^{p-2}, \phi=f \circ \varphi_{z}$ in (2.1), the Littlewood-Paley formula gives

$$
\frac{p^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{f(U)}|\zeta|^{p-2} N_{f \circ \varphi_{z}}(\zeta) d m(\zeta)=\frac{p^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{f(U)}|\zeta|^{p-2}\left(\sum_{f \circ \varphi_{z}(\lambda)=\zeta} \log \frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right) d m(\zeta)
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{p^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{U}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{z}\right)\right|^{p-2}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{z}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|\lambda|} d m \\
=\left\|f \circ \varphi_{z}\right\|_{H^{p}}^{p}-\left|f \circ \varphi_{z}(0)\right|^{p} . \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Obviously $f \circ \varphi_{z}(0)=f(z)$ and by elementary computations with harmonic measures for the unit disk, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f \circ \varphi_{z}\right\|_{H^{p}}^{p} & -\left|f \circ \varphi_{z}(0)\right|^{p}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f \circ \varphi_{z}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-|f(z)|^{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-|f(z)|^{p}, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and the proof is complete.

By the similar proofs of [1, Corollary 2.5], we obtain the following.
Corollary 2.5. If $f \in H_{\omega, p}$ and $F$ is its outer factor, then $F \in H_{\omega, p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-|F(0)|^{p} \leq\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-|f(0)|^{p} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a function $f$ in the Nevanlinna class $N, f \neq 0$, we denote by $\phi_{f}$ the outer function satisfying $\left|\phi_{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=\min \left\{1,1 /\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|\right\}$ a.e. on $[0,2 \pi]$; that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{f}(z)=\exp \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i \theta}+z}{e^{i \theta}-z} \log \min \left\{1,1 /\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|\right\} d \theta \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result is
Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in H_{\omega, p}, f \neq 0$. Then $\phi_{f}, f \phi_{f}$ are in $H_{\omega, p}$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{f}\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-\left|\phi_{f}(0)\right|^{p} \leq\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-|f(0)|^{p} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \phi_{f}\right\|_{\omega, p} \leq\|f\|_{\omega, p} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof uses the following inequalities:

Lemma 2.7. ([1])Let $(X, \mu)$ be a probability space and $f \in L^{1}(\mu)$ such that $f>0 \mu-$ a.e. on $X$ and $\log f \in L^{1}(\mu)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(f)=\int_{X} f d \mu-\exp \int_{X} \log f d \mu . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\min \{1, f\}) \leq E(f) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\max \{1, f\}) \leq E(f), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in H_{\omega, p}, f=I F$ with $I$ inner and $F$ outer. An application of (2.14) with $X=[0,2 \pi], d \mu=(1 / 2 \pi) P_{z} d \theta$, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta) \right\rvert\, f \phi_{f}\left(\left.e^{i \theta}\right|^{p} d \theta-\left|F \phi_{f}(z)\right|^{p}\right. \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|F \phi_{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-\left|F \phi_{f}(z)\right|^{p} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta) \min \left\{1,\left|F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p}\right\} d \theta \\
& -\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta) \log \min \left\{1,\left|F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p}\right\} d \theta\right) \\
= & E\left(\min \left\{1,|F|^{p}\right\}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta) \log \left|F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-|F(z)|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|f \phi_{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \\
\leq\left|F \phi_{f}(z)\right|^{p}-|F(z)|^{p}=-|F(z)|^{p}\left(1-\left|\phi_{f}(z)\right|^{p}\right) \\
\leq-|I(z) F(z)|^{p}\left(1-\left|\phi_{f}(z)\right|^{p}\right)=\left|f \phi_{f}(z)\right|^{p}-|f(z)|^{p} . \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore
(2.17)
$\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|f \phi_{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-\left|f \phi_{f}(z)\right|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-|f(z)|^{p}$,
and the inequality in (2.12) follows by Proposition 2.4. Furthermore, we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}\right|=\max \left\{1,\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|\right\} \text { a.e. on }[0,2 \pi]
$$

and for $z \in U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}\right|^{p}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta) \log \max \left\{1,\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p}\right\} d \theta\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply (2.15) to obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}\right|^{p} d \theta-\left|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}(z)}\right|^{p}=E\left(\max \left\{1,|f|^{p}\right\}\right) \leq E\left(|f|^{p}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P_{z}(\theta)\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta-|f(z)|^{p} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in U$. Thus by Proposition 2.4, $1 / \phi_{f} \in H_{\omega, p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}}\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-\left|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}(0)}\right|^{p} \leq\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-|f(0)|^{p} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, since $\phi_{f}^{\prime}=-\phi_{f}^{2}\left(1 / \phi_{f}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left|\phi_{f}\right| \leq 1$ in $U$, the definition (1.1) implies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}}\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-\left|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}(0)}\right|^{p}=p^{2} \int_{U}\left|\frac{1}{\phi_{f}(z)}\right|^{p-2}\left|\left(\frac{1}{\phi_{f}(z)}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega(|z|) d m \\
\geq p^{2} \int_{U}\left|\phi_{f}(z)\right|^{p-2}\left|\phi_{f}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega(|z|) d m \\
=\left\|\phi_{f}\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-\left|\phi_{f}(0)\right|^{p} \tag{2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $p \geq 2$. Hence we obtain

$$
\left\|\phi_{f}\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-\left|\phi_{f}(0)\right|^{p} \leq\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p}-|f(0)|^{p}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Corollary 2.8. If $p \geq 2$, then every function in $H_{\omega, p}$ is the quotient of two bounded functions in $H_{\omega, p}$.

Proof. Since $\left|\phi_{f}\right|,\left|f \phi_{f}\right| \leq 1$ in $U$ and $f=f \phi_{f} / \phi_{f}$, we have the result.

## 3. Invariant subspaces

The present section contains some applications of Theorem 2.6 concerning the invariant subspaces of the multiplication operator on $H_{\omega, p}$ defined by (1.4). A closed subspace $M$ of $H_{\omega, p}$ is called invariant if $M_{z} M \subset M$. For a function $f \in H_{\omega, p}$ we denote by $[f]$ the smallest invariant subspace containing $f$; that is the closure of the polynomial multiplies of $f$ in $H_{\omega, p}$. In order to prove the main result of this section we use the same method as in [4]. Let $H^{\infty}$ be the algebra of bounded analytic functions in $U$ with the norm $\|g\|_{\infty}=\sup _{z \in U}|g(z)|, g \in H^{\infty}$. We have

Lemma 3.1. If $f \in H_{\omega, p}$ and $g \in H^{\infty}$ such that $g f \in H_{\omega, p}$, then $g f \in[f]$.

The proof of the lemma is based on some simple properties of the linear operators $T_{i}, 0 \leq t<1$, defined on the set $H(U)$ of analytic functions in $U$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{i} h\right)(z)=\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{t}^{1} h(s z) d s \quad z \in U, h \in H(U) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the theorem of L'hospital, we have

$$
\lim _{t->1}\left(T_{i} h\right)(z)=\lim _{t->1} \frac{\int_{1}^{t} h(s z) d s}{t-1}=\lim _{t->1} h(t z)=h(z)
$$

for all $z \in U$ and $h \in H(U)$. Some other properties are summarized below. For $h \in H(U)$, and $t \in[0,1)$, we denote by $h_{t}$ the function given by $h_{t}(z)=h(t z), z \in U$.

Lemma 3.2. For every $t \in[0,1)$, we have

$$
(i)\left(M_{z} T_{t} h\right)^{\prime}=\frac{h-t h_{t}}{1-t}, h \in H(U)
$$

(ii)If $h \in H_{\omega, p}$, then $T_{t} h \in H_{\omega, p}$ and $\left\|T_{t} h\right\|_{\omega, p} \leq\|h\|_{\omega, p}$.
(iii)If $h \in H^{\infty}$, then $T_{t} h \in H_{\omega, p}$ and $f T_{t} h \in H_{\omega, p}$ whenever $f \in H_{\omega, p}$.

Furthermore in this case, $f T_{t} h \in[f]$.

Proof. (i)For every $\zeta \in U$ and $h \in H(U)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{z} T_{t} h\right)(\zeta)=\zeta\left(T_{t} h\right)(\zeta)=\zeta \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{t}^{1} h(s \zeta) d s=\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{t \zeta}^{\zeta} h(\lambda) d \lambda \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(M_{z} T_{t} h\right)^{\prime}(\zeta)=\left[\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{0}^{\zeta} h(\lambda) d \lambda-\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{0}^{t \zeta} h(\lambda) d \lambda\right]^{\prime} \\
=\frac{1}{1-t}\left[h(\zeta)-t h_{t}(\zeta)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii)If $h(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}, z \in U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{t} h\right)(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\frac{1-t^{n+1}}{1-t}\right) \frac{a_{n}}{n+1} z^{n}, \quad z \in U \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $T_{t} h \in H_{\omega, p}$ whenever $h \in H_{\omega, p}$ and $\left\|T_{t} h\right\|_{\omega, p} \leq\|h\|_{\omega, p}$. (iii)From (i) we obtain that if $h \in H^{\infty}$ then $T_{t} h$ and $\left(T_{t} h\right)^{\prime}$ are both in
$H^{\infty}$, hence $T_{t} h \in H_{\omega, p}$ and also a multiplier. Moreover, there exists a sequence of polynomials $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ with $\sup _{n}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$, conversing pointwise to $T_{t} h$ on $U$. It follows that $\sup _{n}\left\|p_{n} f\right\|_{\omega}<\infty$, and that at least a subsequence of $\left\{p_{n} f\right\}$ converges weakly in $H_{\omega, p}$. Also, its limit must be $f T_{t} h$ because the point evaluations are bounded linear functionals on $H_{\omega, p}$. Thus, $f T_{t} h \in[f]$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $f, g$ be as in the statement. For every $t \in$ $[0,1)$ we have $f T_{t} g \in[f]$ by Lemma 3.2 and $\lim _{t->1}\left(f T_{t} g\right)(z)=f(z) g(z)$ for all $z \in U$. We are going to show that the norms $\left\|f T_{t} g\right\|_{\omega, p}$ remain bounded when $t$ tends to 1 . Note first that by the definition of $H_{\omega, p}$, it follows easily that the operator $M_{z}$ is injective and has closed range on $H_{\omega, p}$, hence there exists a positive constant $c$ such that $\left\|f T_{t} g\right\| \leq$ $c\left\|M_{z} f T_{t} g\right\|_{\omega, p}, t \in[0,1)$. Further,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|M_{z} f T_{t} g\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p} \leq 2 \int_{U}\left|f\left(M_{z} T_{t} g\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} \omega d m+2 \int_{U}\left|f^{\prime} M_{z} T_{t} g\right|^{\prime} \omega d m  \tag{3.4}\\
\leq 2 \int_{U}\left|f\left(M_{z} T_{t} g\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} \omega d m+2\|g\|_{\infty}^{p}\|f\|_{\omega}^{p}
\end{gather*}
$$

and by Lemma 3.2(i),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f\left(M_{z} T_{t} g\right)^{\prime}\right|= & \left|f \frac{g-t g_{t}}{1-t}\right|=\left|\frac{f g-t f_{t} g-t-g_{t} f+g_{t} t f_{t}+f g_{t}(1-t)}{1-t}\right|  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq\left|\frac{f g-t f_{t} g_{t}}{1-t}\right|+\left|g_{t} \frac{f-t f_{t}}{1-t}\right|+\left|f g_{t}\right| \\
& =\left|\left(M_{z} T_{t} f g\right)^{\prime}\right|+\left|g_{t}\left(M_{z} T_{t} f\right)^{\prime}\right|+\left|f g_{t}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{U}\left|f\left(M_{z} T_{t} g\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} \omega d m \leq 3\left\|M_{z} T_{t} f g\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p}+3\|g\|_{\omega, p}^{p}\left\|M_{z} T_{t} f\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p}  \tag{3.6}\\
+3\|g\|_{\omega, p}^{p} \int_{U}|f|^{p} \omega d m .
\end{gather*}
$$

This leads to an estimation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f T_{t} g\right\|_{\omega, p}^{p} \leq c_{1}\|f g\|_{\omega, p}^{p}+c_{2}\|g\|_{\omega, p}^{p}\|f\|_{\omega, p}^{p} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are positive constants independent of $t$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2(iii), there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ in $[0,1$ ), tending to 1 such that $f T_{t_{n}} g$ tends weakly to $f g$ in $H_{\omega, p}$, hence $g f \in[f]$.

A function $f \in H_{\omega, p}$ is called a cyclic vector for $M_{z}$ if $[f]=H_{\omega, p}$. From Lemma 3.1, we obtain

Corollary 3.3. If $f, g \in H_{\omega, p}, g$ is a cyclic vector for $M_{z}$ and $|f(z)| \geq|g(z)|$ for all $z \in U$, then $f$ is also cyclic.

Proof. If $h=g / f$, then $h \in H^{\infty}$ and $h f=g$,i.e. $h f \in H_{\omega, p}$. Then by Lemma 3.1, $g \in[f]$, which shows that $f$ is cyclic.

Remark. The above result remains true if $H_{\omega, p}$ is replaced by the Dirichlet space $D$, because Lemma 3.1 holds for this space as well, with the same proof. This problem was raised for general Banach spaces of analytic functions by L. Brown and A. Shields [2, Question 3].

The main result of this section is
Theorem 3.4. Let $M \neq\{0\}, M \neq\{0\}$ be invariant subspaces for the operator $M_{z}$ on $H_{\omega, p}$. Then (i) $M \cap H^{\infty} \neq\{0\}$ and (ii) $M \cap N \neq\{0\}$.

Proof. (i)Let $f \in M, f=\neq 0$. By Theorem 2.6 there exist functions $g, h \in H^{\infty} \cap H_{\omega, p}$ such that $f=g / h$ and, by Lemma 3.1, $g=h f \in[f] \subset$ $M$. (ii) If $g \in M, h \in N, g, h \neq 0$ are bounded then $g h \in[g] \cap[h] \subset$ $M \cap N$.

Theorem 3.4(ii) states that the operator $M_{z}$ on $H_{\omega, p}$ is cellular indecomposable and answers affirmatively Conjecture 1 of [4]. As it was pointed out in [4] this implies the fact that each nontrivial invariant subspace $M$ of $M_{z}$ has the codimension one property that is, $(z-\lambda) M$ is a closed subspace of $M$ having codimension 1 in $M$, for every $\lambda \in U$. This follows from results obtained by S. Richter in [3] and Theorem 3.4. Indeed, if $M$ is such a subspace and $f, g \in M \backslash\{0\}$, then $[f] \cap[g] \neq\{0\}$ by Theorem 3.4 and by [3, Corollaries 3.12 and 3.15] $M$ has the codimension one property.
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