East Asian Math. J. 21 (2005), No. 2, pp. 205–216

# ON FUZZY DIMENSION OF N-GROUPS WITH DCC ON IDEALS

## SATYANARAYANA BHAVANARI, SYAM PRASAD KUNCHAM, AND VENKATA PRADEEP KUMAR TUMURUKOTA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the fuzzy ideals of N-group G where N is a near-ring. We introduce the concepts: minimal elements, fuzzy linearly independent elements, and fuzzy basis of an N-group G and obtained fundamental related results.

#### 1. Introduction

We first recall some basic concepts for the sake of completeness. A non-empty set N with two binary operations + and . is called a *near-ring* if it satisfies the following axioms.

(i) (N, +) is a group (not necessarily abelian)

(ii)  $(N, \cdot)$  is a semi-group;

(iii)  $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in N$ .

Precisely speaking, it is a right near-ring because it satisfies the right distributive law. We denote ac instead of a.c. Moreover, a near-ring N is said to be *zero-symmetric* if n0 = 0 for all  $n \in N$ , where 0 is the additive identity in N. By an N-group, we mean an additively written group G (but not necessarily abelian), together with a mapping N  $\times$  G  $\rightarrow$  G (the image of (n, g) denoted by  $n \cdot g$ ) satisfying the following conditions:

1.  $(n_1 + n_2) \cdot g = n_1 \cdot g + n_2 \cdot g$  and

2. 
$$n_1 \cdot (n_2 \cdot g) = (n_1 \cdot n_2) \cdot g$$
 for all  $g \in G$ , and  $n_1, n_2 \in N$ .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16A55, 03E72, 16Y30 .

Received September 18, 2005.

Key words and phrases: nearring, essential ideal, finite Goldie dimension, minimal element, DCCI, fuzzy l.i. elements, fuzzy basis.

Throughout, by a near-ring, we mean a zero-symmetric right nearring. N stands for a near-ring and G stands for an N-group.  $\langle X \rangle$ denotes the ideal generated by X for a given subset X of G and  $\langle a \rangle$ denotes  $\langle \{a\} \rangle$ .

Now we collect the necessary literature.

An ideal A of G is said to be *essential* in an ideal B of G (denote as,  $A \leq_e B$  if I is an ideal of G contained in B and  $A \cap I = (0)$  imply I = (0). In |14|, it is observed that (i) intersection of finite number of essential ideals is essential; (ii) For any ideals I, J, K of G such that I  $\leq_e J$ , and  $J \leq_e K$ , then  $I \leq_e K$ ; and (iii) If  $I \subseteq J$ , then  $I \leq_e J$  implies that  $(I \cap K) \leq_e (J \cap K)$ . An ideal A of G is said to be *uniform* if every non-zero ideal of G, which is contained in A, is essential in A. An element  $0 \neq u \in G$  is said to be uniform element (or u-element) if  $\langle u \rangle$  is a uniform ideal of G. The concept of finite Goldie Dimension in N-Groups was introduced by Reddy & Satyanarayana [5]. An ideal H of G is said to have *finite Goldie dimension* (abbr. FGD) if H does not contain an infinite number of non-zero ideals of G whose sum is direct. G has FGD if G does not contain a direct sum of infinite number of non-zero ideals. Equivalently, G has FGD if for any strictly increasing sequence  $H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \ldots$  of ideals of G, there is an integer i such that  $H_k$  is essential ideal in  $H_{k+1}$  for every  $k \ge i$ .

It is proved (in [5]) that if  $H_i$ ,  $K_i(1 \le i \le n)$  are ideals of G such that the sum of ideals  $\{K_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$  is direct and  $H_i \subseteq K_i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ , then " $H_i \le_e K_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n \Leftrightarrow H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus H_n \le_e K_1 \oplus K_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus K_n$ ". In [5], the authors also proved that if an ideal H of G has FGD, then there exists finite number of uniform ideals  $U_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$  of G whose sum is direct and essential in H. This number k is independent of choice of  $U_i$ 's and k is called the *Goldie Dimension* of H. In this case, we write  $k = \dim H$ .

For other preliminary definitions and results in near-rings, we refer [4,5, 6, 7, 10, and 11].

Next we collect necessary information related to fuzzyness from the existing literature.

The concept of fuzzy subset was introduced by Zadeh [15]. Later several authors like [12, 13, and 14] were studied the concept: fuzzyness in different algebraic systems, particularly in the theory of rings and near-rings.

We now review some fuzzy logic concepts. Let X be a non empty set. A mapping  $\mu$ : X $\rightarrow$  [0, 1] is called a fuzzy subset of X. We shall use the notation  $\mu_t$  called a *level subset* of  $\mu$  which is defined as  $\mu_t = {x \in M \mid \mu(x) \geq t}$  where  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let X and Y are two non empty sets and f a function of X into Y. Let  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  be fuzzy subsets of X and Y respectively. Then  $f(\mu)$ , the *image* of  $\mu$  under f is a fuzzy subset of Y defined by

$$(f(\mu))(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{f(x)=y} \mu(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi \\ f(x)=y & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \phi \end{cases}$$

and  $f^{-1}(\sigma)$ , the *pre-image* of  $\sigma$  under f is a fuzzy subset of X defined by  $(f^{-1}(\sigma))(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ .

#### 2. Fuzzy Ideals of N-Groups

We start this section by defining the concept "fuzzy ideal" of an N-group G.

DEFINITION 2.1. [3] Let  $\mu$ : G  $\rightarrow$  [0, 1] be a mapping.  $\mu$  is said to be a *fuzzy ideal of* G if the following two conditions hold:

1.  $\mu(g + g^1) \ge \min\{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\},$ 2.  $\mu(g + x - g) = \mu(x)$ 3.  $\mu(-g) = \mu(g)$ 4.  $\mu(n(g + x)-ng) \ge -\mu(x), \text{ for all } x, g, g^1 \in G, n \in N.$ 

If  $\mu$  satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii), then we say  $\mu$ , a fuzzy normal subgroup of G.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let G be N-group with unity and  $\mu: G \to [0, 1]$  is a fuzzy set with  $\mu(ng) \ge -\mu(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $n \in N$ , then the following two conditions are true.

(i) For all  $0 \neq n \in N$ ,  $\mu(ng) = \mu(g)$  if n is left invertible; and (ii)  $\mu(-g) = \mu(g)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $n^1$  be a left inverse of n. Then  $n^1n = 1$ . Now

 $\mu(ng) \ge -\mu(g) \ \mu(g) = \mu(1.g) = \mu(n^1 ng) \ge -\mu(ng)$  (by hypothesis)  $\Rightarrow \mu(g) \ge \mu(ng)$ . Hence  $\mu(ng) = \mu(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ , and for all left invertible elements  $0 \neq n \in N$ .

(ii) Follows from (i) by taking n = -1. 

COROLLARY 2.3. If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of G and g, g<sup>1</sup>  $\in G$ , then  $\mu(g - g^1) \ge \min\{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\}.$ 

*Proof.* Given  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of G. Now  $\mu(g - g^1) = \mu(g + (-g^1))$  $\geq \min\{\mu(g), \mu(-g^1)\}$  (since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal)  $\geq \min\{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\}$  (by the Proposition 2.2). Therefore  $\mu(g - g^1) \ge \min \{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\}$  for all  $g, g^1 \in G.$  $\Box$ 

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of G, and g,  $g^1 \in G$  with  $\mu(g)$  $\mu(g^1)$ , then  $\mu(g + g^1) = \mu(g^1)$ . In other words, if  $\mu(g) \neq -\mu(g^1)$ , then  $\mu(g + g^1) = \min \{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\}.$ 

*Proof.* By definition,  $\mu(g + g^1) \ge -\mu(g^1)$ .

Take  $\mu(g^1) = \mu(g^1 + g \cdot g) \ge \min \{\mu(g^1 + g), \mu(-g)\} = \max \{\mu(g^1 + g)\} = \max$ g),  $\mu(g)$  =  $\mu(g + g^1)$  (by hypothesis) and so  $\mu(g + g^1) = \mu(g^1)$ .

COROLLARY 2.5. If  $\mu: G \to [0, 1]$  is a mapping satisfies the condi- $\mu(g)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $n \in N$ , then the following two tion  $\mu(ng) \geq 1$ conditions are equivalent:

1.  $\mu(g - g^1) \ge \min\{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\}; \text{ and}$ 2.  $\mu(g + g^1) \ge \min\{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\}.$ 

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose (i). Now  $\mu(g + g^1) = \mu(g - (-g^1)) \ge$ min { $\mu(g), \mu(-g^1)$ } (by supposition)  $\geq \min \{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\}$  (by the given condition with n = -1). Therefore  $\mu(g + g^1) \ge \min \{\mu(g), \mu(g^1)\}.$ 

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): follows from Corollary 2.3.

**PROPOSITION** 2.6. If  $\mu: G \to [0, 1]$  is a fuzzy ideal, then (i)  $\mu(0)$  $\mu(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ ; and (ii)  $\mu(\theta) = Sup \mu(g)$ .  $\geq$ 

208

*Proof.* (i)  $\mu(0) = \mu$  (x - x)  $\geq \min \{\mu(x), \mu(-x)\} = \mu(x)$  for all x in G. 

(ii) Follows from (i).

The next part of this section deals with the concept "level ideals". A straightforward proof gives the following theorem.

**THEOREM** 2.7. [3] A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of G is a fuzzy ideal of  $G \Leftrightarrow$ the level set  $\mu_t$  is an ideal of G for all  $t \in [0, \mu(0)]$ .

**DEFINITION** 2.8. Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy ideal of G. The ideals  $\mu_t$ , t  $\in$ [0, 1] where  $\mu_t = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{G} \mid \mu(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mathbf{t} \}$  are called *level ideals* of  $\mu$ .

THEOREM 2.9. Let  $I \subseteq G$ . Define a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal; and

(ii) I is a ideal of G.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let x, y  $\in$  I. Now  $\mu(x) = \mu(y) = 1$ . Now  $\mu(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \ge \min\{\mu(\mathbf{x}), \mu(\mathbf{y})\}$  (since  $\mu$  is fuzzy ideal)  $= \min\{1, 1\} = 1 \Rightarrow \quad \mu(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \ge 1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{I}.$ 

Let  $x \in I$ . Since  $\mu$  is fuzzy normal, we have  $\mu(y + x - y) = \mu(x)$  $y \in G$ . Therefore  $y + x - y \in I$  for all  $y \in G$ . Hence I is normal. Take  $x \in I$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $n \in N$ . Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of G, we have that

 $-\mu$  (x) = 1 and so n(g + x) - ng  $\in$  I. Hence I  $\mu(n(g + x) - ng)) \geq 1$ is an ideal of G.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let x, y  $\in$  G. If x, y  $\in$  I, then x - y  $\in$  I and so  $\mu$ (x - y)  $= 1 \ge \min \{1, 1\} = \min \{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ . If  $x \in I$  and  $y \notin I$ , then x - y  $\notin$  I and so  $\mu(x - y) = 0 \ge \min \{1, 0\} = \min \{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ . If  $x \notin I, y$  $\notin$  I, then  $\mu(x - y) \ge 0 = \min \{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}.$ 

Take  $x \in I$ . Since I is an ideal of G, we have that  $y + x - y \in I$  and so  $\mu(y+x-y) = 1 = \mu(x)$ . If  $\mu(y+x-y) = 0$ , then  $y+x-y \notin I$  and so x  $\notin$  I.

This shows that  $\mu(y + x - y) = 0 = \mu(x)$ .

Take  $x \in I$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $n \in N$ . Since I is an ideal G, we have  $n(g + x) - ng \in I$ . Therefore  $\mu(n(g + x) - ng) = 1 = \mu(x)$ . If  $x \notin I$ , then  $\mu(n(g + x) - ng) \ge 0 = \mu(x)$ .

Thus (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

**PROPOSITION 2.10.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal of G and  $\mu_t$ ,  $\mu_s$  (with t < s) be two level ideals of  $\mu$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $\mu_t = \mu_s$ ; and (ii) there is no  $x \in G$  such that  $t \leq -\mu(x) < s$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): In a contrary way, suppose that there exists an element  $x \in G$  such that  $t \leq -\mu(x) < s$ . Then  $x \in -\mu_t$  and  $x \notin -\mu_s$  and so  $\mu_t \neq -\mu_s$ , a contradiction. Hence we get (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Since t < s we have  $\mu_t \ge \mu_s$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mu_t \Rightarrow \mu(\mathbf{x}) \ge \mathbf{t}$ . By given condition (ii), there is no y such that  $\mathbf{s} > \mu(\mathbf{y}) \ge \mathbf{t}$  and so  $\mu(\mathbf{x}) \ge \mathbf{s}$  which implies  $\mathbf{x} \in \mu_s$ . Thus  $\mu_t \le \mu_s$ .

### 3. Minimal Elements

We start this section by introducing the new concept "minimal element".

**DEFINITION** 3.1. An element  $x \in G$  is said to be a *minimal element* if  $\langle x \rangle$  is minimal in the set of all non-zero ideals of G.

THEOREM 3.2. If G has DCC on ideals, then every nonzero ideal of G contains a minimal element.

*Proof.* Let K be a nonzero ideal of G. Since G has DCC on its ideals, it follows that the set of all ideals of G contained in K has a minimal element. So K contains a minimal ideal A (that is, A is a minimal in the set of all non-zero ideals of G contained in K). Let  $0 \neq a \in A$ . Then  $0 \neq \langle a \rangle \subseteq A$  and so  $\langle a \rangle = A$ . Since  $\langle a \rangle$  is a minimal ideal, we have that 'a' is a minimal element.  $\Box$ 

NOTE 3.3. There are N-Groups, which do not satisfy DCC on its ideals, but contains a minimal element. For this, we observe the following example.

210

EXAMPLE 3.4. Write N = Z,  $G = Z \oplus Z_6$ . Now G is an N-group. Clearly G has no DCC on its ideals. Consider  $g = (0, 2) \in G$ . Now the ideal generated by g, that is,  $\langle g \rangle = Zg = \{(0, 0), (0, 2), (0, 4)\}$ is a minimal element in the set of all non-zero ideals of G. Hence g is a minimal element.

**THEOREM** 3.5. Every minimal element is an u-element.

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq a \in G$  be a minimal element. Consider Na. Let  $(0) \neq L$  and I be ideals of G such that  $L \subseteq \langle a \rangle$ ,  $I \subseteq \langle a \rangle$  and  $L \cap I = (0)$ . Since  $L \neq (0)$ ,  $(0) \subseteq L \subseteq \langle a \rangle$ , and a is minimal, it follows that  $L = \langle a \rangle$ . Now  $I = I \cap \langle a \rangle = I \cap L = (0)$ . This shows that L is essential in  $\langle a \rangle$ . Hence  $\langle a \rangle$  is uniform ideal and so a is an u-element.

NOTE 3.6. The converse of Theorem 3.5 is not true. For this observe the following example given here.

Write G = Z, N = Z. Since Z is a uniform, and 1 is a generator, we have that 1 is an u-element. But 2Z is a proper ideal of 1.Z = Z = G. Hence 1 cannot be a minimal element. Thus 1 is an u-element but not a minimal element.

THEOREM 3.7. Suppose  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of G.

(i) If g ∈ G, then for any x ∈ <g> we have μ(x) ≥ μ(g); and
(ii) If g is a minimal element, then for any 0 ≠ x ∈ <g> we have μ(x) = μ(g).

*Proof.* (i) By straightforward verification, we conclude that for  $g \in G$ ,  $\langle g \rangle = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  where  $A_{k+1} = A_k^* \cup A_k^+ \cup A_k^0$ ,  $A_0 = \{g\}$  and  $A_k^* = \{y + x - y \mid y \in G, x \in A_k\}$ ,  $A_k^+ = \{n(y + x) - ny \mid n \in N, y \in G, x \in A_k\}$ ,  $A_k^0 = \{x - y \mid x, y \in A_k\}$ ,

We prove that  $\mu(y) \geq -\mu(g)$  for all  $y \in A_m$  for  $m \geq 1$ . For this, we use induction on m. It is obvious if m = 0. Suppose the induction hypothesis for k. That is,  $\mu(y) \geq -\mu(g)$  for all  $y \in A_k$ . Now let  $v \in A_k^* \cup A_k^+ \cup A_k^0$ . Suppose  $v \in A_k^*$ . Then v = z + y - z for some  $y \in A_k$ . Now  $\mu(v) = \mu(z + y - z) \geq -\mu(y)$  (since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of G)  $\geq -\mu(g)$ . Let  $v \in A_k^0$ . Then  $v = y_1 - y_2$  for some  $y_1, y_2 = A_k$ . Now

 $\mu(\mathbf{v}) = \mu(\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2) \ge \min \{\mu(\mathbf{y}_1), \mu(\mathbf{y}_2)\} \ge \mu(\mathbf{g}), \text{ by induction hypothesis.}$ 

Suppose  $v \in A_k^+$ . Then v = n(y + x) - ny for some  $n \in N, y \in G$ ,  $x \in A_k$ . Now  $\mu(v) = \mu(n(y + x) - ny) \ge -\mu(x)$  (since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal)  $\ge -\mu(g)$  (by induction hypothesis). Thus in all cases, we proved that  $\mu(v) \ge -\mu(g)$  for all  $v \in A_{k+1}$ . Hence by the principle of mathematical induction, we conclude that  $\mu(v) \ge -\mu(g)$  for all  $v \in A_m$  and for all positive integers m. We proved that  $\mu(v) \ge -\mu(g)$  for all  $v \in A_m$  and for all positive integers m. Hence  $\mu(x) \ge -\mu(g)$  for all  $x \in -g >$ .

(ii) Let  $g \in G$  be a minimal element. Let  $0 \neq x \in \langle g \rangle$ . Now  $0 \neq \langle x \rangle \subseteq \langle g \rangle$ . Since g is a minimal element, we have  $\langle x \rangle = \langle g \rangle$ . Therefore  $g \in \langle x \rangle$  and by (i), we have  $\mu(g) \geq \mu(x)$ . Thus  $\mu(x) = \mu(g)$ .

NOTE 3.8. If G satisfies the descending chain condition on its ideals then we say that "G has DCCI". Let K be an ideal of G. If the set  $\{J \mid J \text{ is an ideal of G}, J \subseteq K\}$  has the descending chain condition, then we say that K has DCC on the ideals of G (we write DCCI G, in short).

LEMMA 3.9. If x is a u-element in G and G has DCCI, then there exist minimal element  $y \in \langle x \rangle$  such that  $\langle y \rangle \leq_e \langle x \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Consider the ideal  $\langle x \rangle$ . By Theorem 3.2, there exists a minimal element  $y \in \langle x \rangle$ . Since  $\langle y \rangle$  is a non-zero ideal of  $\langle x \rangle$ , and  $\langle x \rangle$  is uniform ideal, it follows that  $\langle y \rangle \leq_e \langle x \rangle$ .

DEFINITION 3.10. [11] (i) Let X be a subset of G. X is said to be a *linearly independent* (l. i., in short) set if the sum  $\sum_{a \in X} \langle a \rangle$  is direct. If  $\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a l. i. set, then we say that the elements  $a_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  are *linearly independent*. If X is not an l. i. set then we say that X is a *linearly dependent* (l. d., in short) set.

(ii) A subset X of G is said to be u-linearly independent (u-l.i., in short) set if every element of X is an u-element and X is a l.i. set.

(iii) A l. i. set X in G is said to be an *essential basis* for G if  $\sum_{a \in X} \langle a \rangle \leq_e G$ . We also say that X forms an essential basis for G.

NOTE 3.11. [11]: (i) G has FGD  $\Leftrightarrow$  every l. i. subset X of G is a finite set.

(ii) Suppose that dim G = n and  $X \subseteq G$ . If X is a l. i. set, then we have:  $|X| = n \Leftrightarrow X$  is a maximal l. i. set  $\Leftrightarrow X$  is an essential basis for G.

THEOREM 3.12. If G has DCCI, then there exist linearly independent minimal elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  in G where  $n = \dim G$ , and the sum  $\langle x_1 \rangle + \ldots + \langle x_n \rangle$  is direct and essential in G. Also  $B = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$  forms an essential basis for G.

*Proof.* Since G has DCCI; by the Proposition 2.2 of [10], G has FGD. Suppose  $n = \dim G$ . Then by the Theorem 2.7 of [11], there exist u-linearly independent elements  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  such that the sum  $\langle u_1 \rangle + \ldots + \langle u_n \rangle$  is direct and essential in G. Since G has DCCI, by Lemma 3.9, there exist minimal elements  $x_i \in \langle u_i \rangle$  such that  $\langle x_i \rangle \leq_e \langle u_i \rangle$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  are linearly independent, it follows that  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are also linearly independent.

Thus we have linearly independent minimal elements  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n$ in G where  $\mathbf{n} = \dim \mathbf{G}$ . Since  $\langle \mathbf{x}_i \rangle \leq_e \langle \mathbf{u}_i \rangle$  by a result mentioned in the introduction, it follows that  $\langle \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle \mathbf{x}_n \rangle \leq_e \langle \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle$  $\oplus \ldots \oplus \langle \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \leq_e \mathbf{G}$  and so  $\langle \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle \mathbf{x}_n \rangle \leq_e \mathbf{G}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$  forms an essential basis for G.

#### 4. Fuzzy Linearly Independent Elements

Now we introduce the concept of fuzzy linearly independent elements with respect to a fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of G.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let G be an N-group and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal of G.  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbf{G}$  are said to be fuzzy  $\mu$ -linearly independent ( or fuzzy linearly independent with respect to  $\mu$ ) if it satisfies the following two conditions: (i)  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are linearly independent; and (ii)  $\mu(\mathbf{y}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{y}_n) = \min\{\mu(\mathbf{y}_1), \ldots, \mu(\mathbf{y}_n)\}$  for any  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \rangle, 1$  $\leq i \leq n$ .

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal on G. If  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are minimal elements in G with distinct  $\mu$ -values, then  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are (i) Linearly independent; and (ii) Fuzzy  $\mu$ -linearly independent.

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on n. If n = 1, then  $x_1$  is linearly independent and also fuzzy linearly independent. Let us assume that the statement is true for (n - 1). Now suppose  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are minimal elements with distinct  $\mu$  values. By induction hypothesis  $x_1$ ,  $x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  are linearly independent and fuzzy linearly independent. If  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are not linearly independent, then the sum of  $\langle x_1 \rangle$ ,  $\langle x_2 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x_n \rangle$  is not direct. This means  $\langle x_i \rangle \cap (\langle x_1 \rangle \oplus$  $\ldots$   $< \mathbf{x}_{i-1} > \oplus$  $\langle x_{i+1} \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle x_n \rangle \neq \{0\}$ . This implies  $0 \neq y_i = y_1 + ... + y_{i-1} + y_{i+1} + ... + y_n$  where  $y_i$  $\in$  $\langle x_j \rangle$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Now  $\mu(x_i) = \mu(y_i)$  (by Theorem 3.7)  $= \mu(y_1 + y_2)$  $\dots + y_{i-1} + y_{i+1} + \dots + y_n) = \min \{\mu(y_1), \dots, \mu(y_{i-1}), \mu(y_{i+1}), \dots \}$  $\{\mu(\mathbf{y}_n)\}\$  (by induction hypothesis) =  $\mu(\mathbf{y}_k)$  for some  $\mathbf{k} \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ i-1, i+1, ..., n} =  $\mu(x_k)$  (by Theorem 3.7). Thus  $\mu(x_i) = \mu(x_k)$  for  $i \neq k$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are linearly independent.

Now we prove that  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are fuzzy linearly independent. Suppose  $y_i \in \langle x_i \rangle, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \mu(\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2 + \ldots + \mathbf{y}_{n-1}) = \min \left\{ \mu(\mathbf{y}_1), \ldots, \mu(\mathbf{y}_{n-1}) \right\} \text{ (by the induction hypothesis)} = \mu(\mathbf{y}_j) \text{ for some j with } 1 \leq \mathbf{j} \leq \mathbf{n} \cdot 1 = \mu(\mathbf{x}_j) \text{ (by the Theorem 3.7). Now } \mu(\mathbf{x}_j) \neq \mu(\mathbf{x}_n) \Rightarrow \mu(\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2 + \ldots + \mathbf{y}_{n-1}) = \mu(\mathbf{x}_j) \neq \mu(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mu(\mathbf{y}_n) \Rightarrow \mu(\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2 + \ldots + \mathbf{y}_{n-1} + \mathbf{y}_n) = \min \left\{ \mu(\mathbf{y}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{y}_{n-1}), \mu(\mathbf{y}_n) \right\} \text{ (by Proposition 2.4)} = \min \left\{ \min \left\{ \mu(\mathbf{y}_1), \ldots, \mu(\mathbf{y}_{n-1}), \mu(\mathbf{y}_n) \right\} \right\} = \min \left\{ \mu(\mathbf{y}_1), \ldots, \mu(\mathbf{y}_n) \right\} \text{ or more that } \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n \text{ are fuzzy linearly independent with respect to } \mu \text{ .} \end{array} \right.$ 

#### 5. Fuzzy Dimension

We start this section by defining the concept "fuzzy pseudo basis".

**DEFINITION** 5.1. (i) Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal of G. A subset B of G is said to be a *fuzzy pseudo basis* for  $\mu$  if B is a maximal subset of G such that  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$  are fuzzy linearly independent for any finite subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$  of B.

(ii) Consider the set  $B = \{k \mid \text{there exist a fuzzy pseudo basis B} for <math>\mu$  with  $|B| = k\}$ . If B has no upper bound, then we say that the *fuzzy dimension of*  $\mu$  is infinite. We denote this fact by S-dim  $(\mu)$ 

=  $\infty$ . If B has an upper bound, then the **fuzzy dimension of**  $\mu$  is sup B. We denote this fact by S-dim ( $\mu$ ) = sup B. If m = S-dim ( $\mu$ ) = sup B, then a fuzzy pseudo basis B for  $\mu$  with |B| = m, is called as **fuzzy basis** for the fuzzy ideal  $\mu$ .

PROPOSITION 5.2. Suppose G has FGD and  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of G. Then (i)  $|B| \leq \dim G$  for any fuzzy pseudo basis B for  $\mu$ ; and (ii) S-dim ( $\mu$ )  $\leq \dim G$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $n = \dim G$ .

(i) Suppose B is a fuzzy pseudo basis for  $\mu$ . If |B| > n, then B contain distinct elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ . Since B is a fuzzy pseudo basis, the elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}$  are linearly independent; and by Theorem 2.7 of [11], it follows that  $n + 1 \leq n$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $|B| \leq n = \dim G$ .

(ii) From (i) it is clear that dim M is an upper bound for the set  $B = \{k \mid \text{there exist a fuzzy pseudo basis B for } \mu \text{ with } |B| = k\}.$ Therefore S-dim ( $\mu$ ) = sup B  $\leq$  dim G.

DEFINITION 5.3. An N-group G is said to have a *fuzzy basis* if there exists an essential ideal A of G and a fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of A such that S-dim ( $\mu$ ) = dim G. The fuzzy pseudo basis of  $\mu$  is called as *fuzzy basis* for G.

**REMARK** 5.4. If G has FGD, then every fuzzy basis for G is a basis for G.

THEOREM 5.5. Suppose that G has DCCI. Then G has a fuzzy basis (in other words, there exists an essential ideal A of G and a fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of A such that S-dim ( $\mu$ ) = dim G).

*Proof.* Since G has DCCI, it has FGD. Suppose dim G = n. By Note 3.11, there exist linearly independent minimal elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  such that  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$  forms an essential basis for G. Take  $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n \le 1$ . Define  $\mu(y_i) = t_i$  for  $y_i \in \langle x_i \rangle$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal on  $A = \langle x_1 \rangle + \langle x_2 \rangle + \ldots + \langle x_n \rangle \le e$  G. By the Theorem 4.2,  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are fuzzy  $\mu$ -linearly independent. So  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$  is a pseudo basis for  $\mu$ . Now dim M =  $n \le \sup B \le \dim G$  (by the Proposition 5.2) and hence S-dim  $(\mu)$ = dim G. This shows that G has a fuzzy basis.  $\Box$ 

#### Bhavanari, Kuncham, and Tumurukota

### Acknowledgements

The first author is thankful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi for the financial support under the grant no. F.8-8/ 2004(SR). The second author acknowledges Manipal Academy Higher Education, Manipal for their kind encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson F.W and Fuller K.R. "Rings and Categories of Modules", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [2] Chatters A.W.& Hajarnavis C.R. "Rings with Chain Conditions", Pitman Pub. Ltd., 1988.
- [3] Helen K. Saikia " On Fuzzy N-Subgroups and Fuzzy ideals of Near-Rings and Near-Ring Groups", J. of Fuzzy Mathematics, 11(2003), 567-580.
- [4] Pilz G "Near-rings", North Holland, 1983.

216

- [5] Reddy Y.V. & Satyanarayana Bh. "A Note on N-groups", Indian J. Pure & Appl. Math., 19 (1988) 842-845.
- [6] Reddy Y.V. & Satyanarayana Bh. "Finite Spanning Dimension in N-Groups", The Mathematics Student, 56 (1988) 75-80.
- [7] Satyanarayana Bh., "Contributions to Near-Ring Theory", Doctoral Thesis, Nagarjuna Univ., 1984.
- [8] Satyanarayana Bh., "On Finite Spanning Dimension in N-groups", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math, 22 (1991) 633-636.
- [9] Satyanarayana Bh. and Syam Prasad K., A Result on E-direct Systems in N-groups, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. 29 (1998) 285-287.
- [10] Satyanarayana Bh. and Syam Prasad K., On Direct and Inverse systems in N-Groups, Indian Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 42, (2000) 183-192.
- [11] Satyanarayana Bh. and Syam Prasad K. "Linearly Independent Elements in N-Groups with Finite Goldie Dimension", Bull. Korean Math. Soc., Vol. 42 (2005)3, 433-441.
- [12] Satyanarayana Bh., Syam Prasad K. and Pradeep Kumar T.V., "On IFP N-Groups and Fuzzy IFP ideals", Indian J. of Mathematics, 46 (2004) 11-19.
- [13] Salah Abou-Zaid "On Fuzzy Subnear-rings and Ideals", Fuzzy sets and Systems, 4 (1991) 139-146.
- [14] Syam Prasad K. "Contributions to Near-ring Theory II", Doctoral Thesis, Acharya Nagarjuna University, 2000.
- [15] Zadeh L. A. "Fuzzy sets" Inform. & Control 8 (1965) 338-353.

Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar – 522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India. *E-mail*: bhavanari2002@yahoo.co.in

Department of Mathematics, Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal-576 104, India *E-mail*: drkuncham@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Gudlavalleru Engineering College Gudlavalleru, Andhra Pradesh, India