East Asian Math. J. 21 (2005), No. 2, pp. 163–170

# A KL-PRODUCT OF FINITE BCI-ALGEBRAS

## Ahmad Habil

ABSTRACT. We have proved that a finite BCI-algebra (X, \*, 0) is a KL-product if and only if for any subset I of X such that  $I * X \subseteq I$  the cardinality of 0 \* X divides the cardinality of I.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of BCK-algebras was proposed by Y. Iami and K. Iséki in 1966. In the same year K. Iséki [5] introduced the notion of BCIalgebras, which are a generalization of BCK-algebras. After than many mathematical papers have been published investigating some algebraic properties of the BCK/BCI-algebras and their relationship with other universal structures including lattices and Boolean algebras.

## 2. Basic definitions and results

**DEFINITION** 2.1. A nonempty set X with a binary operation \* and a distinguished element 0 is called a *BCI-algebra* if the following axioms

(i) ((x \* y) \* (x \* z)) \* (z \* y) = 0, (ii) (x \* (x \* y)) \* y = 0, (iii) x \* x = 0, (iv)  $x * y = y * x = 0 \longrightarrow x = y$ are satisfied for every  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Received August 11, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25. Key words and phrases: *BCI*-algebra, *KL*-product. A. Habil

A *BCI*-algebra satisfying the identity 0 \* x = 0 is called a *BCK*-algebra.

In any *BCI*-algebra we can define a natural order  $\leq$  putting

$$x \leq y \longleftrightarrow x * y = 0.$$

An element a of a *BCI*-algebra is called an *atom* if  $x \leq a$  implies x = a. The set of all atoms of a *BCI*-algebra X will be denoted by L(X). It is always nonempty because it contains at least 0.

A *BCI*-algebra X satisfying the identity 0 \* (0 \* x) = x is called *p*semisimple. In such *BCI*-algebra we have x\*(x\*y) = y for all  $x, y \in X$ (cf. [2]). Moreover such *BCI*-algebra is medial and can be uniquely described by some group [1]. All elements of such *BCI*-algebra are atoms [3].

LEMMA 2.2. [9] An element a of a BCI-algebra X is an atom if and only if x \* (x \* a) = a for every  $x \in X$ .

LEMMA 2.3. [9] In any BCI-algebra X we have L(X) = 0 \* X.

In [8]J. Meng and X. L. Xin introduced the following notion of KL-product BCI-algebras.

DEFINITION 2.4. A *BCI*-algebra X is called a *KL*-product *BCI*-algebras, if there exists a *BCK*-algebra Y and a p-semisimple *BCI*-algebra Z such that  $X \approx Y \times Z$ .

THEOREM 2.5. [9] A BCI-algebra X is a KL-product if and only if for every  $x \in X$  and  $e \in L(X)$  the following equality is satisfied

$$x = (x \ast e) \ast (0 \ast e).$$

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** [3], [6] In any BCI-algebra X the following conditions are satisfied for every  $x, y, z \in X$ 

(1) x \* 0 = x, (2) x \* (x \* (x \* y)) = x \* y, (3) (x \* y) \* z = (x \* z) \* y, (4) 0 \* (x \* y) = (0 \* x) \* (0 \* y), (5)  $x \le y \longrightarrow x * z \le y * z$  and  $z * y \le z * x$ , (6)  $0 * (x * y) = 0 \longleftrightarrow 0 * x = 0 * y$ .

164

#### 3. Main results

In this section we describe properties of some special subsets of a BCI-algebra X. At first we consider the subset

$$T_a = \{ x \in X | a * (a * x) = x \}.$$

Note that similar subsets are studied in [4].

LEMMA 3.1. In any BCI-algebra X for an arbitrary  $a \in X$  we have  $0, a \in T_a$  and  $L(X) = T_0$ .

*Proof.* Since the first condition is obvious, we prove only the second. Let  $x \in T_0$ . Then 0 \* (0 \* x) = x, i.e.  $x \in 0 * X$ . Thus  $T_0 \subset 0 * X$ .

Conversely, if  $x \in 0 * X$ , then x = 0 \* y for some  $y \in X$ . Hence 0 \* (0 \* x) = 0 \* (0 \* (0 \* y)) = 0 \* y = x, i.e.  $x \in T_0$ , which implies  $0 * X \subset T_0$ . Therefore  $T_0 = 0 * X = L(X)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** In any BCI-algebra X the following hold:

(1)  $T_a = a * X = \{a * x | x \in X\},$ (2)  $T_a * a = L(X) = T_0,$ (3)  $T_{a*x} \subset T_a,$ (4)  $T_0 \subset T_a,$ (5)  $T_a * X = T_a,$ (6)  $x \in T_a \longrightarrow T_x \subset T_a,$ (7)  $T_0 = T_a \longleftrightarrow a \text{ is an atom.}$ 

*Proof.* (1) For  $y \in T_a$  we have y = a \* (a \* y), which gives  $y \in a * X$ . Thus  $T_a \subset a * X$ . Conversely, for any  $y \in a * X$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that y = a \* x. Hence a \* (a \* y) = a \* (a \* (a \* x)) = a \* x = y, i.e.  $y \in T_a$ , whence  $a * X \subset T_a$ . This completes the proof of (1).

(2) For every  $a \in X$  we have (a \* x) \* a = (a \* a) \* x = 0 \* x, so,

$$T_a * a = \{(a * x) * a | x \in X\} = \{0 * x | x \in X\} = 0 * X = L(X) = T_0$$

(3) Let  $y \in T_{a*x}$ . Then

$$y = (a * x) * ((a * x) * y) = (a * x) * ((a * y) * x).$$

But

$$((a*x)*((a*y)*x))*(a*(a*y)) = ((a*x)*((a*(a*y))*((a*y)*x)) = 0.$$

So, y \* (a \* (a \* y)) = 0. On the other hand, (a \* (a \* y)) \* y = 0, which, together with the previous equality, implies a \* (a \* y) = y. Therefore  $y \in T_a$ .

(4) It follows from (3).

(5) Since for any  $z \in T_a$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that z = a \* x, for  $y \in X$  we have  $T_{z*y} = T_{(a*x)*y} \subset T_{a*x} \subset T_a$ . So,  $z * y \in T_a$ . Thus  $T_a * X \subset T_a$ . This completes the proof, because  $T_a = a * X \subset T_a * X$ , by just proved first condition.

(6) It is a simple consequence of previous conditions.

(7) If a is an atom, then  $a \in L(X) = T_0$  implies  $T_a \subset T_0$ . But  $T_0 \subset T_a$  by (4), so  $T_0 = T_a$ . The converse is obvious.

Now we consider the set

$$S_a = \{ x \in X | x * (x * a) = a \}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let X be a BCI-algebra, then for any elements  $a, b \in X$  we have

a ∈ S<sub>a</sub>,
 x ∈ S<sub>a</sub> → S<sub>x</sub> ⊂ S<sub>a</sub>.
 S<sub>a</sub> ⊂ S<sub>b</sub> ↔ T<sub>b</sub> ⊂ T<sub>a</sub>,
 S<sub>a</sub> ⊂ S<sub>a\*x</sub> for any x ∈ X,
 S<sub>0</sub> = X,
 S<sub>a</sub> = X, if a is an atom in X.
 (X \ S<sub>a</sub>) \* X = X \ S<sub>a</sub>, if a is not an atom.

*Proof.* (1) We have a \* (a \* a) = a \* 0 = a, which gives  $a \in S_a$ .

(2) Consider  $x \in S_a$  and  $y \in S_x$ . Then x \* (x \* a) = a and y \* (y \* x) = x, which imply

$$(y * (y * x)) * ((y * (y * x)) * a) = a$$

and

$$(y * (y * x)) * ((y * a) * (y * x)) = a.$$

But

$$((y * (y * x)) * ((y * a) * (y * x))) * (y * (y * a))$$
  
= ((y \* (y \* x)) \* (y \* (y \* a))) \* ((y \* a) \* (y \* x))) = 0.

So, a \* (y \* (y \* a)) = 0 and (y \* (y \* a)) \* a = 0, which implies y \* (y \* a) = a. Thus  $y \in S_a$ , i.e.  $S_x \subset S_a$ .

166

(3) Suppose that  $S_a \subset S_b$  and  $x \in T_b$ , then b \* (b \* x) = x, i.e.  $b \in S_x$ . Therefore  $S_b \subset S_x$ , and in the consequence,  $S_a \subset S_x$ . So,  $a \in S_x$ , whence  $x \in T_a$ . This proves the inclusion  $T_b \subset T_a$ .

In a similar way we can prove that  $T_b \subset T_a$  implies  $S_a \subset S_b$ .

(4) We know that  $T_{a*x} \subset T_a$ , therefore  $S_a \subset S_{a*x}$ .

(5) Obvious.

(6) It follows from the fact that x \* (x \* a) = a for every  $x \in X$  if and only if a is an atom.

(7) If a is not an atom, then  $S_a \neq X$ . So, if  $x \in X \setminus S_a$ ,  $y \in X$ , and  $x * y \in S_a$ , then  $S_{x*y} \subset S_a$ . But  $S_x \subset S_{x*y}$  implies  $x \in S_a$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore must be  $x \in X \setminus S_a$ .

COROLLARY 3.4. In a BCI-algebra X, the following properties are equivalent:

(1)  $S_a = S_b$ , (2)  $T_a = T_b$ , (3)  $b \in S_a \cap T_a$ , (4)  $a \in S_b \cap T_b$ .

On a *BCI*-algebra X we define a binary relation  $\sim$  putting

 $x \sim y \longleftrightarrow T_x = T_y.$ 

It is clear that it is an equivalence relation. By the above corollary, an equivalence class containing an element  $a \in X$  coincides with the set  $S_a \cap T_a$ .

**THEOREM** 3.5. In any finite BCI-algebra the following two conditions are equivalent:

(1) (x \* e) \* (0 \* e) = x for all  $x \in X$  and  $e \in 0 * X$ ,

(2) Card (0 \* X) divides Card I for any  $I \subset X$  such that  $I * X \subset I$ .

*Proof.* Consider the function  $\varphi_a : S_a \cap T_a \to S_0 \cap T_0$  such that  $\varphi_a(x) = a * x$ .

It is well defined because for  $x \in S_a \cap T_a$  we have

 $\varphi_a(x) = a * x = (x * (x * a)) * x = (x * x) * (x * a) = 0 * (x * a),$ 

which gives  $\varphi_a(x) \in 0 * X = T_0 = X \cap T_0 = S_0 \cap T_0$ .

A. Habil

If  $\varphi_a(x_1) = \varphi_a(x_2)$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in S_a \cap T_a$ , then  $a * x_1 = a * x_2$ , which implies

$$x_1 = a \ast (a \ast x_1) = a \ast (a \ast x_2) = x_2.$$

This means that  $\varphi_a$  is injective. Hence

$$Card (S_a \cap T_a) \leq Card (S_0 \cap T_0).$$
(1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) Let  $(x * e) * (0 * e) = x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $e \in 0 * X$ . Then  
 $y \in S_0 \cap T_0 = T_0 = 0 * X = L(X) \longrightarrow y \in L(X),$ 

i.e. a \* (a \* y) = y.

If x = a \* y, then obviously  $x \in T_a$ . Moreover,

$$x*(x*a) = (a*y)*((a*y)*a) = (a*y)*((a*a)*y) = (a*y)*(0*y) = a,$$

which means that  $x \in S_a$ . In the consequence,  $x \in S_a \cap T_a$ . But y = a \* x is equivalent to  $y = \varphi_a(x)$ . In this way, we have proved that  $\varphi_a$  is surjective.  $\varphi_a$  is bijective because it is injective by the first part of the theorem. Consequently

$$Card\left(S_0 \cap T_0\right) = Card\left(S_a \cap T_a\right).$$

Now let I be an arbitrary subset of X such that  $I * X \subset I$ . Then I is a union of separated subsets of the form  $S_a \cap T_a$ . Indeed, for any  $a \in I$  we have  $T_a = a * X \subset I * X \subset I$ . But  $S_a \cap T_a \subset T_a$ , which implies  $S_a \cap T_a \subset I$ . So,  $I = \bigcup_{x \in C} (S_x \cap T_x)$ , where  $C \subset I$ . The subsets  $S_x \cap T_x, x \in C$ , as equivalence classes of the equivalence defined above, are obviously separated. Therefore

$$Card I = \sum_{x \in C} Card \left( S_x \cap T_x \right) = \sum_{x \in C} Card \left( S_0 \cap T_0 \right)$$
$$= \sum_{x \in C} Card \left( 0 * X \right) = Card C \times Card \left( 0 * X \right).$$

This proves that Card(0 \* X) divides Card I.

 $(2) \to (1)$  If for all  $I \subset X$  such that  $I * X \subset I$  Card (0 \* X) divides Card I, then for any  $a \in X$  we have

$$T_a = X \cap T_a = (S_a \cup (X \setminus S_a)) \cap T_a = (S_a \cap T_a) \cup ((X \setminus S_a) \cap T_a).$$

This means that  $Card T_a = Card (S_a \cap T_a) + Card ((X \setminus S_a) \cap T_a).$ 

If a is not an atom then  $(X \setminus S_a) * X = (X \setminus S_a)$ , by Proposition 3.3, and  $T_a * X = T_a$ , by Proposition 3.2. So,

$$((X \setminus S_a) \cap T_a) * X \subset (X \setminus S_a) \cap T_a.$$

168

From the above, according to (2), we conclude that Card(0 \* X) divides  $Card T_a$  and  $Card((X \setminus S_a) \cap T_a$ . Therefore Card(0 \* X) divides

Card 
$$T_a - Card((X \setminus S_a) \cap T_a) = Card(S_a \cap T_a).$$

Hence  $Card(S_0 \cap T_0)$  divides  $Card(S_a \cap T_a)$ , because  $0 * X = L(X) = T_0 = S_0 \cap T_0$  by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.1. Thus

$$Card(S_0 \cap T_0) \leq Card(S_a \cap T_a).$$

But, as it was proved in the first part of this proof,  $Card(S_a \cap T_a) \leq Card(S_0 \cap T_0)$ . So,  $Card(S_a \cap T_a) = Card(S_0 \cap T_0)$ , which means that the map  $\varphi_a$  is surjective.

If a is an atom, then  $S_a = X$ , by Proposition 3.3, and  $T_a = T_0$ , by Proposition 3.2. Thus  $S_a \cap T_a = S_0 \cap T_0$ , i.e.  $\varphi_a$  is surjective. So,  $\varphi_a$  is surjective in any case.

Now let  $e \in L(X) = 0 * X = T_0 = S_0 \cap T_0$ . Then there exists an element  $x \in (S_a \cap T_a)$  such that  $\varphi_a(x) = a * x = e$ . Hence a \* (a \* x) = a \* e. But a \* (a \* x) = x because  $x \in T_a$ , whence x = a \* e and  $x \in S_a$ . Therefore

$$a = (a * e) * ((a * e) * a) = (a * e) * ((a * a) * e) = (a * e) * (0 * e).$$

This proves (1) and completes our proof.

As a simple consequence of the above theorem and Theorem 2.5 we obtain

COROLLARY 3.6. A finite BCI-algebra is a KL-product if and only if for every  $I \subset X$  such that  $I * X \subset I$  Card (0 \* X) divides Card I.

## REFERENCES

- W. A. Dudek, On some BCI-algebras with the condition (S), Math. Japonica 31 (1986), 25-29.
- [2] W. A. Dudek, On medial BCI-algebras, Prace Naukowe WSP w Częstochowie, ser. Matematyka 1 (1987), 25-33.
- W. A. Dudek, On the axioms system for BCI-algebras, Prace Naukowe WSP w Częstochowie, Matematyka 2 (1988), 21-30.
- [4] W. A. Dudek and J. Thomys, On decompositions of BCH-algebras, Math. Japon. 35 (1990), 1131-1138.

 $\Box$ 

#### A. HABIL

- [5] K. Iséki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, Proc. Japan Academy 42 (1966), 26–29.
- [6] K. Iséki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes 8 (1980), 125-130.
- [7] J. Meng and X. I. Xin, Characterizations of atoms in BCI-algebras, Math. Japon. 37 (1992), 359-361.
- [8] J. Meng and X. I. Xin, A problem in BCI-algebras, Math. Japon. 38 (1993), 723-725.
- [9] S. M. Wei, G. Q. Bai, J. Meng and Y.Q. Wang, Quasi-implicative BCIalgebras, Math. Japon. 50 (1999), 227-233.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences University of Damascus, Damascus, Syria *E-mail*: mhabil@scs-net.org