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#### Abstract

We have extended the $H^{p}$ space and estabilished the derivative of inner functions, Blaschke product on weighted Hardy spaces for the unit disc in complex plane.


## 1. Introduction

Much attention has been given to the factorization and boundary properties of functions with derivatives in $H^{p}$ and $B^{p}$. In [4], G. Caughran and L. Shields showed that if the holomorphic function $f$ is in a Hardy space, then $f$ has a factorization $f=B S Q$, where $B$ is Blaschke product, $Q$ is an outer function in $H^{p}$. The singular function of $f(z)$ has the form

$$
S(z)=\exp \left\{-\int \frac{e^{i \theta}+z}{e^{i \theta}-z} d \mu\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive singular measure on the unit circle. We raised the questions whether there exists a singular inner function $S(z)$ with derivative $S^{\prime}(z)$ in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$. They also conjectured that the derivative of non singular inner function lies in $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$. But H . A. Allen and C. L.

[^0]Belna [3] disproved this conjecture by giving an example of singular inner functions with derivatives in $B^{p}$ for $0<p<\frac{2}{3}$
P. Ahern and N. Clark [2] gave the condition in which the derivative of Blaschke product is a member of $H^{p}$ and $B^{p}$ spaces. N. Linden [7] generalized the previous argument.
P. Ahern [1] constructed $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces which are the extension of $B^{p}$, and investigated various properties of the space. Especially, he considered derivatives of inner functions and Blaschke products on $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces, using modulus of continuity and the moduli of the Taylor coefficients. And then he got results concerned with $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces to which the derivative of an inner function can belong.

In this paper, we try to extend the $H^{p}$ spaces and investigate the derivative of inner functions. Moreover, we fined conditions which the derivative of inner functions and Blaschke product are contained in $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces.
P. Ahern [1] constructed $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces which are the extension of $B^{p}$, and investigated various properties of the space. Especially, he considered derivatives of imner functions and Blaschke products on $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces, using modulus of continuity and the moduli of the Taylor coefficients. And then he got results concerned with $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces to which the derivative of an inner function can belong.

Furthermore, in 1997, K. Shibata [8] generalized the result of the P. Ahern's work and showed that if the derivative of inner function $M(z)$ belongs to $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces, then the value of $p$ is $\frac{2}{3}<p<1$.

Last year, K. Shibata, A. Sakai and Y. M. Nam co-worked to extend the theorem of [8]. We try to generalize properties of the extension of $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces and find the value of $p$ and $q$ which satisfies the derivative of inner functions.

Let $H^{p}$ be Hardy space and $B^{p}$ denote the spaces of functions $f(z)$ holomorphic in the unit disc $D$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|(1-r)^{\frac{1}{p^{-2}}} d r d \theta
$$

is finite.

If the quantity

$$
M_{p}(f, r)=\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad(0<p<\infty)
$$

is used, it can be rewritten as follows;

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p}}=\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} M_{1}(f, r) d r
$$

A Blaschke sequence is a (finite or infinite) sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ of complex numbers satisfying the conditions: $0<\left|a_{n}\right|<1$ and

$$
\sum\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)<\infty
$$

A Blaschke product $B(z)$ with zeros $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a function defined by the formula

$$
B(z)=\prod_{n} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|}{a_{n}} \frac{a_{n}-z}{1-\bar{a}_{n} z}
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a Blaschke sequence. We note that every Blaschke product is an inner function. The set of Blaschke products is uniformly dense in the set of inner function by the Frostman's theorem [6]. An inner function without zeros which is positive at the origin is called a singular inner product. It is well known that a singular inner function is a function $S(z)$ which has the form

$$
S(z)=\exp \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{z+e^{i t}}{z-e^{i t}} d \mu\left(e^{i t}\right)
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive measure on $\bar{D}$, and singular with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Now we introduce the definition of $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces and develop its some properties. If $f(z)$ is holomorphic in $D$ and $0<p<1$ and $q>0$, we define the weighed $L^{p}$ norm by

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{q}(1-r)^{1 / p-2} d \theta d r
$$

If this is finite, we say $f(z)$ belongs to $A_{q}^{p}$. Especially, $A_{q}^{p}=B^{p}$ when $q=1$.
P. Ahren [1] first considered the problems that determine the derivative of inner function in $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces.

## 2. Derivative of Inner Function on $B^{p}$ Spaces

Fix $p, 0<p<1$. Let $B^{p}$ denote the space of function $f(z)$ holomorphic in $D$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{B^{p}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|(1-r)^{1 / p-2} M_{1}(f, r) d r
$$

It turns out $H^{p}$ is a subspace of $B^{p}$, especially $B^{p}=H^{p}$ for $p=\frac{1}{2}$. Thus the space $B^{p}$ is in respect "extended" than $H^{p}$ space. For typographical reasons we shall frequently omit the superscript $p$ in written norms, $\|f\|_{B}$ denote the norm in $B^{p}$. The following lemmas are very important to prove the theorem.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f$ be in $B^{p}$. Then we claim the following:

$$
|f(z)| \leq C_{p}\|f\|_{B}(1-r)^{-1 / p}, \quad z \in D
$$

where $C_{p}$ is a constant depend on $p$.
Proof. Let $R<r<1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{B} & \geq \int_{R}^{1}(1-r)^{1 / p-2} M_{1}(f, r) d r \\
& \geq M_{1}(f, R)\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)^{-1}(1-R)^{1 / p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
M_{1}(f, R) \leq\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)\|f\|_{B}(1-R)^{1-1 / p}
$$

From this, the estimate follows by writing

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=R} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta
$$

where $R=\frac{1}{2}(1+|z|)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f_{\rho}(z)=f(\rho z)$ be in $B^{p}$. Then we have that $f_{\rho} \rightarrow f$ in $B^{p}$-norm as $\rho \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. Given $f \in B^{p}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, choose $r>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R}^{1}(1-r)^{1 / p-2} M_{1}(f, r) d r<\varepsilon \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M_{1}(f, r)$ is an increasing function of $r$, (2.1) remains valid when $f$ is replaced by $f_{\rho}$. Now choose $\rho$ so close to 1 that $\left|f_{\rho}(z)-f(z)\right|<\varepsilon$ on $|z| \leq R$. Then we have

$$
\int_{0}^{R}(1-r)^{1 / p-2} M_{1}\left(f_{\rho}-f, r\right) d r<\varepsilon\|1\|_{B}
$$

which, upon combining with (2.1), yields

$$
\left\|f_{\rho}-f\right\|_{B} \leq \varepsilon\|1\|_{B}+2 \varepsilon
$$

We, therefore, have $f_{\rho} \rightarrow f$ in $B^{p}$-norm as $\rho \rightarrow 1$.
Lemma 2.3. $H^{p}$ is a dense subset of $B^{p}$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $f$ be in $H^{p}$ spaces then we have the following inequality

$$
\|f\|_{B} \leq C_{p}\|f\|_{p}
$$

The properties from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 implies that $H^{p} \subset$ $B^{p}$, and given the norm inequality. Also, $H^{p}$ contains all functions holomorphic in a bigger disc, and such functions are dense in $B^{p}$ by Lemma 2.2.

If $1<p<\infty$, it is well known that every bounded linear functional $\psi$ in $\left(H^{p}\right)^{*}$ has a unique representation.

$$
\psi(f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(e^{i \theta}\right) g\left(e^{-i \theta}\right) d \theta
$$

where $g \in H^{q}, q=p /(p-1)$. The following may be regarded as an extension of this result to $0<p<1$.

Theorem 2.5. ([5]) Let $\psi \in\left(H^{p}\right)^{*}, 0<p<1$. Then there is unique function $g$ such that

$$
\psi(f)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) g\left(e^{-i \theta}\right) d \theta, \quad f \in H^{p}
$$

where $g(z)$ is hololmorphic in $D$ and continuous on $\bar{D}$.
TheOrem 2.6. $B^{p}$ and $H^{p}$ have the same contimuous linear functionals; more precisely, Theorem 2.5 remains true if in its statements $H^{p}$ is everywhere replaced by $B^{p}$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in\left(B^{p}\right)^{*}$ be given and the associated function $g(z)=\sum b_{k} z^{k}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. By Lemma 2.4, $\psi$ is also a bounded linear functionals on $H^{p}$ and hence $g$ has desired smoothness. Furthermore, if $f(z)=\sum a_{k} z^{k} \in B^{p}$, then by Theorem 3.5 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(f)=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1} \sum a_{k} z^{k} \rho^{k}=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\rho e^{1 \theta}\right) g\left(e^{-i \theta}\right) d \theta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{p} \rightarrow f$ in norm, by Lemma 2.2.
Conversely let $g$ (holomorphic and contimuous) be given and suppose that $g$ has the smoothness described in Theorem 2.5. We must show that the limit in (2.2) exists for every $f \in B^{p}$ and bounded by $C\|f\|$. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 2.5.

A Blaschke sequence is a (finite or infinite) sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ of complex numbers satisfying the conditions: $0<\left|a_{n}\right|<1$ and

$$
\sum\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)<\infty
$$

A Blaschke product $B(z)$ with zeros $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a function defined by the formula

$$
B(z)=\prod_{n} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|}{a_{n}} \frac{a_{n}-z}{1-\bar{a}_{n} z}
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a Blaschke sequence. It is well-known if zeros $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ of a Blaschke product $B(z)$ satisfy the condition

$$
\sum\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right) \log \frac{1}{1-\left|a_{n}\right|}<\infty
$$

then $B^{\prime}(z) \in B^{p}$ for $p=\frac{1}{2}$. The following implies that for each $p<1$ there exist infinite Blaschke products with derivative $B^{p}$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $B(z)$ be a Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\sum\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)^{\alpha}<\infty
$$

for some $\alpha(0<\alpha<1)$. Then $B^{\prime}(z) \in B^{1 /(1+\alpha)}$.
Proof. It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\prime}(z)= & B(z) \sum \frac{1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left(z-a_{n}\right)\left(1-\bar{a}_{n} z\right)} \\
= & \left(\frac{\bar{a}_{1}}{\left|a_{1}\right|} \frac{a_{1}-z}{1-\bar{a}_{1} z}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{2}}{\left|a_{2}\right|} \frac{a_{2}-z}{1-\bar{a}_{2} z}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{n}}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \frac{a_{n}-z}{1-\bar{a}_{n} z}\right) \cdots \\
& \cdot\left\{\frac{1-\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left(z-a_{1}\right)\left(1-\bar{a}_{1} z\right)}+\frac{1-\left|a_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left(z-a_{2}\right)\left(1-\bar{a}_{2} z\right)}+\cdots\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left(z-a_{n}\right)\left(1-\bar{a}_{n} z\right)}+\cdots\right\} \\
= & \sum \frac{\beta_{n}(z)\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left(1-\bar{a}_{n} z\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{n}(z)=B(z)\left(1-\bar{a}_{n} z\right) /\left(z-a_{n}\right)$, and this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq \sum\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right) /\left|a-\bar{a}_{n} z\right|^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \sum\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right) /\left|a-\bar{a}_{n} z\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $|z|<1$. Therefore, for $0<r<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right| d t & \leq 2 \sum\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d t}{\left|1-\bar{a}_{n} r e^{i t}\right|^{2}} \\
& =4 \pi \sum \frac{1-\left|a_{n}\right|}{1-r^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(1-r^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right) & \geq 2\left(1-r\left|a_{n}\right|\right) \geq 2-r^{2}-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& \geq 1-r+1-\left|a_{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|B^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right| d t \leq 8 \pi \sum \frac{1-\left|a_{n}\right|}{1-r+1-\left|a_{n}\right|}
$$

If we write $p=1 /(1+\alpha)$, then $1 / p-2=\alpha-1$; setting $1-\left|a_{n}\right|=d_{n}$, we now obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d_{n}(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{1-r+d_{n}} d r & =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d_{n} s^{\alpha-1}}{s+d_{n}} d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{c} n_{s}^{\alpha-1} d s+\int_{d_{n}}^{1} d_{n} s^{\alpha-2} d s \\
& =\frac{d_{n}^{\alpha}}{\alpha}+\frac{d_{n}^{\alpha}-d_{n}}{1-\alpha} \\
& \leq \frac{d_{n}^{\alpha}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows immediately that

$$
\left\|B^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{B} \leq \frac{4}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \sum\left(1-\left|\alpha_{n}\right|\right)^{\alpha} .
$$

## 3. $A_{q}^{p}$-Derivatives of Inner Functions and Blaschke Prod-

 uctsIn this section, we will construct more extended Hardy spaces $A_{q}^{p}$ and try to find conditions which the derivative of $M(z), B(z)$ are contained in $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces.

Now we introduce the definition of $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces and develop its some properties. If $f(z)$ is holomorphic in $D$ and $0<p<1$ and $q>0$, we define the weighed $L^{p}$ norm by

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{q}(1-r)^{1 / p-2} d \theta d r
$$

If this is finite, we say $f(z)$ belongs to $A_{q}^{p}$. Especially, $A_{q}^{p}=B^{p}$ when $q=1$.

Here we consider the problem that determine the value of $p$ when $M^{\prime}(z)$ and $B^{\prime}(z)$ are in $A_{q}^{p}$ spaces.

If $M(z)$ is an inner function, then the following fact holds.
Lemma 3.1. If $M(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ is an inner function, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|M^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}(1-r)^{1 / p-1} d \theta d r \\
\quad=\sum\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} n^{2-1 / p}, \quad 0<p<1
\end{gathered}
$$

If $0<r<1$, then we have $r<1 /(1-r)$. Thus the following fact holds.

Lemma 3.2. For any $q>0,0<r<1$,

$$
r^{q}<\frac{1}{(1-r)^{q}}
$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let $M(z)=\sum_{n>k} a_{n} z^{n}$ be an inner function such that $a_{n}=o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$. Then for $q=\frac{1}{2}$ and $0<p<\frac{2}{3}, M^{\prime}(z) \in A_{q}^{p}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|M^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-r)^{1 / p-2} d \theta d r \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{n>k} n^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} r^{(n-1) / 2}(1-r)^{-2+1 / p} d r \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{n>k} n^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-r)^{-2+1 / p} d r \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{n>k} n^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}-2+1 / p} d r, \quad k=1,2, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{t} d r$ is finite for any numbers $t>-1$, the proof is complete.

In view of Theorem 3.3, we have the following restatement.
Corollary 3.4. If $1 /(q+1)<p<1 / q$, then $M^{\prime} \in A_{q}^{p}$ if and only if $M^{\prime} \in B^{t}$ with $t=p /(1-p(q-1))$.

The above corollary is false if $p=1 /(q+1)$, for example, if $q=2$ then $p=1 / 3$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint\left|M^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}(1-r)^{-2+1 / p} d r d \theta & \leq \sum n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(r^{2}-r^{3}\right) d r \\
& =\frac{1}{12} \sum n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

is finite if $a_{n}=o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, but if $q=\frac{1}{2}$ then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|M^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r d \theta
$$

dose not always converge.
Next we consider the derivative of Blaschke products.
$\iint\left|B^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d r d \theta$ is finite if and only if $B(z)$ is a finite Blaschke products.

If $M(z)$ is an inner function and $p>1 / q(1 \leq q \leq 2)$ then $M^{\prime} \notin A_{q}^{p}$ unless $M(z)$ is a finite Blaschke.

Let us restrict our attention to infinite Blaschke product, then we have the following result.

Lemma 3.5. ([5]) If we take the value of $p\left(\frac{1}{2}<p<1\right)$, then we have the following:

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\left(1-2 r \cos \theta+r^{2}\right)^{p}}=O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{2 p-1}}\right)
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1$.
Lemma 3.6. If we take the value of $p\left(\frac{1}{2}<p<1\right)$, then there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\left|1-\bar{a}_{n} r e^{i \theta}\right|^{2 p}}<C(1-r)^{1-2 p}
$$

for $n=1,2, \cdots$, and all $r(0<r<1)$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\left|1-\bar{a}_{n} r e^{i \theta}\right|^{2 p}} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\left(1+r^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}-2 r\left|a_{n}\right| \cos \theta\right)^{p}} \\
& <C(1-r)^{1-2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we prove the following theorem using the above lemmas.
Theorem 3.7. Let $B(z)$ be infinite Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{n}\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)^{q}<\infty
$$

for some $q\left(\frac{1}{2}<q<1\right)$. Then for $0<p<1 / 2 q, B^{\prime} \in A_{q}^{p}$.

Proof. The derivative of $B(z)$ is given by the following formula

$$
B^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{n} \beta_{n}(z)\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right) /\left(1-\bar{a}_{n} z\right)^{2}
$$

where $\beta_{n}(z)=B(z)\left(1-\bar{a}_{n} z\right) /\left(z-a_{n}\right)$. This implies that

$$
\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|<2 \sum_{n}\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right) /\left(1-\bar{a}_{n} z\right)^{2}
$$

for all $|z|<1$. Since $\frac{1}{2}<q<1$,

$$
\left|B^{\prime}(z)\right|^{q}<2^{q} \sum_{n}\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)^{q} /\left(1-\bar{a}_{n} z\right)^{2 q}
$$

which, upon integrating each side and using Lemma 3.6, yields the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|B^{\prime}(z)\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{q}(1-r)^{-2+1 / p} d \theta d r \\
& <2^{q} C \sum_{n}\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)^{q} \int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{-1-2 q+1 / p} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<p<1 / 2 q$, it follows that $-1-2 q+1 / p>-1$. Thus the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.8. Let $B(z)$ be finite Blaschke product with zeros $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{n}\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)^{q}<\infty
$$

for some $q$ with $\frac{2}{3}<q<1$. Then we have, for $0<p<\frac{1}{2 q}, B^{\prime} \in A_{q}^{p}$.
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