East Asian Math. J. 21 (2005), No. 1, pp. 61-64

A COVERING CONDITION FOR THE PRIME SPECTRUMS

Chul Ju Hwang

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let $f, g_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, n), g_\alpha \ (\alpha \in S)$ be elements of R. We show that the following statements are equivalent; (i) $X_f \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} X_{g_\alpha}$ only if $X_f \subseteq X_{g_\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in S$, (ii) $V(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} V(g_\alpha)$ only if $V(f) \subseteq V(g_\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in S$, (iii) $V(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(g_i)$ only if $V(f) \subseteq V(g_i)$ for some i, (iv) Spec(R) is linearly ordered under inclusion.

Let R be a commutative ring (with identity 1). It is known that every prime ideal of R is the radical of a principal ideal if and only if R satisfies the following property; (*) for a prime ideal P and a (nonempty) set $\{P_{\alpha} | \alpha \in A\}$ of prime ideals of R, $P \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} P_{\alpha}$ implies $P \subseteq P_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in A$. This was proved for Noetherian rings by Reis and Viswanathan [6], and then completely generalized by Smith [7]. It is clear that if R satisfies (*), then R has a finite number of minimal prime ideals (cf. [2, Theorem 2.1] or [4, Theorem 2.5]). As a natural dual of (*), Gilmer [3] studied the following condition; (#) If $P \in Spec(R)$ and if $\{I_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in S}$ is a nonempty family of ideals of R, then P contains $\cap_{\alpha \in S} I_{\alpha}$ only if P contains some I_{α} . He proved that R satisfies condition (#) if and only if R is zero-dimensional and semi-quasilocal [3, Theorem 2].

In [5], we studied similar properties for the prime spectrum of a ring R;

(A) For any elements f and g_{α} ($\alpha \in S$) of R, $X_f \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} X_{g_{\alpha}}$ implies $X_f \subseteq X_{g_{\alpha}}$ for some $\alpha \in S$.

Received March 28, 2005.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15, 16W99. Key words and phrases: prime ideals, spectrum.

CHUL JU HWANG

In particular, we showed that if R satisfies (A), then R has at most two maximal ideals [5, Theorem 6]. We next consider a natural dual of (A); for any elements f and g_{α} ($\alpha \in S$) of R, $X_f \supseteq \cap_{\alpha \in S} X_{g_{\alpha}}$ only if $X_f \supseteq X_{g_{\alpha}}$ for some $\alpha \in S$. Note that $X_f \supseteq \cap_{\alpha \in S} X_{g_{\alpha}} \Leftrightarrow$ $V(f) = (Spec(R) \setminus X_f) \subseteq (Spec(R) \setminus \cap_{\alpha \in S} X_{g_\alpha}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} (Spec(R) \setminus (Spec(R) \setminus X_f)) = (Spec(R) \setminus (Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R))) = (Spec(R) \setminus (Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R))) = (Spec(R) \setminus (Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R))) = (Spec(R) \setminus (Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R))) = (Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R)) = (Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R))) = (Spec(R) \setminus Spec(R)$ $X_{q_{\alpha}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} V(q_{\alpha})$; hence we can restate the dual of the condition (A) as follows;

(B) For any elements f and g_{λ} ($\lambda \in S$) of R, $V(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda} V(g_{\lambda})$ implies $V(f) \subseteq V(g_{\lambda})$ for some $\lambda \in S$.

It is well known, and easily verified, that the condition (A) is equivalent to the following condition; for any elements f and g_i (i = 1, ..., n)of R, $X_f \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_{g_i}$ implies $X_f \subseteq X_{g_i}$ for some *i*. Thus it is natural to ask whether the condition (B) is equivalent to

(C) For any elements f and g_i (i = 1, ..., n) of $R, V(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(g_i)$ implies $V(f) \subseteq V(q_i)$ for some *i*.

We answer this affirmatively. In fact, the purpose of this paper is to prove that the conditions (A), (B), (C), and that Spec(R) is linearly ordered are equivalent.

All rings R considered in this paper are commutative rings (with identity 1) and Spec(R) (called the *prime spectrum* of R) is the set of prime ideals of R. Clearly, Spec(R) is a partially ordered set under inclusion. For an element $f \in R$, V(f) denotes the set of prime ideal of R containing f and $X_f = Spec(R) \setminus V(f)$. It is clear that V(0) =Spec(R) and $V(1) = \emptyset$. Note that $P \in Spec(R) - X_f \Leftrightarrow P \in V(f) \Leftrightarrow$ $fR \subseteq P$ and that $\sqrt{fR} = \cap \{P \in Spec(R) | f \in P\} = \cap \{P \in V(f)\}.$ Hence $X_f = X_g \Leftrightarrow V(f) = V(g) \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{fR} = \sqrt{gR}$ for any $f, g \in R$.

LEMMA 1. Let f and g be elements of a ring R, then

- 1. $X_{f+g} \subseteq X_f \cup X_g$ and 2. $V(fg) = V(f) \cup V(g)$.

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal of R. Then (1) $P \in X_{f+g} \Leftrightarrow f + g \notin$ $P \Rightarrow f \notin P \text{ or } g \notin P \Leftrightarrow P \in X_f \cup X_g \text{ and } (2) \ P \in V(fg) \Leftrightarrow fg \in$ $P \Leftrightarrow f \in P \text{ or } g \in P \Leftrightarrow P \in V(f) \cup V(g).$ \Box

We next give the main result of this paper which gives a complete characterization of rings satisfying the property (A).

THEOREM 2. Let R be a ring, then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. R satisfies (A);
- 2. R satisfies (B);
- 3. R satisfies (C);
- 4. Spec(R) is linearly ordered under inclusion.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (4) Let P and Q be prime ideals of R such that $P \nsubseteq Q$ and $Q \nsubseteq P$. Let $f \in P \setminus Q$ and $g \in Q \setminus P$. Then $P \in X_{f+g} \setminus X_f$ and $Q \in X_{f+g} \setminus X_g$. Hence $X_{f+g} \nsubseteq X_f$ and $X_{f+g} \nsubseteq X_g$, but $X_{f+g} \subseteq X_f \cup X_g$ by Lemma 1. Thus if R satisfies (A), then Spec(R) is linearly ordered.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Recall that Spec(R) is linearly ordered if and only if $\{X_f | f \in R\}$ is linearly ordered [5, Theorem 10]. Thus if Spec(R) is linearly ordered, then R satisfies (A).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is clear.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ Let P and Q be prime ideals of R such that $P \nsubseteq Q$ and $Q \nsubseteq P$. Let $f \in P \setminus Q$ and $g \in Q \setminus P$. Then $Q \in V(fg) \setminus V(f)$ and $P \in V(fg) \setminus V(g)$; hence $V(fg) \nsubseteq V(f)$ and $V(fg) \nsubseteq V(g)$, but $V(fg) = V(f) \cup V(g)$ by Lemma 1. Thus if R satisfies (C), then Spec(R) is linearly ordered.

(4) \Rightarrow (2) Let f, g_{λ} ($\lambda \in S$) be elements of R such that $V(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in S} V(g_{\lambda})$. If f is a unit in R, then $V(f) = \emptyset$; so we assume that f is not a unit. Let $\sqrt{fR} = P$. Then as Spec(R) is linearly ordered, P is a proper prime ideal of R [5, Theorem 10] and $P \in V(f)$. Since $V(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in S} V(g_{\lambda}), P \in V(g_{\lambda})$ for some $\lambda \in S$; so $g_{\lambda} \in P$. Thus $V(f) \subseteq V(g_{\lambda})$ since $Q \in V(f) \Leftrightarrow f \in Q \Leftrightarrow P = \sqrt{fR} \subseteq Q \Rightarrow g_{\lambda} \in Q \Leftrightarrow Q \in V(g_{\lambda})$.

REFERENCES

- M.F. Atiyah and I.G. Macdonald, Introduction to commutative algebra, Addition Wesly, 1968.
- G.W. Chang, Weakly factorial rings with zero divisors, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math., Marcel Dekker, 220(2001), 119-131.
- [3] R. Gilmer, An intersection condition for prime ideals, Factorization in Integral domains, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math., Marcel Dekker, 189(1997), 327-331.

CHUL JU HWANG

- [4] J. Huckaba, Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors, Marcel Decker, New York, 1988.
- [5] C.J. Hwang and G.W. Chang, A note on coverings of prime ideals, Comm. Korean Math. Soc., 14 (1999), 681-685.
- [6] C.M. Reis and T.M. Viswanathan, A compactness property for prime ideals in Noetherian rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 25 (1970), 353-356.
- [7] W. Smith, A covering condition for prime ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 30 (1971), 451-452.

Department of mathematics Silla University Pusan 617-736, Korea *E-mail*: cjhwang@silla.ac.kr