

**GENERALIZED HYERS—ULAM—RASSIAS
STABILITY OF A FUNCTIONAL
EQUATION IN THREE VARIABLES**

CHUN-GIL PARK* AND HEE-JUNG WEE**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the functional equation

$$af\left(\frac{x+y+z}{b}\right) + af\left(\frac{x-y+z}{b}\right) + af\left(\frac{x+y-z}{b}\right) \\ + af\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{b}\right) = cf(x) + cf(y) + cf(z).$$

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Hyers [4] showed that if $\epsilon > 0$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all $x \in X$.

Consider $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be a mapping such that $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$. Assume that there exist constants $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

Received by the editors on January 24, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 39B52.

Key words and phrases: functional equation in three variables, stability.

for all $x, y \in X$. Th.M. Rassias [7] showed that there exists a unique \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. Găvruta [3] generalized the Rassias' result.

A square norm on an inner product space satisfies the important parallelogram equality $\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$. The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called a *quadratic functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a *quadratic function*. A Hyers–Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [8] for mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is a normed space and Y is a Banach space. Cholewa [1] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain X is replaced by an Abelian group. In [2], Czerwik proved the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equation.

In [5], the authors solved the quadratic type functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 f\left(\frac{x + y + z}{a}\right) + a^2 f\left(\frac{x - y + z}{a}\right) + a^2 f\left(\frac{x + y - z}{a}\right) \\ + a^2 f\left(\frac{-x + y + z}{a}\right) = 4f(x) + 4f(y) + 4f(z), \end{aligned}$$

and proved the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the quadratic type functional equation.

Throughout this paper, assume that a, b, c are positive real numbers, and that X and Y are a real normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, respectively.

In [6], the authors solved the following functional equation

$$(1.i) \quad \begin{aligned} af\left(\frac{x+y+z}{b}\right) + af\left(\frac{x-y+z}{b}\right) + af\left(\frac{x+y-z}{b}\right) \\ + af\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{b}\right) = cf(x) + cf(y) + cf(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, and prove the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the functional equation.

In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.i).

2. Stability of a functional equation in three variables

Given a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x, y, z) := & af\left(\frac{x+y+z}{b}\right) + af\left(\frac{x-y+z}{b}\right) + af\left(\frac{x+y-z}{b}\right) \\ & + af\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{b}\right) - cf(x) - cf(y) - cf(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping for which there is a function $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$(2.i) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, 2^j z) < \infty,$$

$$(2.ii) \quad \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(2.iii) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2c} (\tilde{\varphi}(2x, 0, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Note that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ since f is an odd mapping. Putting $y = z = 0$ in (2.ii) and then replacing

x by $2x$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \left\| af\left(\frac{2x}{b}\right) - \frac{c}{2}f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x, 0, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $y = x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.ii), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \left\| af\left(\frac{2x}{b}\right) - cf(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. By (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{c}(\varphi(2x, 0, 0) + \varphi(x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$. By (2.3), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| f(x) - \frac{f(2x)}{2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2c}(\varphi(2x, 0, 0) + \varphi(x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$. Using (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^{n+1}} \right\| &= \frac{1}{2^n} \left\| f(2^n x) - \frac{f(2 \cdot 2^n x)}{2} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}c}(\varphi(2^{n+1}x, 0, 0) + \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers n . By (2.5), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\| &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}c} \varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}c} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers m and n with $m < n$. This shows that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ converges for all $x \in X$. So we can define a mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we have $A(-x) = -A(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Also, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|DA(x, y, z)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|Df(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By [6, Lemma 2], A is additive. Putting $m = 0$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), we get (2.iii).

Now, let $A' : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (2.iii). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x) - A'(x)\| &= \frac{1}{2^n} \|A(2^n x) - A'(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} (\|A(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\| + \|A'(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{2^{n+1}c} (\tilde{\varphi}(2^{n+1}x, 0, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}(2^n x, 2^n x, 0)), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $A(x) = A'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of A . \square

THEOREM 2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there is a function $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$(2.iv) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_2(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, 2^j z) < \infty,$$

$$(2.v) \quad \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(2.vi) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4c} (2\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, x, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}_2(2x, 0, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Putting $y = x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.v), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| af\left(\frac{2x}{b}\right) - cf(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $y = z = 0$ in (2.v) and then replacing x by $2x$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \left\| af\left(\frac{2x}{b}\right) - \frac{c}{4}f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\varphi(2x, 0, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. By (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$(2.9) \quad \|f(2x) - 4f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{c}(2\varphi(x, x, 0) + \varphi(2x, 0, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$. By (2.9), we have

$$(2.10) \quad \left\| f(x) - \frac{f(2x)}{4} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4c}(2\varphi(x, x, 0) + \varphi(2x, 0, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$. Using (2.10), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{4^{n+1}} \right\| &= \frac{1}{4^n} \left\| f(2^n x) - \frac{f(2 \cdot 2^n x)}{4} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^{n+1}c} (2\varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 0) + \varphi(2^{n+1}x, 0, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers n . By (2.11), we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2^m x)}{4^m} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{2}{4^{k+1}c} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{4^{k+1}c} \varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all nonnegative integers m and n with $m < n$. This shows that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$.

Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n}\}$ converges for all $x \in X$. So we can define a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n}$$

for all $x \in X$. We have $Q(0) = 0$, $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|DQ(x, y, z)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|Df(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By [6, Lemma 1], Q is quadratic. Putting $m = 0$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we get (2.vi). The proof of the uniqueness of Q is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. \square

THEOREM 3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there is a function $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.i) and (2.ii). Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.vii) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{4c} (\tilde{\varphi}(2x, 0, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\varphi}(-2x, 0, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, -x, 0)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.viii) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{8c} (2\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, x, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}_2(2x, 0, 0) \\ &\quad + 2\tilde{\varphi}_2(-x, -x, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}_2(-2x, 0, 0)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.ix) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{4c} (\tilde{\varphi}(2x, 0, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4c} (\tilde{\varphi}(-2x, 0, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, -x, 0)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8c} (2\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, x, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}_2(2x, 0, 0)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8c} (2\tilde{\varphi}_2(-x, -x, 0) + \tilde{\varphi}_2(-2x, 0, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $g(x) := \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x))$ for all $x \in X$. Then $g(-x) = -g(x)$ and

$$\|Dg(x, y, z)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(x, y, z) + \varphi(-x, -y, -z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.vii).

Note that $\widetilde{\varphi}_2(x, y, z) < \infty$ since $\widetilde{\varphi}_2(x, y, z) < \widetilde{\varphi}(x, y, z)$.

Let $q(x) := \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x))$ for all $x \in X$. Then $q(0) = 0$, $q(-x) = q(x)$ and

$$\|Dq(x, y, z)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(x, y, z) + \varphi(-x, -y, -z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2, there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.viii). Clearly, we have (2.ix) for all $x \in X$. \square

COROLLARY 4. *Let θ and p ($0 < p < 1$) be positive real numbers. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| &\leq \frac{\theta}{c} \left(\frac{2 + 2^p}{2 - 2^p} \right) \|x\|^p, \\ \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| &\leq \frac{\theta}{c} \left(\frac{4 + 2^p}{4 - 2^p} \right) \|x\|^p, \\ \|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| &\leq \frac{\theta}{c} \left(\frac{2 + 2^p}{2 - 2^p} + \frac{4 + 2^p}{4 - 2^p} \right) \|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y, z) = \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p$ and apply Theorem 3. \square

REFERENCES

1. P.W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **27** (1984), 76–86.
2. S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59–64.
3. P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
4. D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Pro. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
5. S. Lee and K. Jun, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a quadratic type functional equation*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **40** (2003), 183–193.
6. S. Lee and C. Park, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a functional equation in three variables*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **16** (2) (2003), 11–21.
7. Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
8. F. Skof, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113–129.

*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
DAEJEON 305-764, KOREA
E-mail: cgpark@cnu.ac.kr

**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
DAEJEON 305-764, KOREA