퍼지수치 확률변수의 쇼케이 기댓값과 그 응용

Choquet expected values of fuzzy number-valued random variables and their applications

˙장이채 · ˙˙김태균

LeeChae Jang · TaeKyun Kim

*건국대 전산수학과
**공주대 과학교육연구소

요 약

본 논문에서는 구간수치 확률변수와 퍼지수치 확률변수를 생각하고 이들의 쇼케이 적분을 조사한다. 이러한 성질들을 이용하여 퍼지수치 확률변수의 르베그적분의 일반화인 퍼지수치 확률변수의 쇼케이기대값을 정의한다. 특히 이들의 응용에 관한 예제들을 다룬다.

Abstract

In this paper, we consider interval number-valued random variables and fuzzy number-valued random variables and discuss Choquet integrals of them. Using these properties, we define the Choquet expected value of fuzzy number-valued random variables which is a natural generalization of the Lebesgue expected value of fuzzy random variables. Furthermore, we discuss some application of them.

Key words : fuzzy measures, Choquet integrals, Choquet expected value, fuzzy number-valued random variable.

1. Introduction.

It is well-known that closed set-valued functions had been used repeatedly in [1, 2, 3]. Aumann first defined the concept of integrals of set-valued functions(simply, Aumann's intgerals) with respect to a classical measure and the theory about Aumann's integrals has drawn much attention as its repeat applications in mathematics economics, conditional expectation of multi-valued functions and many other fields. But all these are based on Lebesgue integrals with respect to a classical measure. Using these ideas, we have studied closed set-valued Choquet integrals and convergence theorems under some sufficient conditions in [4,5]. And we also have tried modifying these conditions in [6,7,8,9].

In this paper, we consider interval number-valued random variables which are interval number-valued measurable functions and fuzzy number-valued random variables which were first introduced by Kwakernaak and discuss Choquet integrals of interval number-valued random variables and fuzzy number-valued random variables. We note that study of fuzzy number-valued

random variables is interesting subject from point of view of both mathematical theory and its application.

Using these properties, we define the Choquet expected value of fuzzy number-valued random variables which is a natural generalization of the Lebesgue expected value of the Lebesgue expected value of Lebesgue expected value of fuzzy random variables. Furthermore, we discuss some application of them.

2. Definitions and preliminaries.

A fuzzy measure on a measurable space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I})$ is an extended real-valued function $\mu : \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying

(i) $\mu(\varnothing) = 0$,

(ii) $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$, whenever $A, B \in \mathfrak{I}$, $A \subset B$.

A fuzzy measure μ is said to be autocontinuous from above[resp.,below] if $\mu(A \cup B_n) \rightarrow \mu(A)[\text{resp.,} \mu(A \sim B_n) \rightarrow \mu(A)]$ whenever $A \in \mathfrak{I}$, $\{B_n\} \subset \mathfrak{I}$ and $\mu(B_n) \rightarrow 0$. If μ is autocontinuous both from above and from below, it is said to be autocontinuous(see [12]). We note that a random variable is a measurable function $y : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.1 ([10, 14]) Let μ be a fuzzy measure on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{I})$ and y a random variable.

(1) The Choquet integral of a measurable function y

with respect to a fuzzy measure μ is defined by

$$(C)\int y d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(\{w \in \Omega | y(w) > r\}) dr$$

where the integral on the right-hand side is an ordinary one.

(2) If Ω is a finite set $\Omega = \{w_1, \cdots, w_n\}$, the Choquet integral of y can be written as follows:

$$(C)\int y d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n (y(w_{(i)}) - y(w_{(i-1)})) \mu(A_{(i)})$$

where the subscript $(\cdot)$ indicates that the indices have been permited in order to have

$$y(w_{(1)}) \le y(w_{(2)}) \le \cdots \le y(w_{(n)}),$$
$$A_{(i)} = \{(i) \cdots (n)\}$$

and $y(w_{(0)}) = 0$, by convention.

(3) A random variable y is called Choquet integrable if the Choquet integral of f can be defined and its value is finite.

Throughout the paper, R^+ will denote the interval $[0, \infty)$,

$$I(R^+) = \{[a, b] | a, b \in R^+ \text{ and } a \le b\}.$$

Then a element in $I(R^+)$ is called an interval number. On the interval number set, we define; for each pair $[a, b], [c, d] \in I(R^+)$ and $k \in R^+$,

$[a, b] + [c, d] = [a+c, b+d]$,
$[a, b] \cdot [c, d] = [a \cdot c, b \cdot d]$,
$k[a, b] = [ka, kb]$,
$[a, b] \subseteq [c, d]$ if and only if $a \le c$ and $b \le d$,
$[a, b] \subset [c, d]$ if and only if
  $[a, b] \subseteq [c, d]$ and $[a, b] \ne [c, d]$
$[a, b] < [c, d]$ if and only if either
  $a < c$ or $(a = c$ and $b < d)$,
$[a, b] \le [c, d]$ if and only if
  $[a, b] < [c, d]$ or $[a, b] = [c, d]$,
$[a, b] \subset [c, d]$ if and only if
  $[a, b]$ is a subset of $[c, d]$.

We note that $(I(R^+), d_H)$ is a metric space, where d_H is the Hausdorff metric defined by

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} |x - y|, \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} |x - y|\}$$

for all $A, B \in I(R^+)$.

A fuzzy number V is a fuzzy set on R^+, satisfying the following conditions([9,10,11,13,16]):

(i) (normality) $V(x) = 1$ for some $x \in R^+$,

(ii) (fuzzy convexity) for every $\lambda \in (0, 1]$,
$[V]^\lambda = \{x \in R^+ | V(x) \ge \lambda\} \in I(R^+)$,

(iii) $[V]^0 = cl\{x \in R^+ | V(x) > 0\} \in I(R^+)$, where clA is the closure of A in the usual topology of R^+.

Let $F(R^+)$ denote the class of fuzzy numbers. We define; for each pair $V, W \in F(R^+)$,

$[V + W]^\lambda = [V]^\lambda + [W]^\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
$[VW]^\lambda = [V]^\lambda [W]^\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
$[kV]^\lambda = k[V]^\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
$V \le W$ if and only if $[V]^\lambda \le [W]^\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
$V \subseteq W$ if and only if $[V]^\lambda \subseteq [W]^\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
$V \subset W$ if and only if $[V]^\lambda \subset [W]^\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
$V < W$ if and only if $[V]^\lambda < [W]^\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
$V \le W$ if and only if $[V]^\lambda \le [W]^\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
$V \subset W$ if and only if $[V]^\lambda \subset [W]^\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

We also note that $(F(R^+), D)$ is a metric space, where $D : F(R^+) \times F(R^+) \to [0, \infty]$ is defined by

$$D(V, W) = \sup\{d_H([V]^\lambda, [W]^\lambda) \mid \lambda \in (0, 1]\}.$$

Let $C(R^+)$ be the class of closed subsets of R^+. Throughout this paper, we consider a closed set-valued function $Y : \Omega \to C(R^+) \setminus \{\varnothing\}$ and an interval number-valued function $Y : \Omega \to I(R^+) \setminus \{\varnothing\}$. We denote that $d_H - \lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = A$ if and only if $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_H(A_n, A) = 0$, where $A \in I(R^+)$ and $\{A_n\} \subset I(R^+)$. We say that a closed set-valued function Y is a closed set-valued random variable if it is measurable, that is, for each open set $O \subset R^+$,

$$Y^{-1}(O) = \{w \in \Omega | Y(w) \cap O \ne \varnothing\} \in \mathfrak{I}.$$

Definition 2.2 ([1,2,3]) Let Y be a closed set-valued random variable. A random variable $y : \Omega \to R^+$ satisfying

$$y(w) \in Y(w) \text{ for all } w \in \Omega$$

is called a random selection of Y.

We say $y : \Omega \to R^+$ is in $L_c^1(\mu)$ if and only if y is random variable and $(C)\int y d\mu < \infty$. We note that $"w \in \Omega \ \mu - a.e."$ stands for $"w \in \Omega \ \mu$-almost everywhere". The property $P(w)$ holds for $w \in \Omega \ \mu - a.e.$ means that there is a measurable set A such that $\mu(A) = 0$ and the property $P(w)$ holds for all $w \in A^c$, where A^c is the complement of A.

Definition 2.3 [8,9,17] Let Y be a closed set-valued random variable and $A \in \mathfrak{I}$.

(1) The Choquet expected value $E_c(Y)$ of Y on A is defined by

$$E_c(Y) \equiv (C)\int_A Y d\mu = \{(C)\int_A y d\mu \mid y \in S(Y)\}$$

where $S(Y)$ is the family of $\mu - a.e.$ random selections of Y, that is,

$$S(Y) = \{y \in L_c^1(\mu) \mid y(w) \in Y(w) \ w \in \Omega \ \mu - a.e.\}.$$

(2) A closed set-valued random variable Y is said to be

Choquet integral existing if $(C)\int Yd\mu \neq \varnothing$, and it is said to be Choquet integrable if $(C)\int Yd\mu$ exists and does not include ∞.

(3) A closed set-valued random variable Y is said to be Choquet integrably bounded if there is a function $g \in L_c^1(\mu)$ such that

$$\|Y(w)\| = \sup\nolimits_{r \in Y(w)} |r| \leq g(w) \quad \text{for all } w \in \Omega.$$

We remark that if Y is Choquet integrable, then we have $S(Y) \subset L_c^1(\mu)$. This implies that Definition 2.3 is equal to the definition of closed set-valued Choquet integrals in [4,5,6,7,8,9].

Theorem 2.4 (1) If $Y : \Omega \to I(R^+)$ is an interval number-valued random variable and if we define

$$y^\star(w) = \sup\{r | r \in Y(w)\}$$

and

$$y_\star(w) = \inf\{r | r \in Y(w)\}$$

for all $w \in \Omega$, then $y^\star$ and $y_\star$ are random variables.

(2) If $Y : \Omega \to I(R^+)$ is a Choquet integrably bounded interval number-valued random variable, then then $y^\star$ and $y_\star$ are random selections and $E_c(Y)$ is bounded and nonempty set.

Theorem 2.5 Let μ be a continuous fuzzy measure. If $Y : \Omega \to I(R^+)$ is a Choquet integrably bounded interval number-valued random variable, then $E_c(Y) \in I(R^+)$, that is,

$$E_c(Y) = [(C)\int y_\star\, d\mu, \ (C)\int y^\star\, d\mu].$$

3. The Choquet expected value of fuzzy number-valued random variables.

Definition 3.1 (1) A mapping $\tilde{Y} : \Omega \to F(R^+)$ is called a fuzzy number-valued random variable if for each $\alpha \in [0,1]$, $[\tilde{Y}]^\alpha : \Omega \to I(R^+)$ is an interval number-valued random variable.

(2) $\tilde{Y}$ is called Choquet integrably bounded if $[\tilde{Y}]^0$ is Choquet integrably bounded.

Let $\tilde{Y} : \Omega \to F(R^+)$ be Choquet integrably bounded fuzzy number-valued random variable. We define the Choquet expected value(denoted by $E_c(\tilde{Y})$) of $\tilde{Y}$ as that element $V \in F(R^+)$ which satisfies

$$[V]^\lambda = (C)\int [\tilde{Y}]^\lambda d\mu, \text{ for all } \lambda \in [0,1].$$

We should prove that effectively the family $\{(C)\int [\tilde{Y}]^\lambda d\mu \mid \lambda \in [0,1]\}$ defines a fuzzy set for this purpose, we using the following lemma:

Lemmma 3.2 ([13], Lemma 2.1) Let $\{[a^\lambda, b^\lambda] \mid \lambda \in [0,1]\}$ be a given family of nonempty interval numbers. If (i) for all $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1$, $[a^{\lambda_1}, b^{\lambda_1}] \supset [a^{\lambda_2}, b^{\lambda_2}]$ and (ii) for any nonincreasing sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ in $[0,1]$ in converging to λ, $[a^\lambda, b^\lambda] = \cap_{k=1}^\infty [a^{\lambda_k}, b^{\lambda_k}]$, then there exists a unique fuzzy number $V \in F(R^+)$ such that the family $[a^\lambda, b^\lambda]$ represents the λ-level sets of V.

Conversely, if $[a^\lambda, b^\lambda]$ are the the λ-level sets of a fuzzy number $V \in F(R^+)$, then there the conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

In order to see that $\{(C)\int [\tilde{Y}]^\lambda d\mu \mid \lambda \in [0,1]\}$ define a fuzzy number in $F(R^+)$, we check (i) and (ii) as in the following:

(i) if $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1$, then we have $[\tilde{Y}]^{\lambda_1}(w) \subset [\tilde{Y}]^{\lambda_2}(w)$, for all $w \in \Omega$. That is, $y \in S([\tilde{Y}]^{\lambda_2})$ implies $y \in S([\tilde{Y}]^{\lambda_1})$. Thus, we have $(C)\int[\tilde{Y}]^{\lambda_2}d\mu \subset (C)\int[\tilde{Y}]^{\lambda_1}d\mu$, and

(ii) let $\{\lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_n \uparrow \lambda$ which means a monotone increasing sequence, we have to see that

$$(C)\int[\tilde{Y}]^{\lambda}d\mu = \cap_{n=1}^\infty (C)\int[\tilde{Y}]^{\lambda_n}d\mu.$$

Therefore, we can obtain the following:

Theorem and Definition 3.3 Let $\tilde{Y} : \Omega \to F(R^+)$ be Choquet integrably bounded fuzzy number-valued random variable. Then there exists a uniquely fuzzy number $E_c(\tilde{Y})$ with λ-level sets $E_c([\tilde{Y}]^\lambda)$. $E_c(\tilde{Y})$ is called the Choquet expected value of $\tilde{Y}$.

4. The Choquet expected fuzzy number-valued utility function.

Expected utility theory combines linearity in probabilities and a utility function, which is either concave or convex if a dicision-maker is risk averse or seeking. However, maximization of expected utility as a criterion of choice among the alternatives involving risk fails to explain the existence of both insurance and lotteries. In the paper [14,15], given an utility function u, such that

$u : \Omega \to R^+$, a fuzzy measure μ on $\mathfrak{I}$ and a set X of comonotonic prospects $x : S \to \Omega$, such that $x, x' \in X$ are comonotonic if and only if there are no $w_1, w_2 \in S$ such that $x(w_1) > x(w_2)$ and $x'(w_1) < x'(w_2)$, the Choquet integral permits the evaluation of the Choquet expected utility function as in the following theorem:

Definition 4.1 The preference relation $>$ on X is defined by

(1) $>$ is a complete and transitive, that is,
for $\forall x, y \in X$; $x > y$ or $y > x$ and
for $\forall x, y, z \in X$; $x > y$ and $y > z \to x > z$.

(2) $>$ is continuous, that is,
for $\forall x, y, z \in X$, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$,
$x > y$ and $y > z \to \exists \alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ *such that*
$\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)z > y$ and $y > \beta x + (1 - \beta)z$.

(3) $>$ is comonotonic independence, that is,
for $\forall x, y, z \in X$, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$,
$x > y \to \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)z > \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z$.

Representation Theorem 4.2 ([14]) Let u be a utility function, then we have the following preference relation on X; for every $x, x' \in X$,

$$x > x' \leftrightarrow U(x) \geq U(x')$$

where U is defined as $U(x) = (C) \int_S u(x(s)) d\mu(s)$

(Choquet integral with respect to μ).

Finally, we discuss a fuzzy number-valued utility function as in the following:

$$\tilde{u} : \Omega \to F(R^+)$$

We note that $[\tilde{u}]^\lambda$ is an interval number-valued utility function for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then by Theorem 2.5, we have the Choquet expected fuzzy number-valued utility function $\tilde{U}$ as in the following:

$$[\tilde{U}]^\lambda(x) \equiv (C) \int_S [\tilde{u}]^\lambda(x(s)) d\mu(s)$$

$$= [(C) \int_S [\tilde{u}]^\lambda_*(x(s)) d\mu(s), (C) \int_S [\tilde{u}]^{\lambda *}(x(s)) d\mu(s)]$$

and

$$\tilde{U}(x) = (C) \int_S \tilde{u}(x(s)) d\mu(s).$$

Therefore, we can obtain the following theorem for fuzzy number-valued utility functions:

Representation Theorem 4.3 Let $\tilde{u}$ be a fuzzy number-valued utility function, then we have the following

preference relation on X; for every $x, x' \in X$,

$$x > x' \leftrightarrow \tilde{U}(x) \sqsupseteq \tilde{U}(x')$$

where $\tilde{U}$ is defined as $\tilde{U}(x) = (C) \int_S \tilde{u}(x(s)) d\mu(s)$

Remark 4.4 If S is a finite set $S = \{s_1, \cdots, s_n\}$, then we have the Choquet expected fuzzy number-valued utility function as in the following:

$$\tilde{U}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n {}^* [\tilde{u}(x(s_{(i)})) - \tilde{u}(x(s_{(i-1)}))] \mu(A_{(i)})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n {}^* \tilde{u}(x(s_{(i)}))[\mu(A_{(i)}) - \mu(A_{(i+1)})].$$

where $\sum^*$ is a fuzzy sum operation of fuzzy numbers and $A_{(i)} = \{(i), \cdots, (n)\}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots n - 1$ and $A_{(n+1)} = \varnothing$.

Example 4.5 Let a fuzzy number $A = (a, b, c)$ be defined by

Then we consider the following operations;
for two fuzzy numbers $A = (a, b, c), B = (a', b', c')$,

$$A \sqsubseteq B \leftrightarrow a \leq a', b \leq b', c \leq c',$$
$$A \oplus B = (a + a', b + b', c + c'),$$
$$A \ominus B = (a - a', b - b', c - c'),$$
$$\lambda \odot A = (\lambda a, \lambda b, \lambda c).$$

And we consider a fuzzy measure μ defined by

$$\mu(A) = [\#(A)]^2$$

where $\#(A)$ is the number of elements of A. Now we consider a decision problem involving 4 cars, evaluated on 3 criteria as shown in Table 1: price, consumption and comfort.

Table 1   (s_1 ten million won, $s_2\,km/l$)

	price(s_1)	consumption(s_2)	comfort(s_3)
Car1 (x)	1	10	Very Good
Car2 (y)	1	12	Good
Car3 (z)	3	8	Very Good
Car4 (w)	3	10	Good

In this case, suppose that we have the following fuzzy number-valued utility function ;

$$\tilde{u}(x(s_1)) = (0.8, 1, 1.2) = \tilde{u}(x(s_{(3)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(x(s_2)) = (0.7, 0.9, 1.1) = \tilde{u}(x(s_{(2)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(x(s_3)) = (0.5, 0.7, 0.9) = \tilde{u}(x(s_{(1)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(y(s_1)) = (0.8, 1, 1.2) = \tilde{u}(y(s_{(1)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(y(s_2)) = (1, 1.2, 1.4) = \tilde{u}(y(s_{(3)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(y(s_3)) = (0.9, 1.1, 1.3) = \tilde{u}(y(s_{(2)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(z(s_1)) = (0.9, 1.1, 1.3) = \tilde{u}(z(s_{(2)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(z(s_2)) = (0.8, 1, 1.2) = \tilde{u}(z(s_{(1)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(z(s_3)) = (1, 1.2, 1.4) = \tilde{u}(z(s_{(3)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(w(s_1)) = (0.7, 0.9, 1.1) = \tilde{u}(w(s_{(2)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(w(s_2)) = (0.8, 1, 1.2) = \tilde{u}(w(s_{(3)}))$$

$$\tilde{u}(w(s_3)) = (0.5, 0.7, 0.8) = \tilde{u}(w(s_{(1)})),$$

where $(\cdot)$ indicates a permutation on $\{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $s_{(1)} \leq s_{(2)} \leq s_{(3)}$.

Then we can calculate the Choquet expected fuzzy number-valued utility function as in the following:

$$\tilde{U}(x) = \oplus_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{u}(x(s_{(i)}))[\mu(A_{(i)}) - \mu(A_{(i+1)})]$$

$$= 5 \odot (0.5, 0.7, 0.9) \oplus 3 \odot (0.7, 0.9, 1.1)$$

$$\oplus 1 \odot (0.8, 1, 1.2)$$

$$= (5.4, 7.2, 9.0),$$

and similarly we have

$$\tilde{U}(y) = (7.7, 9.5, 11.3),$$

$$\tilde{U}(z) = (7.7, 9.5, 11),$$

$$\tilde{U}(w) = (5.4, 7.2, 8.5).$$

Thus we can think that the consumer(decision maker) has the following preferences :

$$\tilde{U}(x) \sqsubseteq \tilde{U}(y) \leftrightarrow x < y \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{U}(w) \sqsubseteq \tilde{U}(z) \leftrightarrow w < z.$$

That is, we can think that if price increases, so does the important of comfort and that it is more useful tool whenever some utility function is not clear. Furthermore, Example 4.5 is a decision problem for intertemporal preferences under an uncertain utility function.

References.

[1] J. Aubin, Set-valued analysis, 1990, Birkauser Boston.

[2] R. J. Aumann, Integrals of set-valued functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 12 (1965)1-12.

[3] F. Hiai and H. Umegaki, Integrals, conditional expectations, and martingales of multivalued functions, J. Multi. Analysis 7(1977) 149-182.

[4] L. C. Jang, B. M. Kil, Y. K. Kim and J. S. Kwon, Some properties of Choquet integrals of set-valued functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 91 (1997) 95-98.

[5] L. C. Jang and J. S. Kwon, On the representation of Choquet integrals of set-valued functions and null sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 112(2000)233-239.

[6] L. C. Jang and T. Kim, On set-valued Choquet intgerals and convergence theorems, Advan. Stud. Contemp. Math. 6(1) (2003), 63-76.

[7] L.C. Jang, T. Kim and J.D. Jeon, On set-valued Choquet integrals and convergence theorems (II), Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40(1) (2003), 139-147.

[8] L.C. Jang, T. Kim and J.D. Jeon, Oncomonotonically additive interval-valued functionals and interval-valued Choquet integrals (II), J. of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems 14(1) (2004), 33-38.

[9] L.C. Jang, T. Kim and J.D. Jeon, On Choquet integrals of measurable fuzzy number-valued functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 41(1) (2004), 95-107.

[10] V. Kratschmer, Limit theorems for fuzzy random variables, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 126(2002), 253-263.

[11] H. Kwakernaak, Fuzzy random variables- Definition and Theorems, Inf. Science 15(1978), 1-29.

[12] T. Murofushi and M. Sugeno, A theory of Fuzzy measures: representations, the Choquet integral, and null sets, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 159 (1991) 532-549.

[13] M.L. Puri and D.A. Ralescu, Fuzzy random variables, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 114(1986), 409-422.

[14] Richard Alo, Andre de Korvin and Francois Modave, Decision Making for Robust Resilient systems, Proceedings of the 36th Hawaii international conference on System Science-2003.

[15] D. Schmeidler, Integral representation without additivity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97(1986), 253-261.

[16] B. Zhang, On measurability of fuzzy number-valued functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 120(2001), 505-509.

[17] D. Zhang, C.Guo and Dayou Liu, Restudy on set-valued Choquet integrals, To appear in Fuzzy Sets and Systems.

저 자 소 개

장이채(Lee Chae Jang)
1979년 2월 : 경북대 수학과(이학사)
1981년 2월 : 경북대 대학원 수학과
　　　　　　(이학석사)
1987년 2월 : 경북대 대학원 수학과
　　　　　　(이학박사)
1987년 6월-1998년 6월 : 미국 Cincinnati
대(교환교수)
1987년 3월-현재 : 건국대학교 컴퓨터응용과학부 전산수학
　　　　　　전공교수

관심분야 : 해석학, 퍼지측도와 쇼케이적분, 퍼지이론과 지식
　　　　　공간, 수학교육 등
E-mail : leechae.jang@kku.ac.kr

김태균(Tae Kyun Kim)
1987년 2월 : 경북대 천연섬유학과(농학사)
1989년 2월 : 경북대 대학원 수학과
　　　　　　(이학석사)
1994년 3월 : 일본 九州대학교 대학원
　　　　　　(이학박사)
1999년 3월-2000년 12월 : Simon Fraser
Univ.(CECM), Visiting Scolar
2001년 4월-현재 : 공주대학교, 전임연구교수

관심분야 : 정수론, 쇼케이적분, 퍼지이론과 지식공간, 수학교
　　　　　육, 수리물리 등
E-mail : taekyun64@hotmail.net