Vol. 18, No. 1, June, 2005

Abstract

Classification of Vertebral Body Fractures with Two-level Posterior Column Injuries of the Thoracolumbar Spine

Young-Do Koh, M.D., Hoon Jeong, M.D.*, and Sung-Gu Yeo, M.D.*

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, College of Medicine, Ewha Woman's University, Seoul, Korea, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Seoul Red-Cross Hospital, Seoul, Korea*

Purpose: We evaluate the characteristics of vertebral body fractures in two level flexion-distraction injuries of the thoracolumbar spine

Methods: The findings of radiographs, computed tomographs, and MRIs of 43 patients with flexion-distraction injuries combined with vertebral body fractures were retrospectively evaluated. We divided the patients with bursting fractures into two groups, the distractive group (posterior vertebral height ratio >1) and the compressive group (vertebral height ratio <1).

Results: There were 23 compression fractures and 20 bursting fractures. In bursting fractures, the distractive group had 5 cases, and the compressive group 15 cases. In 24 cases (55.8%), the interspinous distances were widened. The average of the canal encroachment was 4% in the distractive group and 40% in the compressive group. At last follow-up, the average loss of correction was 2.0 degree in compression fractures and 2.7 degree in bursting fractures.

Conclusion: The configurations of vertebral body fractures in flexion-distraction injuries of the thoracolumbar spine were varied as to the location of the axis of flexion. Because bursting fractures in flexion-distraction injuries had distractive or compressive features, one should consider that in establishing operative plan.

Key Words: Thoracolumbar spine, Flexion-distraction injury, Vertebral body fracture

* Address for Correspondence : Young-Do Koh, M.D. Department of Orthopedic Surgery, College of Medicine, Ewha Woman 's University 911-1 Mok-Dong, Yangcheon-Gu, Seoul 158-710, Korea Tel : 82-2-2650-5564, Fax : 82-2-2642-0349, E-mail : ydkoh@ewha.ac.kr
: 2005 4 29 , : 2005 5 4 , : 2005 5 16 , : 2005 5 26

20% 가 (8).

.

(7,11).

43

.

2003

2000

, 34

,

(6). , state 2 , state 3 アト , - , state 4 アト (7,8,9,10,11). . アト , - ,

(8,12,13).

43 25 , , 35 (14~70) . アト 25 アト , 12 , 5 . 19 25, 18 가 25 가 , 5 . 19 가 13 , 13 . 11-12 가 14 , 12 - 1 가 12 , 1-2 가 14 1 가 15, 12 가 14 , 2 가 9 . (cephalic) 가 37 , 가 6 (caudal) . 23, 20 . 0.81 , 0.61 .

1.00 0.91 . 가 1 5

	_	18	3 1 —			
1.02 ,	가 1	(60	0%)	,	15	10
15, 0.88		((67%)			
		가				
24 (55.8%) .		11 ,	,			4%,
13 .	5	3		40%	(Table 1).	

Table 1. Configuration of Vertebral Body Fractures

	AVH* ratio	PVH^{\dagger} ratio	Canal Encroachment
Compression Fracture	0.81	1.0	-
Bursting Fracture	0.61	0.91	31%
Distractive Type	-	1.02	4%
Compressive Type	-	0.88	40%

AVH* : anterior vertebral height, PVH⁺: posterior vertebral height





Fig. 1. Three types of vertebral body fractures of two level flexion-distraction injuries are demonstrated in fat-suppressed T2-weighted sagittal images. (A) Compression. fracture with disruption of supraspinous and interspinous ligaments. (B) Burst fracture of distractive type with minimal canal encroachment. (C) Burst fracture of compressive type showing disruption of posterior ligament complex and retropulsed bony fragment

34 가 . Magerl 가 (16) , state 2 1 (2.9%), state 3 8 AO , (23.5%), state 4 25 (73.5%) (Fig. 1). 17.2 , 20.7 . 7.5 , 5.8 , (6). 8.5 . 9.5 , 2.7 2.0 , 가 20.3 , 21.0 가 2.6 , . 2.9 . 가 가 , 가 (5). 가 가 . . Gumley (14) 20 90.9~97.0% (8,11). 가 . 1 -(Type I) II (Type II) . (12, 13). Ш , (Type III) (PVH>1) . Denis(15) seat-belt 4가 (PVH<1), 가 (Table 2). (Type A) (Туре 40% 4% . B) . (Type C) 가 가 (Type D) Gertzbein (3,4,5) Gumley (14) 가 가 ,

가

29

,

18 1 (12,17,18). 가 53% , Chance , 가 . В (47%), (5,13,15,17,19). (posterior wall) (13). Gertzbein (25%) (15) • 가 가 1 (13). 가 (75%) Abe (12)가 가 1 Kaneda Denis(15) 가 , McAfee (20)가 가 Abe Hoshikawa (6) 가 가 , (MAF, motion axis of fracture) 가 가 Table 2. Classification of Vertebral Body Fractures 가 with Two Level Posterior Column Injuries in Thoracolumbar Spine Type A Compression Fracture of Anterior Body 1. Superior wedging (two level injury) 2. Lateral wedging 3. Inferior wedging (Table 2). Type B , , **Bursting Fracture** 1. Distractive , 2. Compressive

(86%). A



REFERENCES

- Chance GQ. Note on a type of flexion fracture of the spine. Br J Radiol 1948;21: 452-452.
- Howland WJ, Curry JL, Buffington CD, Fulcrum injuries of the lumbar spine. JAMA 1965;193: 240-241.
- Gertzbein SD, Court-Brown CM. Flexion-distraction injuries of the lumbar spine: Mechanisms of injury and classification. Clin Orthop 1988;227: 52-60.
- Gertzbein SD, Court-Brown CM. Rationale for the management of flexion-distraction injuries of the thoracolumabr spine based on a new classification. J Spinal Disord 1989;2: 176-183.
- Triantafyllou SJ, Gertzbein SD. Flexion distraction injuries of the thoracolumbar spine: Review. Orthopedics 1992;15: 357-364.
- 6) Hoshikawa T, Tanaka Y, Kokubun S, Lu WW, Luk KD, Leong JCY. Flexion-distraction injuries in the thoracolumbar spine: An in vitro study of the relation between flexion angle and the motion axis of fracture. J Spinal Disord Tech 2002;15: 139-143.
- Koh YD, Yun YH, Jeong H. MRI findings of posterior ligament complex injury in thora-

columbar bursting fractures. J Korean Fracture Soc 2003;16: 541-547.

- 8) Lee HM, Kim HS, Kim DJ, Suk KS, Park JO, Kim NH. Reliability of magnetic resonance imaging in detecting posterior ligament complex injury in thoracolumbar spinal fractures. Spine 2000;25: 2079-2084.
- 9) Oner FC, van Gils APG, Dhert WJA, Verbout AJ. MRI findings of thoracolumbar spine fractures: a categorization based on MRI examinations of 100 fractures. Skeletal Radiol 1999;28: 433-443.
- 10) Oner FC, van Gils APG, Faber JAJ, Dhert WJ, Verbout AJ. Some complications of common treatment schemes of thoracolumbar spine fractures can be predicted with magnetic resonance imaging: Prospective study of 53 patients with 71 fractures. Spine 2002;27: 629-636.
- Petersilge CA, Pathria MN, Emery SE, Masaryk T. Thoracolumbar burst fractures: Evaluation with MR imaging. Radiology 1995;194: 49-54.
- 12) Abe E, Sato K, Shimada Y, Mizutani Y, Chiba M, Okuyama K. Thoracolumbar burst fracture with horizontal fracture of the posterior column. Spine 1997;22: 83-87.
- Sar C, Bilen FE. Thoracolumbar flexion-distraction injuries combined with vertebral body fractures. Am J Orthop 2002;31: 147-151.
- 14) Gumley G, Taylor TKF, Ryan MD. Distraction fractures of the lumbar spine. J Bone Joint Surg 1982;64-B: 520-525.
- 15) Denis F. The three column spine and its significance in the classification of acute thoracolumbar spinal injuries. Spine 1983;8: 813-817.
- 16) Magerl F, Aebi M, Gertzbein SD, Harms J, Nazarian S. A comprehensive classification of thoracic and lumbar injuries. Eur Spine J 1994;3: 184-201.
- 17) Finkelstein JA, Wai EK, Jackson SS, Ahn H, Brighton-Knight M. Single-level fixation of flexion distraction injuries. J Spinal Disord Tech 2003;16: 236-242.
- 18) James KS, Wenger KH, Schlegel JD, Dunn HK. Biomechanical evaluation of the stability of thoracolumbar burst fractures. Spine 1994;19: 1731-1740.

- 18 1 —
- 19) Liu YJ, Chang MC, Wang ST, Yu WK, Liu CL, Chen TH. Flexion-distraction injury of the thoracolumbar spine. Injury 2003;34: 920-923.

20) McAfee PC, Yuan HA, Fredrickson BE, Lubicky JP. The value of computed tomography in thoracolumbar fractures. J Bone Joint Surg 1983;65-A: 461-473.

 Jeanneret B, Ho PK, Magerl F. Burst-shear flexion-distraction injuries of the lumbar spine. J Spinal Disord 1993;6: 473-481.