

EXPANSIONS OF FILTERS IN R_0 -ALGEBRAS

MYUNG IM DOH, YOUNG BAE JUN* AND XIAOHONG ZHANG

Abstract. The notion of expansions of filters in R_0 -algebras is introduced. Also the notion of σ -primary filters in R_0 -algebras is discussed.

1. Introduction

In order to research the logical system whose propositional value is given in a lattice from the semantic viewpoint, Xu [11] proposed the concept of lattice implication algebras, and discussed some of their properties. Xu and Qin [12] introduced the notion of implicative filters in a lattice implication algebra, and investigated some of their properties. Turunen [8] introduced the notion of Boolean deductive system, or equivalently, Boolean filter in BL -algebras which rise as Lindenbaum algebras from many valued logic introduced by Hájek [3]. Boolean filters are important because the quotient algebras induced by Boolean filters are Boolean algebras, and a BL -algebra is bipartite if and only if it has proper Boolean filter. In [9], Wang introduced the notion of R_0 -algebras in order to provide an algebraic proof of the completeness theorem of a formal deductive system. We note that R_0 -algebras are different from BL -algebras because the identity $x \wedge y = x \odot (x \rightarrow y)$

Received April 15, 2005. Accepted July 28, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 03G10, 03G25, 06D99.

Key words and phrases : R_0 -algebra, (prime, σ -primary) filter, expansion of filters.

* Corresponding Author. Tel.: +82 55 751 5674, Fax: +82 55 751 6117 (Y. B. Jun).

holds in BL -algebras, but does not hold in R_0 -algebras. R_0 -algebras are also different from lattice implication algebras because the identity $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$ holds in lattice implication algebras, but does not hold in R_0 -algebras. Although they are different in essence, they have some similarities, that is, they all have implication operator \rightarrow . Therefore it is meaningful to generalize some aspects of lattice implication algebras and BL -algebras to R_0 -algebras. In [2], Esteva and Godo introduced the MTL -algebra, The MTL -algebra is an extension of a BL -algebra, which is obtained by eliminating the condition $x \wedge y = x \odot (x \rightarrow y)$ in BL -algebra. In fact, MTL -algebra is an algebra induced by a left continuous t -norm and its corresponding residuum, but BL -algebra is an algebra induced by a continuous t -norm and its corresponding residuum. It is proved that an R_0 -algebra is a particular type of MTL -algebra and its t -norm \odot is a nilpotent minimum t -norm [2], which is obtained by taking negation operator as $1 \rightarrow x$. Hence the theory of R_0 -algebras becomes one of the guides to the development of the theory of MTL -algebras. Lianzhen and Kaitai [5] extended the notions of implicative filters and Boolean filters to R_0 -algebras, and considered the fuzzification of such notions and gave characterizations of fuzzy implicative filters. They also proved that fuzzy implicative filters and fuzzy Boolean filters coincide in R_0 -algebras.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of expansions of filters in R_0 -algebras, and discuss the notion of σ -primary filters in R_0 -algebras.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [9] Let L be a bounded distributive lattice with order-reversing involution \neg and a binary operation \rightarrow . Then $(L, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow)$ is called a R_0 -algebra if it satisfies the following axioms:

$$(R1) \quad x \rightarrow y = \neg y \rightarrow \neg x,$$

$$(R2) \quad 1 \rightarrow x = x,$$

- (R3) $(y \rightarrow z) \wedge ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) = y \rightarrow z,$
- (R4) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$
- (R5) $x \rightarrow (y \vee z) = (x \rightarrow y) \vee (x \rightarrow z),$
- (R6) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (\neg x \vee y)) = 1.$

Let L be a R_0 -algebra. For any $x, y \in L,$ we define $x \odot y = \neg(x \rightarrow \neg y)$ and $x \oplus y = \neg x \rightarrow y.$ It is proved that \odot and \oplus are commutative, associative and $x \oplus y = \neg(\neg x \odot \neg y),$ and $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a residuated lattice.

Example 2.2. [5] Let $L = [0, 1].$ For any $x, y \in L,$ we define $x \wedge y = \min\{x, y\},$ $x \vee y = \max\{x, y\},$ $\neg x = 1 - x,$ and

$$x \rightarrow y := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ \neg x \vee y & \text{if } x > y. \end{cases}$$

Then $(L, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow)$ is an R_0 -algebra which is neither a BL -algebra nor a lattice implication algebra.

A R_0 -algebra have the following useful properties.

Proposition 2.3. [7] For any elements x, y and z of an R_0 -algebra $L,$ we have the following properties.

- (a1) $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \rightarrow y = 1,$
- (a2) $x \leq y \rightarrow x,$
- (a3) $\neg x = x \rightarrow 0,$
- (a4) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1,$
- (a5) $x \leq y$ implies $y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z,$
- (a6) $x \leq y$ implies $z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y,$
- (a7) $((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = x \rightarrow y,$
- (a8) $x \vee y = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \wedge ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x),$
- (a9) $x \odot \neg x = 0$ and $x \oplus \neg x = 1,$
- (a10) $x \odot y \leq x \wedge y$ and $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq x \wedge y,$
- (a11) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z),$
- (a12) $x \leq y \rightarrow (x \odot y),$

(a13) $x \odot y \leq z$ if and only if $x \leq y \rightarrow z$,

(a14) $x \leq y$ implies $x \odot z \leq y \odot z$,

(a15) $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$,

(a16) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z$.

3. Expansions of filters

In what follows let L denote an R_0 -algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1. [7] A nonempty subset F of L is called a *filter* of L if it satisfies

(i) $1 \in F$,

(ii) $(\forall x \in F) (\forall y \in L) (x \rightarrow y \in F \Rightarrow y \in F)$.

Definition 3.2. [7] A filter F of L is said to be *prime* if it satisfies

$$(\forall a, b \in L) (a \rightarrow b \in F \text{ or } b \rightarrow a \in F).$$

Proposition 3.3. [7] A filter F of L is prime if and only if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a, b \in L) (a \vee b \in F \implies a \in F \text{ or } b \in F).$$

Let F be a nonempty subset of L . Then the least filter containing F is called the *filter generated by F* , and denoted by $\langle F \rangle$.

The next statement gives a description of elements of $\langle F \rangle$.

Theorem 3.4. [4] If F is a nonempty subset of L , then

$$\langle F \rangle = \left\{ x \in L \mid \begin{array}{l} a_1 \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow (\cdots \rightarrow (a_n \rightarrow x) \cdots)) = 1 \\ \text{for some } a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n \in F \end{array} \right\}.$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $n(x) \rightarrow y$ recursively as follows: $0(x) \rightarrow y = y$, $1(x) \rightarrow y = x \rightarrow y$ and $(n+1)(x) \rightarrow y = x \rightarrow (n(x) \rightarrow y)$ for all $x, y \in L$. Using (R4), we know that $y \rightarrow (n(x) \rightarrow y) = 1$, that is, $y \leq n(x) \rightarrow y$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let F be a filter of L and $x \in L$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle = \{y \in L \mid n(x) \rightarrow y \in F \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proof. Denote by Ω_x the right hand side of (1). If $y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$, then there exist $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2) \quad m(x) \rightarrow (a_1 \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow (\dots \rightarrow (a_n \rightarrow y) \dots))) = 1.$$

Using (R4) repeatedly, (2) implies that

$$(3) \quad a_1 \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow (\dots \rightarrow (a_n \rightarrow (m(x) \rightarrow y)) \dots)) = 1 \in F.$$

It follows from Definition 3.1(ii) that $m(x) \rightarrow y \in F$ so that $y \in \Omega_x$. Thus $\langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle \subseteq \Omega_x$. Conversely let $y \in \Omega_x$. Then $n(x) \rightarrow y \in F$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $F \subseteq \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$, it follows that

$$x \rightarrow ((n - 1)(x) \rightarrow y) = n(x) \rightarrow y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle.$$

Since $x \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$, we have $(n - 1)(x) \rightarrow y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ by Definition 3.1(ii). Repeating this process we get $y = 0(x) \rightarrow y \in \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$. Hence $\Omega_x \subseteq \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathfrak{F}(L)$ be the set of filters in L . By an *expansion of filters* in L we shall mean a function $\sigma : \mathfrak{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(L)$ such that

- (o1) $(\forall G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)) (G \subseteq \sigma(G))$.
- (o2) $(\forall G, H \in \mathfrak{F}(L)) (G \subseteq H \Rightarrow \sigma(G) \subseteq \sigma(H))$.

Example 3.7. (1) The function $\sigma_0 : \mathfrak{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(L)$ defined by $\sigma_0(G) = G$ for all $G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ is an expansion of filters in L .

(2) The function ν that assigns the largest filter L to each filter of L is an expansion of filters in L .

(3) For each filter F of L , let

$$\mathfrak{M}(F) = \cap \{M \mid F \subseteq M, M \text{ is a maximal filter of } L\}.$$

Then \mathfrak{M} is an expansion of filters in L .

(4) Let $F, G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ be such that $F \subseteq G$. Then $\langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle \subseteq \langle G \cup \{x\} \rangle$. Hence the function $\sigma_x : \mathfrak{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(L)$ given by $\sigma_x(F) = \langle F \cup \{x\} \rangle$ for all $F \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ and $x \in L$ is an expansion of filters in L .

Definition 3.8. Let σ be an expansion of filters in L . Then a filter G of L is said to be σ -primary if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a, b \in L) (a \vee b \in G, a \notin G \Rightarrow b \in \sigma(G)).$$

Note that a filter G of L is σ_0 -primary if and only if it is a prime filter of L , where σ_0 is the function in Example 3.7(1).

Theorem 3.9. *If σ and δ are expansions of filters in L such that $\sigma(G) \subseteq \delta(G)$ for every $G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$, then every σ -primary filter is also δ -primary.*

Proof. Let F be a σ -primary filter of L and let $a, b \in L$ be such that $a \vee b \in F$ and $a \notin F$. Then $b \in \sigma(F) \subseteq \delta(F)$ by assumption. Hence F is a δ -primary filter of L . \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let σ be an expansion of filters in L . Then every prime filter of L is σ -primary.*

Proof. Let G be a prime filter of L . Then G is σ_0 -primary, and $\sigma_0(G) = G \subseteq \sigma(G)$. It follows from Theorem 3.9 that G is a σ -primary filter of L . \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let α and β be expansions of filters in L . Let $\sigma : \mathfrak{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(L)$ be a function defined by $\sigma(G) = \alpha(G) \cap \beta(G)$ for all $G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$. Then σ is an expansion of filters in L .*

Proof. For every $G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$, we have $G \subseteq \alpha(G)$ and $G \subseteq \beta(G)$ by (o1), and so $G \subseteq \alpha(G) \cap \beta(G) = \sigma(G)$. Let $G, H \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ be such that $G \subseteq H$. Then $\alpha(G) \subseteq \alpha(H)$ and $\beta(G) \subseteq \beta(H)$ by (o2), which imply that

$$\sigma(G) = \alpha(G) \cap \beta(G) \subseteq \alpha(H) \cap \beta(H) = \sigma(H).$$

Therefore σ is an expansion of filters in L . \square

Generally, the intersection of expansions of filters is an expansion of filters.

Theorem 3.12. *Let σ be an expansion of filters in L . If $\{G_i \mid i \in D\}$ is a directed collection of σ -primary filters of L where D is an index set, then $G := \bigcup_{i \in D} G_i$ is a σ -primary filter of L .*

Proof. Clearly $G := \bigcup_{i \in D} G_i$ is a filter of L . Let $a, b \in L$ be such that $a \vee b \in G$ and $a \notin G$. Then there exists a σ -primary filter G_i such that $a \vee b \in G_i$ and $a \notin G_i$. Since G_i is σ -primary and $G_i \subseteq G$, it follows that $b \in \sigma(G_i) \subseteq \sigma(G)$ so that G is σ -primary. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let σ be an expansion of filters in L . If P is a σ -primary filter of L , then*

$$(\forall F, G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)) (F \vee G \subseteq P, F \not\subseteq P \Rightarrow G \subseteq \sigma(P)),$$

where $F \vee G = \{x \vee y \mid x \in F, y \in G\}$.

Proof. Assume that P is a σ -primary filter of L and let $F, G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ be such that $F \vee G \subseteq P$ and $F \not\subseteq P$. Suppose that $G \not\subseteq \sigma(P)$. Then there exist $a \in F \setminus P$ and $b \in G \setminus \sigma(P)$, which imply that $a \vee b \in F \vee G \subseteq P$. But $a \notin P$ and $b \notin \sigma(P)$. This contradicts the assumption that P is σ -primary. Consequently, the result is valid. \square

Theorem 3.14. *If σ is an expansion of filters in L , then the function $E_\sigma : \mathfrak{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(L)$ defined by*

$$E_\sigma(G) := \bigcap \{H \in \mathfrak{F}(L) \mid G \subseteq H, \text{ and } H \text{ is } \sigma\text{-primary}\}$$

for all $G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ is an expansion of filters in L .

Proof. Clearly, $G \subseteq E_\sigma(G)$ for all $G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$. Let $F, G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ be such that $F \subseteq G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_\sigma(F) &= \bigcap \{H \in \mathfrak{F}(L) \mid F \subseteq H \text{ and } H \text{ is } \sigma\text{-primary}\} \\ &\subseteq \bigcap \{H \in \mathfrak{F}(L) \mid G \subseteq H \text{ and } H \text{ is } \sigma\text{-primary}\} \\ &= E_\sigma(G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence E_σ is an expansion of filters in L . □

Example 3.15. Let σ_0 be an expansion of filters in L given in Example 3.7(1). Then $E_{\sigma_0} : \mathfrak{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(L)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\sigma_0}(G) &= \cap\{H \in \mathfrak{F}(L) \mid G \subseteq H \text{ and } H \text{ is } \sigma_0\text{-primary}\} \\ &= \cap\{H \in \mathfrak{F}(L) \mid G \subseteq H \text{ and } H \text{ is prime}\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $G \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ is an expansion of filters in L .

Acknowledgements. The second author was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2003-005-C00013). The authors are highly grateful to referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the paper.

References

- [1] G. S. Cheng, *The filters and the ideals in R_0 -algebras*, Fuzzy Syst. Math. **15** (2001), no. 1, 58–61.
- [2] F. Esteva and L. Godo, *Monoidal t -norm based logic: towards a logic for left-continuous t -norms*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. **124** (2001), 271–288.
- [3] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of fuzzy logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1998.
- [4] Y. B. Jun and L. Lianzhen, *On filters of R_0 algebras*, Kyungpook Math. J. (submitted).
- [5] L. Lianzhen and L. Kaitai, *Fuzzy implicative and Boolean filters of R_0 algebras*, Inform. Sci. **171** (2005), 61–71.
- [6] L. Lianzhen and L. Kaitai, *Boolean filters of R_0 -algebras*, Arch. Math. Logic (submitted).
- [7] D. W. Pei and G. J. Wang, *The completeness and application of formal systems \mathcal{L}* , Sci. China (Ser. E) **32** (2002), no. 1, 56–64.
- [8] E. Turunen, *Boolean deductive systems of BL -algebras*, Arch. Math. Logic **40** (2001), 467–473.
- [9] G. J. Wang, *Non-Classical Mathematical Logic and Approximate Reasoning*, Science Press, Beijing, 2000.

- [10] G. J. Wang, *On the logic foundation of fuzzy reasoning*, Inform. Sci. **117** (1999), 47–88.
- [11] Y. Xu, *Lattice implication algebras*, J. Southwest Jiaotong Univ. **1** (1993), 20–27.
- [12] Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin, *On filters of lattice implication algebras*, J. Fuzzy Math. **1** (1993), no. 2, 251–260.

Myung Im Doh
Department of Mathematics Education
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju 660-701, Korea
Email : sansudo6@hanmail.net

Young Bae Jun
Department of Mathematics Education
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju 660-701, Korea
Email : ybjun@gsnu.ac.kr jamjana@korea.com

Xiaohong Zhang
Department of Mathematics
The Faculty of Science
Ningbo University
Zhejiang Province, Ningbo 315211, China
Email : zxhonghz@263.net