

## GENERALIZED $T$ -SPACES AND DUALITY

YEON SOO YOON

**Abstract.** We define and study a concept of  $T_A$ -space which is closely related to the generalized Gottlieb group. We know that  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space if and only if there is a map  $r : L(A, X) \rightarrow L_0(A, X)$  called a  $T_A$ -structure such that  $ri \sim 1_{L_0(A, X)}$ . The concepts of  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -spaces are preserved by retraction and product. We also introduce and study a dual concept of  $T_A$ -space.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be a compact CW complex. Let  $L(A, X)$  be the space of maps from  $A$  to  $X$  with the compact open topology. Let  $L_0(A, X)$  be the space of base point preserving maps in  $L(A, X)$ . Throughout this paper, space means a space of homotopy type of connected locally finite CW complex. According to a well known result of Milnor [8],  $L(A, X)$  and  $L_0(A, X)$  have the homotopy type of CW complexes. Clearly the evaluation map  $p : L(A, X) \rightarrow X$  is a fibration. In 1987, Aguade introduced and studied  $T$ -spaces in [1]. A space  $X$  is called [1] a  $T$ -space if the fibration  $L_0(S^1, X) \rightarrow L(S^1, X) \rightarrow X$  is fibre homotopically trivial. It is easy to show that any  $H$ -space is a  $T$ -space. However, there are many  $T$ -spaces which are not  $H$ -spaces in [11].  $\Sigma X$  denote the reduced suspension of  $X$  and  $\Omega X$  denote the based loop space of  $X$ . The adjoint functor from the group  $[\Sigma X, Y]$  to the group  $[X, \Omega Y]$  will be denoted by

---

Received January 16, 2005. Revised February 22, 2005.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 55P45, 55P35.

**Key words and phrases :**  $T$ -space, co- $T$ -spaces, cyclic maps, cocyclic maps.

\* This work was supported by Hannam University Research Fund, 2004.

$\tau$ . The symbols  $e$  and  $e'$  denote  $\tau^{-1}(1_{\Omega X})$  and  $\tau(1_{\Sigma X})$  respectively. On the other hand, we introduced and studied co- $T$ -spaces in [11]. A space  $X$  is called *co- $T$ -space* [11] if  $e' : X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$  is cocyclic. It is also easy to show that any co- $H$ -space is a co- $T$ -space.

In this paper, we introduce a  $T_A$ -space which is a generalization of  $T$ -space, and study some properties of a  $T_A$ -space. Also, we introduce and study some properties of a co- $T_A$ -space which is a dual concept of  $T_A$ -space. In section 2, we show that  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space if and only if there is a map  $r : L(A, X) \rightarrow L_0(A, X)$  such that  $ri \sim 1_{L_0(A, X)}$ . We call such a retraction  $r$  a  $T_A$ -structure. The set  $S_{T_A}$  of homotopy classes of  $T_A$ -structures can be identified to the Gottlieb set  $G(X, L_0(A, X))$ . We show that any  $H$ -space is a  $T$ -space, and any  $T$ -space is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space for any compact space  $B$ . We also show that if a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space  $X$  dominates  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is also a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space. Moreover, we know that  $X \times Y$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space if and only if  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -spaces. We know that for any  $[f], [g] \in \pi_3(S^2)$ ,  $L(S^3, S^2; f)$  and  $L(S^3, S^2; g)$  have the same homotopy type. In section 3, we introduce and study a co- $T_A$ -space which is a dual concept of  $T_A$ -space. We show that if a co- $T_A$ -space  $X$  dominates  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is also a co- $T_A$ -space. Also, we show that  $X \vee Y$  is a co- $T_A$ -space if and only if  $X$  and  $Y$  are co- $T_A$ -spaces.

## 2. $T_A$ -spaces and some properties

In this section, we introduce a  $T_A$ -space which is a generalization of  $T$ -space, and study some properties of a  $T_A$ -space. A based map  $f : B \rightarrow X$  is called *cyclic* [10] if there exists a map  $F : X \times B \rightarrow X$  such that  $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f)$ , where  $j : X \vee B \rightarrow X \times B$  is the inclusion and  $\nabla : X \vee X \rightarrow X$  is the folding map. We call such a map  $F$  an associated map of  $f$ . The *Gottlieb set* denoted  $G(B, X)$  is the set of all homotopy classes of cyclic maps from  $B$  to  $X$ . In 1982, Lim obtained a result [6] which was an  $H$ -space may be characterized by the Gottlieb

sets and cyclic maps as follows;  $X$  is an  $H$ -space if and only if  $1_X$  is cyclic if and only if  $G(B, X) = [B, X]$  for any space  $B$ . It is known [10] that if  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  is cyclic and  $f : A \rightarrow X$  is any map, then  $gf : A \rightarrow Y$  is cyclic. From the above fact, we can obtain a result [11] which characterizes a  $T$ -space by means of the Gottlieb sets and cyclic maps as follows;  $X$  is an  $T$ -space if and only if  $e : \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$  is cyclic if and only if  $G(\Sigma C, X) = [\Sigma C, X]$  for any space  $C$ . For a co- $H$ -space  $X$ , there is a map  $s : X \rightarrow \Sigma\Omega X$  such that  $es \sim 1$ . Thus we can easily know that in the category of co- $H$ -spaces,  $H$ -spaces and  $T$ -spaces are equivalent. It is also well known [16] that  $X$  is an  $H$ -space if and only if for any spaces  $M, L$ ,  $i^* : [M \times L, X] \rightarrow [M \vee L, X]$  is surjective, where  $i : M \vee L \rightarrow M \times L$  is the inclusion.

For a locally compact space  $A$ , it is a well known fact that there is a natural equivalence  $\tau : [A \wedge B, X] \approx [B, L_0(A, X)]$  given by  $(\tau(f)(b))(a) = f \langle a, b \rangle$ . From now on, let  $A$  be a compact CW complex.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The followings are equivalent;*

- (1)  $e_A : A \wedge L_0(A, X) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic, where  $e_A = \tau^{-1}(1_{L_0(A, X)})$ .
- (2)  $G(A \wedge B, X) = [A \wedge B, X]$  for any space  $B$ .
- (3) For any spaces  $M, L$ ,  $i^* : [M \times (A \wedge L), X] \rightarrow [M \vee (A \wedge L), X]$  is surjective.

PROOF. (1) implies (2). Let  $f : A \wedge B \rightarrow X$  be a map. Then we know, from the fact that  $f = e_A(1 \wedge \tau(f))$  and  $e_A$  is cyclic, that  $f : A \wedge B \rightarrow X$  is cyclic. (2) implies (3). Let  $f : M \vee (A \wedge X) \rightarrow X$  be a map. Let  $f_1 = f|_M : M \rightarrow X$  and  $f_2 = f|_{A \wedge X} : A \wedge X \rightarrow X$ . Since  $f_2$  is cyclic, there is a map  $F : X \times (A \wedge X) \rightarrow X$  such that  $Fj \sim \nabla(1 \vee f_2)$ . Let  $g = F(f_1 \times 1) : M \times (A \wedge L) \rightarrow X$ . Then  $i^*[g] = [gi] = [F(f_1 \times 1)i] = [f]$  and  $i^* : [M \times A \wedge L, X] \rightarrow [M \vee A \wedge L, X]$  is surjective. (3) implies (1). Take  $M = X$  and  $L = L_0(A, X)$ . Consider  $\nabla(1 \vee e_A) : X \vee (A \wedge L_0(A, X)) \rightarrow X$ . Since  $i^*$  is an epimorphism, there

is a map  $E : X \times (A \wedge L_0(A, X)) \rightarrow X$  such that  $Ei \sim \nabla(1 \vee e_A)$ . Thus  $e_A$  is cyclic.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a compact CW complex. A space  $X$  is called a  $T_A$ -space if the fibration  $L_0(A, X) \rightarrow L(A, X) \rightarrow X$  is fibre homotopically trivial.

**Proposition 2.3.** [2] Let  $p : E \rightarrow B, p' : E' \rightarrow B$  be fibrations and  $h : E \rightarrow E'$  a map with  $p'h = p$ . Then

- (1)  $h : E \rightarrow E'$  is a fiber homotopy equivalence if and only if the restriction of  $h$  to every fiber,  $b \in B, h|_{p^{-1}(b)} : p^{-1}(b) \rightarrow p'^{-1}(b)$  is a homotopy equivalence.
- (2)  $h : E \rightarrow E'$  is a fiber homotopy equivalence if and only if  $h : E \rightarrow E'$  is a homotopy equivalence.

**Remark 2.4.** From the above result, it is clear that  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space if and only if there is a homotopy equivalence  $h : L(A, X) \rightarrow X \times L_0(A, X)$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L_0(A, X) & \xrightarrow{i} & L(A, X) & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ \parallel & & h \downarrow & & \parallel \\ L_0(A, X) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & X \times L_0(A, X) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X \end{array}$$

is homotopy commutative.

**Theorem 2.5.**  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space if and only if there is a map  $r : L(A, X) \rightarrow L_0(A, X)$  such that  $ri \sim 1_{L_0(A, X)}$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space. Then by the above remark, there is a homotopy equivalence  $h : L(A, X) \rightarrow X \times L_0(A, X)$  such that  $hi \sim i_2$ . Let

$$r : L(A, X) \xrightarrow{h} X \times L_0(A, X) \xrightarrow{p_2} L_0(A, X).$$

Then  $ri = p_2hi \sim p_2i_2 \sim 1_{L_0(A, X)}$ . On the other hand, suppose that there is a map  $r : L(A, X) \rightarrow L_0(A, X)$  such that  $ri \sim 1_{L_0(A, X)}$ . Define

$h : L(A, X) \rightarrow X \times L_0(A, X)$  by  $h(f) = (p(f), r(f))$ . Then we have the following homotopy commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L_0(A, X) & \xrightarrow{i} & L(A, X) & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ \parallel & & h \downarrow & & \parallel \\ L_0(A, X) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & X \times L_0(A, X) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X. \end{array}$$

Thus  $h$  induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups, and as all spaces are homotopy equivalent to CW complexes, it follows that  $h$  is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover we have  $p_1 h = p$ . Thus we know, from the result of Dold, that  $h$  is a fibre homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

For a  $T_A$ -space  $X$ , a retraction  $r : L(A, X) \rightarrow L_0(A, X)$  is called a  $T_A$ -structure on  $X$ . It is clear that a  $T_A$ -space will in general admit many different  $T_A$ -structures. The set  $S_{T_A}$  of homotopy classes of  $T_A$ -structures can be identified to the Gottlieb set  $G(X, L_0(A, X))$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a  $T_A$ -space. Then there is a bijection  $\phi : S_{T_A} \rightarrow G(X, L_0(A, X))$ , where  $S_{T_A} = \{[r] \in [L(A, X), L_0(A, X)] \mid r \circ i \sim 1_{L_0(A, X)}\}$ .*

PROOF. Since  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space, there is a homotopy equivalence  $h : L(A, X) \rightarrow X \times L_0(A, X)$  such that  $h \circ i \sim i_2$ . Let  $k : X \times L_0(A, X) \rightarrow L(A, X)$  be a homotopy inverse of  $h$ . Define a map  $\phi : S_{T_A} \rightarrow G(X, L_0(A, X))$  by  $\phi([r]) = [r \circ k \circ i_1]$ , where  $i_1 : X \rightarrow X \times L_0(A, X)$  is the inclusion. Since  $r \circ k|_{L_0(A, X)} = r \circ k \circ i_2 \sim r \circ i \sim 1_{L_0(A, X)}$  and  $r \circ k : X \times L_0(A, X) \rightarrow L_0(A, X)$ ,  $\phi([r]) = [r \circ k \circ i_1] = [r \circ k|_X] \in G(X, L_0(A, X))$ . On the other hand, define a map  $\psi : G(X, L_0(A, X)) \rightarrow S_{T_A}$  by  $\psi([f]) = [F \circ h]$ , where  $F : X \times L_0(A, X) \rightarrow L(A, X)$  is an associated map of  $f$ . Since  $F \circ h \circ i \sim F \circ i_2 \sim 1_{L_0(A, X)}$ ,  $\psi([f]) = [F \circ h] \in S_{T_A}$ . Moreover, we have that  $\psi \circ \phi([r]) = \psi([r \circ k \circ i_1]) = [r \circ k \circ h] = [r] = 1([r])$  for any  $[r] \in S_{T_A}$  and  $\phi \circ \psi([f]) = \phi([F \circ h]) = [F \circ h \circ k \circ i_1] = [F \circ i_1] = [f] = 1([f])$  for any  $[f] \in G(X, L_0(A, X))$ . Thus we know that  $\phi : S_{T_A} \rightarrow G(X, L_0(A, X))$  is a bijection.  $\square$

The following theorem says that if  $X$  is an  $H$ -space, then  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space for any compact CW complex  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $e_A : A \wedge L_0(A, X) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic, then  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space.*

PROOF. Since  $e_A : A \wedge L_0(A, X) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic, there exists a map  $E : (A \wedge L_0(A, X)) \times X \rightarrow X$  of  $e_A$  such that  $Ej = \nabla(e_A \vee 1_X)$ . Consider the map

$$E \circ (q \times 1) : A \times L_0(A, X) \times X \xrightarrow{(q \times 1)} A \wedge L_0(A, X) \times X \xrightarrow{E} X,$$

where  $q : A \times L_0(A, X) \rightarrow A \wedge L_0(A, X)$  is the quotient map. Let  $k : L_0(A, X) \times X \rightarrow L(A, X)$  be the adjoint of  $E \circ (q \times 1) : A \times L_0(A, X) \times X \rightarrow X$ . Then  $pk = p_2$  and  $i = ki_1$ . Thus we know, by the result of Dold, that  $k : L_0(A, X) \times X \rightarrow L(A, X)$  is a fiber homotopy equivalence and  $X$  is a  $T_A$ -space.  $\square$

We do not know whether the converse of the above theorem holds. However, we showed [14] that the converse of the above theorem is true for the case of a suspension  $A = \Sigma B$ . Thus we know that a concept of  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space can be characterized by the generalized Gottlieb group.

**Corollary 2.8.** [14]  *$X$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space if and only if  $e_{\Sigma B} : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, X) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic if and only if  $G(\Sigma B \wedge C, X) = [\Sigma B \wedge C, X]$  for any space  $C$ .*

**Remark 2.9.** Any  $T$ -space  $X$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space. Since  $X$  is a  $T$ -space, we have that  $G(\Sigma C, X) = [\Sigma C, X]$  for any space  $C$ . Take  $C = B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, X)$ . Then we know that the map  $e_{\Sigma B} : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, X) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic. Thus  $X$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space.

From the above remark, we know that any  $H$ -space is a  $T$ -space, and any  $T$ -space is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space for any compact space  $B$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *If a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space  $X$  dominates  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is also a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space.*

PROOF. Since  $X$  dominates  $Y$ , there are maps  $r : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $i : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $ri \sim 1_Y$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, Y) & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge L_0(i)} & \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, X) \\ e_{\Sigma B}^Y \downarrow & & e_{\Sigma B}^X \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X. \end{array}$$

Since  $e_{\Sigma B}^X : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, X) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic,  $ie_{\Sigma B}^Y = e_{\Sigma B}^X(1 \wedge L_0(i)) : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, Y) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic. It is known [10] that if  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  has a right homotopy inverse and  $f : A \rightarrow X$  is cyclic, then  $gf : A \rightarrow Y$  is cyclic. Thus we have that  $e_{\Sigma B}^Y \sim r(ie_{\Sigma B}^Y) : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, Y) \rightarrow Y$  is cyclic and  $Y$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.**  $X \times Y$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space if and only if  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -spaces.

PROOF. Suppose  $X \times Y$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space. Then we know, from the above theorem, that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -spaces. On the other hand, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -spaces. We show that  $G(\Sigma B \wedge C, X \times Y) = [\Sigma B \wedge C, X \times Y]$  for any space  $C$ . Let  $f : \Sigma B \wedge C \rightarrow X \times Y$  be a map. Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -spaces,  $p_1 f : \Sigma B \wedge C \rightarrow X$  and  $p_2 f : \Sigma B \wedge C \rightarrow Y$  are cyclic maps. It is known [6] that if  $f_1 : A_1 \rightarrow X_1$  and  $f_2 : A_2 \rightarrow X_2$  are cyclic, then so is  $f_1 \times f_2 : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ . Thus  $f = (p_1 f \times p_2 f) \Delta : \Sigma B \wedge C \rightarrow X \times Y$  is cyclic.  $\square$

**Example 2.12.**  $S^1 \times S^1$ ,  $S^1 \times S^3$ ,  $S^3 \times S^7$ ,  $\dots$  are  $T_{S^1}$ -spaces (equivalently  $T$ -spaces) because  $S^1$ ,  $S^3$ ,  $S^7$  are  $T_{S^1}$ -spaces.

**Theorem 2.13.** If there exists a space  $Y$  such that  $X$  is a homotopy equivalent to  $K \times Y$  for a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space  $K$ , then there are maps  $r : X \rightarrow K$  and  $i : K \rightarrow X$  such that  $ri \sim 1$  and  $ie_{\Sigma B}^K : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, K) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic.

PROOF. Let  $f : X \rightarrow K \times Y$ ,  $g : K \times Y \rightarrow X$  be maps such that  $gf \sim 1_X$  and  $fg \sim 1_{K \times Y}$ . Let  $r = P_1 f : X \rightarrow K$  and  $i = gi_1 : K \rightarrow X$ , where

$i_1 : K \rightarrow K \times Y$  is the inclusion and  $p_1 : K \times Y \rightarrow K$  be the projection. Then  $ri = p_1 f g i_1 \sim p_1 i_1 = 1_K$ . Moreover we have, from the fact that  $K$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space, that there exist a map  $E : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, K) \times K \rightarrow K$  such that  $ej = \nabla(e_{\Sigma B}^K \vee 1)$ . Consider the map  $F = g \circ (E \times 1) \circ (1 \times f) : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, K) \times X \rightarrow X$ . Then  $Fj' \sim \nabla(ie_{\sigma B}^K \vee 1)$ . Thus  $ie_{\sigma B}^K : \Sigma B \wedge L_0(\Sigma B, K) \rightarrow X$  is cyclic.  $\square$

For a based map  $f : A \rightarrow X$ , let  $L(A, X; f)$  be the path component of  $L(A, X)$  containing  $f$ .  $L_0(A, X; f)$  will denote the space of base point preserving maps in  $L(A, X; f)$ . In general, the components of  $L(\Sigma B, X)$  almost never have the same homotopy type. It is well known fact that  $L(S^2, S^2; *)$  and  $L(S^2, S^2; 1)$  have different homotopy type. Under what condition on  $X$ , do  $L(\Sigma B, X; f)$  and  $L(\Sigma B, X; g)$  have the same homotopy equivalence for any  $[f], [g] \in L_0(\Sigma B, X)$ ? For any  $[f] \in L_0(\Sigma B, X)$ , clearly the evaluation map  $p : L(\Sigma B, X; f) \rightarrow X$  is a fibration with fiber  $L_0(\Sigma B, X; f)$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** [12] *The following statements are equivalent;*

- (1)  $f : \Sigma B \rightarrow X$  is cyclic.
- (2)  $L(\Sigma B, X; f)$  is fiber homotopy equivalent to  $L(\Sigma B, X; *)$ .

We have, from the fact that  $X$  is an  $H$ -space iff  $G(C, X) = [C, X]$  for any space  $C$ , the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.15.** [4] *If  $X$  is an  $H$ -space, then  $L(S^p, X; f)$  and  $L(S^p, X; g)$  have the same homotopy type for arbitrary  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\pi_p(X)$ .*

Moreover, we know, from the fact that the generator  $\eta_2 \in \pi_3(S^2)$  is cyclic and the sum of two cyclic maps is cyclic, that  $G(S^3, S^2) = [S^3, S^2]$ . Thus we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.16.** *For any  $[f], [g] \in \pi_3(S^2)$ ,  $L(S^3, S^2; f)$  and  $L(S^3, S^2; g)$  have the same homotopy type.*

**Lemma 2.17.** [5] For any  $[f] \in L_0(\Sigma B, X)$ ,  $L_0(\Sigma B, X; f)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $L_0(\Sigma B, X; *)$ .

**Lemma 2.18.** [13] If  $X$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space, then any  $[f] \in L_0(\Sigma B, X)$ , the fibration  $L_0(\Sigma B, X; f) \rightarrow L(\Sigma B, X; f) \rightarrow X$  is fibre homotopically trivial.

From the above two lemmas, we have an answer of the above question as follows;

**Proposition 2.19.** If  $X$  is a  $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space, for any  $[f], [g] \in L_0(\Sigma B, X)$ ,  $L(\Sigma B, X; f)$  and  $L(\Sigma B, X; g)$  have the same homotopy type.

### 3. co- $T_A$ -spaces and some properties

In this section, we would like to study some properties of co- $T_A$ -space which is a dual concept of  $T_A$ -space.

A based map  $f: X \rightarrow A$  is called *cocyclic*[10] if there exists a map  $\phi: X \rightarrow X \vee A$  such that  $j\phi \sim (1 \times f)\Delta$ , where  $j: X \vee A \rightarrow X \times A$  is the inclusion and  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$  is the diagonal map. We call such a map  $\phi$  a coassociated map of  $f$ . The *dual Gottlieb set* denoted  $DG(X, A)$  is the set of all homotopy classes of cocyclic maps from  $X$  to  $A$ .

A space  $X$  is called *co- $T$ -space* [11] if  $e': X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$  is cocyclic. It is also easy to show that any co- $H$ -space is a co- $T$ -space.

In 1987, Lim also obtained a result [7] which was a co- $H$ -space may be characterized by the dual Gottlieb sets and cocyclic maps as follows;  $X$  is a co- $H$ -space if and only if  $1_X: X \rightarrow X$  is cocyclic if and only if  $DG(X, C) = [X, C]$  for any space  $C$ . It is known [10] that if  $f: X \rightarrow A$  is cocyclic and  $g: A \rightarrow B$  is any map, then  $gf: X \rightarrow B$  is cocyclic. From this fact, we can obtained a result [11] which characterizes a co- $T$ -space by means of the dual Gottlieb sets as follows;  $X$  is a co- $T$ -space if and only if  $GD(X, \Omega B) = [X, \Omega B]$  for any space  $B$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A space  $X$  is called  $\text{co-}T_A$ -space if  $e'_A : X \rightarrow L_0(A, A \wedge X)$  is cocyclic, where  $e'_A = \tau(1_{A \wedge X})$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *The followings are equivalent;*

- (1)  $X$  is a  $\text{co-}T_A$ -space.
- (2)  $DG(X, L_0(A, B)) = [X, L_0(A, B)]$  for any space  $B$ .
- (3) For any spaces  $M, L$ ,  $i_* : [X, M \vee L_0(A, L)] \rightarrow [X, M \times L_0(A, L)]$  is surjective, where  $i : M \vee L_0(A, L) \rightarrow M \times L_0(A, L)$  is the inclusion.

PROOF. (1) implies (2). Let  $f : X \rightarrow L_0(A, B)$  be a map. Then there is a map  $\tau^{-1}(f) : A \wedge X \rightarrow B$ . Then we know, from the fact that  $f = L_0(\tau^{-1}(f)) \circ e'_A$  and  $e'_A$  is cocyclic, that  $f : X \rightarrow L_0(A, B)$  is cocyclic. (2) implies (3). Let  $f : X \rightarrow M \times L_0(A, L)$  be a map. Let  $f_1 = p_1 f : X \rightarrow M$  and  $f_2 = p_2 f : X \rightarrow L_0(A, L)$ . Since  $f_2$  is cocyclic, there is a map  $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee L_0(A, L)$  such that  $j\theta \sim (1 \times f_2)\Delta$ . Let  $g = (f_1 \vee 1)\theta : X \rightarrow M \vee L_0(A, L)$ . Then  $i_*([g]) = [ig] = [i(f_1 \vee 1)\theta] = [f]$  and  $i_* : [X, M \vee L_0(A, L)] \rightarrow [X, M \times L_0(A, L)]$  is surjective. (3) implies (1). Take  $M = X$  and  $L = A \wedge X$ . Consider  $(1 \times e'_A)\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times L_0(A, A \wedge X)$ . Since  $i_*$  is an epimorphism, there is a map  $\theta : X \rightarrow X \vee L_0(A, A \wedge X)$  such that  $j\theta \sim (1 \times e'_A)\Delta$ . Thus  $e'_A : X \rightarrow L_0(A, A \wedge X)$  is cocyclic.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $X$  is a  $\text{co-}H$ -space, then for any space  $A$ ,  $X$  is a  $\text{co-}T_A$ -space.*

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $X$  is a  $\text{co-}T$ -space, then for any space  $B$ ,  $X$  is a  $\text{co-}T_{\Sigma B}$ -space.*

PROOF. Let  $C$  be any space. Then there is a homoeomorphism  $h : L_0(\Sigma B, C) \rightarrow \Omega L_0(B, C)$  given by  $h(f)(s)(b) = f(\langle s, b \rangle)$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow L_0(\Sigma B, C)$  be a map. Since  $X$  is  $\text{co-}T$ -space,  $[X, \Omega L_0(B, C)] = DG(X, \Omega L_0(B, C))$ . Thus we know that  $hf : X \rightarrow \Omega L_0(B, C)$  is cocyclic and  $f = h^{-1}(hf) : X \rightarrow L_0(\Sigma B, C)$  is cocyclic. Therefore  $X$  is a  $\text{co-}T_{\Sigma B}$ -space.  $\square$

Thus we know that any co- $H$ -space is a co- $T$ -space, and any co- $T$ -space is a co- $T_{\Sigma B}$ -space for any space  $B$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *If a co- $T_A$ -space  $X$  dominates  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is also a co- $T_A$ -space.*

PROOF. Since  $X$  dominates  $Y$ , there are maps  $r : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $i : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $ri \sim 1_Y$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{r} & Y \\ e'_A{}^X \downarrow & & e'_A{}^Y \downarrow \\ L_0(A, A \wedge X) & \xrightarrow{L_0(1 \wedge r)} & L_0(A, A \wedge Y). \end{array}$$

Since  $e'_A{}^X : X \rightarrow L_0(A, A \wedge X)$  is cocyclic,  $e'_A{}^Y r = L_0(1 \wedge r)e'_A{}^X : X \rightarrow L_0(A, A \wedge Y)$  is cocyclic. It is known [10] that if  $f : X \rightarrow A$  is cocyclic and  $i : Y \rightarrow X$  has a left homotopy inverse, then  $fi : Y \rightarrow A$  is cocyclic. Thus we have that  $e'_A{}^Y \sim (e'_A{}^Y r)i : Y \rightarrow L_0(A, A \wedge Y)$  is cocyclic and  $Y$  is a co- $T_A$ -space.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.**  *$X \vee Y$  is a co- $T_A$ -space if and only if  $X$  and  $Y$  are co- $T_A$ -spaces.*

PROOF. Suppose  $X \vee Y$  is a co- $T_A$ -space. Let  $r_1 = p_1 j : X \vee Y \rightarrow X$  and  $r_2 = p_2 j : X \vee Y \rightarrow Y$ , where  $j : X \vee Y \rightarrow X \times Y$  is the inclusion and  $p_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $p_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  are projections. Then  $r_1 i_1 = 1_X$  and  $r_2 i_2 = 1_Y$ . Then we know, from the above theorem, that  $X$  and  $Y$  are co- $T_A$ -spaces. On the other hand, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be co- $T_A$ -spaces. Then  $e'_A{}^X$  and  $e'_A{}^Y$  are cocyclic maps. It is known [L2] that if  $f : X \rightarrow A$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow B$  are cocyclic, then so is  $f \vee g : X \vee Y \rightarrow A \vee B$ . Thus we know that  $e'_A{}^X \vee e'_A{}^Y : X \vee Y \rightarrow L_0(A, A \wedge X) \vee L_0(A, A \wedge Y)$  is cocyclic. Let  $h : A \wedge (X \vee Y) \rightarrow (A \wedge X) \vee (A \wedge Y)$  be the natural homeomorphism. Define a map  $k : L_0(A, A \wedge X) \vee L_0(A, A \wedge Y) \rightarrow L_0(A, (A \wedge X) \vee (A \wedge Y))$  by  $k(f, *) = i_1 \circ f$ ,  $k(*, g) = i_2 \circ g$ , where  $i_1 : A \wedge X \rightarrow (A \wedge X) \vee (A \wedge Y)$ ,  $i_2 : A \wedge Y \rightarrow (A \wedge X) \vee (A \wedge Y)$  are

natural inclusions. Then we have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \vee Y & \xrightarrow{e'_A{}^{X \vee Y}} & L_0(A, A \wedge (X \vee Y)) \\
 e'_A{}^X \vee e'_A{}^Y \downarrow & & L_0(h) \downarrow \\
 L_0(A, A \wedge X) \vee L_0(A, A \wedge Y) & \xrightarrow{k} & L_0(A, A \wedge X \vee A \wedge Y).
 \end{array}$$

Since  $e'_A{}^X \vee e'_A{}^Y$  is cocyclic and  $L_0(h) \circ e'_A{}^{X \vee Y} = k \circ e'_A{}^X \vee e'_A{}^Y$ , we know that  $L_0(h) \circ e'_A{}^{X \vee Y}$  is cocyclic. Since  $L_0(h)$  is a homotopy equivalence, we have that  $e'_A{}^{X \vee Y} \sim L_0(h)^{-1} \circ L_0(h) \circ e'_A{}^{X \vee Y}$  is cocyclic and  $X \vee Y$  is a  $\text{co-}T_A$ -space. □

**Theorem 3.7.** *If there exists a space  $Y$  such that  $X$  is a homotopy equivalent to  $Y \vee K$  for a  $\text{co-}T_A$ -space  $K$ , then there are maps  $r : X \rightarrow K$  and  $i : K \rightarrow X$  such that  $ri \sim 1$  and  $e'_A{}^K r : X \rightarrow L_0(A, A \wedge K)$  is cocyclic.*

PROOF. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y \vee K$ ,  $g : Y \vee K \rightarrow X$  be maps such that  $gf \sim 1_X$  and  $fg \sim 1_{Y \vee K}$ . Let  $r = P_2 f : X \rightarrow K$  and  $i = g i_2 : K \rightarrow X$ , where  $i_2 : K \rightarrow Y \vee K$  is the inclusion and  $p_2 : Y \vee K \rightarrow K$  be the projection. Then  $ri = p_2 f g i_2 \sim p_2 i_2 = 1_K$ . Moreover we have, from the fact that  $K$  is a  $\text{co-}T_A$ -space, that there exist a map  $\mu : K \rightarrow K \vee L_0(A, A \wedge K)$  such that  $j\mu \sim (1 \times e'_A{}^K)\Delta$ . Consider the map  $\rho = (g \vee 1) \circ (1 \vee \mu) \circ f : X \rightarrow X \vee L_0(A, A \wedge K)$ . Then  $j'\rho \sim (1 \times e'_A{}^K r)\Delta$ . Thus  $e'_A{}^K r : X \rightarrow L_0(A, A \wedge K)$  is cocyclic. □

On the other hand, on the category of spaces of homotopy type of 1-connected locally finite CW complexes, we studied in [14] that some properties of  $T'$ -space which is another dual concept of  $T$ -space, and we also studied in [15] that some properties of  $T'_A$  which is a generalized concept of  $T'$ -space.

### References

[1] J. Aguade, *Decomposable free loop spaces*, Can. J. Math. 39(1987), 938-955.

- [2] A. Dold, *Partitions of unity in the theory of fibrations*, Ann. Math. 78(2)(1963), 223-255.
- [3] H. B. Haslam, *G-spaces and H-spaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of California, Irvine, 1969.
- [4] S. S. Koh, *Note on the properties of the components of the mapping space  $X^{S^q}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 11(1960), 896-904.
- [5] G. E. Lang, *The Evaluation map and EHP sequences*, Pacific J. Math., 44(1)(1973), 201-210.
- [6] K. L. Lim, *On cyclic maps*, J. Austral. Math. Soc.,(Series A)32(1982), 349-357.
- [7] K. L. Lim, *Cocyclic maps and coevaluation subgroups*, Canad. Math. Bull. 30(1987), 63-71.
- [8] J. W. Milnor, *On spaces having the homotopy type of a CW complex*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90(1959), 272-280.
- [9] J. Stasheff, *On homotopy abelian H-spaces*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 57(1961), 734.
- [10] K. Varadarajan, *Generalized Gottlieb groups*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 33(1969), 141-164.
- [11] M. H. Woo and Y. S. Yoon, *T-spaces by the Gottlieb groups and duality*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., (Series A)59(1995), 193-203.
- [12] Y. S. Yoon, *On  $n$ -cyclic maps*, J. Korean. Math. Soc., 26(1)(1989), 17-25.
- [13] Y. S. Yoon, *Decomposable reduced tori*, Math. Japonica, 39(3)(1994), 481-486.
- [14] Y. S. Yoon, *Decomposability of Evaluation Fibrations*, Kyungpook Math. J., 35(1995), 361-369.
- [15] Y. S. Yoon and J. O. Yu, *Decomposable right half smash product spaces*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 11(1996), 225-233.
- [16] A. Zabrodsky, *Hopf Spaces*, North-Holland Pub. Com. 1976.

Yeon Soo Yoon  
Department of Mathematics  
Hannam University  
Daejeon 306-791, Korea  
E-mail address : yoon@hannam.ac.kr