

## Generalized Transformation Semigroups Whose Sets of Quasi-ideals and Bi-ideals Coincide

RONNASON CHINRAM

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University,  
Songkhla 90110, Thailand*

*e-mail : ronnason.c@psu.ac.th*

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbf{BQ}$  be the class of all semigroups whose bi-ideals are quasi-ideals. It is known that regular semigroups, right [left] simple semigroups and right [left] 0-simple semigroups belong to  $\mathbf{BQ}$ . Every zero semigroup is clearly a member of this class. In this paper, we characterize when generalized full transformation semigroups and generalized Baer-Levi semigroups are in  $\mathbf{BQ}$  in terms of the cardinalities of sets.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

A subsemigroup  $Q$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called a *quasi-ideal* of  $S$  if  $SQ \cap QS \subseteq Q$ , and by a *bi-ideal* of  $S$  we mean a subsemigroup  $B$  of  $S$  such that  $BSB \subseteq B$ . Quasi-ideals are a generalization of left ideals and right ideals and bi-ideals are a generalization of quasi-ideals. Moreover, the intersection of a left ideal and a right ideal of  $S$  is a quasi-ideal of  $S$  and every quasi-ideal of  $S$  can be obtained in this way. The notion of quasi-ideal was first introduced by O. Steinfield in [7]. In fact, the notion of bi-ideal was given earlier by R. A. Good and D. R. Hughes [3]. It was actually introduced in 1952.

For a nonempty subset  $A$  of a semigroup  $S$ ,  $(A)_q$  and  $(A)_b$  denote the quasi-ideal and the bi-ideal of  $S$  generated by  $A$ , respectively, that is  $(A)_q$  is the intersection of all quasi-ideals of  $S$  containing  $A$  and  $(A)_b$  is the intersection of all bi-ideals of  $S$  containing  $A$  ([8], page 10 and 12).

**Proposition 1.1** ([2], page 84-85). *For any nonempty subset  $A$  of  $S$ ,*

$$(A)_q = S^1 A \cap A S^1 \text{ and } (A)_b = A S^1 A \cup A.$$

Let  $\mathbf{BQ}$  denote the class of all semigroups whose sets of bi-ideals and quasi-ideals coincide. It is known that the following semigroups belong to  $\mathbf{BQ}$ : regular semigroups ([6]), left [right] simple semigroups ([5]) and left [right] 0-simple semigroups ([5]). Not only these semigroups are in  $\mathbf{BQ}$ . A nontrivial zero semigroup is an obvious example. In fact, J. Calais [1] has characterized the semigroups in  $\mathbf{BQ}$  as follows: A semigroup  $S$  is in  $\mathbf{BQ}$  if and only if  $(x, y)_q = (x, y)_b$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

---

Received August 13, 2003, and, in revised form, February 14, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20.

Key words and phrases: generalized transformation semigroups, bi-ideals, quasi-ideals.

It is not easy to see from this characterization whether a given semigroup belongs to  $\mathbf{BQ}$ . The purpose of this paper is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for generalized full transformation semigroups and generalized Baer-Levi semigroups to belong to  $\mathbf{BQ}$ .

## 2. Generalized full transformation semigroups

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $T(X)$  the full transformation semigroup on  $X$ . It is well-known that  $T(X)$  is regular ([4], page 14), so  $T(X) \in \mathbf{BQ}$ . To generalize this, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nonempty sets,  $k$  a cardinal number such that  $k > 0$ ,

$$T(X, Y) = \text{the set of all full transformations from } X \text{ to } Y$$

and

$$T_k(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X, Y) \mid |\text{ran } \alpha| \leq k\}.$$

For a cardinal number  $k$  such that  $k > 0$  and  $\theta \in T(Y, X)$ , let  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  be a semigroup  $(T_k(X, Y), *)$  where  $\alpha * \beta = \alpha\theta\beta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ . It is well-defined because  $\text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta \subseteq \text{ran } \beta$  and  $|\text{ran } \beta| \leq k$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ . The following theorem characterizes when the semigroups  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  are regular.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For a cardinal number  $k$  such that  $k > 0$  and  $\theta \in T(Y, X)$ ,  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is regular if and only if one of the following statements holds.*

- (i)  $|X| = 1$ .    (ii)  $|Y| = 1$ .    (iii)  $k = 1$ .    (iv)  $\theta$  is bijective.

*Proof.* Assume that  $|X| = 1, |Y| = 1$  or  $k = 1$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ . Since  $\text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha \subseteq \text{ran } \alpha$  and  $|\text{ran } \alpha| = 1$ ,  $\text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha = \text{ran } \alpha$ , and hence  $\alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha = \alpha$ .

Next, assume that  $\theta$  is bijective. Let  $\alpha \in T_k(X, Y)$ . For all  $y \in \text{ran } \alpha$ , let  $x_y \in X$  be such that  $x_y\alpha = y$ . Let  $y_0 \in \text{ran } \alpha$ . Define  $\beta \in T(X, Y)$  by

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} x_y\theta^{-1} & \text{if } x = y\theta \text{ for some } y \in \text{ran } \alpha, \\ x_{y_0}\theta^{-1} & \text{if } x \in X \setminus (\text{ran } \alpha)\theta. \end{cases}$$

Then  $|\text{ran } \beta| = |\{x_y\theta^{-1} \mid y \in \text{ran } \alpha\}| = |\text{ran } \alpha| \leq k$ . Therefore  $\beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ . For  $y \in \text{ran } \alpha$ , we have

$$y\theta\beta\theta\alpha = x_y\theta^{-1}\theta\alpha = x_y\alpha = y.$$

Then  $\theta\beta\theta\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha} = id_{\text{ran } \alpha}$ , and hence  $\alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha = \alpha$ .

To prove the converse, assume that  $k, |X|, |Y| > 1$  and  $\theta$  is not bijective.

**Case 1:**  $\theta$  is not one-to-one. Then there exist  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  such that  $y_1 \neq y_2$  but  $y_1\theta = y_2\theta$ . Since  $|X| > 1$ , let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  be such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Define  $\alpha \in T_k(X, Y)$  by

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} y_1 & \text{if } x = x_1, \\ y_2 & \text{if } x \neq x_1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ . We have

$$x_1\alpha = y_1 \neq y_2 = x_2\alpha$$

but

$$x_1\alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha = y_1\theta\beta\theta\alpha = y_2\theta\beta\theta\alpha = x_2\alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha.$$

Therefore  $\alpha \neq \alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha$  for all  $\beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ .

**Case 2:**  $\theta$  is not onto. Let  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  be distinct. Let  $\alpha \in T_k(X, Y)$  be such that

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} y_1 & \text{if } x \in \text{ran } \theta, \\ y_2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\text{ran } \alpha = \{y_1, y_2\}$  and  $\text{ran } \theta\alpha = \{y_1\}$ . Then for all  $\beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ , since  $\text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha \subseteq \text{ran } \theta\alpha = \{y_1\}$ ,  $\alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha \neq \alpha$ .

From both two cases,  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is not regular. □

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $|X|, |Y|, k > 1$ , then  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is neither left simple nor right simple.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in T_1(X, Y)$  and  $\beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ . Since  $\text{ran } \beta\theta\alpha \subseteq \text{ran } \alpha$ ,  $|\text{ran } \beta\theta\alpha| = 1$ , so  $\beta\theta\alpha \in T_1(X, Y)$ . We have  $\text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta = (\text{ran } \alpha)\theta\beta$ . So  $|\text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta| = |(\text{ran } \alpha)\theta\beta| \leq |\text{ran } \alpha| = 1$ , it follows  $|\text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta| = 1$ . Thus  $\alpha\theta\beta \in T_1(X, Y)$ . Hence  $T_1(X, Y)$  is a proper ideal of  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$ . Therefore  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is neither left simple nor right simple, as required. □

**Theorem 2.3.** *For a cardinal number  $k$  such that  $k > 0$  and  $\theta \in T(Y, X)$ ,  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  belongs to **BQ**.*

*Proof.* Since every quasi-ideal of any semigroup  $S$  is a bi-ideal of  $S$ , it suffices to show that every bi-ideal of  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is a quasi-ideal of  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$ .

Let  $B$  be a bi-ideal of  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$ . Let  $\alpha \in B\theta T_k(X, Y) \cap T_k(X, Y)\theta B$ . Then  $\alpha = \beta\theta\gamma = \lambda\theta\eta$  for some  $\beta, \eta \in T_k(X, Y)$  and  $\gamma, \lambda \in B$ . Since  $\lambda\theta \in T(X)$  and  $T(X)$  is regular,  $\lambda\theta\mu\lambda\theta$  for some  $\mu \in T(X)$ . Then we have

$$\alpha = \lambda\theta\eta = \lambda\theta\mu\lambda\theta\eta = \lambda\theta\mu\beta\theta\gamma.$$

Since  $\text{ran } \mu\beta \subseteq \text{ran } \beta, \mu\beta \in T_k(X, Y)$ . Therefore  $\alpha = \lambda\theta\mu\beta\theta\gamma \in B\theta T_k(X, Y)\theta B \subseteq B$ , and hence  $B$  is a quasi-ideal of  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$ , as required. □

**Remark 2.4.** From Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we have known that if  $|X|, |Y|, k > 1$  and  $\theta$  is not a bijection, then  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is not regular, not left simple and not right simple. However, we have shown in Theorem 2.3, it belongs to **BQ**. Then  $(T_k(X, Y), \theta)$  where  $|X|, |Y|, k > 1$  and  $\theta$  is not a bijection becomes a nontrivial example of semigroups without zero in **BQ** which are not regular, not left simple and not right simple.

### 3. Generalized Baer-Levi semigroups

Let  $X$  be a countably infinite set and  $BL(X) = \{\alpha \in T(X) \mid \alpha \text{ is one-to-one and } X \setminus \text{ran } \alpha \text{ is infinite}\}$ .  $BL(X)$  is called *Baer-Levi semigroup* on  $X$  ([4], page 14). We have known that  $BL(X)$  is right simple ([4], page 14). Then  $BL(X)$  belongs to **BQ**. To generalize this, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite sets,  $k$  an infinite cardinal number such that  $k \leq |Y|$ ,

$$M(Y, X) = \{\alpha \in T(Y, X) \mid \alpha \text{ is one-to-one}\} \text{ and}$$

$$BL_k(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X, Y) \mid \alpha \text{ is one-to-one and } |Y \setminus \text{ran } \alpha| \geq k\}.$$

It is clear that  $BL_k(X, Y) \neq \emptyset$  and  $M(Y, X) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $|X| = |Y|$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite sets such that  $|X| = |Y|$  and  $k$  an infinite cardinal number such that  $k \leq |Y|$ . If  $\theta \in M(Y, X)$ , then  $\alpha\theta\beta \in BL_k(X, Y)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in BL_k(X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha, \theta$  and  $\beta$  are one-to-one,  $\alpha\theta\beta$  is one-to-one. Since  $\text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta \subseteq \text{ran } \beta$ ,  $|Y \setminus \text{ran } \alpha\theta\beta| \geq |Y \setminus \text{ran } \beta| \geq k$ . Therefore  $\alpha\theta\beta \in BL_k(X, Y)$ , as required.  $\square$

In the reminder, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite sets such that  $|X| = |Y|$  and  $k$  an infinite cardinal number such that  $k \leq |Y|$ . For  $\theta \in M(Y, X)$ , let  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$  be a semigroup  $(BL_k(X, Y), *)$  where  $\alpha * \beta = \alpha\theta\beta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in BL_k(X, Y)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  *$(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is not regular for all  $\theta \in M(Y, X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \beta \in BL_k(X, Y)$  be such that  $\alpha = \alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is one-to-one,  $\alpha\theta\beta\theta = id_X$ . Since  $\theta\beta\theta$  is one-to-one,  $\alpha$  must be onto, a contradiction. Therefore  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is not regular.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\theta \in M(Y, X)$ . If  $|X| = |Y| = k$ , then  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is right simple.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a right ideal of  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$ ,  $\alpha \in R$  and  $\beta \in BL_k(X, Y)$ . By infiniteness of  $Y \setminus \text{ran } \beta$ , there exist  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  such that  $|Y \setminus \text{ran } \beta| = |Y_1| = |Y_2|$ ,  $Y \setminus \text{ran } \beta = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  and  $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$ . Since  $|X \setminus X\alpha\theta| \leq |X| = k = |Y \setminus \text{ran } \beta| = |Y_1|$ , there exists  $\phi : (X \setminus X\alpha\theta) \rightarrow Y_1$  is injective. For all  $x \in X\alpha\theta$ , let  $a_x \in X$  be such that  $x = a_x\alpha\theta$ . Define  $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$  by

$$x\gamma = \begin{cases} a_x\beta & \text{if } x \in X\alpha\theta, \\ x\phi & \text{if } x \in X \setminus X\alpha\theta. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\gamma$  is one-to-one and  $|Y \setminus \text{ran } \gamma| \geq |Y_2| = k$ . Therefore  $\gamma \in BL_k(X, Y)$ . Since  $\alpha\theta$  is one-to-one,  $x\alpha\theta\gamma = x\beta$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence  $\alpha\theta\gamma = \beta$ . Therefore  $R = BL_k(X, Y)$ , and hence  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is right simple.  $\square$

The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient condition for  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$  to belong to **BQ**.

**Theorem 3.4.**  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta) \in \mathbf{BQ}$  if and only if  $|X| = |Y| = k$ .

*Proof.* If  $|X| = |Y| = k$ , by Lemma 3.3,  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is right simple, so  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta) \in \mathbf{BQ}$ .

For the converse, assume that  $k < |X| = |Y|$ . By infiniteness of  $Y$ , there exist subsets  $Y_1, Y_2$  and  $Y_3$  of  $Y$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |Y| &= |Y_1| = |Y_2|, \quad Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2, Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \emptyset, \\ Y_3 &\subseteq Y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad |Y_2 \setminus Y_3| = |Y_2| = |Y_3|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Y_4$  be a subset of  $Y$  such that  $|Y_4| = k$ . Then  $|Y \setminus Y_4| = |Y|$ . Since  $|X| = |Y_1|$  and  $|X| = |Y \setminus Y_4|$ , there are bijections  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y_1$  and  $\beta : X \rightarrow Y \setminus Y_4$ . It follows that  $\alpha, \beta \in BL_k(X, Y)$ . Then  $\alpha\theta : X \rightarrow Y_1\theta$ . Moreover,  $(\alpha\theta)^{-1}\beta\theta\alpha : Y_1\theta \rightarrow Y_1$  is one-to-one. Since  $Y_2\theta \subseteq X \setminus Y_1\theta$  and  $\theta$  is one-to-one,  $|X \setminus Y_1\theta| = |Y_2\theta| = |Y_2| = |Y_3|$ . Thus there is a bijection  $\phi : X \setminus Y_1\theta \rightarrow Y_3$ . Define  $\gamma : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$x\gamma = \begin{cases} x(\alpha\theta)^{-1}\beta\theta\alpha & \text{if } x \in Y_1\theta, \\ x\phi & \text{if } x \in X \setminus Y_1\theta. \end{cases}$$

Since  $Y_1 \cap Y_3 = \emptyset$  and  $\theta$  is one-to-one,  $\gamma$  is one-to-one. Also we have

$$\text{ran } \gamma \subseteq Y_1 \cup Y_3$$

which implies that

$$|Y \setminus \text{ran } \gamma| \geq |Y_2 \setminus Y_3| = |Y_2| = |Y| \geq k.$$

Therefore  $\gamma \in BL_k(X, Y)$ . By definition of  $\gamma$  and  $\text{ran } \alpha\theta = Y_1\theta$ ,  $\gamma|_{\text{ran } \alpha\theta} = (\alpha\theta)^{-1}\beta\theta\alpha|_{\text{ran } \alpha\theta}$ . Thus  $\beta\theta\alpha = \alpha\theta\gamma \in BL_k(X, Y)\theta\alpha \cap \alpha\theta BL_k(X, Y) \subseteq (\alpha)_q$ . Suppose that  $\beta\theta\alpha \in (\alpha)_b$ . By Proposition 1.1,

$$(\alpha)_b = \alpha\theta BL_k(X, Y)\theta\alpha \cup \{\alpha, \alpha\theta\alpha\}.$$

**Case 1:**  $\beta\theta\alpha = \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is one-to-one,  $\beta\theta = id_X$ . Since  $\theta$  is one-to-one,  $\beta$  is onto, a contradiction.

**Case 2:**  $\beta\theta\alpha = \alpha\theta\alpha$ . Then  $\beta = \alpha$  because  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  are one-to-one. This is a contradiction because  $|Y \setminus \text{ran } \beta| = k < |Y| = |Y_2| = |Y \setminus \text{ran } \alpha|$ .

**Case 3:**  $\beta\theta\alpha \in \alpha\theta BL_k(X, Y)\theta\alpha$ . Then  $\beta\theta\alpha = \alpha\theta\lambda\theta\alpha$  for some  $\lambda \in BL_k(X, Y)$ . This implies that  $\beta = \alpha\theta\lambda$  since  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  are one-to-one. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_4| &= |Y \setminus \text{ran } \beta| = |Y \setminus \text{ran } \alpha\theta\lambda| \geq |X\lambda \setminus (\text{ran } \alpha\theta)\lambda| \\ &= |(X \setminus \text{ran } \alpha\theta)\lambda| \quad \text{since } \lambda \text{ is one-to-one} \\ &= |(X \setminus Y_1\theta)\lambda| \geq |Y_2\theta\lambda| = |Y_2| \quad \text{since } \theta\lambda \text{ is one-to-one,} \\ &\text{a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta) \notin \mathbf{BQ}$ . □

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $\theta \in M(Y, X)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $|X| = |Y| = k$ .
- (ii)  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta)$  is right simple.
- (iii)  $(BL_k(X, Y), \theta) \in \mathbf{BQ}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3, Theorem 3.4 and the fact that every right simple semigroup belongs to  $\mathbf{BQ}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. Calais, *Demi-groups quasi-inversif*, C. R. Acad. Paris, **252**(1961), 2357-2359.
- [2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence I(1961).
- [3] R. A. Good and D. R. Hughes, *Associated groups for a semigroup*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **58**(1952), 624-625(Abstract).
- [4] P. Higgins, *Techniques of Semigroup Theory*, Oxford University Press: Oxford-New York-Tokyo, (1992).
- [5] K. M. Kapp, *On bi-ideals and quasi-ideals in semigroups*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **16**(1969), 179-185.
- [6] S. Lajos, *Generalized ideals in semigroups*, Acta. Sci. Math., **22**(1961), 217-222.
- [7] O. Steinfeld, *Über die quasiideale von halbgruppen*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **4**(1956), 262-275.
- [8] O. Steinfeld, *Quasi-ideals in Rings and Semigroups*, Akadémiai Kiadó Budapest, (1978).