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This paper proposes an efficient scalar multiplication algorithm for hyperelliptic curves, which is based on the idea that efficient endomorphisms can be used to speed up scalar multiplication. We first present a new Frobenius expansion method for special hyperelliptic curves that have Gallant-Lambert-Vanstone (GLV) endomorphisms. To compute $k D$ for an integer $k$ and a divisor $D$, we expand the integer $\boldsymbol{k}$ by the Frobenius endomorphism and the GLV endomorphism. We also present improved scalar multiplication algorithms that use the new expansion method. By our new expansion method, the number of divisor doublings in a scalar multiplication is reduced to a quarter, while the number of divisor additions is almost the same. Our experiments show that the overall throughputs of scalar multiplications are increased by 15.6 to 28.3 \% over the previous algorithms when the algorithms are implemented over finite fields of odd characteristics.
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## I. Introduction

Since Diffie and Hellman introduced the idea of public key cryptography [2], various public key cryptosystems have been proposed, and they now have numerous applications in such areas as electronic banking, electronic commerce, network authentication, and so on. In particular, a recent remarkable growth in the market of mobile banking and mobile commerce has brought up the need of public key mechanisms optimized for resource-constrained devices. Hence, many standard bodies are adopting elliptic curve cryptography (ECC) in their public key cryptography standards, since ECC requires only a small amount of memory to store cryptographic keys. For example, 160 -bit ECC is equivalent to 1024 -bit RSA from the viewpoint of cryptanalysis.
On the other hand, hyperelliptic curve cryptography (HECC) has been introduced by Koblitz [3] as a generalization of ECC (an elliptic curve can be viewed as a genus 1 hyperelliptic curve). Although HECC is attractive to designers of resourceconstrained systems since it requires smaller fields than ECC, it has been believed to be less practical than ECC due to its poor performance. However, recent implementations of HECC, for example [4], have achieved a performance comparable to that of ECC, making HECC a good alternative.
The most time consuming operation in HECC is a scalar multiplication by an integer $k$, that is, computing $k D$ for a divisor $D$ on the Jacobian of a curve. In this paper, we will present a method to speed up this operation.
We begin by examining existing methods. In elliptic curves, Koblitz [5] proposed curves that are defined over the binary field but whose coordinates are on suitably large extension fields, which are called Koblitz curves. The idea of elliptic Koblitz curves was improved by an extensive research [6]-[10], and was
generalized to hyperelliptic curves by Günter, Lange, and Stein [11]. They investigated two special examples of genus 2 curves defined over a binary field using the Frobenius map. Lange [12] gave a detailed investigation on small genus hyperelliptic Koblitz curves defined over small fields using the Frobenius map. In Lange [12] and Choie and Lee [13], the Frobenius expansion method was generalized to the finite field of any characteristic.

Gallant, Lambert, and Vanstone [14] introduced a decomposition method (GLV) using special elliptic curves that have efficiently computable endomorphisms other than Frobenius maps. The idea of their method is to decompose an integer $k$ into two components such that the size of each component is half that of $k$. Sica and others [15] improved the bound of these two components of the decomposition. And Park, Jeong, and Lim [16] extended the GLV method [14] to hyperelliptic curves that have efficiently computable endomorphisms in their own way.
In this paper, we propose a new Frobenius expansion method for hyperelliptic curves with efficiently computable endomorphisms. To compute $k D$ for an integer $k$ and a divisor $D$, we expand the integer $k$ by the Frobenius endomorphism $\varphi$, that is, $k=\sum_{i=0} r_{i} \varphi^{i}$, where the coefficients $r_{i}$ are of the form $r_{i 0}+r_{i 1} \rho+r_{i 2} \rho^{2}+r_{i 3} \rho^{3}$ or $r_{i 0}+r_{i 1} \gamma+r_{i 2} \gamma^{2}+r_{i 3} \gamma^{3}$ $\left(r_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$, and $\rho$ and $\gamma$ are efficiently computable endomorphisms used in [16]. Park, Lee, and Park [17] gave a similar Frobenius expansion method in elliptic curves.

Our method can be used to improve the known scalar multiplication algorithms for hyperelliptic curves that use the Frobenius expansion [12], [13]. While the methods of [12] and [13] focused on small characteristic fields, our method is applied to the fields of large characteristic, for example, optimal extension fields (OEFs). When our method is applied to known scalar multiplication algorithms, the number of divisor doublings in a scalar multiplication is reduced to a quarter, while the number of divisor additions remains almost the same. Our experiments show that the overall throughputs of scalar multiplications are increased by 15.6 to $28.3 \%$ over the previous algorithms when the algorithms are implemented over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, where $p$ and $n$ are prime.

## II. Preliminaries

## 1. Basic Definitions

We first provide the basic definitions about the arithmetic of hyperelliptic curves [3], [18]. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements, and let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ be its algebraic closure. A nonsingular hyperelliptic curve $C$ of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is defined by an equation
of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C: y^{2}+h(x) y=f(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(x), f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x], \quad f$ is monic, $\operatorname{deg}_{x} f=2 g+1$, $\operatorname{deg}_{x} h \leq g$, and there are no solutions $(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ that simultaneously satisfy (1) and the partial derivative equations $2 y+h(x)=0$ and $h^{\prime}(x) y-f^{\prime}(x)=0$. Let $K$ be an extension field of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$. The set $C(K)$ of $K$-rational points on $C$ consists of all points $(x, y) \in K \times K$ that satisfy (1), together with a point at infinity denoted by $\infty$. Let $P=(x, y) \neq \infty$ be a point on $C$. The opposite of $P$ is the point $\widetilde{P}=(x,-y-h(x))$.
Unlike elliptic curves, there are no natural group laws on $C(K)$ for hyperelliptic curves of genus $g \geq 2$. Therefore, the group law is defined on the Jacobian of $C$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ as follows. A divisor is a formal sum $D=\sum_{P \in C} m_{P} P$, where $m_{P} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m_{P}=0$ for almost all $P \in C$. The degree of $D$ is the integer $\sum_{P \in C} m_{P}$. The set of all divisors, denoted by $\mathbf{D}$, forms an additive group. The set of all divisors of degree 0 , denoted by $\mathbf{D}^{0}$, is a subgroup of $\mathbf{D}$. The divisor of a rational function $f \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(C)^{*}$ is defined by $\operatorname{div}(f)=\sum_{P} \operatorname{ord}_{P}(f) P$, where $\operatorname{ord}_{P}(f)$ is the order of the vanishing of $f$ at $P$. A divisor $D \in \mathbf{D}^{0}$ is called a principal divisor if $D=\operatorname{div}(f)$ for some rational function $f \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(C)^{*}$. The set of all principal divisors, denoted by $\mathbf{P}$, is a subgroup of $\mathbf{D}^{0}$.
The quotient group $\mathbf{J}=\mathbf{D}^{0} / \mathbf{P}$ is called the Jacobian of curve $C$. The Jacobian is an abelian variety whose dimension is the genus of curve $C$ [19]. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, every divisor $D \in \mathbf{D}^{0}$ can be uniquely represented as an equivalence class in $\mathbf{J}$ by a reduced divisor of the form $\sum m_{i} P_{i}-\sum m_{i} \infty$ with $\sum m_{i} \leq g$. Due to Mumford [20], a reduced divisor can be represented by a pair of polynomials $u(x)$ and $v(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ for which $\operatorname{deg}_{x} v<\operatorname{deg}_{x} u \leq g$, and $v(x)^{2}+h(x) v(x)-f(x)$ is divisible by $u(x)$. Divisor $D$ is the equivalence class of the GCD of the divisors of functions $u(x)$ and $v(x)-y$, denoted by $\operatorname{div}(u, v)$.
The addition algorithms in the Jacobian were presented by Koblitz [3], and are a generalization of the earlier algorithms of Cantor [21]. Using explicit formulae in affine coordinates, one addition in a genus 2 hyperelliptic curve needs one inversion, three squarings, and 22 multiplications [22].
The scalar multiplication by an integer $k$ is defined by $k D=\overbrace{D+D+\cdots+D}^{k}$.
The discrete logarithm problem in the Jacobian is the problem of determining $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ given two divisor classes $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, such that $D_{2}=k D_{1}$ if such $k$ exists.

## 2. Hyperelliptic Curves with Efficient Endomorphisms

Park, Jeong, and Lim [16] collected the following hyperelliptic
curves over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, which have efficiently computable endomorphisms.

Example 1. Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ given by (1). The $q$-th power map, called the Frobenius map,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi: X & \rightarrow X \\
(x, y) & \rightarrow\left(x^{q}, y^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

induces an endomorphism on the Jacobian. The characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius map $\varphi$ is given by
$P(t)=t^{2 g}+a_{1} t^{2 g-1}+\cdots+a_{g} t^{g}+q a_{g-1} t^{g-1}+\cdots+q^{g-1} a_{1} t+q^{g}$,
where $a_{0}=1$, and $i a_{i}=S_{i} a_{0}+S_{i-1} a_{1}+\cdots+S_{1} a_{i-1}$ for $S_{i}=N_{i}-\left(q^{i}+1\right), 1 \leq i \leq g$ and $N_{i}=\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{i}}\right)\right|$.

Example 2. [23], [24] Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 5$ be prime. Consider the hyperelliptic curve $X_{1}$ of genus 2 over the field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}: y^{2}=x^{5}+a . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The endomorphism $\rho$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto\left(\zeta_{5} x, y\right)$ induces an efficient endomorphism on the Jacobian, where $\zeta_{5}$ is a 5 th root of unity. The characteristic polynomial of $\rho$ is given by

$$
P(t)=t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1
$$

The formulae for $\rho$ on the Jacobian are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}, b_{1} x+b_{0}\right] } & \mapsto\left[x^{2}+\zeta_{5} a_{1} x+\zeta_{5} a_{0}, \zeta_{5}^{-1} b_{1} x+b_{0}\right] \\
{\left[x+a_{0}, b_{0}\right] } & \mapsto\left[x+\zeta_{5} a_{0}, b_{0}\right] \\
0 & \mapsto 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3. [16] Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ be prime. Consider the hyperelliptic curve $X_{2}$ of genus 2 over the field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}: y^{2}=x^{5}+a x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\gamma$ on $X_{2}$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto\left(\zeta_{8}^{2} x, \zeta_{8} y\right)$ induces an efficient endomorphism, where $\zeta_{8}$ is an 8th root of unity. The characteristic polynomial of $\gamma$ is given by $P(t)=t^{4}+1$. The formulae for $\gamma$ on the Jacobian are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}, b_{1} x+b_{0}\right] } & \mapsto\left[x^{2}+\zeta_{8}^{2} a_{1} x+\zeta_{8}^{4} a_{0}, \zeta_{8}^{-1} b_{1} x+\zeta_{8} b_{0}\right] \\
{\left[x+a_{0} b_{0}\right] } & \mapsto\left[x+\zeta_{8}^{2} a_{0}, \zeta_{8} b_{0}\right] \\
0 & \mapsto 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Lattices and Endomorphism Rings

In this section, we introduce isomorphic properties between lattices and endomorphism rings of (hyper) elliptic curves. By 2and 4-dimensional lattices in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, we shall mean
subgroups which are free of dimension 2 and 4 over $\mathbb{Z}$, respectively. If $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ is a basis of 2-dimensional lattice $L$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, then we write $L=\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]$. The fundamental parallelogram for $L=\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]$ is the set consisting of all points $t_{1} w_{1}+t_{2} w_{2}$, where $0 \leq t_{i} \leq 1$.
Similarly, if $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right\}$ is a basis of 4-dimensional lattice $L$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, then we write $L=\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right]$. The fundamental parallelogram for $L=\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right]$ is the set consisting of all points $t_{1} w_{1}+t_{2} w_{2}+t_{3} w_{3}+t_{4} w_{4}$, where $0 \leq t_{i} \leq 1$.

For a nonsupersingular elliptic curve $E$, its endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}(E)$ has a complex multiplication [25], and the structure of that ring is $\mathbb{Z}[w]=\{a+b w \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ [26], where $w$ is the smallest norm in $\operatorname{End}(E)$. We can consider $\mathbb{Z}[w]$ as the lattice $L=[1, w]$.

We introduce an important property of an endomorphism ring of Jacobian. According to Tate [27], the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius map $\varphi$ has no double roots if and only if $\operatorname{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}(\varphi)$ and $[\operatorname{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}: \mathbb{Q}]=2 g$. Thus, the endomorphism ring of a hyperelliptic curve with genus 2 is $4-$ dimensional if the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius map $\varphi$ has no double roots.

Lemma 1. If the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius map $\varphi$ for $X_{1}$ in Example 2 has no double roots, then $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ and $\operatorname{End}\left(X_{1}\right)$ contain the isomorphic image

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Z}[\rho]=\left\{a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}+d \rho^{3} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \text { of } \\
& \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{5}\right]=\left\{a+b \zeta_{5}+c \zeta_{5}^{2}+d \zeta_{5}^{3} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By J. Tate [27], $\operatorname{End}\left(X_{1}\right)$ is 4-dimensional. We will show that $\operatorname{End}\left(X_{1}\right)$ contains a 4-dimensional lattice. Let $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{5}\right)=\left\{u_{0}+u_{1} \zeta_{5}+u_{2} \zeta_{5}^{2}+u_{3} \zeta_{5}^{3} \mid u_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}\right\}$. It is well known that the set of all algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{5}\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{5}\right]=\left\{c_{0}+c_{1} \zeta_{5}+c_{2} \zeta_{5}^{2}+c_{3} \zeta_{5}^{3} \mid c_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}[28]$.
The endomorphism $\rho \in \operatorname{End}\left(X_{1}\right)$ can be considered as $\zeta_{5}$ since $\rho^{5}=I$. Thus, the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ is isomorphic to the ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{5}\right]$ by $\rho \mapsto \zeta_{5}$. Since $\varphi$ satisfies the characteristic polynomial $f(t)=t^{4}+a_{1} t^{3}+a_{2} t^{2}+a_{1} p t+p^{2}, \varphi$ is represented by an algebraic integer, that is, $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$.
It is obvious that $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ is a subring of $\operatorname{End}\left(X_{1}\right)$.
Lemma 2. If the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius map $\varphi$ for $X_{2}$ in Example 3 has no double roots, then $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ and $\operatorname{End}\left(X_{2}\right)$ contain the isomorphic image

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]=\left\{a+b \gamma+c \gamma^{2}+d \gamma^{3} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \text { of } \\
& \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{8}\right]=\left\{a+b \zeta_{8}+c \zeta_{8}^{2}+d \zeta_{8}^{3} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 1.
Ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{5}\right]$ is the 4-dimensional lattice $L=\left[1, \zeta_{5}, \zeta_{5}^{2}, \zeta_{5}^{3}\right]$; its fundamental parallelogram has 16 points, 32 edges, 24 faces, and 8 cubes as shown in Fig. 1. Similarly, $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{8}\right]$ is the 4-dimensional


Fig. 1. Fundamental parallelogram of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{5}\right]$.


Fig. 2. Fundamental parallelogram of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{8}\right]$.
lattice $L=\left[1, \zeta_{8}, \zeta_{8}^{2}, \zeta_{8}^{3}\right]$ as shown in Fig. 2.
In [12], the norms of vectors in 4-dimensional lattices are defined as follows. In $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{5}\right]$, for $z=a+b \zeta_{5}+c \zeta_{5}^{2}+d \zeta_{5}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
N(z)^{2}= & 2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}+2 c^{2}+2 d^{2}  \tag{4}\\
& -a b-a c-b c-b d-c d-d a
\end{align*}
$$

In $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{8}\right]$ for $z=a+b \zeta_{8}+c \zeta_{8}^{2}+d \zeta_{8}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(z)^{2}=2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}+2 c^{2}+2 d^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. New Frobenius Method for Hyperelliptic Curves

## 1. Fifth Roots of Unity

In this section, we show that when $p \equiv 1 \bmod 5$, the coefficients of a Frobenius expansion can be represented using the efficient endomorphism $\rho$ that is considered as the 5 th root of unity $\zeta_{5}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{4}+i \frac{\sqrt{5+\sqrt{5}}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$. We begin by proving the following division method.

Lemma 3. Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 5$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$. There exist $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ such that $s=t \varphi+r$ and $N(r) \leq \sqrt{10 p} / 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, $\varphi$ can be written as $a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}+d \rho^{3}$ for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $N(\varphi)=\sqrt{2 p}$. Let $s=s_{0}+s_{1} \rho+s_{2} \rho^{2}+s_{3} \rho^{3}$ for $s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exists a quotient

$$
x=x_{0}+x_{1} \rho+x_{2} \rho^{2}+x_{3} \rho^{3}\left(x_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

such that $s=\varphi \cdot x$.
If we represent $s$ as $\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
s_{0} \\
s_{1} \\
s_{2} \\
s_{3}
\end{array}\right)=A\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \\
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a & -d & -c+d & -b+c \\ b & a-d & -c & -b+d \\ c & b-d & a-c & -b \\ d & c-d & b-c & a-b\end{array}\right)$.
To find a quotient in $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$, set $t=\left(\left\lfloor x_{0}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor x_{1}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor x_{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor x_{3}\right\rfloor\right)$, where $\lfloor z\rceil$ means the nearest integer to $z$. Then, put

$$
r=s-t \varphi=\left(\begin{array}{l}
s_{0} \\
s_{1} \\
s_{2} \\
s_{3}
\end{array}\right)-A\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left\lfloor x_{0}\right\rceil \\
\left\lfloor x_{1}\right\rceil \\
\left\lfloor x_{2}\right\rceil \\
\left\lfloor x_{3}\right\rceil
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The largest norm between points in the fundamental parallelogram in $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ is $\sqrt{10}$. Thus, the largest norm between points in the fundamental parallelogram in $\varphi \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ is less than or equal to $\sqrt{10 p}$ since $N(\varphi \cdot x)=\sqrt{p} N(x)$ [12], as shown in Fig. 3. Thus, any lattice point of $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ has its nearest point of $\varphi \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ with the distance less than or equal to $\sqrt{10 p} / 2$.

The following theorem shows that the expansion using our division method given in Lemma 3 is not periodic, and its length is finite.

Theorem 1. Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 5$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$. Then, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\sum_{i=0}^{l} r_{i} \varphi^{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3. Lattice points of $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ in the fundamental parallelogram of lattice $\varphi \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$.
where $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[\rho], N\left(r_{i}\right) \leq \sqrt{10 p} / 2$, and $l \leq\left\lceil 2 \log _{p} N(s)\right\rceil$.
Proof. Let $\mathrm{s}_{0}=\mathrm{s}$. By Lemma 3, $s_{0}=s_{1} \varphi+r_{0}$. Recursively, $s_{j}=s_{j+1} \varphi+r_{j}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
s & =s_{0} \\
& =s_{1} \varphi+r_{0} \\
& =\left(s_{2} \varphi+r_{1}\right) \varphi+r_{0}=s_{2} \varphi^{2}+r_{1} \varphi+r_{0} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{j} r_{i} \varphi^{i}\right)+s_{j+1} \varphi^{j+1}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

with $N\left(r_{i}\right) \leq \sqrt{10 p} / 2$ for $0 \leq i \leq j$. Using the triangular inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
N\left(s_{j+1}\right) & \leq \frac{N\left(s_{j}\right)+N\left(r_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{p}} \\
& \leq \frac{N\left(s_{j}\right)+\sqrt{10 p} / 2}{\sqrt{p}}=\frac{N\left(s_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{p}}+\frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} \\
& \leq \frac{N\left(s_{j-1}\right)}{\sqrt{p}^{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{10}}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{N\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{p}^{j+1}}+\frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right)^{i} \\
& \leq \frac{N\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{p}^{j+1}}+\frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}-1} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if $j \geq\left\lceil 2 \log _{p} N\left(s_{0}\right)\right\rceil-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{p}^{j+1}} \leq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}-1}<\frac{\sqrt{10 p}}{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $p \equiv 1 \bmod 5$ is prime, that is, $p \geq 11$. By (8), (9) and (10), we get $N\left(s_{j+1}\right)<\sqrt{10 p} / 2$. Setting $s_{j+1}=r_{j+1}$ in (7), we get the expansion (6) with $l$ at most $\left\lceil 2 \log _{p} N(s)\right\rceil$.

For example, consider $p=11$ and the curve $X_{1}: y^{2}=x^{5}+1$. Its Frobenius endomorphism can be written as $\varphi=-1-2 \rho-2 \rho^{2}-4 \rho^{3}$. The number of lattice points of $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ in the fundamental parallelogram of $\varphi \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ is 176 . But the actual number of possible remainders $r$ in Lemma 3 is $11^{2}=$ 121. We can expand 37 as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
37= & \left(1-\rho-\rho^{2}\right) \varphi^{3}+\left(\rho+3 \rho^{2}+\rho^{3}\right) \varphi^{2} \\
& +\left(2+\rho+\rho^{2}+\rho^{3}\right) \varphi-2-\rho+\rho^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Eighth Roots of Unity

In this section, we show that when $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, the coefficients of a Frobenius expansion can be represented using an efficient endomorphism $\gamma$ that is considered as the 8th root of unity $\zeta_{8}=\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Lemma 4. Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$. There exist $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ such that $s=t \varphi+r$ and $N(r) \leq \sqrt{2 p}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, $\varphi$ can be written as $a+b \gamma+c \gamma^{2}+d \gamma^{3}$ for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $s=s_{0}+s_{1} \gamma+s_{2} \gamma^{2}+s_{3} \gamma^{3}$ for $s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exists a quotient

$$
x=x_{0}+x_{1} \gamma+x_{2} \gamma^{2}+x_{3} \gamma^{3}\left(x_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}\right),
$$

where $s=\varphi \cdot x$. If we represent $s$ as $\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
s_{0} \\
s_{1} \\
s_{2} \\
s_{3}
\end{array}\right)=B\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \\
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right) \text {, where } B=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
a & -d & -c & -b \\
b & a & -d & -c \\
c & b & a & -d \\
d & c & b & a
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

o find a quotient in $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$, set $t=\left(\left\lfloor x_{0}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor x_{1}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor x_{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor x_{3}\right\rfloor\right)$. Then, put

$$
r=s-t \varphi=\left(\begin{array}{l}
s_{0} \\
s_{1} \\
s_{2} \\
s_{3}
\end{array}\right)-B\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\lfloor x_{0}\right\rfloor \\
\left\lfloor x_{1}\right\rfloor \\
\left\lfloor x_{2}\right\rfloor \\
\left\lfloor x_{3}\right\rfloor
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The proof of $N(r)=\sqrt{2 p}$ is similar to that of Lemma 3, as can be seen in Fig. 4.


Fig. 4. Lattice points of $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ in the fundamental parallelogram of lattice $\varphi \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$.

Theorem 2 shows that the expansion using our division method given in Lemma 4 is not periodic, and its length is finite.

Theorem 2. Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$. Then, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\sum_{i=0}^{l} r_{i} \varphi^{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[\gamma] . N\left(r_{i}\right) \leq \sqrt{2 p}$, and $l \leq\left\lceil 2 \log _{p} N(s)\right\rceil$.

Proof. Let $s_{0}=s$. By Lemma 4, $s_{0}=s_{1} \varphi+r_{0}$. Recursively, $s_{j}=s_{j+1} \varphi+r_{j}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=s_{0}=\sum_{i=0}^{j} r_{i} \varphi^{i}+s_{j+1} \varphi^{j+1}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N\left(r_{i}\right) \leq \sqrt{2 p}$ for $0 \leq i \leq j$. Using the triangular inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(s_{j+1}\right) \leq \frac{N\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{p}^{j+1}}+\sqrt{2} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}-1} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $j \geq\left\lceil 2 \log _{p} N\left(s_{0}\right)\right\rceil-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N\left(s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{p}^{j+1}} \leq 1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p}-1}<\sqrt{2 p} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ is prime, that is, $p \geq 17$. By (13), (14) and (15), we get $N\left(s_{j+1}\right)<\sqrt{2 p}$. Setting $s_{j+1}=r_{j+1}$ in (12), we get the expansion (11) with $l$ at most $\left\lceil 2 \log _{p} N(s)\right\rceil$.

For example, consider $p=17$ and the curve $X_{2}: y^{2}=x^{5}+2 x$. Its Frobenius endomorphism can be written as $\varphi=-2 \gamma-3 \gamma^{2}+2 \gamma^{3}$. The number of lattice points of $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ in the fundamental parallelogram of $\varphi \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ is 368 . But the actual number of possible remainders $r$ in Lemma 4 is $17^{2}=289$. We can expand 37 as follows:

$$
37=(2+2 \gamma) \varphi^{2}+\left(1+2 \gamma+2 \gamma^{3}\right) \varphi+2+2 \gamma .
$$

## IV. Scalar Multiplication Algorithms

In this section, we present practical algorithms that perform scalar multiplication in hyperelliptic curves with genus 2 using our new expansion method. First, we explain a well-known algorithm that uses the Frobenius map over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, that is, the hyperelliptic curve version of the Kobayashi-Morita-Kobayashi-Hoshino algorithm [29], [30], which we call hereafter algorithm KMKH. Then, we show how these algorithms can be adapted to use our new expansion method.
The following algorithm is the hyperelliptic curve version of algorithm KMKH, and it consists of three steps. The first step is the Frobenius expansion step of $m$, which uses Lange's expansion algorithm [12]. In the second step, the length of the expansion is reduced to $n$ using $\varphi^{n}(D)=D,{ }^{1)}$ and $k$ is expanded to $k=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r_{i} \varphi^{i}$. The third step is a simultaneous scalar multiplication $r_{0} D_{0}+r_{1} D_{1}+\cdots+r_{n-1} D_{n-1}$ for $\left.D_{i}=\varphi^{i}(D) .{ }^{2}\right)$
From now on, subscripts are used to denote array indices, and superscripts with parentheses are used to denote bit positions,

[^1]where the least significant bit is regarded as the 0 th bit.

## Algorithm 1.

Input: integer $m$, divisor $D$
Output: divisor $\mathrm{Q}=m D$
Step 1: Frobenius expansion of $m$ [12].
$i \leftarrow 0, c_{0} \leftarrow m, c_{1} \leftarrow 0, c_{2} \leftarrow 0, c_{3} \leftarrow 0$.
while ( $c_{0} \neq 0$ or $c_{1} \neq 0$ or $c_{2} \neq 0$ or $c_{3} \neq 0$ ) do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \leftarrow\left\lfloor c_{0} / p^{2}\right\rceil, \quad u_{i} \leftarrow c_{0}-d p^{2}, \quad c_{0} \leftarrow c_{1}-a_{1} d p, \\
& c_{1} \leftarrow c_{2}-a_{2} d, \quad c_{2} \leftarrow c_{3}-a_{1} d, \quad c_{3} \leftarrow-d,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are from the characteristic polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{4}+a_{1} \varphi^{3}+a_{2} \varphi^{2}+p a_{1} \varphi+p^{2} . \\
& i \leftarrow i+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

od.

Step 2: Optimization of the Frobenius expansion using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{n}(D)=D[29],[30] . \\
& r_{i} \leftarrow u_{i}+u_{i+n}+u_{i+2 n}+u_{i+3 n}+u_{i+4 n} \text { for } 0 \leq i<n .{ }^{3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: Scalar multiplication.
$D_{i} \leftarrow \varphi^{i}(D)$ for $0 \leq i<n$.
$Q \leftarrow \infty$.
for $j \leftarrow \max _{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\log _{2}\left|r_{i}\right|\right]-1 \quad$ to 0 do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q \leftarrow 2 Q . \\
& \text { for } i=0 \text { to } n-1 \text { do }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }\left(r_{i}>0 \text { and } r_{i}^{(j)}=1\right) \text { then } Q \leftarrow Q+D_{i} \text {. } \\
& \text { else if }\left(r_{i}<0 \text { and }\left(-r_{i}\right)^{(j)}=1\right) \text { then } Q \leftarrow Q-D_{i} \text {. } \\
& \text { od. }
\end{aligned}
$$

od.
The above algorithm can be modified to use the endomorphism $\rho$ as well as the Frobenius map as follows.

## Algorithm 2

Input: integer $m$, divisor $D$
Output: divisor $Q=m D$

## Step 1: Frobenius expansion of $m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \leftarrow 0, s_{0} \leftarrow m, s_{1} \leftarrow 0, s_{2} \leftarrow 0, s_{3} \leftarrow 0 . \\
& \text { while }\left(s_{0} \neq 0 \text { or } s_{1} \neq 0 \text { or } s_{2} \neq 0 \text { or } s_{3} \neq 0\right) \text { do }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]$\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{0} \\ x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3}\end{array}\right) \leftarrow A^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{l}s_{0} \\ s_{1} \\ s_{2} \\ s_{3}\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}u_{i, 0} \\ u_{i, 1} \\ u_{i, 2} \\ u_{i, 3}\end{array}\right) \leftarrow\left(\begin{array}{l}s_{0} \\ s_{1} \\ s_{2} \\ s_{3}\end{array}\right)-A\left(\begin{array}{l}\left\lfloor x_{0}\right\rceil \\ \left\lfloor x_{1}\right\rceil \\ \left\lfloor x_{2}\right\rceil \\ \left\lfloor x_{3}\right\rceil\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}s_{0} \\ s_{1} \\ s_{2} \\ s_{3}\end{array}\right) \leftarrow\left(\begin{array}{l}\left\lfloor x_{0}\right\rceil \\ \left\lfloor x_{1}\right\rceil \\ \left\lfloor x_{2}\right\rceil \\ \left\lfloor x_{3}\right\rceil\end{array}\right)$
$i \leftarrow i+1$.
od.

Step 2: Optimization of the Frobenius expansion using $\varphi^{n}(D)=D$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{i, j} \leftarrow u_{i, j}+u_{i+n, j}+u_{i+2 n, j}+u_{i+3 n, j}+u_{i+4 n, j} \\
& \text { for } \left.0 \leq i<n, 0 \leq j \leq 3 .{ }^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: Scalar multiplication
$D_{i} \leftarrow \varphi^{i}(D)$ for $0 \leq i<n$.
$Q \leftarrow \infty$.
for $k \leftarrow \max _{i, j}\left[\log _{2}\left|r_{i j}\right|\right]-1$ to 0 do

$$
Q \leftarrow 2 Q .
$$

$$
\text { for } i=0 \text { to } n-1 \text { do }
$$

$$
\text { for } j=0 \text { to } 3 \text { do }
$$

if $\left(r_{i j}>0\right.$ and $\left.r_{i j}^{(k)}=1\right)$ then $Q \leftarrow Q+\rho^{j}\left(D_{i}\right)$.
else if $\left(r_{i j}<0\right.$ and $\left.\left(-r_{i j}\right)^{(k)}=1\right)$
then $Q \leftarrow Q-\rho^{j}\left(D_{i}\right)$.
od.
od.
od.

Note that this algorithm can be modified easily to a version that uses endomorphism $\gamma$ instead of $\rho$ : we only have to change matrix $A$ into $B$ in Step 1, and change $\rho$ into $\gamma$ in Step 3.

Table 1. Comparison of the number of divisor operations.

|  | Algorithm 1 | Algorithm 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Expansion length <br> (after optimization) | $n$ | $n$ |
| Number of coefficients | $n$ | $4 n$ |
| Number of bits in each coefficient | $\max _{i}\left[\log _{2}\left\|r_{i}\right\|\right\rceil$ <br> $\approx 2 \log _{2} p$ | $\max _{i, j}\left\lceil\log _{2}\left\|r_{i j}\right\|\right]$ <br> $\approx\left(\log _{2} p\right) / 2$ |
| Average number of divisor <br> additions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\approx n \log _{2} p$ | $\approx n \log _{2} p$ |
| Number of divisor doublings | $\approx 2 \log _{2} p$ | $\approx\left(\log _{2} p\right) / 2$ |
| Number of Frobenius maps | $n-1$ | $n-1$ |
| Number of $\rho$ or $\gamma$ maps ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0 | $3 n$ |

a) (the total number of bits) / 2
b) The costs for these operations are negligible.
4) According to Theorem 1 , the expansion length can be slightly greater than $4 n$.

Now, we compare the number of divisor operations in Algorithm 2 with that of Algorithm 1, as shown in Table 1. Note that in Algorithm 2, the number of coefficients is quadrupled, but the size of each coefficient is reduced to a fourth root order. Hence, the number of divisor additions is approximately the same. However, the number of divisor doublings is reduced to a quarter, which is the main improvement of our algorithm. Although Algorithm 2 needs $3 n$ computations of $\rho$ or $\gamma$ maps, the required time for these operations is negligible. Finally, we remark that the required memory to store the expansion coefficients ( $r_{i}$ or $r_{i j}$ ) and divisors $D_{i}$ is approximately the same for the two algorithms.

## V. Performance Analysis

In this section, we compare the performance of the scalar multiplication algorithms described in the previous section. For the underlying fields, we consider only finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ that have irreducible binomials $f(x)=x^{n}-\omega$ as their field polynomials. The fields and curves that we have implemented are shown in Table 2. We can calculate the orders of some Jacobian groups and the characteristic polynomials of the Frobenius maps $\varphi$ with the help of the program made by Lange [33], which uses MAGMA [34].
Table 3 shows the timings for scalar multiplications on a 2.66 GHz Pentium 4 CPU with 512 MB RAM using Visual C ++6.0 compiler. For reference, we have also shown the results for the non-adjacent form scalar multiplication algorithm. As shown in Table 3, our method improves the throughput by 15.6 to $28.3 \%$. According to our experiments, the time required for an expansion is equivalent to only a few divisor additions.
We remark that our comparison could be done on more optimized versions of Algorithms 1 and 2, that is, we could use non-adjacent forms for each coefficient $r_{i}$ or $r_{i j}$, a Joint Sparse Form [35], and an on-line precomputation method such as Lim and Hwang's algorithm [36]. Note that in these cases the gains are

Table 2. Implemented fields and curves.

| curve | $p$ | $n$ | Irreducible <br> binomial | Curve <br> equation | Order <br> (bits) | Endo- <br> morphism |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1021 | 17 | $f(x)=x^{17}-2$ | $y^{2}=x^{5}+2$ | 267 | $\rho$ |
| 2 | 8191 | 13 | $f(x)=x^{13}-2$ | $y^{2}=x^{5}+1$ | 268 | $\rho$ |
| 3 | 8161 | 17 | $f(x)=x^{17}-2$ | $y^{2}=x^{5}+1$ | 416 | $\rho$ |
| 4 | 457 | 19 | $f(x)=x^{19}-2$ | $y^{2}=x^{5}+5 x$ | 318 | $\gamma$ |
| 5 | 761 | 19 | $f(x)=x^{19}-2$ | $y^{2}=x^{5}+2 x$ | 336 | $\gamma$ |

## Appendix A. Some Suitable Curves

There exist many curves that are suitable for cryptographic use, that is, those that have a large prime factor in their Jacobian group orders. We give some of them here.

Table A1. Curves $y^{2}=x^{5}+a$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

| $p$ | $a$ | $n$ | $\|J\|$, the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius map $\varphi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 211 | 4 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 2699876120698661907132756440968534354370062556956720944119105=5 \cdot 11 \cdot 521 \cdot 941 \cdot 14561 \cdot 1560131 \cdot 44075934928 \\ & 67288828467654997293793808617561, t^{4}+31 t^{3}+661 t^{2}+6541 t+44521 \end{aligned}$ |
| 241 | 1 | 13 | $85593957535217708575355388427219650126937503209374273784942000=2^{4} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 31 \cdot 911 \cdot 151542009020958373597527$ $3333579187176922515194387137031, t^{4}+16 t^{3}+46 t^{2}+3856 t+58081$ |
|  |  | 17 | $974045955869187927807164285439963740160040891527320569854349910401810022262782000=2^{4} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 31 \cdot 104891 \cdot 23$ $8886041 \cdot 626987321804777160720652188364657947099117050861939624179149131, t^{4}+16 t^{3}+46 t^{2}+3856 t+58081$ |
|  | 3 | 17 | $974045955869187927826338276197753342825169792078312871639095777211324211562093555=3^{4} \cdot 5 \cdot 151 \cdot 15927494$ $986005852797421932404509089082252796861717159212478060292884052188081, t^{4}+11 t^{3}+411 t^{2}+2651 t+58081$ |
|  | 5 | 17 | $974045955869187927838499773361318117484598828710900025003171798786323692389965155=5 \cdot 101 \cdot 131 \cdot 1472369$ $3687086205545136418613276670206100806117616204746476786316776112045801, t^{4}+31 t^{3}+571 t^{2}+7471 t+58081$ |
| 251 | 1 | 13 | $246329688982665693963347758402288682267639125363099767720782000=2^{4} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 31 \cdot 397305949972041441876367352$ $2617559391413534280049996253561, t^{4}-4 t^{3}+6 t^{2}-1004 t+63001$ |
| 431 | 11 | 17 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 373445461206796545002218752480945258270913877901160943722310882379930815264665357070460455=5 \cdot 31 \cdot 129 \\ 1 \cdot 1866247526082789260649252904629795648639033896710031951836840070862451289396393678671, \\ t^{4}+31 t^{3}+951 t^{2}+13361 t+185761 \end{array}\right\|$ |
| 461 | 1 | $13$ | $1803948189292645871173780038301237976421347980672645623956400558682880=2^{8} \cdot 5 \cdot 151 \cdot 13820431 \cdot 67532924343$ $3735384902354215892179339931357777482000406191, t^{4}-44 t^{3}+1086 t^{2}-20284 t+212521$ |
|  |  | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 3679861414696803421591661765140668006575135455415703872670275103982791953339244070337934080=2^{8} \cdot 5 \cdot 15 \\ & 1 \cdot 19039018081005812404758183801431436292296851487043169871017565728387789493684002847361, \\ & t^{4}-44 t^{3}+1086 t^{2}-20284 t+212521 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | 13 | $1803948189292645859803440202551316050759330926994401105111282187479081=131 \cdot 221261 \cdot 62236891566201715$ $207032453315602303630130673522020300128823791, t^{4}+19 t^{3}-39 t^{2}+8759 t+212521$ |
| 491 | 1 | 13 | $9292205273328120088035467151392526652099779880241255719652455505781680=2^{4} \cdot 5 \cdot 3511 \cdot 3308247391529521535$ $1877909254459294546068712191118113499189887161, t^{4}+76 t^{3}+2406 t^{2}+37316 t+241081$ |
|  | 7 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 31388512296654191827836489891634642465288469320272196732182775697565323967887270074386525041=11 \cdot 31 \cdot \\ & 691 \cdot 133210453194419205570729190520918904835477799272049079841713423520527112170670540270111, \\ & t^{4}-11 t^{3}-39 t^{2}-5401 t+241081 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1021 | 1 | 11 | $1579669838163908876341912902720336379106066092796085557742887655680=2^{8} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 71 \cdot 14365231766564472234$ $2232505558172831588477957746122900941291, t^{4}-44 t^{3}+2206 t^{2}-44924 t+1042441$ |
|  |  | 13 | $1716600735466713513867139209916276849110527017403516911968872038175647606964480=2^{8} \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 71 \cdot 131 \cdot 76599$ $91 \cdot 1711231380503501251804673458819178976986466853506572546408345741, t^{4}-44 t^{3}+2206 t^{2}-44924 t+1042441$ |
|  | 2 | 17 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 20271002674999194118761025569839996834640743914468379951438765758428171667144966943506813284727607 \\ 04661=1051^{2} \cdot 1361 \cdot 153511 \cdot 60898931 \cdot 1442322915765730279942685292271946039600618408802287616058353959614 \\ 37394493939561, t^{4}+59 t^{3}+1861 t^{2}+60239 t+1042441 \end{array}\right\|$ |
| 8161 | 1 | 17 | 99833166696352446577561984053748450261868061611134014960944050495539462488663618611165042203898569 $13302097293234645111931345157792000==^{8} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 11 \cdot 191 \cdot 148490550179010659474003427019497337966843261558683$ $387316968185530014669337017519352637199851110437192142094437688081745766081, t^{4}+76 t^{3}+9766 t^{2}+620236 t$ + 66601921 |
|  | 3 | 17 | 99833166696352446577561984053748305962314490920011403434029575461229331117442555880784978107134729 $66066983316928234413691161415075305=3^{4} \cdot 5 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 131 \cdot 56611 \cdot 449311 \cdot 5820430396226384050451704390848769203$ $877053880818694457993965876894154517724217806357553429543162006041724342599861, t^{4}+101 t^{3}+6621 t^{2}+$ $824261 t+66601921$ |
| 8191 | 1 | 13 | 55816175338656753035664248107951544717900586647129332269770911920302122880203251744993147250256820 $2000=2^{4} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 71 \cdot 491 \cdot 1171 \cdot 9491 \cdot 1941941 \cdot 37092434480076333343013764866950699296342581291819214596488512514$ $7273033693786541, t^{4}+316 t^{3}+40846 t^{2}+2588356 t+67092481$ |

Table A2. Curves $y^{2}=x^{5}+a x$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

| $p$ | $a$ | $n$ | $\|J\|$, the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius map $\varphi$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 233 | 3 | 13 |  | $35583932904202122404699549210191429703958828849165564347732194=2 \cdot 28097 \cdot 633233670929318475365689383$ <br> $389533218919436752129507854001, t^{4}+8 t^{3}+32 t^{2}+1864 t+54289$ |
|  | 17 | $309101643971325034558249053383976545806788006378631831042669370159458854231108994=2 \cdot 137 \cdot 28097 \cdot 57147$ <br> $9889 \cdot 7025704005056055050795698628104176460612, t^{4}+8 t^{3}+32 t^{2}+1864 t+54289$ |  |  |
| 257 | 9 | 17 | $8664154603710852581745538101767290958726499060721225994384649715431091073714140036=2^{2} \cdot 17^{2} \cdot 977 \cdot 767138$ <br> $5290497048536535416749394632745823932330027683426760694693726550695153, t^{4}+386 t^{2}+66049$ |  |
| 449 | 3 | 19 | 61004371637573399777978803270713287432992308363094379613429808026076282051691525900576739728874380 <br> $914=2 \cdot 99017 \cdot 6308153 \cdot 2265185929 \cdot 21558330468296002917728602672815324504328883422912391492046751440047$ <br> $846150296433, t^{4}-8 t^{3}+32 t^{2}-3592 t+201601$ |  |
| 457 | 5 | 19 | 11934812559723912735221118577836169890712454139151888995310929237339099939489178174215041363979034 <br> $4594=2 \cdot 193 \cdot 601 \cdot 514462621008332948333999404181121700909212372261769632448118819124391124442387824015$ <br> $890672884529, t^{4}+48 t^{3}+1152 t^{2}+21936 t+208849$ |  |
| 761 | 2 | 19 | 31089730491797053629629165686526223258322292694627170645161548718533794460473210096035833024410924 <br> $136341147236=2^{2} \cdot 17 \cdot 457 \cdot 8537 \cdot 117188923026787372088742832044880615221782289931614791737313775305785054$ <br> $987875986885295547086928474153, t^{4}+1394 t^{2}+579121$ |  |
| 1009 | 2 | 17 | 13561247809445593140256306312966255206980587509651917687506517849205442267025930564779315280065669 <br> $08390=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 2609 \cdot 10099 \cdot 43793 \cdot 123863 \cdot 24329674687898572760228883675033427981944247396716903575491532$ <br> $948618622175312516429, t^{4}-574 t^{2}+1018081$ |  |
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[^1]:    1) Note that it is possible to first reduce $m$ modulo $\left(\varphi^{n}-1\right) /(\varphi-1)$ and then apply the first step, which produces an expansion with smaller coefficients [31], [32]. In [12], this approach is taken. However, we don't use this approach since it does not seem to bring a significant speed-up that can justify the additional complexity. It reduces the number of bits in each coefficient at most by two, but its implementation is more complicated than the above implementation of Step 2, i.e., simple integer additions.
    2) For curves with very small characteristic, the cardinality of the set of possible $r_{i}$ 's is very small. Then, the third step can be implemented with no doublings: $\varphi\left(\cdots \varphi\left(\varphi\left(r_{n-1} D\right)+r_{n-2} D\right)+\cdots+r_{1} D\right)+r_{0} D$, where $D, 2 D, 3 D, \ldots, r D$ are precomputed for $r=\max \left(\left|r_{i}\right|\right)$. Note that our new expansion method is not applied to this case.
[^2]:    3) According to Lemma 8.2 in [12], the expansion length can be slightly greater than $4 n$.
