

SOLUTION AND STABILITY OF A GENERAL POPOVICIU FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

EUN HWI LEE AND SANG WON LEE

Abstract. In this paper we solve a generalized Popoviciu functional equation and investigate the stability of this equation.

1. Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [See, 23] posed the following question on the stability of homomorphisms: Given a metric group $(G, +, d)$, a number $\epsilon > 0$ and a mapping $f : G \rightarrow G$ which satisfies the inequality

$$d(f(x+y), f(x) + f(y)) < \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$, does there exist an automorphism $a : G \rightarrow G$ and a constant $k > 0$, depending only on G , such that for all $x \in G$

$$d(f(x), a(x)) < k\epsilon?$$

This question became a source of the stability theory in the spirit of Hyers-Ulam.

The case of approximately additive mappings was solved by D. H. Hyers [1] under the assumption that X and Y are Banach spaces. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [15] generalized the result of Hyers as follows:

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping between Banach spaces and let $0 \leq p < 1$ be fixed. If f satisfies the inequality

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

Received September 13, 2004. Accepted February 7, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 39B72.

Key words and phrases : stability, popoviciu functional equation.

for some $\theta \geq 0$ and for all $x, y \in X$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|A(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed $x \in X$, then A is linear.

Later, many Rassias type theorems concerning the stability of different functional equations were obtained by numerous authors (see, for instance, [1-23]).

E. H. Lee, Y. W. Lee and S. H. Park [10] solved Popoviciu functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & 3f\left(\frac{x-y-z}{3}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) \\ &= 2\left[f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-z}{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

and proved the stability of this equation.

In this paper we deal with the generalized Popoviciu functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & mf\left(\frac{x-y-z}{3}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) \\ &= n\left[f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-z}{2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. In section 2 in this paper we solve the functional equation (1.1). In section 3 we investigate the stability of the functional equation (1.1).

2. Solution of the functional equation (1.1)

It is well known that if X and Y are real linear spaces, then a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a solution of the Jensen functional equation $2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y)$ if and only if there exist an element $B \in Y$ and an additive

mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) = A(x) + B$ for all $x \in X$. Assume that $m = 3(n-1)$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. Given a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, consider the following equation

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Df(x, y, z) := & mf\left(\frac{x-y-z}{3}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) \\ & - n\left[f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-z}{2}\right)\right] \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X and Y be real linear spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $Df(x, y, z) = 0$ and $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ if and only if f is additive. Furthermore $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ when $n \neq 2$.*

Proof. *Necessity.* Note that $f(0) = 0$ since $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

(I) If $n = 0$, then $m = -3$. From (2.1) we get

$$(2.2) \quad 3f\left(\frac{x-y-z}{3}\right) = f(x) + f(y) + f(z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Putting $z = -y$ in (2.2) we have

$$(2.3) \quad 3f\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) = f(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $x = z = 0$ in (2.2) and applying (2.3) we have $f(y) = 0$ for all $y \in X$. Thus f is additive.

(II) If $n = 1$, then $m = 0$. From (2.1) we get

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-z}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Putting $z = y$ in (2.4) we have $f(x) + f(y) = 2f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Since $f(0) = 0$, $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Thus f is additive.

(III) The case $0 \neq n \neq 1$. Putting $z = -y$ in (2.1) we have

$$(2.5) \quad mf\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) + f(x) = n \left[f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing y by x in (2.5) and using $m = 3(n-1)$ we deduce

$$(2.6) \quad 3f(x) = f(3x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $3y$ in (2.5) and using (2.6) we get

$$(2.7) \quad f(2y) = 2f(y)$$

for all $y \in X$. Putting $z = x - y$ in (2.1) we get

$$(2.8) \quad f(x) + f(y) + f(x-y) = n \left[f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) \right]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. From (2.7) and (2.8) we have $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ when $n \neq 2$. Assume that $n = 2$. Then $m = 3$. From (2.5) we get

$$(2.9) \quad 3f\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) + f(x) = 2 \left[f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \right]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9) we deduce

$$(2.10) \quad f(2x) = f(x+y) + f(x-y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing $x+y$ by z and $x-y$ by w in (2.10) we have $f(z+w) = f(z) + f(w)$ for all $z, w \in X$. *Sufficiency.* This is obvious. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let X and Y be real linear spaces. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $Df(x, y, z) = 0$, $f(0) = 0$ and $f(-x) = f(x)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ if and only if f is quadratic. Furthermore, $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ when $n \neq 4$.*

Proof. We claim that $f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $z = x$ in (2.1) we have

$$(2.11) \quad mf\left(\frac{y}{3}\right) + 2f(x) + f(y) = n \left[f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \right]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $y = 0$ and $x = 2x$ in (2.11) we get

$$(2.12) \quad f(2x) = nf(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $x = 0$ in (2.11) and using (2.12) we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad mf\left(\frac{y}{3}\right) + f(y) = 2f(y)$$

for all $y \in X$. From (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) we deduce

$$(2.14) \quad f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. From (2.12) and (2.14) we deduce $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ if $n \neq 4$. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let X and Y be real linear spaces. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.1) for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist an element $B \in Y$, an additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$, and a quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$(2.15) \quad f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + B$$

for all $x \in X$, where $A(x) = 0$ if $n \neq 2$ and $Q(x) = 0$ if $n \neq 4$.

Proof. Let $A(x) := \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x))$ for all $x \in X$. Then $A(-x) = -A(x)$ and $DA(x, y, z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. By Lemma 1, A is additive. Let $B := f(0)$ and $Q(x) := \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) - f(0)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $Q(0) = 0$, $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ and $DQ(x, y, z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. By Lemma 2, Q is quadratic. Clearly, we have $f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + B$ for all $x \in X$. □

3. Stability of the functional equation (1.1)

Let R_+ denote the set of nonnegative real numbers. Recall that a function $H : R_+ \times R_+ \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ is homogeneous of degree $p > 0$ if it satisfies $H(tu, tv, tw) = t^p H(u, v, w)$ for all nonnegative real numbers

t, u, v and w . Throughout this section X and Y will be a real normed linear space and a real Banach space, respectively. We may assume that H is monotonically increasing in each variable and homogeneous of degree p . Assume that $m = 3(n - 1)$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. If $n = 1$. Then $m = 0$ and

$$Df(x, y, z) = f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - \left[f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-z}{2}\right) \right]$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $n = 1$, $\delta \geq 0$ and $p \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$. Let a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the following inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Furthermore, assume $f(0) = 0$ and $\delta = 0$ in (3.1) for the case of $p > 1$. Then there exists a unique zero additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|A(x) - f(x) + f(0)\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, 0, 0) \frac{1}{2^{1-p} - 1} \quad (\text{for } p < 1)$$

and

$$\|A(x) - f(x)\| \leq H(\|x\|, 0, 0) \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-p}} \quad (\text{for } p > 1)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Putting $z = y$ in (3.1) we get

$$\|Df(x, y, y)\| = \|f(x) + f(y) - 2f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|y\|)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Letting $y = 0$, we have

$$\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, 0, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. The other proof is similar to Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability Theorem in [6]. \square

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $n \neq 1$, $\delta \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| \leq \alpha + \beta H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - f(0) - Q(x) \right\| \leq \alpha_1 + \beta_1 H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|),$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq \alpha_2 + \beta_2 H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$ where $\alpha_1 = \frac{7\delta}{6}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{3\delta}{2m}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{2^{p-1}+3}{4-2^p}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{3}{m(3^{1-p}-1)}$, $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$.

Proof. Let $f_a(x) := \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$ and $f_q(x) := \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2} - f(0)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $f_a(0) = f_q(0) = 0$, $f_a(-x) = -f_a(x)$, $f_q(-x) = f_q(x)$,

$$(3.6) \quad \|Df_q(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|),$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \|Df_a(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Putting $y = x$ and $z = -x$ in (3.7) we get

$$(3.8) \quad \left\| mf_a\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) + f_a(x) - nf_a(x) \right\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $3x$ in (3.8) and dividing its result by m we get

$$(3.9) \quad \left\| f_a(x) - \frac{f_a(3x)}{3} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{m}(\delta + 3^p H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|))$$

for all $x \in X$ since $m = 3(n - 1)$. Using (3.9) we have

$$(3.10) \quad \left\| \frac{f_a(3^n x)}{3^n} - \frac{f_a(3^{n+1} x)}{3^{n+1}} \right\| = \frac{1}{3^n} \left\| f_a(3^n x) - \frac{f_a(3 \cdot 3^n x)}{3} \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{m} (3^{-n} \delta + 3^{n(p-1)} 3^p H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|))$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers n . From (3.10) we have

$$\left\| \frac{f_a(3^m x)}{3^m} - \frac{f_a(3^n x)}{3^n} \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\delta}{3^m} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} 3^{-k} + 3^{(p-1)m} 3^p H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} 3^{(p-1)k} \right)$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers m and n with $m < n$. This shows that $\left\{ \frac{f_a(3^n x)}{3^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Consequently, we can define a mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$(3.11) \quad A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_a(3^n x)}{3^n}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $f_a(-x) = -f_a(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we have $A(-x) = -A(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Also, we get

$$\|DA(x, y, z)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^{-n} \|Df_a(3^n x, 3^n y, 3^n z)\| \\ \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (3^{-n} \delta + 3^{(p-1)n} H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|)) \\ = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By Lemma 1, it follows that A is additive.

From (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \left\| \frac{f_a(3^n x)}{3^n} - f_a(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{m} \left(\delta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 3^{-k} + 3^p H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 3^{(p-1)k} \right) \\ \leq \frac{3\delta}{2m} + \frac{3}{m(3^{1-p} - 1)} H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers n . Taking limit (3.12) in as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get (3.5).

Now, let $A' : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (3.5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x) - A'(x)\| &= 3^{-n} \|A(3^n x) - A'(3^n x)\| \\ &\leq 3^{-n} (\|A(3^n x) - f_a(3^n x)\| + \|A'(3^n x) - f_a(3^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{3\delta}{3^n m} + \frac{6}{m(3^{1-p} - 1)} 3^{(p-1)n} H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers n . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{3\delta}{3^n m} + \frac{6}{m(3^{1-p} - 1)} 3^{(p-1)n} H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \right) = 0,$$

we can conclude that $A(x) = A'(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Putting $z = x$ in (3.6) we get

$$(3.13) \quad \left\| mf_q\left(\frac{y}{3}\right) + 2f_q(x) + f_q(y) - n \left[f_q\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f_q\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right] \right\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $y = 0$ in (3.13) we get

$$(3.14) \quad \left\| 2f_q(x) - 2nf_q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, 0, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing y by x in (3.13) we get

$$(3.15) \quad \left\| mf_q\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) + 3f_q(x) - nf_q(x) \right\| \leq \delta + H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $x = 0$ and $y = x$ in (3.13) we get

$$(3.16) \quad \left\| mf_q\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) + f_q(x) - 2nf_q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \delta + H(0, \|x\|, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. From (3.14) and (3.16) we have

$$(3.17) \quad \left\| mf_q\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) - f_q(x) \right\| \leq 2\delta + H(\|x\|, 0, \|x\|) + H(0, \|x\|, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. From (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17) we deduce

$$(3.18) \quad \left\| f_q(x) - \frac{f_q(2x)}{4} \right\| \leq \frac{7}{8}\delta + 4^{-1}(2^{p-1} + 3)H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$. Using (3.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.19) \quad & \left\| \frac{f_q(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{f_q(2^{n+1} x)}{4^{n+1}} \right\| \\
 &= \frac{1}{4^n} \left\| f_q(2^n x) - \frac{f_q(2 \cdot 2^n x)}{4} \right\| \\
 &\leq \frac{7}{8} \delta 4^{-n} + 2^{n(p-2)} 4^{-1} (2^{p-1} + 3) H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers n . From (3.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \frac{f_q(2^m x)}{4^m} - \frac{f_q(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| &\leq \frac{7}{8} \delta 4^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} 4^{-k} \\
 &\quad + 2^{m(p-2)} 4^{-1} (2^{p-1} + 3) H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} 2^{(p-2)k}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers m and n with $m < n$. This shows that $\left\{ \frac{f_q(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Consequently, we can define a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$(3.20) \quad Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_q(2^n x)}{4^n}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $f_q(-x) = f_q(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we have $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $Q(0) = 0$. Also, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|DQ(x, y, z)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|Df_q(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)\| \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\delta}{4^n} + 2^{(p-2)n} H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|) \right) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By Lemma 2, it follows that Q is quadratic.

From (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.21) \quad & \left\| \frac{f_q(2^n x)}{4^n} - f_q(x) \right\| \\
 &\leq \frac{7}{8} \delta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4^{-k} + 4^{-1} (2^{p-1} + 3) H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{(p-2)k}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers n . Taking limit in (3.21) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get (3.4).

Now, let $Q' : X \rightarrow Y$ be another quadratic mapping satisfying (3.4). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - Q'(x)\| &= 4^{-n} \|Q(2^n x) - Q'(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq 4^{-n} (\|Q(2^n x) - f_q(2^n x)\| + \|Q'(2^n x) - f_q(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq 4^{-n} \left(\frac{7\delta}{3} + \frac{2^p + 6}{4 - 2^p} H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) 2^{pn} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers n . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} \left(\frac{7\delta}{3} + \frac{2^p + 6}{4 - 2^p} H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) 2^{pn} \right) = 0$$

we can conclude that $Q(x) = Q'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that $n \neq 1$ and $2 < p$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$(3.22) \quad \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(3.23) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| \leq (k_1 + k_2)H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|),$$

$$(3.24) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq k_1 H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|),$$

and

$$(3.25) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq k_2 H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$ where $k_1 = \frac{2^{p-1}+3}{2^p-4}$ and $k_2 = \frac{3}{m(1-3^{1-p})}$.

Proof. Let $f_a(x) := \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$ and $f_q(x) := \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$ for all $x \in X$. Then $f_a(0) = f_q(0) = 0$, $f_a(-x) = -f_a(x)$, $f_q(-x) = f_q(x)$,

$$(3.26) \quad \|Df_q(x, y, z)\| \leq H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|),$$

and

$$(3.27) \quad \|Df_a(x, y, z)\| \leq H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Putting $y = x$ and $z = -x$ in (3.27) we deduce

$$(3.28) \quad \left\| 3f_a\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) - f_a(x) \right\| \leq \frac{3}{m} H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$ since $m = 3(n-1)$. Using (3.28) we have

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| 3^n f_a(3^{-n}x) - 3^{n+1} f_a(3^{-(n+1)}x) \right\| &= 3^n \left\| f_a(3^{-n}x) - 3f_a\left(\frac{3^{-n}x}{3}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{3}{m} 3^{n(1-p)} H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers n . From (3.29) we have

$$\|3^m f_a(3^{-m}x) - 3^n f_a(3^{-n}x)\| \leq \frac{3}{m} 3^{(1-p)m} H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} 3^{(1-p)k}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers m and n with $m < n$. This shows that $\{3^n f_a(3^{-n}x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Consequently, we can define a mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$(3.30) \quad A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^n f_a(3^{-n}x)$$

for all $x \in X$. The other proof of $A(x)$ is similar to the corresponding part of the proof in Theorem 5

Putting $z = x$ in (3.26) we get

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| m f_q\left(\frac{y}{3}\right) + 2f_q(x) + f_q(y) - n \left[f_q\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f_q\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right) \right] \right\| \\ \leq H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|x\|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $y = 0$ in (3.31) we get

$$(3.32) \quad \left\| 2f_q(x) - 2n f_q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq H(\|x\|, 0, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $y = x$ in (3.31) we get

$$(3.33) \quad \left\| m f_q\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) + 3f_q(x) - n f_q(x) \right\| \leq H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $x = 0$ and $y = x$ in (3.31) we get

$$(3.34) \quad \left\| mf_q\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) + f_q(x) - 2nf_q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq H(0, \|x\|, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. From (3.32) and (3.34) we deduce

$$(3.35) \quad \left\| mf_q\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) - f_q(x) \right\| \leq H(\|x\|, 0, \|x\|) + H(0, \|x\|, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. From (3.32), (3.33) and (3.35) we deduce

$$(3.36) \quad \|f_q(x) - 4f_q(2^{-1}x)\| \leq (2^{-1} + 3 \cdot 2^{-p})H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$. Using (3.36) we have

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|4^n f_q(2^{-n}x) - 4^{n+1} f_q(2^{-(n+1)}x)\| \\ &= 4^n \|f_q(2^{-n}x) - 4f_q(2^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n}x)\| \\ &\leq (2^{-1} + 3 \cdot 2^{-p})2^{(2-p)n}H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers n . From (3.37) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|4^m f_q(2^{-m}x) - 4^n f_q(2^{-n}x)\| \\ &\leq (2^{-1} + 3 \cdot 2^{-p})2^{(2-p)m}H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|) \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} 2^{(2-p)k} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all positive integers m and n with $m < n$. This shows that $\{4^n \cdot f_q(2^{-n}x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Consequently, we can define a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$(3.38) \quad Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \cdot f_q(2^{-n}x)$$

for all $x \in X$. The other proof of $Q(x)$ is similar to the corresponding part of the proof in Theorem 5 □

If we refer to the process of the proofs in Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that $n \neq 1$ and $1 < p < 2$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq H(\|x\|, \|y\|, \|z\|)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| \leq (k_1 + k_2)H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|),$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq k_1 H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|),$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq k_2 H(\|x\|, \|x\|, \|x\|)$$

for all $x \in X$ where $k_1 = \frac{2^{p-1}+3}{4-2^p}$ and $k_2 = \frac{3}{m(1-3^{1-p})}$

Define a function $H : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $H(a, b, c) = (a^p + b^p + c^p)\theta$ where $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$. Then H is homogeneous of degree p . Thus we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.5. Assume that $n = 1$, $\delta \geq 0$, $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$. Let a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the following inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Furthermore, assume $f(0) = 0$ and $\delta = 0$ in (1) for the case of $p > 1$. Then there exists a unique zero additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|A(x) - f(x) + f(0)\| \leq \delta + \frac{1}{2^{1-p} - 1} \theta \|x\| \quad (\text{for } p < 1)$$

and

$$\|A(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-p}} \theta \|x\| \quad (\text{for } p > 1)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Corollary 3.6. Assume that $n \neq 1$, $\delta \geq 0$, $\theta \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| \leq \alpha + 3\beta\theta\|x\|^p,$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - f(0) - Q(x) \right\| \leq \alpha_1 + 3\beta_1\theta\|x\|^p$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq \alpha_2 + 3\beta_2\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$ where $\alpha_1 = \frac{7\delta}{6}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{3\delta}{2m}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{2^{p-1}+3}{4-2^p}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{3}{m(3^{1-p}-1)}$, $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$.

Corollary 3.7. Assume that $n \neq 1$, $\theta \geq 0$ and $2 < p$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| \leq 3(k_1 + k_2)\theta\|x\|^p,$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq 3k_1\theta\|x\|^p$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq 3k_2\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$ where $k_1 = \frac{2^{p-1}+3}{2^p-4}$ and $k_2 = \frac{3}{m(1-3^{1-p})}$.

Corollary 3.8. Assume that $n \neq 1$, $\theta \geq 0$ and $1 < p < 2$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| \leq 3(k_1 + k_2)\theta\|x\|^p,$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\| \leq 3k_1\theta\|x\|^p$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq 3k_2\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$ where $k_1 = \frac{2^{p-1}+3}{4-2^p}$ and $k_2 = \frac{3}{m(1-3^{1-p})}$.

References

- [1] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/Berlin (1998).
- [3] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequ. Math. 44 (1992), 125-153.
- [4] K. W. Jun, G. H. Kim and Y. W. Lee, *Stability of generalized gamma and beta functional equations*, Aequ. Math. 60 (2000), 15-24.
- [5] S. -M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations*, Dynam. Systems Appl.6 (1997), 541-566.
- [6] S. -M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation and its application*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 3137-3143.
- [7] S. -M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 222 (1998), 126-137.
- [8] S. -M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam -Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 232 (1999), 384-393.
- [9] S. H. Lee, *Stability of a Jensen type functional Equations with three variables*, Korean J. Comput and Appl. Math. 9 (2002), 389-399.

- [10] E. H. Lee, Y. W. Lee and S. H. Park, *Stability of a Jensen functional equation with three variables*, J. Appl Math and Computing 10 (2002), 283-295.
- [11] Y. H. Lee and K. W. Jun, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's Equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 238 (1999), 305-315.
- [12] Y. W. Lee, *The stability of derivatins on Banach algebras*, Bull. Institute of Math. Academia Sinica. 28 (2000), 113-116.
- [13] Y. W. Lee, *On the stability of a quadratic Jensen type functional Equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (2002), 590-601.
- [14] Y. W. Lee and S. H. Park, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation*, Korean J. Comput and Appl. Math. 9 (2002), 371-380.
- [15] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [16] Th. M. Rassias, *On a problem of S. M. Ulam and the asymptotic stability of the Cauchy functional equation with applications*, in : General Inequalities 7, MFO, Oberwolfach, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel ISNM Vol · 123 (1997), 297-309.
- [17] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the quadratic functional equation and its applications*, Studia, Univ. Babes-Bolyai XLIII(3) (1998), 89-124.
- [18] Th. M. Rassias, *The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 246 (2000), 352-378.
- [19] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 251 (2000), 264-284.
- [20] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Applican. Math. 62 (2000), 23-130.
- [21] Th. M. Rassias and P. Semrl, *On the behavior of mapping that do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 989-993.
- [22] T. Trif, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a Jensen type functional Equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250 (2000), 579-588.
- [23] S. M. Ulam, *"Problems in Modern Mathematics"*, Proc. Chap. VI, Wiley, New York 1964.
- [24] S. M. Jung, *"On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings"*, J. Math. Anal. Appal. 204(1996), 221-226.
- [25] Z. Gajda, *"On stability of the Cauchy equation on semigroups"*, Aequationes Math. 36(1988), 76-79.
- [26] D. Găvruta, *"A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings"*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184(1994), 431-436.

Eun Hwi Lee and Sang Won Lee
School of Information Technology and Engineering
Jeonju University
Jeonju 560-759
E-mail address : ehl@jj.ac.kr