

k -TH ROOTS OF p -HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

BHAGWATI P. DUGGAL, IN HO JEON^(*), AND EUNGIL KO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that if T is a k -th root of a p -hyponormal operator when T is compact or T^n is normal for some integer $n > k$, then T is (generalized) scalar, and that if T is a k -th root of a semi-hyponormal operator and have the property $\sigma(T)$ is contained in an angle $< \frac{2\pi}{k}$ with vertex in the origin, then T is subscalar.

1. Introduction

Let H and K be complex Hilbert spaces and let $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators from H to K . If $H = K$, we write $\mathcal{L}(H)$ in place of $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

A bounded linear operator S on H is called *scalar* of order m if it has a spectral distribution of order m , i.e., if there is a continuous unital morphism of topological algebras

$$\Phi : C_0^m(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$$

such that $\Phi(z) = S$, where as usual z stands for the identity function on \mathbb{C} and $C_0^m(\mathbb{C})$ stands for the space of compactly supported functions on \mathbb{C} , continuously differentiable of order m , $0 \leq m \leq \infty$. An operator is *subscalar* if it is similar to the restriction of a scalar operator to a closed invariant subspace.

Let $d\mu(z)$, or simply $d\mu$, denote the planar Lebesgue measure. Let D be a bounded open disc in \mathbb{C} . We shall denote by $L^2(D, H)$ the Hilbert

Received May 3, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B20, 47A15.

Key words and phrases: k -th roots of p -hyponormal operator, subscalar operator.

(*) This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant(KRF-2001-050-D0001).

space of measurable functions $f : D \rightarrow H$, such that

$$\|f\|_{2,D} = \left(\int_D \|f(z)\|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

The space of functions $f \in L^2(D, H)$ which are analytic functions in D (i.e., $\bar{\partial}f = 0$) is defined by

$$A^2(D, H) = L^2(D, H) \cap \mathcal{O}(D, H),$$

where $\mathcal{O}(D, H)$ denotes the Fréchet space of H -valued analytic functions on D with respect to uniform topology. $A^2(D, H)$ is called the Bergman space for D . Let us define a Sobolev type space, denoted $W^2(D, H)$. $W^2(D, H)$ will be the space of those functions $f \in L^2(D, H)$ whose derivatives $\bar{\partial}f, \bar{\partial}^2f$ in the sense of distributions still belong to $L^2(D, H)$. Endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{W^2}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^2 \|\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D}^2$, $W^2(D, H)$ becomes a Hilbert space contained continuously in $L^2(D, H)$. Now, for $f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{C})$, let M_f denote the operator on $W^2(D, H)$ given by multiplication by f . This has a spectral distribution of order 2, defined by the functional calculus

$$\Phi_M : C_0^2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(W^2(D, H)), \quad \Phi_M(f) = M_f.$$

Therefore M_f is a scalar operator of order 2. Consider a bounded open disk D which contains $\sigma(T)$ and the quotient space

$$(1.1) \quad H(D) = W^2(D, H) / \overline{(T - z)W^2(D, H)}$$

endowed with the Hilbert space norm. We denote the class of a vector f or an operator A on $H(D)$ by \hat{f} , respectively \hat{A} . Let M_z be the operator of multiplication by z on $W^2(D, H)$. As noted above, M_z is a scalar of order 2 and has a spectral distribution Φ . Let $S \equiv \widehat{M_z}$. Since $\overline{(T - z)W^2(D, H)}$ is invariant under every operator $M_f, f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{C})$, we infer that S is a scalar operator of order 2 with spectral distribution $\hat{\Phi}$. Consider the natural map $V : H \longrightarrow H(D)$ defined by $Vh = \widehat{1 \otimes h}$, for $h \in H$, where $1 \otimes h$ denotes the constant function identically equal to h . In [11], Putinar showed that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a hyponormal operator then V is one-to-one and has closed range such that $VT = SV$, and so T is subscalar of order 2.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is said to be p -hyponormal, $0 < p \leq 1$, if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$ where T^* is the adjoint of T . If $p = 1$, T is hyponormal and if $p = \frac{1}{2}$, T is called *semi-hyponormal*. Semi-hyponormal operators were introduced by Xia (see [12]) and there are many works on general p -hyponormal operators ([1], [3], [5], [6], [9]).

LÖWNER-HEINZ'S INEQUALITY. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be $A \geq B \geq 0$ and $p \in (0, 1]$. Then

$$A^p \geq B^p.$$

This inequality gives the following implications:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hyponormality} &\Rightarrow p\text{-hyponormality } \left(\frac{1}{2} < p < 1\right) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{semi-hyponormality} \\ &\Rightarrow p\text{-hyponormality } \left(0 < p < \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that all the above implications are strict (see [6] and [12]).

In this paper we prove that if T is a k -th root of a p -hyponormal operator when T is compact or T^n is normal for some integer $n > k$, then T is (generalized) scalar, and that if T is a k -th root of a semi-hyponormal operator and has the property $\sigma(T)$ is contained in an angle $< 2\pi/k$ with vertex in the origin, then T is subscalar. These results extend [8, Theorem 4.3].

2. Results

THEOREM 2.1. *Let T be a k -th root of a p -hyponormal operator. If T is compact or T^n is normal for some integer $n > k$, then T is a (generalized) scalar operator.*

Proof. First, we claim that T^k is normal. If T is compact, then that is straightforward, since T^k is compact and a compact p -hyponormal operator is normal ([5, Theorem 2]). If T^n is normal for some integer $n > k$, then there exists an n -nilpotent operator T_0 and an operator T_1 which is quasi-similar to a normal operator N with $\sigma(T_1) = \sigma(N)$ such that $T = T_0 \oplus T_1$ [7, Theorem 3.1]. Consider $T^k = T_0^k \oplus T_1^k$. Clearly, T_0^k is nilpotent. Since the only quasi-nilpotent p -hyponormal operator is the zero operator, $T_0^k = 0$. Let X be a quasi-affinity such that $T_1^k X = X N^k$. Applying the Putnam-Fuglede theorem for p -hyponormal operators ([3, Theorem 7]), it follows that T_1^k is normal. Hence T^k is normal. Now it follows from [2] and [7, Remark, p.141] that T is a (generalized) scalar operator. \square

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let T be a k -th root of a p -hyponormal operator. If T is compact or T^n is normal for some integer $n > k$, then T has hyperinvariant subspaces.*

Proof. Since T is a (generalized) scalar operator by Theorem 2.1, T is decomposable. Hence T has hyperinvariant subspaces. \square

THEOREM 2.3. *Let T be a k -th root of a semi-hyponormal operator and have the property $\sigma(T)$ is contained in an angle $< 2\pi/k$ with vertex in the origin. Then T is subscalar of order 2.*

We need the following lemmas to prove Theorem 2.3.

LEMMA 2.4. ([11, Proposition 2.1]) *For every bounded disk D in \mathbb{C} there is a constant C_D , such that for an arbitrary operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $f \in W^2(D, H)$ we have*

$$\|(I - P)f\|_{2,D} \leq C_D \left(\|(T - z)^* \bar{\partial} f\|_{2,D} + |(T - z)^* \bar{\partial}^2 f\|_{2,D} \right),$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, H)$ onto the Bergman space $A^2(D, H)$.

LEMMA 2.5. ([9, Lemma 4]) *Let T be a semi-hyponormal. Then for a $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and a sequence $f_n \in L^2(D, H)$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)f_n\|_{2,D} = 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)^* f_n\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Consider a bounded disk D which contains $\sigma(T)$ and $H(D)$ as in (1.1). Then we define the map $V : H \rightarrow H(D)$ by

$$Vh = \widehat{1 \otimes h} \left(\equiv 1 \otimes h + \overline{(T - z)W^2(D, H)} \right),$$

where $1 \otimes h$ denotes the constant function sending any $z \in D$ to h . As mentioned in section 1, to prove Theorem 2.3 it suffices to show that V is one-to-one and has closed range.

Let $h_n \in H$ and $f_n \in W^2(D, H)$ be sequences such that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)f_n + 1 \otimes h_n\|_{W^2} = 0.$$

Then equation (2.1) implies

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

From (2.2), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T^k - z^k)\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Since T^k is semi-hyponormal, by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T^{*k} - \bar{z}^k)\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

Now we claim that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)^* \bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

Indeed, since $T - z$ is invertible for $z \in D \setminus \sigma(T)$, the equation (2.2) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D \setminus \sigma(T)} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)^* \bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D \setminus \sigma(T)} = 0.$$

Also, since $\sigma(T)$ is contained in an angle $< \frac{2\pi}{k}$ with vertex in the origin, it is clear from the equation (2.3) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)^* \bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

Thus Lemma 2.4 and equation (2.4) imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P)f_n\|_{2,D} = 0,$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, H)$ onto $A^2(D, H)$. Then by (2.1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z)Pf_n + 1 \otimes h_n\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

Let Γ be a curve in D surrounding $\sigma(T)$. Then for $z \in \Gamma$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Pf_n(z) + (T - z)^{-1}(1 \otimes h_n)\| = 0, \text{ uniformly.}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} Pf_n(z) dz + h_n \right\| = 0.$$

But by Cauchy's theorem,

$$\int_{\Gamma} Pf_n(z) dz = 0.$$

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$. Hence V is one-to-one and has closed range. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let T be a k -th root of a semi-hyponormal operator and have the property that $\sigma(T)$ is contained in an angle $< 2\pi/k$ with vertex in the origin. If $\sigma(T)$ has interior in the plane, then T has a non-trivial invariant subspace.*

Proof. The corollary follows from Theorem 2.3 and [4]. □

We say that an operator $T - z$ on the space $\mathcal{O}(D, H)$ has *Bishop's property* (β) if $T - z$ is one-to-one and has closed range for every disc D . Since every subscalar operator has Bishop's property (β) ([10]), from Theorem 2.3 we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let T be as in Corollary 2.6. Then T has Bishop's property (β).*

Does Theorem 2.3 hold for k -th roots of arbitrary p -hyponormal operators? A partial answer is given by the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let T be the k -th root of a p -hyponormal operator A , $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$, such that $0 \notin \sigma(|A|^{\frac{1}{2}})$. If $\sigma(T)$ is contained in angle $< 2\pi/k$ with vertex in the origin, T is subscalar of order 2.*

Proof. Letting A have the polar decomposition $A = U|A|$, it is seen that the operator $S = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a semi-hyponormal operator such that $S = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}A|A|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $S = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}T^k|A|^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}T|A|^{-\frac{1}{2}})^k$, S has a k -th root $T_0 = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}T|A|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ with spectrum contained in an angle $< 2\pi/k$ with vertex in the origin. Hence T_0 , and so also T , is subscalar of order 2 by Theorem 2.3. □

References

- [1] A. Aluthge, *On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$* , Integral Equations Operator Theory **13** (1990), 307–315.
- [2] C. Apostol, *Spectral decomposition and functional calculus*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **13** (1968), 1481–1528.
- [3] B. P. Duggal, *Quasi-similar p -hyponormal operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **26** (1996), 338–345.
- [4] J. Eschmeier, *Invariant subspaces for subscalar operators*, Arch. Math. **52** (1989), 562–570.
- [5] M. Fujii, C. Himeji, and A. Matsumoto, *Theorems of Ando and Saito for p -hyponormal operators*, Math. Japonica **39** (1994), 595–598.
- [6] T. Furuta, *Invitation to linear operators*, Taylor & Francis, London and New York, 2001.

- [7] F. Gilfeather, *Operator valued roots of abelian analytic functions*, Pacific J. Math. **55** (1974), 127–148.
- [8] M. Kim and E. Ko, *Square roots of hyponormal operators*, Glasg. Math. J. **41** (1999), 463–470.
- [9] E. Ko, *On p -hyponormal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 775–780.
- [10] K. B. Laursen and M. M. Neumann, *An Introduction to Local Spectral Theory*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. (N.S.) 2000.
- [11] M. Putinar, *Hyponormal operators are subscalar*, J. Operator Theory **12** (1984), 385–395.
- [12] D. Xia, *Spectral Theory of Hyponormal Operators*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston, 1983.

BHAGWATI P. DUGGAL, 8 REDWOOD GROVE, NORTHFIELDS AVENUE EALING, LONDON W5 4SZ, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail: bpduggal@yahoo.co.uk

IN HO JEON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EWHA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 120-750, KOREA
RECENT ADDRESS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-747, KOREA
E-mail: jih@math.ewha.ac.kr

EUNGIL KO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EWHA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 120-750, KOREA
E-mail: eiko@ewha.ac.kr