REMARKS ON THE MINIMIZER OF A p-GINZBURG-LANDAU TYPE

YUTIAN LEI

ABSTRACT. The author studies the asymptotic behavior of the radial minimizer for a variant of the p-Ginzburg-Landau type functional, in the case of p larger than the dimension, when the parameter tends to zero. The $C^{1,\alpha}$ convergence of the radial minimizer is proved. And the estimation of the convergent rate of the minimizer is given.

1. Introduction

Let $G \subset R^n (n \geq 2)$ be a bounded and simply connected domain with smooth boundary ∂G . $g(x): \partial G \to S^1$ is smooth map satisfying $d = \deg(g, \partial G)$. When n = 2, many papers studied the asymptotic behavior of minimizer u_{ε} of the Ginzburg-Landau functional

$$E_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{G} |\nabla u|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{G} (1 - |u|^{2})^{2} dx$$

on $H_g^1(G, \mathbb{R}^2)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In particular, some subsequence u_{ε_k} of the minimizer u_{ε} satisfies

(1.1)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} u_{\varepsilon_k} = u_* \quad \text{in} \quad C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{G} \setminus A),$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$, u_* is a harmonic map (see [1]). Here A is the set of the singularities of u_* . The papers [2] and [5] presented the properties of the radial minimizer of $E^1_{\varepsilon}(u)$ in the function class

$$V = \left\{ u(x) = f(r) \frac{x}{|x|} \in H^1(B, \mathbb{R}^2) \, ; \, f(1) = 1, \, r = |x| \right\},$$

Received March 4, 2004. Revised June 21, 2005.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J65, 49K20.

Key words and phrases: p-Ginzburg-Landau functional, radial minimizer, asymptotic behavior.

where $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; |x| < 1\}$. The asymptotic behavior of the minimizer in $H_q^1(G, \mathbb{R}^2)$ of the Ginzburg-Landau type functional

$$E_\varepsilon^2(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_G |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_G |u|^2 (1 - |u|^2)^2 dx,$$

with the different penalization, was discussed extensively in [3]. The same result as (1.1) was also derived. Afterwards, it is researched asymptotic properties of the radial minimizer of

$$E_{\varepsilon}(u,B) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{B} |\nabla u|^{p} dx + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B} |u|^{2} (1 - |u|^{2})^{2} dx, \quad (p > n)$$

in $W = \{u(x) = f(r)\frac{x}{|x|} \in W^{1,p}(B,R^n); f(1) = 1, r = |x|\}$, where $B = \{x \in R^n; |x| < 1\}$. The following properties have been proved (see Theorems 3.6 and 4.3 in [4]):

(1.2) The radial minimizer u_{ε} is unique as long as ε is sufficiently small,

and the convergence which is weaker than (1.1)

(1.3)
$$u_{\varepsilon} \to \frac{x}{|x|} \quad \text{in} \quad W_{loc}^{1,p}(\overline{B} \setminus \{0\}) \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

In this paper, we will prove that the radial minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$ also satisfies the convergent property as (1.1). To do this, the $C^{1,\alpha}$ uniform estimation of the minimizer u_{ε} should be obtained. Indeed, it is difficult since the Euler-Lagrange equation, which the minimizer satisfies, is degenerate when p > 2. There may not be any classical solution to the equation. Hereby, we consider the regularized functional

$$E_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}(u,B) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{B} (|\nabla u|^{2} + \tau)^{p/2} dx + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B} |u|^{2} (1 - |u|^{2})^{2} dx, \quad (\tau \in (0,1)).$$

It is easy to see that the minimizer $u_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}(x) = f_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}(r) \frac{x}{|x|}$ exists in W. By the argument of the weak low semi-continuity we can deduce that

(1.4)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} = \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in} \quad W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where \tilde{u}_{ε} is a radial minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u,B)$ in W. Noticing (1.2), we know that as ε is sufficiently small, the limit \tilde{u}_{ε} must be the unique radial minimizer u_{ε} . Hence, we may derive the $C^{1,\alpha}$ convergence of the radial minimizer via establishing the $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimation of u_{ε}^{τ} (see Theorem 2.2). In addition, we also concern with the convergent rate of $|u_{\varepsilon}| \to 1$ in $W^{1,p}(B \setminus \{0\})$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$. In fact, if T > 0, we can obtain firstly that $E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, B \setminus B_{T}(0)) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{B \setminus B_{T}(0)} |\nabla \frac{x}{|x|}|^{p} dx \leq C \varepsilon^{[p]-p+1}$ (cf. Theorem 3.1).

Next, by improving the exponent [p] - p + 1 of ε step by step, we can see at last the convergent rate in $W^{1,p}([T,1])$:

$$\int_{T}^{1} r^{n-1} [(f_{\varepsilon}')^{p} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} (1 - f_{\varepsilon}^{2})^{2}] dr \leq C \varepsilon^{p},$$

where $f_{\varepsilon}(r) = |u_{\varepsilon}(x)|$ (cf. Theorem 3.2).

2. $C^{1,\alpha}$ convergence

Since u_{ε}^{τ} is a minimizer, it is not difficult to see that $f_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} = |u_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}|$ solves

$$(2.1) - \left(rA^{(p-2)/2}f_r\right)_r + \frac{n-1}{r}A^{(p-2)/2}f = \frac{1}{2\epsilon^p}rf\left(4f^2 - 3f^4 - 1\right),$$

and $|f_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}| \leq 1$ in [0,1] by the maximum principle, where $A = f_r^2 + \frac{(n-1)f^2}{r^2} + \tau$. By the same argument of (3.8) and (4.2) in [4], we also see that for any T > 0, there exists C > 0 which is independent of ε and τ , such that

(2.2)
$$|f_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}| \ge 29/30 \text{ in } [T,1],$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. Denote $u_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} = u = f(r) \frac{x}{|x|}$. Then for any compact subset $K \subset (0,1]$, there exists a positive constant C which does not depend on ε and τ , such that $||f||_{C^{1,\beta}(K,R)} \leq C$, $\forall \beta \in (0,1/2)$.

Proof. Take T>0 so small that $K_{3T}\subset\subset K\subset\subset K_{2T}\subset K_T=(T,1-T)$. Let $\zeta\in C_0^\infty(K_T,[0,1])$ satisfy that $\zeta=0$ on $[0,1]\setminus K_T$, $\zeta=1$ on K_{2T} , and $|\zeta_r|\leq C(T)$ on (0,1). Multiplying (2.1) with r^{-1} and differentiating, then multiplying by $f_r\zeta^2$ and integrating on (0,1), we have

$$-\int_{0}^{1} \left(A^{(p-2)/2} f_r \right)_{rr} \left(f_r \zeta^2 \right) dr - \int_{0}^{1} \left(r^{-1} A^{(p-2)/2} f_r \right)_{r} \left(f_r \zeta^2 \right) dr + (n-1) \int_{0}^{1} \left(r^{-2} A^{(p-2)/2} f \right)_{r} \left(f_r \zeta^2 \right) dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^p} \int_{0}^{1} \left[f \left(4f^2 - 3f^4 - 1 \right) \right]_{r} \left(f_r \zeta^2 \right) dr.$$

Integrating by parts and noting

$$-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^p} \int_{K_T} f^2(f_r)^2 (12f^2 - 8)\zeta^2 dr \le 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{K_T} \left(A^{\frac{p-2}{2}} f_r\right)_r \left(f_r \zeta^2\right)_r dr + \int_{K_T} A^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left(f_r \zeta^2\right)_r \left[\frac{f_r}{r} - \frac{(n-1)f}{r^2}\right] dr \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^p} \int_{K_T} \left(4f^2 - 3f^4 - 1\right) f_r^2 \zeta^2 dr. \end{split}$$

Denote

$$I = \int_{K_T} \zeta^2 \left(A^{(p-2)/2} f_{rr}^2 + (p-2) A^{(p-4)/2} f_r^2 f_{rr}^2 \right) dr.$$

Noting

$$A_r = 2 \left[f_r f_{rr} + (n-1)(f f_r r^{-2} - r^{-3} f^2) \right],$$

and using Young inequality, we see that for any $\delta \in (0,1)$,

$$(2.4) I \leq \delta I + C(\delta, T) \int_{K_T} A^{p/2} \zeta_r^2 dr + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^p} \left| \int_{K_T} f_r^2 (4f^2 - 3f^4 - 1) \zeta^2 dr \right|.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) and by Young inequality, it follows

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^p} \left| \int_{K_T} (4f^2 - 3f^4 - 1) f_r^2 \zeta^2 dr \right| \leq \delta I + C(\delta, T) \int_{K_T} A^{(p+2)/2} \zeta^2 dr \right|$$

with $\delta \in (0,1)$. Substituting this into (2.4) and choosing δ sufficiently small, we have

(2.5)
$$I \le C \int_{K_T} A^{p/2} \zeta_r^2 dr + C \int_{K_T} A^{(p+2)/2} \zeta^2 dr.$$

To estimate the second term of the right hand side, we take $\phi=\zeta^{2/q}f_r^{(p+2)/q}$ in the embedding inequality

Applying Young inequality we see that for any $\delta \in (0,1)$,

$$\int_{K_T} f_r^{p+2} \zeta^2 dr \leq C \left(\int_{K_T} \zeta^{2/q} |f_r|^{(p+2)/q} dr \right)
\times \left(\int_{K_T} \zeta^{2/q-1} |\zeta_r| |f_r|^{(p+2)/q} \right)
+ \delta I + C(\delta) \int_{K_T} A^{\frac{p+2}{q} - \frac{p}{2}} \zeta^{4/q - 2} dr \right)^{q-1}.$$

Noting $q \in (1 + \frac{2}{p}, 2)$, we can use the Holder inequality to estimate the right hand side of (2.7). Thus, from (2.3) it leads to $\int_{K_T} f_r^{p+2} \zeta^2 dr \le \delta I + C(\delta)$ for any $\delta \in (0,1)$. Substituting this into (2.5) and choosing δ sufficiently small, we obtain $\int_{K_T} A^{(p-2)/2} f_{rr}^2 \zeta^2 dr \le C$. Combining this with (2.3), we get $\|A^{p/4}\zeta\|_{H^1(K_T)} \le C$. Noticing that $\zeta = 1$ on K, we see that $\|A^{p/4}\|_{H^1(K)} \le C$. Applying the embedding inequality, we know that for any $\beta \le 1/2$, $\|A^{p/4}\|_{C^{\beta}(K)} \le C$. The inner estimation is set up.

In the following, we consider the estimation near the boundary point r=1. Denote g(r)=f(r+1)-1. Set $\tilde{g}(r)=g(r)$ as $-1\leq r\leq 0$, $\tilde{g}(r)=-g(-r)$ as $0< r\leq 1$. If denote $f(r)=\tilde{g}(r-1)+1$ in [0,2], then f(r) solves (2.1) in [0,2]. Take $R<\frac{1}{4}$. Assume that $\zeta\in C^{\infty}(0,1]$ satisfies $\zeta=1$ when $r\geq 1-R$; $\zeta=0$ when $r\leq 2R$. Differentiating (2.1) and multiplying by $f_r\zeta^2$, then integrating on [R,1], we have

$$-\int_{R}^{1} \left(A^{(p-2)/2} f_{r}\right)_{rr} \left(f_{r} \zeta^{2}\right) dr - \int_{R}^{1} \left(r^{-1} A^{(p-2)/2} f_{r}\right)_{r} \left(f_{r} \zeta^{2}\right) dr$$

$$+ (n-1) \int_{R}^{1} \left(r^{-2} A^{(p-2)/2} f\right)_{r} \left(f_{r} \zeta^{2}\right) dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{R}^{1} \left[f(1-f^{2})(3f^{2}-1)\right]_{r} \left(f_{r} \zeta^{2}\right) dr.$$

Integrating by parts leads to

$$\int_{R}^{1} \left(A^{(p-2)/2} f_r \right)_r \left(f_r \zeta^2 \right)_r dr
+ \int_{R}^{1} A^{(p-2)/2} (f_r \zeta^2)_r \left[r^{-1} f_r - (n-1) r^{-2} f \right] dr
\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{R}^{1} (1 - f^2) (3f^2 - 1) f_r^2 \zeta^2 dr
- \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{R}^{1} f^2 (12f^2 - 8) (f_r)^2 \zeta^2 dr + |I(1) - I(R)|,$$

where $I(r)=(A^{(p-2)/2}f_r)_r+\frac{1}{r}A^{(p-2)/2}f_r-(n-1)\frac{1}{r^2}A^{(p-2)/2}f]f_r\zeta^2$. From (2.1) it follows that $I(r)=\frac{1}{r\varepsilon^p}f(f^2-1)(3f^2-1)f_r\zeta^2$. Noting f(1)=1 and $\zeta(R)=0$, we obtain I(1)=I(R)=0. Substituting this into the inequality above, and by the same argument as the inner estimation, we also derive (2.5). Now, take $\phi=\zeta^{2/q}f_r^{(p+2)/q}$ in the embedding

inequality

$$\|\phi\|_{L^q} \le C(\|\phi_r\|_{L^1} + \|\phi\|_{L^1})^{1-1/q} \|\phi\|_{L^1}^{1/q}, \quad q \in (1 + \frac{2}{p}, 2)$$

instead of (2.6) (in fact, (2.6) is not valid since $\phi \neq 0$ near r = 1). Thus, (2.7) can be still derived. The rest proof is same as the proof of the inner estimation.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $u_{\varepsilon} = f_{\varepsilon}(r) \frac{x}{|x|}$ be a radial minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$. Then for any compact subset $K \subset \overline{B} \setminus \{0\}$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_{\varepsilon} = \frac{x}{|x|}$ in $C^{1,\alpha}(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$.

Proof. For any compact subset $K \subset \overline{B} \setminus \{0\}$, by using Proposition 2.1 we know that for some $\beta \in (0, 1/2)$,

$$||u_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}||_{C^{1,\beta}(K)} \le C = C(K)$$

with C > 0 independent of ε, τ . From this and the embedding theorem, we see that for some $\beta_1 < \beta$, there exist $w_{\varepsilon}^* \in C^{1,\beta_1}(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and a subsequence τ_k of τ , such that as $k \to \infty$,

(2.9)
$$u_{\varepsilon}^{\tau_k} \to w_{\varepsilon}^* \text{ in } C^{1,\beta_1}(K, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Combining this with (1.4) and (1.2), we have $w_{\varepsilon}^* = u_{\varepsilon}$.

Applying (2.8) and the embedding theorem again, we know that for some $\beta_2 < \beta$, there exist $w^* \in C^{1,\beta_2}(K,R^n)$ and a subsequence τ_m of τ_k , such that as $m \to \infty$,

(2.10)
$$u_{\varepsilon_m}^{\tau_m} \to w^* \text{ in } C^{1,\beta_2}(K, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Set $\alpha = \min(\beta_1, \beta_2)$. Thus, when $m \to \infty$, using (2.9) and (2.10) we obtain

$$||u_{\varepsilon_{m}} - w^{*}||_{C^{1,\alpha}(K,R^{n})}$$

$$\leq ||u_{\varepsilon_{m}} - u_{\varepsilon_{m}}^{\tau_{m}}||_{C^{1,\alpha}(K,R^{n})} + ||u_{\varepsilon_{m}}^{\tau_{m}} - w^{*}||_{C^{1,\alpha}(K,R^{n})}$$

$$\leq o(1),$$

which, together with (1.3), implies $w^* = \frac{x}{|x|}$. Noting the uniqueness of the limit $\frac{x}{|x|}$, we deduce that the convergence (2.11) holds not only for the subsequence, but also for the whole u_{ε} .

3. Analysis of the convergent rate

From (4.2) in [4], It was led to, for any compact subset of $K \subset (0,1]$, the convergent rate

$$(3.1) \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^p} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \left(1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2\right)^2 r^{n-1} dr \le C.$$

In this section, we shall present the better rate.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $u_{\varepsilon}(x) = f_{\varepsilon}(r) \frac{x}{|x|}$ be a radial minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$. Then for any T > 0, there exists a constant C > 0 which is independent of ε , such that as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$(3.2) \qquad \int_T^1 |f_{\varepsilon}'|^p r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_T^1 f_{\varepsilon}^2 (1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2)^2 r^{n-1} dr \le C \varepsilon^{[p] - p + 1}.$$

(3.3)
$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{B \setminus B_{T}(0)} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B \setminus B_{T}(0)} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} (1 - |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2})^{2} \\ \rightarrow \frac{1}{p} \int_{B \setminus B_{T}(0)} |\nabla \frac{x}{|x|}|^{p}.$$

Proof. From [4,Theorem 4.2] it follows that

$$(3.4) E_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon};T) \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} (n-1)^{p/2} r^{n-p-1} dr + C\varepsilon^{[p]-p+1}.$$

Here $E_{\varepsilon}(f;T) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} |f_r|^p r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^p} \int_{T}^{1} f^2 (1-f^2)^2 r^{n-1} dr$. On the other hand, Jensen's inequality with p > 2 implies that

$$(3.5) E_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon};T) \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} |f_{\varepsilon}'|^{p} r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} \left((n-1) \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{r^{2}} \right)^{p/2} r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{T}^{1} f_{\varepsilon}^{2} \left(1 - f_{\varepsilon}^{2} \right)^{2} r^{n-1} dr.$$

Combining this with (3.4) yields

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} \left((n-1) \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{r^{2}} \right)^{p/2} r^{n-1} dr$$

$$\leq E_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon}; T)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{[p]-p+1} + \frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} (n-1)^{p/2} r^{n-p-1} dr.$$

By using (3.1) and Holder inequality we deduce

$$\int_{T}^{1} (n-1)^{p/2} r^{n-p-1} (1-f_{\varepsilon}^{p}) dr \le C \varepsilon^{p/2}.$$

Substituting this into (3.6) leads to

(3.7)
$$E_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon};T) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} \left((n-1)r^{-2} \right)^{p/2} r^{n-1} dr \le C \varepsilon^{[p]-p+1}.$$

Noticing $\int_{B\setminus B_T(0)} |\nabla \frac{x}{|x|}|^p dx = |S^{n-1}| \int_T^1 ((n-1)r^{-2})^{p/2} r^{n-1} dr$, from (3.5) and (3.7), we can obtain (3.2) and (3.3).

Theorem 3.2. Let $u_{\varepsilon}(x)=f_{\varepsilon}(r)\frac{x}{|x|}$ be a radial minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u,B)$. Then for any T>0, there exists C>0 which is independent of ε , such that $\int_T^1 r^{n-1}[(f_{\varepsilon}')^p+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p}(1-f_{\varepsilon}^2)^2]dr\leq C\varepsilon^p$.

Proof. From Jensen's inequality and (2.2) it follows

$$E_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon};T) \ge \frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} (f_{\varepsilon}')^{p} r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{8\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{T}^{1} (1 - f_{\varepsilon}^{2})^{2} r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} \frac{(n-1)^{p/2}}{r^{p}} f_{\varepsilon}^{p} r^{n-1} dr.$$

Combining this with (3.4) and using (3.1), we have

(3.8)
$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{T}^{1} (f_{\varepsilon}')^{p} r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{8\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{T}^{1} (1 - f_{\varepsilon}^{2})^{2} r^{n-1} dr \leq C\varepsilon^{[p]-p+1}.$$

Noting (3.4) and (3.8), and applying the integral mean value theorem, we see that there exists $T_1 \in [T, 2T]$, such that

(3.9)
$$\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \left(1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2\right)^2\right]_{r=T_1} \le C_1 \varepsilon^{[p]-p+1}.$$

Clearly, we may find a minimizer ρ_1 in $W_{f_{\varepsilon}}^{1,p}((T_1,1),R^+\cup\{0\})$ of the functional

$$E(\rho, T_1) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{T_1}^{1} (\rho_r^2 + 1)^{p/2} dr + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_1}^{1} (1 - \rho)^2 dr.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. (3.9) implies that $E(\rho_1, T_1) \leq C \varepsilon^{F[1]}$. Here $F[j] = \frac{[p] + 1 - p}{2^j} + \frac{(2^j - 1)p}{2^j}$, $j = 0, 1, \cdots$.

Proof. The minimizer ρ_1 solves the problem

(3.10)
$$-\varepsilon^p (v^{(p-2)/2} \rho_r)_r = 1 - \rho \quad \text{on} \quad [T_1, 1],$$

(3.11)
$$\rho(T_1) = f_{\varepsilon}(T_1), \quad \rho(1) = f_{\varepsilon}(1) = 1,$$

where $v = \rho_r^2 + 1$. Obviously, $\rho \le 1$. Noting that ρ_1 is a minimizer, we deduce from (3.8) in [4] and (3.4) that

$$(3.12) E(\rho_1, T_1) \le E(f_{\varepsilon}, T_1) \le CE_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon}; T_1) \le C.$$

Take $\zeta \in C^{\infty}(0,1]$, $\zeta = 1$ on $(0,T_1]$, $\zeta = 0$ near r = 1, and $|\zeta_r| \leq C(T_1)$. Multiplying (3.10) with $\zeta \rho_r$ and integrating over $[T_1,1]$, we have

(3.13)
$$v^{(p-2)/2} \rho_r^2 \Big|_{r=T_1}^{\cdot} + \int_{T_1}^{1} v^{(p-2)/2} \rho_r (\zeta_r \rho_r + \zeta \rho_{rr}) dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_1}^{1} (1 - \rho) \zeta \rho_r dr.$$

At first, by using (3.12) we obtain

$$\left| \int_{T_{1}}^{1} v^{(p-2)/2} \rho_{r}(\zeta_{r} \rho_{r} + \zeta \rho_{rr}) dr \right| \\
\leq \int_{T_{1}}^{1} v^{(p-2)/2} |\zeta_{r}| (\rho_{r})^{2} dr + \frac{1}{p} \left| \int_{T_{1}}^{1} \left[\left(v^{(p-2)/2} \zeta \right)_{r} - v^{(p-2)/2} \zeta_{r} \right] dr \right| \\
\leq C + \frac{1}{p} v^{p/2} \bigg|_{r=T_{1}}.$$

Next, by applying (3.12), (3.11), and (3.9), we derive

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \left| \int_{T_{1}}^{1} (1 - \rho) \zeta \rho_{r} dr \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{p}} \left| \int_{T_{1}}^{1} \left[((1 - \rho)^{2} \zeta)_{r} - (1 - \rho)^{2} \zeta_{r} \right] dr \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{p}} (1 - \rho)^{2} \Big|_{r=T_{1}} + \frac{C}{2\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{T_{1}}^{1} (1 - \rho)^{2} dr$$

$$\leq C.$$

Combining (3.13)–(3.15), we get $v^{(p-2)/2}\rho_r^2|_{r=T_1} \leq C + \frac{1}{p}v^{p/2}|_{r=T_1}$. Substituting this into $v^{p/2}|_{r=T_1} = v^{(p-2)/2}(\rho_r^2+1)|_{r=T_1}$, and using Young

inequality, we see that for any $\delta \in (0,1/2)$, $v^{p/2}|_{r=T_1} \leq C(\delta) + (\frac{1}{p} + \delta)v^{p/2}|_{r=T_1}$. Choosing δ sufficiently small yields

$$(3.16) v^{p/2}\Big|_{r=T_1} \le C.$$

Multiplying (3.10) with $(\rho - 1)$ and integrating over $[T_1, 1]$, we have

$$\int_{T_1}^1 \left[v^{(p-2)/2} \rho_r(\rho - 1) \right]_r dr = \int_{T_1}^1 v^{(p-2)/2} \rho_r^2 dr + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_1}^1 (\rho - 1)^2 dr.$$

Hence, by applying (3.16), (3.11), and (3.9), we obtain

$$E(\rho_{1}, T_{1}) \leq C \left| \int_{T_{1}}^{1} \left[v^{(p-2)/2} \rho_{r}(\rho - 1) \right]_{r} dr \right|$$

$$= C v^{(p-2)/2} |\rho_{r}| |\rho - 1| \Big|_{r=T_{1}}$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{F(1)}.$$

Proposition is proved.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Proposition 3.3 implies that

$$E_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon};T_1) \leq C\varepsilon^{F[1]} + \frac{1}{p}\int_{T_1}^1 \frac{(n-1)^{p/2}}{r^{p-n+1}} dr.$$

Proof. Set $w_{\varepsilon} = f_{\varepsilon}$ if $r \in [0, T_1]$, $w_{\varepsilon} = \rho_1$ if $r \in [T_1, 1]$. Noticing that u_{ε} is a minimizer, we have $E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, B) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(w_{\varepsilon} \frac{x}{|x|}, B)$. Hence

$$E_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon}, T_{1}) \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{T_{1}}^{1} \left(\rho_{r}^{2} + \frac{n-1}{r^{2}}\rho^{2}\right)^{p/2} r^{n-1} dr$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{T_{1}}^{1} \rho^{2} \left(1 - \rho^{2}\right)^{2} r^{n-1} dr$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{T_{1}}^{1} \left(\frac{n-1}{r^{2}}\rho^{2}\right)^{p/2} r^{n-1} dr + CE(\rho_{1}, T_{1}).$$

Proposition 3.4 is seen by using Proposition 3.3.

Complete the proof of Theorem 3.2. Using Proposition 3.4 and (3.1), we can deduce that

$$\int_{T_1}^1 (f_{\varepsilon}')^p r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{8\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_1}^1 (1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2)^2 r^{n-1} dr \le C\varepsilon^{F[1]} + C\varepsilon^{p/2} \le C_2 \varepsilon^{F[1]}$$

by the same derivation of (3.8). Comparing with (3.8), we find the rate is better than (3.8), since the exponent of ε is improved from F[0] to F[1].

Set $T_m \in [T_{m-1}, 2T]$. By the same argument above (whose idea is improving the exponent of ε from F[k] to F[k+1]), we know that there exists a sufficiently large integer m satisfying $\frac{p}{2} + 1 \le F[m]$, such that

(3.17)
$$\int_{T_m}^1 (f_{\varepsilon}')^p r dr + \frac{1}{8\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_m}^1 (1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2)^2 r^{n-1} dr \\ \leq C\varepsilon^{F[m]} + C\varepsilon^{p/2} \\ \leq C\varepsilon^{p/2}.$$

Similar to the derivations of (3.9), we see that there exists $T_{m+1} \in [T_m, 2T]$ such that

(3.18)
$$\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \left(1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2 \right)^2 \right]_{r = T_{m+1}} \le C \varepsilon^{p/2}.$$

Obviously, the minimizer $\rho_2 \in W^{1,p}_{f_{\varepsilon}}((T_1,1),R^+)$ of

$$E(\rho, T_{m+1}) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{T_{m+1}}^{1} (\rho_r^2 + 1)^{p/2} dr + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_{m+1}}^{1} (1 - \rho)^2 dr$$

exists. By the analogous proof of Proposition 3.3, form (3.18) we can also obtain that

$$E(\rho_2, T_{m+1}) \le v^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \rho_{4r} (1 - \rho_1) \Big|_{r = T_{m+1}} \le C(1 - \rho_2(T_{m+1})) \le C \varepsilon^{G[1]},$$

where $G[j] = \frac{p/2}{2^j} + \frac{(2^j-1)p}{2^j}$, $j = m+1, m+2, \cdots$. So, by the same proof of Proposition 3.4 we also conclude that

$$E_{\varepsilon}(f_{\varepsilon}; T_{m+1}) \le C\varepsilon^{G[1]} + \frac{1}{p} \int_{T_{m+1}}^{1} \frac{(n-1)^{p/2}}{r^{p-1}} dr.$$

Similar to the derivation of (3.8), using (3.17) we have

$$\int_{T_{n+1}}^{1} (f_{\varepsilon}')^p r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{8\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_{n+1}}^{1} (1 - f_{\varepsilon}^2)^2 r^{n-1} dr \le C\varepsilon^{G[1]}.$$

By the same argument above (whose idea is improving the exponent of ε from G[k] to G[k+1]), we know that for any $k \in N$,

$$\int_{T_{m+k}}^1 (f_\varepsilon')^p r^{n-1} dr + \frac{1}{8\varepsilon^p} \int_{T_{m+k}}^1 (1-f_\varepsilon^2)^2 r^{n-1} dr \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{p/2}{2^k} + \frac{(2^k-1)p}{2^k}}.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$, we can see the conclusion of Theorem.

References

- [1] F. Bethuel, H. Brezis, and F. Helein, Ginzburg-Landau Vortices, Birkhauser, 1994.
- [2] R. M. Herve and M. Herve, Etude qualitative des solutions reelles d'une equation differentielle liee a l'equation de Ginzburg-Landau, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire 11 (1994), 427-440.
- [3] L. Lassoued and C. Lefter, On a variant of the Ginzburg-Landau energy, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 5 (1998), no. 43, 39-51.
- [4] Y. Lei, Radial minimizer of a variant of p-Ginzburg-Landau functional, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2003 (2003), no. 35, 1-12.
- P. Mironescu, On the stability of radial solution of the Ginzburg-Landau equation,
 J. Funct. Anal. 130 (1995), 334-344.

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210097, P.R.China

E-mail: lythxl@163.com