

**THE SPECTRAL PROPERTY $\sigma(\operatorname{Re}(T)) = \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T))$ OF
 p -HYPONORMAL OPERATORS**

JUN IK LEE

ABSTRACT. In this note we consider the projective property $\sigma(\operatorname{Re}(T)) = \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T))$ of p -hyponormal operators and log-hyponormal operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be complex Hilbert spaces and let $B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . For $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, we denote the spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of T by $\sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_a(T)$, respectively.

An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called p -hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p \geq 0$ for some $p \in (0, \infty)$. If $p = 1$, T is *hyponormal* and if $p = \frac{1}{2}$, T is *semi-hyponormal*. By the consequence of Löwner's inequality (cf. Löwner [10]) if T is p -hyponormal for some $p \in (0, \infty)$, then T is also q -hyponormal for every $q \in (0, p]$. Thus we assume, without loss of generality, that $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called *log-hyponormal* if T is invertible and satisfies $\log(T^*T) \geq \log(TT^*)$. Since

$$\log : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$$

is a monotone function, every invertible p -hyponormal operator is log-hyponormal. But there exists a log-hyponormal operator which is not p -hyponormal (cf. Tanahashi [12, Example 12]). An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *paranormal* if $\|T^2x\| \geq \|Tx\|^2$ for every unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$. It is well known (cf. Ando [1], Chō & Jin [5], Fujii, Himeji & Matsumoto [8]) that

$$p\text{-hyponormal} \implies \text{log-hyponormal} \implies \text{paranormal}.$$

Received by the editors April 20, 2005 and, in revised form, July 21, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B20.

Key words and phrases. p -hyponormal operators, log-hyponormal operators.

For the study of spectral theory of operators, spectral mapping theorems are important. It is familiar that if T is normal then for every polynomial $p(\lambda, \lambda^*)$ the spectral mapping theorem holds;

$$\sigma(p(T)) = p(\sigma(T)) = \{p(\lambda, \lambda^*); \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

In particular, if $p(\lambda, \lambda^*) := \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \lambda^*) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$, then we have that

$$\sigma(\operatorname{Re}(T)) = \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T)) \tag{1}$$

for any normal operator T . We called the equality (1) the *projective* property.

The projective property for semi-normal operators was shown by Putnam [11] and the projective property for Toeplitz operators was showed by Berberian [2]. Chō, Hwang & Lee [4] has showed the *subprojective* property for p -hyponormal or log-hyponormal operators. There are two typical examples which are semi-hyponormal but not hyponormal (*cf.* Chō & Jin [5], Xia [13]). Chō, Huruya, Kim & Lee [3] has showed that the two typical examples satisfy the projective property. In this paper, we will show the projective property for p -hyponormal operators and log-hyponormal operators with some constraints.

2. THE PROJECTIVE PROPERTY

For an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, a point z is in the normal approximate point spectrum $\sigma_{na}(T)$ of T if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of unit vectors such that

$$(T - z)x_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (T - z)^*x_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem A (Chō, Hwang & Lee [4, Lemma 1.1]). *If $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_{na}(T)$, then*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T)) \subset \sigma(\operatorname{Re}T) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im}\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(\operatorname{Im}T).$$

From this theorem, we can show the “subprojective” property, $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T)) \subset \sigma(\operatorname{Re}T)$, for the spectra of p -hyponormal operators and log-hyponormal operators.

Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\operatorname{iso} \sigma(T)$ be the set of all isolated points of the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T . If $\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma(T)$, the Riesz idempotent E_λ of T with respect to λ is defined by

$$E_\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} (T - z)^{-1} dz,$$

where D is an open disk which is far from the rest of $\sigma(T)$.

Theorem B (Chō & Tanahashi [6, Theorem 7]). *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be p -hyponormal (or log-hyponormal). Let $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ and let E_λ be the Riesz projection for λ . Then E_λ is self-adjoint and*

$$E_\lambda \mathcal{H} = \ker(T - \lambda) = \ker(T - \lambda)^*.$$

An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called *convexoid* if $\text{conv } \sigma(T) = \text{cl } W(T)$ where $W(T) = \{(Tx, x); \|x\| = 1\}$ is the numerical range of T and conv denotes the convex hull. An operator T is *restriction-convexoid* if the restriction of T to every invariant subspace is convexoid.

Theorem 1. *Let T be a p -hyponormal (or a log-hyponormal) operator. If T has the following conditions;*

- (i) T is restriction convexoid, and
- (ii) $\text{Re } \sigma(T)$ consists of a finite number of connected components,

then $\sigma(\text{Re } T) \subset \text{Re } \sigma(T)$.

Proof. Let P be the projection on the complex plane \mathbb{C} such that $P(\lambda) = \text{Re } \lambda$. Write $\text{Re } \sigma(T) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$, where S_i are components of $\text{Re } \sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_i := P^{-1}(S_i) \cap \sigma(T)$. Using the Riesz idempotent, by Theorem B, \mathcal{H} can be decomposed by $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$, where $T_i = T|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ and $\sigma(T_i) = \sigma_i$ and hence $\text{Re } \sigma(T_i) = S_i$, *i. e.*,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & T_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_1 \\ \mathcal{H}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{H}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Since T is restriction convexoid, T_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is convexoid. Moreover,

$$\text{Re } \sigma(T) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Re } \sigma(T_i).$$

Let $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ is the smallest interval containing $\sigma(\text{Re } T_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\sigma(\text{Re } T) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma(\text{Re } T_i)$, we have $\sigma(\text{Re } T) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i, \beta_i]$. We want to show that $[\alpha_i, \beta_i] \subset \text{Re } \sigma(T_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\text{Re } \sigma(T_i)$ is connected, it will suffice to show that $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \text{Re } \sigma(T_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Assume to the contrary that $\alpha_i \notin \text{Re } \sigma(T_i)$. If L is the vertical line $\text{Re } \lambda = \alpha_i$, then $\sigma(T_i)$ must lie in a side with respect to L .

Suppose that $\sigma(T_i)$ lies in the right-side of L . Thus there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\text{Re } \sigma(T_i) \geq \alpha_i + \varepsilon$. Since T_i is convexoid, it follows that $\inf\{\text{Re } W(T_i)\} \geq \alpha_i + \varepsilon$. Thus $\inf\{W(\text{Re } T_i)\} \geq \alpha_i + \varepsilon$, *i. e.*, $\text{Re } T_i \geq (\alpha_i + \varepsilon)I$ and hence $\inf\{\sigma(\text{Re } T_i)\} \geq \alpha_i + \varepsilon$.

Therefore $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_i + \varepsilon$, which leads to a contradiction. If $\sigma(T_i)$ lies in the left-side of L , applying the preceding argument with $\alpha - \varepsilon$ in place of $\alpha + \varepsilon$ gives a contradiction. Therefore $[\alpha_i, \beta_i] \subset \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and therefore $\sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) \subset \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$. \square

In Theorem 1, the condition (ii) can be easily applied to the case that $\sigma(T)$ does not consist of connected components: For example, if $T = T_1 \oplus D$, where T_1 is a p -hyponormal operator and convexoid whose spectrum is the unit disk and D is a diagonal operator whose diagonals are $\{\frac{1}{n} + i\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ then $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) = [-1, 1]$, which is connected.

Corollary 2. *Let T be a p -hyponormal (or a log-hyponormal) operator. If T is restriction convexoid and $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$ consists of a finite number of connected components then $\sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) = \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem A and Theorem 1. \square

3. AN EXAMPLE

It is well known (cf. Hildebrandt [9]) that T is convexoid if $T - \lambda$ is normaloid for every complex numbers. Therefore, any normaloid operator which is translation-invariant is convexoid.

Let $\ell^2(\mathcal{Z})$ be the Hilbert space of all doubly-infinite sequences $a = \{a_k\}$ of complex numbers such that $\|a\|^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty$ and let V be the bilateral shift:

$$(Va)_k = a_{k-1}.$$

Let \mathcal{K} be a Hilbert space and let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space of all doubly-infinite sequences $x = \{x_k\}$ of elements of \mathcal{K} such that $\|x\| = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^2 < \infty$. Then we have $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathcal{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let $e_m = \{a_k\} \in \ell^2(\mathcal{Z})$ such that $a_m = 1$ and 0's elsewhere. Every $x = \{x_k\} \in \mathcal{H}$ has the representation $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e_k \otimes x_k$. Let $\{A_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a doubly-infinite sequence of positive operators on \mathcal{K} such that $\{\|A_k\|\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded. We define bounded operators A and U (resp.) on \mathcal{H} by

$$Ae_k \otimes x_k = e_k \otimes A_k x_k \quad \text{and} \quad Ue_k \otimes x_k = e_{k+1} \otimes x_k \quad (\text{resp.})$$

where $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Then U has the form $V \otimes id_{\mathcal{K}}$. Put $T = UA$. Such an operator is called an *operator valued bilateral weighted shift* (cf. Clancey [7]) and provides an interesting example (cf. Chō & Jin [5]). If positive operators $\{A_k\}$

(i) By a direct calculation, we have

$$T^*T = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & & & & & & \\ & C^2 & & & & & \\ & & C^2 & & & & \\ & & & \boxed{D^2} & & & \\ & & & & D^2 & & \\ & & & & & D^2 & \\ & & & & & & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$TT^* = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & & & & & & \\ & C^2 & & & & & \\ & & C^2 & & & & \\ & & & \boxed{C^2} & & & \\ & & & & D^2 & & \\ & & & & & D^2 & \\ & & & & & & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus $T^*T - TT^* = D^2 - C^2 < 0$, but $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (TT^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} = D - C \geq 0$. Hence T is not hyponormal but semi-hyponormal.

(ii) To show that $T - 4$ is not p -hyponormal for any $p > 0$. It suffices to show that $T - 4$ is not paranormal. Let

$$\tilde{x} = \langle \dots, 0, x_{-1}, \boxed{0}, 0, 0, \dots \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad x_{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

be the unit vector in \mathcal{H} such that $\|\tilde{x}\| = \|x_{-1}\| = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - 4)^2\tilde{x}\| &= \|T^2\tilde{x} - 8T\tilde{x} + 16\tilde{x}\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} - 4 \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} + 16 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{14}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{11}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \sqrt{\frac{317}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|(T - 4)\tilde{x}\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} - 4 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{5}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = \frac{29}{2}$$

which shows that

$$\|(T - 4)\tilde{x}\|^2 > \|(T - 4)^2\tilde{x}\|,$$

which implies that $T - 4$ is not paranormal. □

The semi-hyponormal operator valued bilateral weighted shift T which is provided in Theorem 3 is convexoid by Theorem C. Therefore it is the example which is convexoid semi-hyponormal but not translation-invariant.

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando: Operators with a norm condition. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **33** (1972), 169–178. MR **47#9334**
2. S. K. Berberian: Conditions on an operator implying $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) = \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T)$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **154** (1971) 267–272. MR **42#5078**
3. M. Chō, T. Huruya, Y. O. Kim & J. I. Lee: A note on real parts of some semi-hyponormal operators. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **66** (2000), no. 3–4, 731–736. MR **2001i:47033**
4. M. Chō, I. S. Hwang & J. I. Lee: On spectral properties of log-hyponormal operators. In: *Operator inequalities and related areas (Kyoto, 2000)*, *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, No. 1144 (pp. 77–84). Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho, Kyoto, 2000. CMP 1788642
5. M. Chō & H. Jin: On p -hyponormal operators. *Nihonkai Math. J.* **6** (1995), no. 2, 201–206. MR **96j:47019**
6. M. Chō & K. Tanahashi: Isolated point of spectrum of p -hyponormal, log-hyponormal operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **43** (2002), no. 4, 379–384. MR **2003c:47040**
7. K. F. Clancey: *Seminormal operators*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 742. Springer, Berlin, 1979. MR **81c:47002**
8. M. Fujii, C. Himeji & A. Matsumoto: Theorems of Ando and Saito for p -hyponormal operators. *Math. Japon.* **39** (1994), no. 3, 595–598. MR **95b:47022**
9. S. Hildebrandt: The closure of the numerical range of an operator as spectral set. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **17** (1964), 415–421. MR **29#3882**
10. K. Löwner: Über monotone Matrixfunktionen. *Math. Z.* **38** (1934), 177–216.
11. C. R. Putnam: On the spectra of semi-normal operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1965), 509–523. MR **32#2913**
12. K. Tanahashi: On log-hyponormal operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **34** (1999), no. 3, 364–372. MR **2000b:47055**
13. D. Xia: *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators*. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 10. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1983. MR **87j:47036**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SANGMYUNG UNIVERSITY, 7 HONGJI-DONG, JONGNO-GU, SEOUL 110-743, KOREA
 Email address: jilee@smu.ac.kr